

1 **Hepcidin levels and gastric cancer risk in the EPIC-EurGast Study**

2 Paula Jakszyn¹, Ana Fonseca-Nunes¹, Lujan-Barroso Leila^{1,2}, Aranda Nuria³, Tous Monica³, Arija Victoria³,
3 Amanda Cross⁴, Bas Bueno de Mesquita^{5,6}, ... Elisabete Weiderpass^{7,8,9,10} and Antonio Agudo¹

4

5 Affiliations:

6 ¹Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Catalan Institute of Oncology-ICO, IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet De Llobregat, Barcelona,
7 Spain.

8 ²Department of Nursing of Public Health, Mental Health and Maternity and Child Health School of Nursing
9 Universitat de Barcelona

10 ³Nutrition and Public Health Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Research Group in Nutrition and
11 Mental Health (NUTRISAM), Institut d'investigació Sanitària Pere Virgili (IISPV), Universitat Rovira i Virgili
12 (URV), Reus, Tarragona, Spain

13 ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London,
14 United Kingdom.

15 ⁵Department of Determinants of Chronic Diseases (DCD), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment
16 (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

17 ⁶Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

18 ⁷Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, The Arctic University of
19 Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

20 ⁸Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Institute of Population-Based Cancer Research, Oslo,
21 Norway.

22 ⁹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

23 ¹⁰Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland

24

25 Key words: Hepcidin, iron homeostasis, gastric cancer, cohort study.

26 Word counts: 2226 (Max 2500)

27 Tables/figures: 3

28

29 Correspondence to:

30 Paula Jakszyn, MPH, PhD

31 Unit of Nutrition and Cancer

32 Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme

33 Catalan Institute of Oncology

34 Av Gran via 199-203 (08908)

35 Email: paujak@iconcologia.net

36 Tel: +34 93 260 74 01 /Fax: +34 93 260 77 87

37 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

38

39 Acknowledgments:

40 This project is co-funded by FEDER funds/European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) "A way to build

41 Europe", AGAUR, Generalitat de Catalunya (exp. 2014 SGR 726), The Health Research Funds RD12/0036/0018

42 and ISCI III PI11/01486 and the World Cancer Research Fund 2011/428.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Abstract:

Hepcidin is the main regulator of iron homeostasis and dysregulation of proteins involved in iron metabolism has been associated with tumorigenesis. However, to date, no epidemiological study has researched the association between hepcidin levels and gastric cancer risk. To further investigate the relationship between hepcidin levels and gastric cancer risk, we conducted a nested case-control study (EURGAST) within the multicentric European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. The study included 456 primary incident gastric adenocarcinoma cases and 900 matched controls that occurred during an average of 11 years of follow-up. We measured serum levels of hepcidin, serum iron, ferritin, transferrin and C-reactive protein. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the risk of gastric cancer by hepcidin levels were estimated from multivariable conditional logistic regression models. Mediation effect of the ferritin levels on the hepcidin-gastric cancer pathway was also evaluated.

After adjusting for relevant confounders, we observed a statistically significant inverse association between gastric cancer and hepcidin levels (OR 5ng/l = 0.96, 95% CI = 0.93-0.99). No differences were found by tumor localization or histological type. In mediation analysis, we found that direct effect of hepcidin only represents a non-significant 38% (95% CI: -69%, 91%). In summary, these data suggest that the inverse association of hepcidin levels and gastric cancer risk was mostly accounted by ferritin levels. Further investigation including repeated measures of hepcidin is needed to clarify their role in gastric carcinogenesis.

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 Hepcidin is a key regulator of the entry of iron into the circulation in mammals.¹ Production of hepcidin is
4 decreased in situations demanding higher concentrations of circulating iron, such as hypoxia, anaemia,
5 and iron deficiency, or conditions characterized by ineffective erythropoiesis such as thalassemia¹. On
6 the contrary, inflammation and infection cause an increase of hepcidin synthesis², reducing circulating
7 iron availability by sequestration of iron in macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system, leading to
8 hypoferrremia, which is considered a defence mechanism of the human body against extracellularly
9 proliferating pathogens³. Hypoferrremia is a form of anaemia commonly associated with infectious and
10 inflammatory conditions as well as cancer⁴. Subjects with high iron levels show increased levels of
11 hepcidin, except when mutations are present in genes encoding hepcidin or in hepcidin's positive
12 regulators⁵. Hepcidin deficiency also has been reported in patients suffering from hereditary
13 hemochromatosis (HH), a group of genetic disorders defined by an excessive absorption of dietary iron
14 which results in iron accumulation in the liver and other organs⁶.

15

16 Cancers are frequently related to a disturbed /disrupted systemic iron homeostasis⁷. Tumour progression
17 could be promoted through several signalling pathways, such as iron-induced cell growth and oxidative
18 stress⁸. Following this hypothesis, one could expect to find a higher risk of developing cancer in subjects
19 with elevated body iron stores. Disordered systemic iron homeostasis in cancer patients could be due to
20 anomalous regulation of the hepcidin –ferroportin axis. However; the majority of the research in this field
21 has shown inverse associations between iron stores and cancer risk⁹. In concordance with this, recently,
22 we have found an inverse association between X and Gastric cancer risk in the EURGAST nested case
23 control study¹⁰.

24

25 The stomach plays a role in iron absorption and in defence against infections and recently was found that
26 hepcidin is expressed in this organ¹¹. Gastric hepcidin is located in parietal cells that are crucial for gastric
27 acid secretion, and low hepcidin expression/secretion in the stomach is related to gastric bacterial
28 overgrowth, and could contribute to development of peptic ulcers under stress conditions, as seen during

1 *Helicobacter pylori* infections¹¹. However, to date, no epidemiological study has researched the
2 association between hepcidin levels and cancer risk. Considering that iron dysregulation could contribute
3 to increased cancer risk and that iron balance through the hepcidin-ferroportin complex could be involved
4 in tumorigenesis, we aim to investigate the association between blood levels of hepcidin and risk of
5 developing gastric cancer. Moreover, we have tested whether there is a potential mediator effect of
6 ferritin by using mediation analysis.

7

8 MATERIAL AND METHODS

9

10 Study setting

11 The subjects of this nested case-control study are part of the EUR-GAST which is nested in the European
12 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study, a large multicentre prospective cohort
13 including more than 500.000 men and women. Detailed information regarding EPIC methodology and
14 rationale has been described elsewhere¹². In summary, EPIC participants were recruited between 1992
15 and 2000 in 23 centres from 10 European countries. At time of recruitment each participant provided
16 information on diet and lifestyle factors, and anthropometric data and blood samples were collected. This
17 study was approved by the Ethical Committees at the International Agency for Research on Cancer
18 (IARC) and in each of the EPIC centres.

19

20 Study participants

21 Identification of incident cancer diagnoses were based on population cancer registries (Denmark, Italy,
22 The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom). In France, Germany, Greece and
23 Naples, a combination of methods was used and included health insurance records, cancer and pathology
24 hospital registries, and active follow-up through study subjects. Eligible incident gastric cancer cases
25 (C16) included cancers coded as C16 according to the 10th Revision of the International Classification of
26 Diseases (ICD-10). Case subjects had no previous cancer, and were newly diagnosed with primary gastric
27 cancer after their recruitment into the EPIC study through 2010 depending on the study centre. Cancer
28 cases were also classified according to both anatomic location cardia (GCC) and non-cardia (GNCC) and

1 Lauren histological type (intestinal and diffuse). For each case, two randomly controls, alive and free of
2 cancer at the time of diagnosis of the index case, and matched by centre, sex, age at baseline (± 2.5 years)
3 and date of blood collection (± 45 days), were selected. From the 471 cases and 942 controls there were
4 available biological samples for 460 cases and 905 controls. We then proceeded to exclude 4 cases and 5
5 controls because of biomarkers' implausible values, lipidemic samples. After all exclusions we had 456
6 cases and 900 controls for the analyses.

7

8 *Laboratory procedures and measurements of biomarkers of iron homeostasis*

9 Hepcidin was measured using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Hepcidin was
10 extracted from the serum by liquid-liquid extraction by using an acidified organic solvent mixture, and
11 quantified in a 1290 UHPLC Series Liquid Chromatograph coupled to a 6490 QqQ-MS/MS (Agilent
12 Technologies). A reverse phase (RP) liquid chromatography column was used for the separation.
13 Ionization was performed by jet stream electrospray (ESI), while QqQ operated in positive mode,
14 working in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) conditions. The technique uses 50 μ l of serum and was
15 performed in the Center for Omic Sciences (COS) (Tarragona, Spain). In this nested case control study
16 the following biomarkers ere previously measured and reported (serum iron, ferritin, total iron binding
17 capacity (TIBC) and transferrin saturation), high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), pepsinogen 1,
18 *Helicobacter pylori*¹⁰. Data from the cohort baseline questionnaire (social demographics, anthropometrics
19 and diet), can be found elsewhere¹⁰.

20

21 *Statistical Analysis*

22 Conditional logistic regression modelling was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and its corresponding
23 95% confidence intervals (CI) of hepcidin levels and GC, by histological subtype (intestinal and diffuse).
24 Unconditional regression models, including the matching variables, were used to explored effect-measure
25 modification by sex, age group, smoking status (never, ever), alcohol intake, body mass index (BMI; <25 ,
26 $25-30$, >30 kg/m²), plasma vitamin C, hip circumference, dietary iron, dietary heme iron, serum ferritin
27 (WHO ranges: deficiency, normal, excess), serum iron (WHO ranges: deficiency, normal, excess), and
28 mutations for HFE gene (H63D and/or C282Y) and polymorphisms (yes, no). Likelihood ratio test (LRT)

1 was use to evaluate these interactions. Age groups were defined using tertiles of the age at recruitment.
2 Cut points for alcohol intake, plasma vitamin C, dietary iron and heme iron were based on control's
3 median. Sex-specific median cut points based on controls were used for hip circumference variable.
4 Deficiency of ferritin referred to values under 15ng/ml, excess of ferritin represented values of ferritin
5 higher that 200ng/ml for men and 150ng/ml for women. Values within this range were considered to be
6 normal. Iron levels below 50µg/dl and above 120µg/dl were considered as deficiency and excess of iron
7 respectively. Furthermore, we assessed the effect of biomarkers of iron status on GC risk according to the
8 time elapsed from the date of blood drawing to date of incidence.

9

10 Finally, we studied the role of ferritin as a potential mediator in the pathway between hepcidin and GC.
11 To consider ferritin as a potential mediator, the following criteria should be met: a) Hepcidin should be
12 statistically significant associated with GC; b) Ferritin should be statistically significantly associated with
13 hepcidin; c) the relation between ferritin and GC should be statistically significant¹⁰ (Figure 1). Structural
14 equation modeling was used to calculate the direct and indirect effect of hepcidin with GC controlling for
15 the possible confounders¹³. Bootstrapping with 10,000 interactions was used to calculate the 95% CIs for
16 all the estimated parameters. To obtain the normal distribution of hepcidin and ferritin, both variables
17 were log2 transformed. All analyses were performed using SAS v. 9.4 (Cary, North Carolina, USA).

18 **RESULTS**

19

20 Table 1 presents the results of the logistic regression models for hepcidin and gastric adenocarcinoma
21 overall, and by site (cardia and non-cardia) and histology (diffuse and intestinal) of the tumour. Our study
22 included 456 gastric adenocarcinoma cases, 116 of which were GCC (25%), 236 were GNCC (52%) and
23 for 104 cases the site was unknown (23%). We observed a statistically significant inverse association with
24 gastric adenocarcinoma risk (OR for Q4 vs Q1 0.41, 95% CI=0.28-0.61; p for trend<0.0001). On the
25 continuous scale, the risk decreased by 4% (95% CI =.93-0.99) for each 5ng/ml increment in hepcidin
26 levels.

27

1 Similar results were seen for gastric subtypes associations when comparing the highest to the lowest
2 tertiles (GCC OR=0.43, 95% CI=0.19-0.96; GNCC OR=0.47, 95% CI=0.28-0.76) and histology
3 (intestinal OR=0.51, 95% CI=0.27-0.96; diffuse OR=0.47, 95% CI=0.25-0.89) of the tumour. None
4 interactions between age, sex, tobacco smoking status, vitamin C levels were observed alcohol intake,
5 body mass index (BMI <25, 25-30, >30kg/m²), plasma vitamin C, hip circumference, dietary iron, dietary
6 heme iron, serum ferritin serum iron and mutations for HFE gene (H63D and/or C282Y) and
7 polymorphisms (yes, no) (data not shown).

8

9 After researching the possible role of ferritin as a mediator of the effect of hepcidin on GC risk, we found
10 that the direct effect of hepcidin represents approximately 38% (95%CI: -69%, 91%) of the total hepcidin
11 effect although it did not reach statistical significance. The other 62% (95%CI: 9%, 169%) is obtained by
12 the pathway hepcidin>ferritin>GC (Figure 1).

13

14 Most of our study subjects (n=289) were diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma after five years from
15 blood collection, 111 subjects were diagnosed between 2 and 5 years and 56 were diagnosed within the
16 first two years of follow-up. Associations between hepcidin levels and gastric cancer risk were only
17 significant for cases diagnosed within the first two years (n=56) (OR 5ng/l=0.68, 95% CI=0.53-0.87)
18 (figure 2).

19

20 **DISCUSSION**

21

22 In recent years, hepcidin has become the subject of great interest among the scientific community due to
23 its pivotal role in iron homeostasis. We report for the first time the association of hepcidin with GC risk
24 using the large prospective EPIC cohort .We have found that levels of hepcidin were inversely associated
25 with gastric adenocarcinoma risk.

26

1 One of the possible explanations regarding the inverse association between hepcidin and gastric
2 adenocarcinoma could be due to bleeding from early un-detected lesions, leading to depleted iron stores.
3 Hepcidin is strongly correlated with ferritin, as they are physiologically affected by iron availability in a
4 similar way¹⁴. We previously reported a weak association between serum ferritin and gastric cancer over
5 time³². Our results of lag-time analysis show that the inverse association between hepcidin and gastric
6 adenocarcinoma disappears after five years of diagnosis and it is stronger within the first two years of
7 follow-up, which suggests reversed causation with lower levels of hepcidin induced by the cancer.

8

9 Adjustment for ferritin levels revealed that the hepcidin – inverse associated gastric cancer risk was
10 largely dependent on the serum concentrations of ferritin, which supported the results of previous studies
11 that demonstrate that increased stored iron stimulates hepcidin production.

12 Hypoferremia is a common response to systemic infections or generalized inflammatory disorders², since
13 most pathogens require iron for proliferation and full virulence¹⁵. Hypoferremia represents a major host
14 defense strategy and promotes a form of anaemia generally associated with infectious and inflammatory
15 conditions as well as cancer^{4,16}. Hepcidin's expression is significantly upregulated in inflammatory states,
16 possibly due (among other factors) to the excessive production of interleukin-6¹¹, a known stimulus for
17 hepcidin synthesis²¹. In our study, subjects with higher hsCRP levels, indicating higher levels of
18 inflammation, showed increased values of hepcidin, although differences between high and low hsCRP
19 levels were not statistically significant; furthermore, we found stronger inverse associations between
20 hepcidin and gastric cancer in subjects with above the median hsCRP levels, although the differences
21 were not statistically significant.

22 Recent research has shown hepcidin to be expressed in gastric parietal cells¹¹. What is more, that hepcidin
23 regulates acid secretion and is induced by *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Hepcidin deficiency in the
24 stomach is related with gastric bacterial overgrowth and altered factors involved in acid secretion.
25 Hepcidin's impact on gastric acid production suggests it might contribute to development of gastric
26 ulcers¹¹. Although gastric ulcers are probably not a cause of gastric cancer, the positive association

1 between the two diseases suggests common etiologic factors¹⁷, such as atrophic gastritis induced by
2 *Helicobacter pylori* infection. We found lower values of hepcidin in subjects with chronic atrophic
3 gastritis, both among cases and controls. Nonetheless, assessing a potential interaction between chronic
4 atrophic gastritis and hepcidin was hampered by small sample size of subjects suffering from this
5 condition. We indirectly evaluated the potential effect of gastritis and atrophy using pepsinogen 1 as a
6 proxy, but adjusting for pepsinogen 1 level did not modify the association of hepcidin with gastric
7 adenocarcinoma (data not shown).

8

9 Our study presents several important strengths. It is the first cohort-nested case-control study on
10 prediagnostic hepcidin concentrations in the blood and subsequent gastric adenocarcinoma risk. Also, it is
11 the first study to report hepcidin levels in a large sample of control subjects residing in European
12 countries.

13

14 One of the limitations of our study is that the measurement of hepcidin was based on a single blood
15 sample. Another limitation was the information available concerning pepsinogen 1, which was only
16 available on a small subset of participants; therefore, further analysis on the possible relation between
17 gastric atrophy and gastric adenocarcinoma risk was restricted.

18

19 In summary, we found pre-diagnostic hepcidin concentrations to be inversely associated with subsequent
20 gastric adenocarcinoma risk. Considering the dominant mediating effect of ferritin and the previous
21 findings we reported on biomarkers of iron status and adenocarcinoma risk¹⁰, reverse causation cannot be
22 ruled out, as the inverse associations found in this study could be due to bleeding from early gastric
23 cancer lesions. More prospective studies are needed to better elucidate the possible direct and indirect
24 effect of hepcidin in gastric cancer risk, preferably including repeated measures.

Table 1: ORs and 95% CI for the association between gastric cancer and hepcidin (ng/ml) concentrations in the EURGAST nested-case control study

		Controls	Cases	OR (95%CI) ³	p ⁴
Gastric adenocarcinoma					
Hepcidin, ng/ml ¹	Q1	200	139	reference	<0.0001
	Q2	222	117	0.72(0.51- 1.00)	
	Q3	234	105	0.57(0.40- 0.81)	
	Q4	244	95	0.41(0.28- 0.61)	
Hepcidin, 5ng/ml		900	456	0.96(0.93- 0.99)	0.01
Tumour site					
Cardia					
Hepcidin, ng/ml ²	T1	71	44	reference	0.03
	T2	68	41	0.91(0.43- 1.93)	
	T3	89	31	0.43(0.19- 0.96)	
Hepcidin, 5ng/ml		228	116	0.96(0.91- 1.01)	0.15
Non-cardia					
Hepcidin, ng/ml ²	T1	143	91	reference	<0.05
	T2	161	85	0.82(0.54- 1.24)	
	T3	163	60	0.47(0.28- 0.76)	
log2(hep)		467	236	0.86(0.78- 0.94)	<0.05
Hepcidin, 5ng/ml		467	236	0.95(0.90- 0.99)	0.03
Tumour Histology					
Intestinal					
Hepcidin, ng/ml ²	T1	96	57	reference	0.04
	T2	89	56	1.13(0.64- 1.98)	
	T3	107	36	0.51(0.27- 0.96)	
Hepcidin, 5ng/ml		292	149	0.97(0.91- 1.03)	0.33
Diffuse					
Hepcidin, ng/ml ²	T1	99	65	reference	0.01
	T2	112	49	0.55(0.32- 0.94)	
	T3	96	40	0.47(0.25- 0.89)	
Hepcidin, 5ng/ml		307	154	0.94(0.88- 1.00)	0.04


¹Q: Q1: 0.35-4.48; Q2: 0.49-10.66; Q3: 10.67-21.71; Q4: 21.72-482.77 // ♂: Q1: 0.35-7.11; Q2: 7.12-14.40; Q3: 14.41-27.29; Q4: 27.30-324.07

²Q: T1: 0.35-6.63; T2: 6.64-14.85; T3: 15.86-482.77 // ♂: T1: 0.35-9.20; T2: 9.21-21.61; T3: 21.62-324.07

³ OR matched for age at recruitment, sex, center, date of blood extraction and further adjusted for smoking status (never, former, current and unknown), alcohol (≤ 5.59 g/d, > 5.59 g/d), educational level (none, primary school completed, technical/professional school, secondary school, longer education and not specified), fruits, and vegetables.

⁴p-trend for categorical variables / LRT p-value for continuous

1 Figure 1. Model used in the mediation analysis of the association between hepcidin and gastric cancer.



10 a) Relation of Hepcidin to Gastric Cancer


11 b) Relation of Ferritin to Hepcidin

12 c) Relation of Ferritin to Gastric Cancer

13 a+b+c: Hepcidin total effect; a: Hepcidin direct effect; b+c: Hepcidin indirect effect

1 Figure 2. OR and 95%CI for gastric cancer and hepcidin levels by time between blood draw and
2 gastric cancer diagnosis.

3



4

5 OR matched for age at recruitment, sex, center, date of blood extraction and further adjusted for smoking status
6 (never, former, current and unknown), alcohol ($\leq 5.59\text{g/d}$, $>5.59\text{g/d}$), educational level (none, primary school
7 completed, technical/professional school, secondary school, longer education and not specified), fruits, and
8 vegetables.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2 References

3

- 4 1. Ganz T. Hepcidin, a key regulator of iron metabolism and mediator of anemia of
5 inflammation. *Blood*. 2003;102(3):783-788.
- 6 2. Nemeth E, Rivera S, Gabayan V, Keller C, Taudorf S, Pedersen BK, Ganz T. IL-6 mediates
7 hypoferrremia of inflammation by inducing the synthesis of the iron regulatory hormone
8 hepcidin. *J Clin Invest*. 2004;113(9):1271-1276.
- 9 3. Galesloot TE, Vermeulen SH, Geurts-Moespot AJ, Klaver SM, Kroot JJ, van Tienoven D,
10 Wetzels JF, Kiemeny LA, Sweep FC, den Heijer M, Swinkels DW. Serum hepcidin: reference
11 ranges and biochemical correlates in the general population. *Blood*. 2011;117(25):e218-e225.
- 12 4. Hentze MW, Muckenthaler MU, Galy B, Camaschella C. Two to tango: regulation of
13 Mammalian iron metabolism. *Cell*. 2010;142(1):24-38.
- 14 5. Weiss G. Iron metabolism in the anemia of chronic disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*.
15 2009;1790(7):682-693.
- 16 6. Pigeon C, Ilyin G, Courselaud B, Leroyer P, Turlin B, Brissot P, Loréal O. A new mouse
17 liver-specific gene, encoding a protein homologous to human antimicrobial peptide hepcidin, is
18 overexpressed during iron overload. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276(11):7811-7819.
- 19 7. Torti SV, Torti FM. Iron and cancer: more ore to be mined. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013
20 May;13(5):342-55.
- 21 8. Zhang S, Chen Y, Guo W, Yuan L, Zhang D, Xu Y, Nemeth E, Ganz T, Liu S. Disordered
22 hepcidin-ferroportin signaling promotes breast cancer growth. *Cell Signal*. 2014;26(11):2539-
23 2550.
- 24 9. Fonseca-Nunes A, Jakszyn P, Agudo A. Iron and cancer risk--a systematic review and meta-
25 analysis of the epidemiological evidence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev Publ Am Assoc*
26 *Cancer Res Cosponsored Am Soc Prev Oncol*. 2014;23(1):12-31.
- 27 10. Fonseca-Nunes A, Agudo A, Aranda N, Arija V, Cross AJ, Molina E, Sanchez MJ, Bueno-
28 de-Mesquita HB, Siersema P, Weiderpass E, Krogh V, Mattiello A, Tumino R, Saieva C,
29 Naccarati A, Ohlsson B, Sjöberg K, Boutron-Ruault MC, Cadeau C, Fagherazzi G, Boeing H,
30 Steffen A, Kühn T, Katzke V, Tjønneland A, Olsen A, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Key T, Lu Y,
31 Riboli E, Peeters PH, Gavrila D, Dorransoro M, Quirós JR, Barricarte A, Jenab M, Zamora-Ros
32 R, Freisling H, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Bamia C, Jakszyn P. Body iron status and gastric
33 cancer risk in the EURGAST study. *Int J Cancer*. 2015 Dec 15;137(12):2904-14.
- 34 11. Schwarz P, Kübler JAM, Strnad P, et al. Heparin is localised in gastric parietal cells,
35 regulates acid secretion and is induced by *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Gut*. 2012
36 Feb;61(2):193-201.

- 1 12. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, Charrondière UR, Hémon B,
2 Casagrande C, Vignat J, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Clavel-Chapelon F, Thiébaud A,
3 Wahrendorf J, Boeing H, Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A, Vineis P, Palli D, Bueno-De-
4 Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Lund E, Engeset D, González CA, Barricarte A, Berglund G,
5 Hallmans G, Day NE, Key TJ, Kaaks R, Saracci R. European Prospective Investigation into
6 Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr.*
7 2002;5(6B):1113-1124.
- 8 13. De Stavola BL, Daniel RM, Ploubidis GB, Micali N. Mediation analysis with intermediate
9 confounding: structural equation modeling viewed through the causal inference lens. *Am J*
10 *Epidemiol.* 2015;181(1):64-80.
- 11 14. Ganz T, Olbina G, Girelli D, Nemeth E, Westerman M. Immunoassay for human serum
12 hepcidin. *Blood.* 2008;112(10):4292-4297.
- 13 15. Ward RJ, Crichton RR, Taylor DL, Corte L Della, Srai SK, Dexter DT. Iron and the
14 immune system. *J Neural Transm Vienna Austria* 1996. 2011;118(3):315-328.
- 15 16. Guida C, Altamura S, Klein FA, Galy B, Boutros M, Ulmer AJ, Hentze MW, Muckenthaler
16 MU. A novel inflammatory pathway mediating rapid hepcidin-independent hypoferremia.
17 *Blood.* 2015;125(14):2265-2275.
- 18 17. Hansson LE, Nyrén O, Hsing AW, Bergström R, Josefsson S, Chow WH, Fraumeni JF Jr,
19 Adami HO. The risk of stomach cancer in patients with gastric or duodenal ulcer disease. *N*
20 *Engl J Med.* 1996;335(4):242-249.