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Abstract
Objective: To examine the relationships between dysfunctional eating patterns, personality, anxiety and depression in morbidly obese patients accepted for bariatric surgery. Design: The study used cross-sectional data collected by running a randomized controlled trial (\url{http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01403558}). Subjects: A total of 102 patients (69 women, 33 men) with a mean (SD) age of 42.6 (9.8) years and a mean BMI of 43.5 (4.4) kg/m$^2$ participated. Measurements: Measurements included the NEO-PI-R (personality: neuroticism, extraversion, openness, conscientiousness and agreeableness), the TFEQ-R-21 (dysfunctional eating: emotional eating (EE), uncontrolled eating (UE) and cognitive restraint of eating (CR)) and the HADS (anxiety and depression). Results: The personality traits neuroticism and conscientiousness were more strongly correlated with dysfunctional eating than anxiety and depression. These differences were most pronounced for emotional and cognitive restraint of eating. Emotional eating occurred more often in female than in male patients, a finding that was partially mediated by neuroticism but not by anxiety and depression. Conclusion: Personality traits may be important to address in the clinical management of morbidly obese patients seeking bariatric surgery as neuroticism is particularly salient in female patients displaying an emotional eating behaviour.
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Introduction

Approximately 10–25% of obese patients considered for or treated by bariatric surgery have dysfunctional eating patterns [1–4]. Dysfunctional eating patterns can be operationalized as emotional eating (EE), uncontrolled eating (UE) and cognitive restraint of eating (CR) [5]. EE serves to regulate negative mood states like feeling lonely, anxious or depressed. On the other hand, people with UE tend to lose control over their eating behaviour when feeling hungry while people with CR tend to overly restrict their food intake in order to control weight, body shape or body composition. Hence, all three patterns serve to regulate or suppress negative emotional states. These patterns may result in overconsumption of energy-dense food [6], and extreme variations may qualify for a DSM-IV-defined unspecified eating disorder or a binge eating disorder (BED) [7].

The clinical importance of pre-surgical BED symptoms for the outcome of bariatric surgery is unsettled. One study [8] reported a development of grazing eating behaviours, but with less amount of food. Other studies reported no predictive value [9], notably with respect to weight loss [10], but rather that suboptimal weight loss was predicted by the level of post-operative BED symptoms.

Measures of dysfunctional eating seem to show a more consistent picture [3, 11–14] for predicting weight regain and impaired post-operative weight loss.

Depression and anxiety are common comorbid conditions in patients seeking bariatric surgery [15, 16] – with a prevalence of 16% and 22%, respectively [17]. Depression is known to disrupt self-regulatory sleep and eating behaviours [18] related to impaired motivation and anhedonia. Anxiety on the other hand may prompt worries about future consequences of non-sustainable changes and a reluctance to implement behavioural changes. Also, mood disorders appear to be a consequence of being morbidly obese [19].

A psychological construct of large impact is personality as it permeates most aspects of humans’ cognitive and emotional life and behaviour [20], including eating behaviours. Dysfunctional eating patterns observed among morbidly obese patients seem to be related to personality traits [2, 21–23] and, in particular, to neurotic personality traits [24–26]. However, the exact nature of this relationship in conjunction with gender and symptoms of mood disorders is not known. Though, in female pre-bariatric obese patients, two broad personality subtypes have been observed [27]: one normal and a second psychopathological type. Patients of the latter type score higher on ‘neuroticism’, and lower on the traits ‘extraversion’, ‘agreeableness’ and ‘conscientiousness’. They also display significantly more binge eating and dysfunctional eating behaviours that may have emotion-regulating functions.

Women constitute the majority of morbidly obese persons including those seeking bariatric surgery [28]. Disturbed eating patterns are also more prevalent among women in the general population [29], and EE seems to be more frequent among morbidly obese women [30]. The gender differences in the correlation between eating behaviours and personality traits have been reported as small to negligible in the obesity literature [24]. The findings have also been inconsistent due to a small number of participating patients and failures to include bariatric surgery patients. In the current study we therefore aimed to explore gender-related differences in dysfunctional eating behaviours in patients admitted for bariatric surgery and the mediating role of specific personality traits as well as of symptoms of depression and anxiety.

We hypothesized that 1) female morbidly obese patients accepted for bariatric surgery had a higher level of emotional eating than men, and 2) that personality traits, anxiety and depression may partly explain hypothesis 1 indirectly via mediation.
Material and Methods

Participants
The inclusion criteria were obesity grade 2 (BMI 35.0–39.9 kg/m²) and at least one obesity-related comorbidity or obesity grade 3 (BMI ≥ 40.0 kg/m²) and being accepted for bariatric surgery at the Morbid Obesity Centre at Vestfold Hospital Trust. There were no exclusion criteria.

We included 102 patients (69 females and 33 males) consecutively admitted between September 2011 and June 2012 for bariatric surgery at the Morbid Obesity Centre at Vestfold Hospital Trust in Norway. The mean BMI was 43.5 (SD 4.9) kg/m², and the majority (79.4% n = 81) had obesity grade 3.

Measures
Descriptive variables comprised age, gender, educational level as well as body weight and body height.

The Norwegian version of the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ-R-21) validated for measuring eating behaviours in obese individuals [5, 31] was used to measure dysfunctional eating patterns. It consists of 21 items comprising the subscales ‘emotional eating’ (EE; 6 items), ‘uncontrolled eating’ (UE; 9 items) and ‘cognitive restraint of eating’ (CR; 6 items). The domain scores range from 0 to 100. The level of dysfunction is indicated by higher scores on all subscales. All subscales have shown high internal consistency (Cronbach’s α ranging from 0.70 to 0.90) [31].

The Norwegian version of the NEO Personality Inventory-Revised (NEO-PI-R) [32] was used to assess personality traits. The NEO-PI-R is used world-wide and is based on the Five Factor Model (FFM) of personality [33]. It consists of 240 items using a five-point Likert scale to measure five domains of personality: neuroticism (N), extraversion (E), openness (O), agreeableness (A) and conscientiousness (C). The domain scores are each composed of six facet scores, and hence, mean raw scores were created for the five domain scores and the 30 facet scores and used in the correlation/regression analyses. Higher scores indicated more of the trait. Gender-adjusted standardized T-scores (M = 50, SD = 10) were additionally used for descriptive purposes.

Anxiety and depression were measured by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [34]. HADS is a self-report measure of non-vegetative affective symptoms [34, 35] where seven items assess depression (HADS-D) and seven items measure anxiety (HADS-A). Items are scored 0–3 yielding a range of 0–21 within each subscale. In Norway a cut-off ≥ 8 is used to indicate a probable diagnosis of depression or anxiety [36]. The Cronbach’s α for HADS-A vary from 0.68 to 0.93 (mean 0.83), and for HADS-D from 0.67 to 0.90 (mean 0.82) [34, 36].

Procedures
Four months prior to bariatric surgery and after the completion of the informed consent form, data were collected by a web-based solution (Metreno and FluidSurveys) during the hospital visit.

Height, using a wall-mounted stadiometer, and weight were measured to calculate the BMI (kg/m²).

The procedures were initiated after the study had been approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2010/2071a).

Statistical Analyses
The SPSS version 17 was used for all statistical analyses. Group differences were examined with independent sample t-tests (continuous data) and Fisher’s exact tests (categorical data). Associations between the continuous variables were examined with Pearson’s bivariate correlations and hierarchical multiple stepwise regression analyses. A hierarchical approach was used to assess how much of the variation in dysfunctional eating patterns was related to three blocks of variables: 1) demographic information (i.e., age, gender, educational level and BMI), 2) affective symptoms (i.e., anxious and depressed mood) and 3) personality traits (i.e., NEO-PI-R).

Given the number of analyses, the α levels were set to 0.001 in the bivariate analyses (tables 1, 2) and 0.01 in the multivariate analysis (table 3), and, accordingly, p values ≤ 0.001 and ≤ 0.01 were considered significant.

Multiple Mediation Analysis
A multiple mediation analysis was conducted using an SPSS macro by Preacher and Hayes [37] allowing covariate control. The contributions by multiple mediators, i.e. personality, anxiety and depression, were simultaneously examined as indirect paths. Bootstrapped standard errors were estimated through 1,000 re-samplings [37] to obtain correct confidence intervals for the indirect path or the mediator coefficient.
Results

The 102 participants (69 women, 33 men) had a mean (SD) age of 42.6 (9.8) years and a BMI of 43.5 (4.9) kg/m². Women reported significantly more emotional eating and anxiety symptoms. The unadjusted personality scores showed higher neuroticism in women, but no gender differences were found in the norm-adjusted T-scores (table 1).

Anxiety and Depression
Using the HADS cut-off score ≥ 8 for a possible diagnosis, no gender differences were found for the prevalence of anxiety (men 33%, women 45%, p = 0.29) or depression (men 24%, women 24%, p = 0.999).

Bivariate Associations between Personality Traits, Eating Behaviours and Mood
The personality trait neuroticism was strongly positively correlated with EE, UE, anxiety and depression, and negatively with CR and age. Conscientiousness was negatively correlated with EE, UE, depression and anxiety, and positively correlated with CR.

Regression Analyses Predicting Emotional Eating, Uncontrolled Eating and Cognitive Restraint
The hierarchical regression models (table 3) showed in the first step that women reported significantly more EE than men, while high BMI was related to higher levels of dysfunction on all three eating pattern measures. In the second step, patients with more anxiety and, but to a lesser extent, more depression reported more EE relative to those with less anxiety or depression. Depressive symptoms were positively related with a dysfunctional eating pattern.
Adding of personality traits in the last step showed that neuroticism was positively associated with EE, but importantly, this personality trait also rendered anxiety and depression non-significant. For the other two outcomes, conscientiousness was the most significant personality trait, also substituting the relation depression had with CR. In both cases conscientiousness represented a protective effect. The fact that no outliers and non-linear regression effects were detected argues for the generalizability of the regression models.

Due to the statistically significant domain scores for neuroticism and conscientiousness, follow-up regression analyses were conducted by replacing these domain scores with their facet scores. For EE, impulsiveness ($\beta = 0.28$, $p = 0.001$) and depression ($\beta = 0.29$, $p = 0.006$)

### Table 2. Correlation coefficients between the demographic variables, eating behaviours, anxiety, depression and personality traits (N = 102)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Emotional eating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Uncontrolled eating</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cognitive restraint</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Anxiety</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Depression</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Age</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 BMI</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Neuroticism</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Extraversion</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Openness</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Agreeableness</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Conscientiousness</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson correlations above 0.31 are significant at $p < 0.001$.

### Table 3. Hierarchical stepwise multiple regression analyses predicting dysfunctional eating behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step / variable</th>
<th>Emotional eating initial $\beta$</th>
<th>Emotional eating final $\beta$</th>
<th>Emotional eating adj R$^2$</th>
<th>Uncontrolled eating initial $\beta$</th>
<th>Uncontrolled eating final $\beta$</th>
<th>Uncontrolled eating adj R$^2$</th>
<th>Cognitive restraint initial $\beta$</th>
<th>Cognitive restraint final $\beta$</th>
<th>Cognitive restraint adj R$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.47***</td>
<td>-0.29***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>-0.30**</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34***</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\beta$ = Standardized regression coefficient; *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$.
adj R$^2$ = Adjusted R-square. Variables excluded from the model: Age and socio-economic status in step 1, and extraversion, openness and agreeableness in step 3. An empty table cell implies that the included variable was non-significant in the prediction of the relevant outcome measure.
were the most important neuroticism facets in explaining EE (total $R^2 = 0.56$). For UE, the neuroticism facets impulsiveness ($\beta = 0.26, p = 0.005$) and vulnerability ($\beta = 0.27, p = 0.007$) contributed most to the regression model (total $R^2 = 0.35$). For CR, the conscientiousness and neuroticism facets dutifulness ($\beta = 0.34, p = 0.001$) and impulsiveness ($\beta = -0.34, p = 0.001$), respectively, contributed most to the model (total $R^2 = 0.33$). Using facet rather than domain scores increased the amount of variance explained ($R^2$) considerably, and in particular for CR.

Mediation Analysis of the Gender Differences in EE

A multiple mediation analysis was conducted to examine if personality, depression or anxiety played a role as mediators for the relationship between gender and EE. Personality was the only significant mediator. The initial direct path (gender-EE, controlled for BMI) was $\beta = -0.46 (p < 0.001)$, but dropped to $\beta = -0.28 (p < 0.001)$ after adding personality as the indirect path $\beta = -0.18$ (95% CI $-0.29$ to $-0.09$). The indirect path explained 39% ($0.18/0.46$) of the total variance in EE (fig. 1).

Taken together, the findings indicated a stronger impact of personality on dysfunctional eating compared with anxiety and depression.

Discussion

We hypothesized that female morbidly obese patients accepted for bariatric surgery had a higher level of emotional eating than men and, secondly, that personality traits, anxiety and depression partly explained the variation in eating behaviours.

Our major finding was that personality traits were more firmly related to dysfunctional eating patterns than mood disorder symptoms. Anxiety and depression were weakly related to such patterns when personality traits were controlled for. Neuroticism and conscientiousness were the prime personality traits involved in EE and UE/CR and could be ascribed a vulnerable and protective role, respectively. Moreover, EE occurred more often in female patients as previously reported [24, 25, 30]. We also found that neuroticism partially mediated this relationship, thus indicating a putative mechanism.
The Role of Personality in Gender Differences in Emotional Eating

As reported by others [38, 39], women displayed more neuroticism and emotional eating. However, our results extended these findings by indicating that the gender-related differences in EE were partially explained by neuroticism (explaining almost half of the total variance), but neither by anxiety nor depression. The mediating role of neuroticism may indicate that this trait is a key factor to modify dysfunctional eating patterns of female bariatric surgery patients. This stands in contrast to Elfhag and Morey [24] who found negligible gender differences in the correlations between dysfunctional eating patterns and personality traits, such as neuroticism. We suspect that the contradictory results may be due to the use of standardized T-scores adjusting for gender differences in the study of Elfhag and Morey [24], whereas our analyses are based on unadjusted raw scores which do not mask naturally occurring gender-specific personality differences. The generally larger effect sizes for the gender differences in the unadjusted raw scores compared with the adjusted standardized T-scores make this interpretation plausible.

Symptoms, Personality and Emotional Eating

Our findings concur with previous studies [1, 24, 25] supporting the role of personality traits in disordered eating patterns. As personality traits are quite stable over time [19], eating behaviours are persistently influenced by these traits in contrast to the fluctuating influence of more time-limited psychological conditions like mood disorders. Hence, personality traits, like neuroticism, may be clinically important to address in addition to mood disorders as such traits are part of the psychological makeup of an individual that always exert a potential negative effect. A neurotic person is continuously exposed to emotional turmoil elicited by the liability to react to negative life events. Improved self-regulation of inherent neurotic traits may facilitate the achievement of weight maintenance goals by reducing the tendency to use dysregulated and dysfunctional eating patterns to cope with stress and daily hassles that may otherwise elicit anxiety or depression.

Notably, the neuroticism facet ‘impulsiveness’ contributed to explain a variation in all kinds of dysfunctional eating patterns. This finding concurs with a recent review article [40], which indicates that obese patients with or without BED display dysregulated eating behaviours due to the tendency of more spontaneous and ‘impulse-driven’ food intake. One possible implication of these findings is that this personality facet might be a ‘key factor’ in many different types of dysfunctional eating patterns. This personality trait may thus act as a vulnerability factor in an obesogenic society with a high exposure to energy-dense food and beverages, increasing the liability to develop dysfunctional eating patterns.

The personality trait conscientiousness is manifested by characteristic behaviours such as being organized and having a mental focus on order, control and systematics. High-scoring individuals may therefore stand out as rigid and inefficient if they lack the ability to flexibly adjust their behaviour according to changing situational demands. In the present study, though, the highly conscientious bariatric surgery patients seemed more able to take control over eating. In this respect, conscientiousness may protect against UE and CR and dispose for a higher ability to resist weight gain.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of the present study include the use of a web-based data collection method in a structured hospital setting. This may have reduced loss of variance and the need for imputation due to scattered missing data. Important limitations were the failure to include a diagnostic or self-report measure of BED as well as the cross-sectional design. The latter precludes any causal interpretations and tempers the conclusion that neuroticism may be an intermediate link between gender and emotional eating. Longitudinal data from this research project...
are underway that may more validly examine the direct and indirect causal role of personality factors, anxiety and depression for patients’ ability to maintain an adequate post-surgery target weight.

Conclusions

The present study confirms previous findings [24–27]. Despite being limited by the cross-sectional design, it provides provisional new insights into how personality traits and gender may account for individual differences in emotional eating behaviour among patients admitted for bariatric surgery. Secondly, the study highlights that the interplay between gender, psychological health (i.e., anxiety and depression) and personality constructs (i.e., neuroticism and conscientiousness) are clinically relevant topics for future research.
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