ABSTRACT

The Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit in Kosovo is spatially and temporarily related to the phreatomagmatic breccia of Oligocene age (~23Ma). The deposit shows features typical for skarn deposits worldwide, including a stage of isochemical metamorphism, a prograde stage of an anhydrous, low oxygen and low sulfur fugacity character, and a retrograde stage characterized by an increase in the water activity as well as by an increase in oxygen and sulfur fugacities. The mineralization is hosted by the recrystallized Upper Triassic limestone. The prograde mineralization consists mainly of Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg pyroxenes. The host recrystallized limestone at the contact with the prograde (skarn) mineralization has an increased content of Fe, Mn, Mo, As, Au, Cs, Ga, REE and Y suggesting their transport by infiltrating magmatic fluids. The decreased δ13C and δ18O values reflect the contribution of magmatic CO₂. The retrograde mineralization consists mainly of Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg pyroxenes. The host recrystallized limestone at the contact with the prograde (skarn) mineralization has an increased content of Fe, Mn, Mo, As, Au, Cs, Ga, REE and Y suggesting their transport by infiltrating magmatic fluids. The decreased δ13C and δ18O values reflect the contribution of magmatic CO₂. The retrograde mineral assemblage comprises ilvaite, magnetite, arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite, marcasite, pyrite, Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg carbonates and quartz. Hydrothermal ore minerals, mostly galena, sphalerite and pyrite, were deposited contemporaneously with the retrograde stage of the skarn development. Syn-ore and post-ore carbonates reflect the diminishing influence of magmatic CO₂. Syn-ore carbonates are enriched in Fe, Mg, Mn, many chalcophile elements, including Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn, as well as in Au, Y and REE. The post-ore stage accompanied the precipitation of a significant amount of Ca-rich carbonates including travertine deposits at the deposit surface.

The phreatomagmatic breccia was developed along a NW dipping contact between the ore bearing recrystallized limestone and the overlying schist. It has an inverted cone shape with vertical extension up to 800 m and a diameter of up to 150 m. The upper part of the diatreme (an underground segment of the phreatomagmatic breccia) is characterized by the presence of a hydrothermally altered rootless quartz-latite dyke surrounded by an unsorted polymict breccia mantile. Despite the alteration processes, the dyke has a preserved porphyritic texture. Partly preserved sanidine, accompanied with a mixture of muscovite and quartz, reflects a near-neutral to weakly acidic environment. The clasts of country rocks and skarn mineralization underwent intense milling and mixing due to repeated magmatic penetrations. Sericitization of the breccia matrix, locally accompanied with minor kaolinitization, point to an increased water activity under near-neutral to weakly acidic conditions. Large fragments originally composed of anhydrous skarn minerals (pyroxenes) are usually completely altered to a mixture of fibroradial magnetite, quartz and various amounts of carbonates suggesting an increase in oxygen fugacity. Their pyrite rims reflect that the increase in oxygen fugacity was followed by an increase in sulfur fugacity. The clast predominantly composed of Fe-sulfides and minor Bi-sulfides suggest that the increase in sulfur fugacity was locally sufficient to complete sulfidation of hedenbergite to pyrrhotite and/or pyrite.

Although the phreatomagmatic breccia at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit does not carry significant amounts of ore mineralization, its formation was crucial for ore deposition. Phreatomagmatic explosions and formation of the breccia turned the system from the lithostatic to hydrostatic regime and triggered the retrograde stage increasing the water activity and oxygen fugacity in the system. In addition, cooling and decompression of the system contributed to more effective degassing of magmatic sulfur and increased the sulfur fugacity.
1. INTRODUCTION

Breccia pipes have been recognized in different types of ore deposits, from porphyry to epithermal in their origin (e.g. the Acupan Au-Ag-Te deposit, Philippines (COOKE & BLOOM, 1990); the Roșia Montană Au-Ag epithermal deposit, Romania (WALLIER et al., 2006); the Cerro de Pasco epithermal polymetallic deposit, Peru (BAUMGARTNER et al., 2008); the El Teniente Cu-Mo porphyry deposit, Chile (CANNELL et al., 2005; VRY et al., 2010)). At some localities a genetic link between the breccia formation and ore mineralization is evident (e.g. the Wau Au deposit, Papua New Guinea (SILLITOE et al., 1984); the Kelian Au deposit, Indonesia (DAVIES et al., 2008); the Galore Creek Cu-Au deposit, British Columbia, Canada (BYRNE & TOSDAL, 2014)), while at others it is more obscure. Also, at some localities breccia pipes host significant amounts of ore (e.g. the Au-bearing breccia pipe at Kidston, Queensland, Australia (BAKER & ANDREW, 1991); the Cu-bearing Donoso breccia pipe, Chile (SKEWES et al., 2003); the base metal-bearing breccia pipe at the Cerro de Pasco deposit, Peru (BAUMGARTNER et al., 2008)) whereas elsewhere they are mostly barren (the Aguablanca Ni-Cu magmatic deposit, Spain (TORNOS et al., 2001); the Copper Creek mining district, Arizona, USA (ANDERSON et al., 2009); the Blackbird Co-Cu-Au-Bi-Y-REE district, Idaho, USA (TRUMBULL et al., 2011)).

The Trepča deposit in Kosovo (Fig. 1) is an example of the Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit spatially and temporarily related to the phreatomagmatic breccia (STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2007; FÉRAUD et al., 2007; STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2013). The deposit, with current reserves of 31 Mt of ore at 4.2 % of Pb, 3 % of Zn and 86 g/t of Ag, together with the past production of approximately 34 Mt of ore, represents an important source of metals in the SE part of Europe. A nearly circular breccia pipe occurs at the contact between the mineralized recrystallized limestone of Upper Triassic age and the barren schist (Fig. 2). Although the breccia does not host an economically significant amount of ore, we argue that the mechanism of its formation is a key factor for understanding ore forming processes in the Trepča deposit. The abandoned open pit and the active underground mine expose the breccia over a vertical interval of 800 m and allow access to various breccia lithofacies.

The major aim of this study is to understand the origin of the phreatomagmatic breccia and its role in the ore-deposition processes at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit. The study combines descriptive features, mineralogy, stable isotope and fluid inclusion data obtained from the breccia and wall rocks. The K/Ar data gained on whole rock and single grain separates revealed the age of the brecciation event.

Figure 1. Geological setting of the Pb-Zn mineral deposits, including the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit, within the Vardar zone, SE Europe (simplified after KARAMATA et al. (2000) and DIMITRIJEVIĆ (2001)). External Vardar Zone: JB - Jadar Block and KB - Kopaonik Block; CVZ - Central Vardar Zone; IVZ - Internal Vardar Zone; DOB – Dinaride Ophiolite Belt; DIT – Drina-Ivanjica Terrain; PZ - Pelagonian Zone; SMM - Serbo-Macedonian Massif.

Figure 2. a. Cross section through the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit; b. Local geological map of the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit (after SCHUMACHER, 1950).
2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit is situated in the western part of the NW-SE trending Vardar zone that extends throughout the western part of the Balkan Peninsula between the Dinarides, the Drina-Ivanjica Terrain and the Pelagonian Zone to the west and the Serbo-Macedonian Massif to the east (Fig. 1). The Vardar zone represents the main suture zone along the contact between the Adriatic and the Euroasian plate with elements of both continental and oceanic lithologies (DIMITRIJEVIĆ, 2001; KARAMATA et al., 2000; ZELIĆ et al., 2010; ROBERTSON et al., 2013).

During the Late Permian to Middle Triassic the incipient rifting process affected metamorphosed Precambrian-Palaeozoic terrains separating the Pelagonian Zone and the Serbo-Macedonian Massif. Rifting was followed by the development of a subsiding carbonate platform and formation of an oceanic crust during Late Triassic-Early Jurassic time (SHARP & ROBERTSON, 2006; DILEK et al., 2007; ROBERTSON et al., 2013). The Western (External) Vardar Zone represents a complex zone that comprises ophiolites and ophiolitic mélangé (KARAMATA et al., 1980). At several localities ophiolitic masses, composed of spinel lherzolite, harzburgite and dunite, have preserved evidence for metamorphic soles at their bases. The K/Ar age between 160 and 123 Ma (KARAMATA et al., 2000; MILOVANOVIĆ et al., 1995) and Ar/Ar age between 175 and 170 Ma (BOROJEVIĆ ŠOŠTARIĆ et al., 2014) obtained from the metamorphic soles suggest emplacement of ophiolites from the Middle Jurassic to the Early Cretaceous. The Jurassic-Cretaceous mélangé of the Western Vardar Zone comprises mostly large blocks and fragments of Middle to Upper Triassic and Upper Jurassic limestones, terrigenous sediments (sandstone, greywacke), basalts, and
cherts with Carnian to Norian and Upper Jurassic radiolarians emplaced within an argillaceous to silty matrix (SUDAR & KOVACS, 2006; VASKOVIĆ & MATOVIĆ, 2010). In contrast, the Eastern (Internal) Vardar Zone comprises the weakly metamorphosed mélange of Jurassic age with predominantly basaltic fragments (SUDAR & KOVACS, 2006, and references therein).

The postcollisional magmatism of Oligocene to Miocene age (CVETKOVIĆ et al., 2004) was accompanied by widespread hydrothermal activity in the Western Vardar Zone, producing numerous skarn, hydrothermal replacement and vein type Pb-Zn-Ag deposits (Fig. 1; JANKOVIĆ, 1995; VESELINOVIĆ-WILLIAMS, 2011; BOROJEVIĆ ŠOŠTARIĆ et al., 2013; STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2013). The Oligocene to Miocene magmatic rocks is represented mostly by trachytes, quartz-latites, andesites and pyroclastic deposits (CVETKOVIĆ et al., 2004; BOROJEVIĆ ŠOŠTARIĆ et al., 2012).

2.1. GEOLOGY OF THE DEPOSIT

The basement of the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit comprises a metamorphosed and folded Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic sedimentary complex composed predominantly of schist and recrystallized limestone. The dark coloured schist occasionally is intercalated with compact dense to coarse-grained quartzite layers (Fig. 3a). At the contact with the mineralization the schist is enriched in quartz and micas. The recrystallized limestone frequently exhibits developed karst phenomena (Fig. 3b). The size of calcite grains varies from several millimetres at places spatially distal to the mineralization up to several centimeters along the contact with the ore bodies and the breccia pipe. According to the data obtained from conodont remains the limestone has been assigned to the Upper
Triassic (SUDAR, 1986). The limestone-schist contact is marked by the presence of the breccia pipe (SCHUMACHER, 1950, 1954; FÉRAUD et al., 2007; STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2013).

The mineralization of the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit is exclusively hosted by the recrystallized limestone (Fig. 2). The principal skarn minerals in the Trepča deposit are Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg silicates including pyroxenes, ilvaite and minor garnets. Accessory minerals are Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg carbonates and quartz. Paragenetic studies based on macro- and microtextures show that the skarn assemblage from the Trepča deposit was formed in several stages, similar to other skarns (MEINERT, 1992; MALO et al., 2000; MEINERT et al., 2005; CANET et al, 2011). The prograde stage has an anhydrous character with Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg pyroxenes as the major minerals (Fig. 3c). Ca-Fe garnets (andradite) occur rarely, exclusively in the uppermost levels of the deposit. The retrograde stage has a predominantly hydrous character with ilvaite, magnetite, carbonate and quartz as important products (Fig. 3d). The hydrothermal ore minerals commonly overprint the pyroxene-rich calcic skarn, although skarn mineralization free of the ore assemblage as well as the ore mineralization without skarn precursor has been found (Fig. 3e). Black coloured sphalerite, galena and pyrite are the most abundant sulfide minerals (Fig. 3f). The deposit contains volumetrically minor, but mineralogically diverse Bi-bearing minerals, including native Bi, bismuthinite (Bi$_2$S$_3$), cosalite (Pb,Bi,S$_3$), cannizzarite (Pb,Bi,S$_5$), lillianite (Pb,Bi,S$_5$), ikunolite (Bi$_6$(S,Se)$_3$), babkinite (Pb,Bi$_2$(S,Se)$_3$), joseite (Bi$_4$(S,Te)$_3$), heyrovskyite (Pb$_3$Ag$_5$Bi$_5$S$_9$) and dizoklakeite (Pb$_3$,(Cu,Fe)$_5$(Sb,Bi)$_3$S$_9$) (TERZIĆ et al., 1974; KOŁODZIEJCZYK et al., 2015). Trachytes and andesites are represented mostly by trachytes, quartz-latites and andesites. Trachytes are composed of a light gray matrix and sanidine phenocrysts (Fig. 3i). Quartz-latites are exposed at the Zvečan hill and occur as the core of the breccia pipe within the deposit. Beside sanidine they comprise significant amounts of quartz grains (Fig. 3j). The Ar/Ar age of the Zvečan hill quartz-latite spans between 24.8±0.2 Ma for K-feldspar and 25.8±0.3 Ma for amphibole (BOROJEVIĆ ŠOŠTARIĆ et al., 2012). Andesites are mainly light to medium gray in colour and porphyritic in texture. They are composed of plagioclase, hornblende, biotite, augite and bronzite (Fig. 3k).

2.2 THE BRECCIA GEOMETRY, COMPOSITION AND INTERNAL ORGANIZATION

The breccia pipe exposed at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn has an inverted cone shape characteristic for phreatomagmatic breccias elsewhere (e.g. SILLITOE, 1985; TAMAS & MILESI, 2002; LANDTWING et al., 2002; DAVIES et al., 2008). The diatreme (an underground segment of the phreatomagmatic breccia; LORENZ, 1973) extends vertically up to 800 m below the surface and has a diameter of approximately 150 m (Fig. 2). The partly preserved maar structure (a surface expression of phreatomagmatic breccia; LORENZ, 1973) comprises tuffaceous (Fig. 2; SCHUMACHER, 1950) and pyroclastic deposits with common remnants of plant leaves and roots (Fig. 4a). The breccia pipe was emplaced along a NW dipping contact between the ore bearing recrystallized limestone and the overlying schist (Fig. 2). Contacts between the breccia and the recrystallized limestone are mostly sharp but locally cut by lateral dyke-like branches (Fig. 4b) infilled with rock flour (“milled matrix fluidized breccia”) or with angular fragments (“jigsaw-puzzle breccia”). In contrast, the contacts with the overlying schist are unclear, with a gradual transition from the breccia pipe into the brecciated schist (Fig. 4c). The upper part of the diatreme hosts a hydrothermally altered quartz-latite dyke with the preserved porphyritic texture (Fig. 4d). The white fine-grained matrix comprises muscovite, quartz, and K-feldspars (Fig. 5). The sanidine phenocrysts are partly altered to a yellowish to greenish fine-grained mixture of muscovite and quartz (Fig. 5). The quartz phenocrysts are well preserved. Fragments of country rocks, especially schist, are embedded within the matrix too. The dyke occupies the pipe core and is surrounded by the unsorted polymict breccia mantle (Figs. 2, 4e).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Depth (m a.m.s.l.*)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KM-10</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>pyroclastic deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>pyroclastic deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>travertine deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-6</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>quartz-latite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-108</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>hydrothermally altered quartz-latite core of the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM7-1</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>fragment composed of a magnetite core and a pyrite rim, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM8</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>fragment composed of a magnetite core and a pyrite rim, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM9</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>fragment composed of fractured pyrite, pyrrhotite and minor Bi-sulfides, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-V-1</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VII-7</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-2</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-4</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8-2</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>clast composed of siderite, quartz and dickite, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone fragment, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a.m.s.l. – above mean sea level

Table 1. Analyzed samples from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Depth (m a.m.s.l.*)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone fragment partly replaced by magnetite and arsenopyrite, the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>the recrystallized limestone in contact with the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>the recrystallized limestone in contact with the main breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone at the contact with lateral breccia branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>lateral breccia branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6b</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6c</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6d</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6f</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-3a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone at the contact with lateral breccia branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>lateral breccia branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>skarn paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-2</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-3</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-4</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-5</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-6</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>hydrothermal paragenesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a.m.s.l. – above mean sea level
Clasts are angular to well-rounded ranging in size from less than a millimetre to several metres with no systematic distribution in fragment size and roundness. They occupy up to 90 vol. % of the breccia. Fragments of the country rocks, limestones and schists, together with Ca-Fe-Mn±Mg silicate-, magnetite- and sulfide-bearing fragments represent the principal types of clasts. The upper portion of the diatreme is characterized by the extreme mixing of the rock fragments of various origin but besides the country rock fragments, the fragments composed of the magnetite core and pyrite-enriched rim are the most frequent. Magnetite occurs in the form of fibroradial to spherulitic aggregates (Fig. 4f) with minor masses of pyrite, Bi-sulfides, Bi-tellurides, carbonates and quartz emplaced between magnetite grains (Figs. 4g, h). The rim comprises fresh pyrite accompanied by carbonates and quartz (Figs. 4f, g). Fragments of pyroclastic rocks and fragments with lacustrine plant remains have been found at various depths suggesting collapse events (McCALLUM, 1985; BAKER et al., 1986).

In the deeper part of the breccia pipe, magnetite and overprinting fibroradial arsenopyrite are found to be embedded within recrystallized limestone fragments (Fig. 4i). The clasts composed of fractured pyrite, pyrrhotite and minor Bi-sulfides are common at various depths of the breccia pipe. The space between sulfide grains is filled with a fine-grained mixture of carbonates and quartz (Fig. 4j). Quartz-latite (juvenile) fragments occur as well. The breccia matrix comprises a fine-grained rock flour that has been affected by various types of hydrothermal alterations, including sericitization, kaolinitization, pyritization and carbonatization.

3. SAMPLES AND METHODS

A total of forty-nine hand-picked rock samples were collected from existing underground works and from the surface of the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit. We sampled various types of breccia fragments, wall rocks as well as mineral parageneses (Table 1).

Paragenetic relationships were studied in thin sections by transmitted polarized light microscopy. Ore minerals were examined in polished thick sections by reflected light microscopy. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted at the University of Zagreb on a Philips PW 3040/60 X’Pert PRO powder diffractometer (45 kV, 40 μA), with CuKα-monochromatized radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å) and θ-θ geometry. The area between 4 and 63° 2θ, with 0.02° steps, was measured with a 0.5° primary beam divergence. Compound identifications were based on a computer program X’Pert high score 1.0B and literature data. The textural features and semi-quantitative analyses of breccia fragments were examined by a Tescan Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) equipped with an INCA 250 analyzing system and Oxford detectors at the University of Zagreb. The analyses were performed on carbon-coated polished thin sections using the following operating conditions: 3-40 mm beam, accelerating voltage 20 kV, current 10 nA and counting time of 200 seconds. Bulk chemical compositions of 14 selected samples were prepared in an agate ball mill and analyzed at Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver, Canada) after lithium metaborate or tetraborate fusion using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) for major elements and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for trace elements.

Microthermometric measurements of fluid inclusions within in carbonates associated with the ore mineralization were performed at the University of Zagreb. Double polished, ~0.5-mm-thick, transparent mineral wafers were used. Measurements were carried out on a Linkam THMS 600 stage mounted on an Olympus BX 51 microscope using 10× and 50× Olympus long-working distance objectives for visible light. Two synthetic fluid inclusion standards (SYN FLINC; pure H₂O and mixed H₂O-CO₂) were used to calibrate the equipment. The precision of the system was ±2.0°C for homogenization temperatures, and ±0.2°C in the temperature range between −60° and +10°C. Microthermometric measurements were made on carefully defined fluid inclusion assemblages, representing groups of inclusions that were trapped simultaneously. The fluid inclusion assemblages were identified based on petrography prior to heating and freezing. If all of the fluid inclusions within the assemblage showed similar homogenization temperatures, the inclusions were assumed to have trapped the same fluid and to have not been modified by leakage or necking; these fluid inclusions thus record the original trapping conditions (GOLDSTEIN & REYNOLDS, 1994; GOLDSTEIN, 2001; BODNAR, 2003).

Carbon and oxygen isotope analyses of carbonates from the wall rocks and breccia fragments as well as analyses of carbonates associated with the ore mineralization were performed at the University of Rijeka. Carbonate powder was extracted from hand-picked samples using a dentist’s drill. A mass of 250 μg of powder has been loaded in sealed reaction vessels, then flushed with helium gas and reacted at 72°C with phosphoric acid. The evolved carbon dioxide was sampled using a Thermo Finnigan Gas-Bench and isotope ratios were measured in continuous flow mode using a Thermo Finnigan Delta plus XPmass spectrometer. The data was extracted into an EXCEL file by using the ISODAT NT EXCEL export utility and further calculation steps were carried out using a predefined EXCEL worksheet. Linearity corrections were applied to the data.

![Figure 6. The post-Archean Australian shale (PAAS) normalized plots of the recrystallized limestone at the contact with the main breccia pipe and the recrystallized limestone clast from the main breccia pipe (75 m above mean sea level). The data for the schist and barren limestone are adopted from Strmič Palinkaš et al. (2013).](image-url)
Table 2. Chemical composition of carbonates from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Cr₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barren recrystallized limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>55.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM10*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>56.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>56.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4*</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>55.91</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3A</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>54.37</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone clast from the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>55.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the mineralization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5*</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>35.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-1*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>53.66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>53.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-2*</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>54.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Hg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barren recrystallized limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0*</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM10*</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4*</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone clast from the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the mineralization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1*</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-1*</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>399.8</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>727.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2*</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>600.5</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-2*</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>&lt;d.L.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<d.L. - below detection limit
* - major element and REE content adopted from STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al. (2013)
Table 2. Chemical composition of carbonates from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ba</th>
<th>Cs</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>La</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren recrystallized limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0*</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM10*</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6*</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3A</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone clast from the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the mineralization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e*</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5*</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-1*</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2*</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2*</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a*</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-2*</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren recrystallized limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM10*</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6*</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4*</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3A</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone clast from the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the mineralization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e*</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1*</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-1*</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2*</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a*</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-2*</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<d.l. - below detection limit
* - major element and REE content adopted from STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al. (2013)
Table 2. Chemical composition of carbonates from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yb</th>
<th>Lu</th>
<th>Sum REE</th>
<th>Au</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren recrystallized limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM10*</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4*</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3A</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone clast from the breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with the mineralization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8e*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with skarn mineral assemblage</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>180.63</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recrystallized limestone at contact with hydrothermal mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syn-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synore carbonates, Skarn mineral assemblage</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>81.43</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-1*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synore carbonates, Hydrothermal mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ore carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postore carbonates, Skarn mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postore carbonates, Hydrothermal mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postore carbonates, Hydrothermal mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>&lt;d.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5 (4)-2*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postore carbonates, Hydrothermal mineral assemblage</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*d.l. - below detection limit
* - major element and REE content adopted from STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., (2013)

plied based on the relationships between the intensity of the first sample peak (m/z = 44) and δ18O values of the standards. Due to calibration based directly on the Carrara marble standard, which were part of each run, correction for calcite runs was unnecessary. The stable carbon and oxygen isotope ratios are reported in the delta (δ) notation as per mil (‰) deviation relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) for oxygen and Vienna Pee Dee Belemmite (V-PDB) for carbon. The analytical precision was better than ±0.05‰ for δ13C and ±0.1‰ for δ18O.

K/Ar dating was undertaken at the Institute of Nuclear Research of the Hungarian Academy of Science (ATOMKI), Debrecen. The whole rock samples were crushed to 0.063-0.315 mm size. Sanidine phenocrysts were hand-picked. One aliquot of samples (100 mg) was pulverized for potassium determination. Powders were digested in HF with the addition of some sulfuric and perchloric acids. The digested samples were dissolved in 100 ml 0.25 mol/l HCl and diluted fivefold. Na and Li (100 ppm) were added as buffer and internal standard. The potassium concentration was measured with a digitalized flame photometer. Another aliquot of samples (300 mg) was used for Ar analyses. The samples were degassed by high-frequency induction heating, and the conventional getter materials were used for cleaning Ar. The 39Ar spike was intro-
duced to the system from a gas pipette before degassing. The cleaned Ar was directly introduced into the mass spectrometer, operated in the static mode. Recording and evolution of Ar spectra was controlled by a microcomputer. Details of the instruments, the applied methods and results of calibration have been described by BALOGH (1985).

4 RESULTS

4.1. GEOCHEMISTRY OF CARBONATES

The major element and REE contents of carbonates from the Trepča deposit are given in STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al. (2013). In this publication we refer to those data and present new unpublished trace element data obtained on the same set of samples (Table 2). In addition, the recrystallized limestone from the contact with the breccia pipe and a fragment of recrystallized limestone hosted by the breccia has been analyzed (Table 2).

The barren recrystallized Upper Triassic limestone (samples STS-0, KM10 and T6f) is characterized by a high CaO content (~56 wt. %) and a low content of aluminosilicate impurities (SiO2 < 0.22 wt. %, Al2O3 < 0.07 wt. %). Large-ion-lithophile elements display low concentrations with an expected exception of Sr (210-332 ppm). The REE concentrations are mostly below their detection limits, precluding estimation of complete REE patterns for this group of carbonates.

The recrystallized limestone in contact with the breccia pipe (T3a) shows an increase in MnO (0.9 wt. %), Fe2O3 (0.5 wt. %), SiO2 (0.35 wt. %), As (31.1 ppm), Pb (12.2 ppm), Y (4.1 ppm), Sb (0.5 ppm), W (0.2 ppm) and REE content (SREE = 11.8 ppm). It exhibits the roof-shaped PAAS-normalized REE concentration pattern with a very negative Ce anomaly (Fig. 6). The chemical composition of the recrystallized limestone in contact with the lateral branches of the main breccia pipe (T7-4) shows overlapping with the values obtained for the barren recrystallized limestone. However, some trace elements, including Pb (30.6 ppm), Ni (2.4 ppm), As (1.5 ppm), Cd (0.3 ppm), and Sb (0.6 ppm) are more abundant than in the barren limestone. Whereas the majority of REE have concentrations below their detection limits, the REE pattern cannot be plotted. The fragments of recrystallized limestone hosted by the breccia (T2) are slightly enriched in MnO (0.3 wt. %), Fe2O3 (0.3 wt. %), Pb (25.9 ppm), As (40.9 ppm), Sb (0.4 ppm), Ag (0.2 ppm), Au (1 ppb), Tl (0.1 ppm), and W content (0.3 ppm) comparing to the barren recrystallized Upper Triassic limestone. The complete REE pattern cannot be estimated due to concentrations of Sm, Dy, Tm and Lu below their detection limits, the ob-
The δ18O and δ13C data obtained on 18 carbonates from 34 hand specimens are listed in Table 3 and shown in Figure 7. The barren limestones have average δ13C and δ18O values of 2.9 ± 1.1 ‰ V-PDB and 21.9 ± 2.5 ‰ V-SMOW, respectively. The limestones in contact with the breccia together with the limestone fragments from the breccia are shifted toward significantly lower δ18O values. Recrystallized limestones in contact with the skarn (δ13C = -4.0 ± 0.1 ‰, δ18O = 14.0 ± 1.5 ‰) and hydrothermal mineralization (δ13C = 0.8 ± 2.8 ‰, δ18O = 18.5 ± 4.2 ‰) differ in both their carbon and oxygen, isotopic composition. Syn-ore and post-ore carbonates exhibit depletion in 13C and 18O compared to the barren recrystallized limestone. Carbonates separated from the pyroclastic rocks of the caldera display variable δ13C and δ18O composition with values between -10 and -1 ‰ and 15.5 to -21 ‰, respectively. Travertine deposits have uniform isotopic composition with average δ13C and δ18O values of -1.2 ± 0.2 ‰ and 20.0 ± 0.3 ‰, respectively.

4.3. FLUID INCLUSION DATA
Fluid inclusions have been studied in transparent minerals, calcite and quartz, hosted by different types of breccia fragments and in the recrystallized limestone at its contacts with the breccia (Fig. 8). The data are summarized in Figure 9. Calcite grains selected from the recrystallized limestone at the contact with the main breccia pipe (T3a, 75 m above mean sea level) host fluid inclusions of pseudosecondary and secondary origin. According to their petrographic features, three types of fluid inclusion assemblages have been distinguished: Type 1) Assemblages composed of two phase, L-rich inclusions (Fig. 8a); Type 2) Assemblages that contain coexisting L-rich and V-rich inclusions (Fig. 8b); and Type 3) Assemblages of two phase, V-rich inclusions (Fig. 8c). Liquid-rich
fluid inclusion assemblages (Type 1) can be subdivided into two subtypes according to their microthermometric data. Subtype 1a comprises L-rich inclusions with the degree of fill around 0.7 and homogenization temperatures ($T_h$) between 343 and 370°C (Fig. 9). They have a eutectic temperature ($T_e$) near -50°C suggesting CaCl$_2$, NaCl and KCl as the principal salts dissolved in the aqueous solution (BORISENKO, 1977; SAMSON & WALKER, 2000). The salinity in the range between 19.8 to 20.6 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9) is estimated from the final ice melting temperature ($T_m$) recorded in the interval between -16.5 and -17.5°C (BODNAR, 1993). Subtype 1b comprises L-rich inclusions with the degree of fill around 0.8 and $T_h$ recorded between 275 and 290°C (Fig. 9). Eutectic temperatures were recorded around -50°C as well, but salinities in the range between 2.6 and 4.2 wt.% NaCl equ. ($T_m$ ice = -1.5 to -2.5°C) are significantly lower than the values obtained for the former subtype of L-rich inclusions (Fig. 9). Fluid inclusion assemblages comprising coexisting L-rich and V-rich inclusions (Type 2) suggest an entrapment of boiling fluids (BODNAR et al., 1985). Liquid-rich inclusions have a variable degree of fill, varying between 0.6 up to 0.8. The $T_e$ near -50°C was recorded in several fluid inclusions revealing CaCl$_2$, NaCl and KCl as the principal dissolved salts. Whereas this type of fluid inclusion has a salinity greater than 23 wt. % NaCl equ., the ice dissolution precedes the hydrohalite dissolution. In some inclusions hydrohalite melts as the final solid phase. The final melting temperature in the interval between -3.2 and 0°C suggests salinities between 25.8 and 26.2 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9; STERNER et al., 1988). In other inclusions of this type, hydrohalite was transformed into halite at temperatures around 0°C. Halite dissolves at temperatures up to 7°C pointing to the maximum salinity of 26.3 wt.% NaCl equ. (STERNER et al., 1988). Total homogenization occurs by vapour phase disappearance in the temperature range between 285 and 305°C (Fig. 9). Phase transitions in V-rich inclusions have not been recorded. Fluid inclusion assemblages that contain only V-rich inclusions (Type 3) have been recorded as well but the ambiguous phase transitions preclude their interpretation.

The recrystallized limestone at the contact with lateral branches filled with the milled matrix fluidized breccia (T4, T7-4) hosts visible fluid inclusions only in calcite grains very close to the breccia-limestone contact. Already at 5 cm from the contact inclusions become too small for reliable measurements (<5 μm). At a distance of 10 cm from the contact fluid inclusions have not been detected. Measurable fluid inclusions are L-rich, with the degree of fill around 0.7 to 0.8 (Fig. 8d). A distance of 10 cm from the contact fluid inclusions have not been detected. Measurable fluid inclusions are L-rich, with the degree of fill around 0.7 to 0.8 (Fig. 8d). Homogenization into the liquid phase was recorded in the temperature interval from 360 to 375°C (Fig. 9). Ice melting occurred between -7.4 and -9°C corresponding to the salinity of 11.0 to 12.9 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9).

The clasts that comprise a magnetite core and a pyrite rim (KM7-1, KM8) were sampled from the surface (835 m above...
mean sea level). Over 80% of the primary and pseudosecondary fluid inclusions within calcite crystals belong to the two-phase, L-rich, type. They vary in size up to 25 mm (Fig. 8e). Primary fluid inclusion assemblages homogenized into the liquid phase in the interval between 315 and 335°C (Fig. 9). The first melting (near-eutectic) temperature around -52 °C suggests that CaCl₂, NaCl and KCl are the principal dissolved salts (BORISENKO, 1977; SAMSON & WALKER 2000).

The T_m hydr value recorded in the range between -27.7 and -29.1°C coincides with the NaCl/(NaCl+CaCl₂) mass ratio between 34 and 41 %. The T_m ice in the range between -2.7 and -4.1°C corresponds to an apparent salinity of 4.5 to 6.6 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9). Locally, the boiling effect is perceived. It is characterized by fluid inclusion assemblages comprising coexisting liquid- and vapour-rich fluid inclusions (Fig. 8f) with overlapping values of homogenization temperatures between 310 and 325°C (Fig. 9). Liquid-rich inclusions have relatively high salinities (T_m ice = -19.1 to -21.0°C; salinity = 21.7 to 23.1 wt.% NaCl equ.; Fig. 9). Pseudosecondary fluid inclusion assemblages are overprinted by secondary fluids. Both fluid inclusion generations are characterized by T_e around -50°C (CaCl₂-NaCl-KCl-H₂O system). Pseudosecondary fluid inclusions show T_m hydr in the range between -27.0 and -28.5°C indicating the NaCl/(NaCl+CaCl₂) mass ratio between 37 and 45 %. The majority of fluid inclusions have moderate salinities in the range between 12.9 and 14.0 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9; T_m ice = -9.0 to -10.0°C) and homogenize into liquid phase in the temperature interval from 330 to 340°C (Fig. 9). Several inclusions with higher salinity (T_m ice = -20.5 to -21.0°C; salinity = 22.8 to 23.1 wt.% NaCl equ.) have also been recorded (Fig. 9). Due to decrepitation before homogenization, usually between 320 and 340°C, only a few total homogenizations into the liquid phase were obtained in the range from 360 to 380°C (Fig. 9). Secondary inclusions have T_m hydr in the interval from -22.1 to -26.0°C (the NaCl/(NaCl+CaCl₂) mass ratio = 52-89 %), T_m ice between -2.0 and -4.5°C (salinity = 3.4-7.1 wt.% NaCl equ.) and T_H from 295 to 310°C (Fig. 9).

Quartz separated from the carbonate fragments within the main breccia (T1) was suitable for microthermometric investigations. Quartz is represented by euhedral crystals accompanied by dickite aggregates. Primary L-rich fluid inclusions homogenize to a liquid phase at temperature between 285 and 320°C (Fig. 9). Their salinities range from 6.0 to 8.5 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9). Secondary inclusions have not been observed probably due to their small size.

In the deeper part of the breccia, at horizon X (75 m above mean sea level), several different types of fragments were studied. Microthermometry on the majority of barren recrystallized limestone fragments (T2) was hampered, due to the presence of only monophase fluid inclusions. In contrast, recrystallized limestone fragments accompanied with fibrobral arsenopyrite and magnetite (T2a) have been eligible for microthermometric studies. Pseudosecondary fluid inclusion assemblages are overprinted by secondary fluids. Both fluid inclusion generations are characterized by T_e around -50°C (CaCl₂-NaCl-KCl-H₂O system). Pseudosecondary fluid inclusions show T_m hydr in the range between -27.0 and -28.5°C indicating the NaCl/(NaCl+CaCl₂) mass ratio between 37 and 45 %. The majority of fluid inclusions have moderate salinities in the range between 12.9 and 14.0 wt.% NaCl equ. (Fig. 9; T_m ice = -9.0 to -10.0°C) and homogenize into liquid phase in the temperature interval from 330 to 340°C (Fig. 9). Several inclusions with higher salinity (T_m ice = -20.5 to -21.0°C; salinity = 22.8 to 23.1 wt.% NaCl equ.) have also been recorded (Fig. 9). Due to decrepitation before homogenization, usually between 320 and 340°C, only a few total homogenizations into the liquid phase were obtained in the range from 360 to 380°C (Fig. 9). Secondary inclusions have T_m hydr in the interval from -22.1 to -26.0°C (the NaCl/NaCl+CaCl₂ mass ratio = 52-89 %), T_m ice between -2.0 and -4.5°C (salinity = 3.4-7.1 wt.% NaCl equ.) and T_H from 295 to 310°C (Fig. 9).
Table 3. Carbon and oxygen isotope composition of carbonates from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Type of mineralization</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>( \delta^{13}C ) (‰, V-PDB)</th>
<th>( \delta^{18}O ) (‰, V-SMOW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barren limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6f</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
<td>white recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM-10</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
<td>greyish calcite crystals</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>host limestone, barren, recrystallized</td>
<td>greyish recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate from pyroclastic rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-1</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>caldera</td>
<td>matrix, grey calcite</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-2</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>caldera</td>
<td>white calcite grain</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-3</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>caldera</td>
<td>matrix</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-1</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>caldera</td>
<td>matrix</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>caldera</td>
<td>white calcite grain</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travertine deposit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-1</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>travertine deposit</td>
<td>grayish to dark purple travertine</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-2</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>travertine deposit</td>
<td>grayish to dark purple travertine</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-3</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>travertine deposit</td>
<td>grayish to dark purple travertine</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-4</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>travertine deposit</td>
<td>grayish to dark purple travertine</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate from breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone fragment</td>
<td>grey massive carbonate</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone fragment</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone fragment with magnetite and arsenopyrite</td>
<td>white calcite grain (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate at contact with breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>late phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (elongated, scalenohedral)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone, barren</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized limestone, barren</td>
<td>greyish calcite crystals</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal parageneses, pre-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VII-7</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>grey calcite crystals</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-2-1</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>grey calcite crystals</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-2-2</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>yellowish calcite crystals</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-5-1</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>yellowish calcite crystals</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-6-1</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>white calcite grain (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-3-1</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>grey calcite crystals</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-A-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>recrystallized carbonate</td>
<td>grey calcite grain</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skarn parageneses, pre-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6e</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>crystallized carbonate</td>
<td>white calcite crystals</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-6-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>massive carbonate</td>
<td>yellow-pinkish massive carbonate</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal parageneses, syn-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-2-1</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>carbonate impregnated with galena</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-4-1</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>carbonate impregnated with galena</td>
<td>yellow massive carbonate</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-XI-4-2</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>carbonate co-existing with quartz</td>
<td>white calcite grain</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>massive carbonate with mineralization</td>
<td>yellow-pinkish massive carbonate (light)</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>massive carbonate</td>
<td>grey massive carbonate</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>calcite cogenetic with sph mineralization</td>
<td>white calcite veins</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148A-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>calcite cogenetic with py mineralization</td>
<td>white calcite veins</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8-2-2</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>calcite cogenetic with sph mineralization</td>
<td>white calcite veins</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>carbonate impregnated with sph and py</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Type of mineralization</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>δ(^{13})C (‰, V-PDB)</th>
<th>δ(^{18})O (‰, V-SMOW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skarn parageneses, syn-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6-W</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>white carbonate associated with quartz alteration of hedenbergite</td>
<td>white carbonate, fine-grained</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6-Y</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>yellow carbonate associated with quartz alteration of hedenbergite</td>
<td>yellow carbonate, fine-grained</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6-G</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>grey carbonate associated with quartz alteration of hedenbergite</td>
<td>grey carbonate, fine-grained</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1st carbonate after sph mineralization</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2nd carbonate after sph mineralization</td>
<td>yellow massive carbonate</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6a-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3rd carbonate after sph mineralization</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6b-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1st carbonate after sph mineralization</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6b-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2nd carbonate after sph mineralization</td>
<td>yellow massive carbonate</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-1-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>carbonate in contact with quartz</td>
<td>yellow massive carbonate</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-3-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>carbonate in contact with quartz</td>
<td>white massive carbonate</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-4-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>carbonate cogenetic with py mineralization</td>
<td>yellow massive carbonate</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal parageneses, post-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-V-1</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>grey calcite crystals (elongated, scalenohedral)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-2-2</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (elongated, scalenohedral)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-VIII-4-2</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (elongated, scalenohedral)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>massive carbonate, late phase</td>
<td>yellow-pinkish massive carbonate (dark)</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, vertical)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, vertical)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-2-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, vertical)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-3a-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-5-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8a-1</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8-2-1</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite (5mm to sph min)</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite (10mm to sph min)</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-A-4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-5-A-5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>phase before the last</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skarn parageneses, post-ore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-1-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, vertical)</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-4-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, isometric)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-4-3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, isometric)</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-6-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-6-(4)-1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>last phase calcite</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral, vertical)</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9-6-(4)-2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>phase before the last</td>
<td>white calcite crystals (rhombohedral)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two types of fluid inclusions were recognized in calcite grains hosted by pyroclastic material of the caldera (P1, P2): 1) Vapour-rich inclusions represent the majority of the inclusion population (Fig. 8g). This type of FIs are resistant to developing phases during extreme cooling to the temperature of liquid nitrogen and heating up to 400°C, and 2) Two-phase aqueous fluid inclusions consist of vapour and liquid water at room temperature (Fig. 8h). Two-phase inclusions can be subdivided into liquid-rich and vapour-rich subtypes according to their vapour to liquid ratio. Coexistence of inclusions
with miscellaneous degrees of filling suggests inhomogeneous trapping from boiling fluid (Fig. 7). The near-eutectic temperature observed within liquid-rich inclusions around -50°C reflects the CaCl_2-NaCl+KCl-H\_2O compositional model. T_{m in e} in the range between -4.2 and -4.6°C corresponds to a salinity of between 6.7 and 7.3 wt.% NaCl equ.

(Fig. 9). Homogenizations recorded in the same temperature interval for liquid-rich and vapour-rich inclusions (320-340°C; Fig. 9) suggest formation under boiling conditions.

4.4. K/Ar DATA

K-Ar ages obtained on samples from the phreatomagmatic breccia at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit are reported in Table 4. Sanidine and whole rock analysis of hydrothermally altered quartz-latite gave two almost concordant ages of 24.0 ± 0.7 and 25.1 ± 0.9 Ma, respectively. Dating of the milled breccia reveals ages of 20.9 ± 1.7 and 23.2 ± 0.7 Ma. The younger age is unreliable due to a low amount of radiogenic Ar and a large uncertainty.

5. DISCUSSION

This paper focuses on the breccia pipe and its role in ore-forming processes at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit. The P-T-X conditions during breccia emplacement were estimated from descriptive features, mineralogy, stable isotope and fluid inclusion data obtained from the breccia fragments and wall rocks.

The Trepča deposit was formed during three distinctive stages that are common for all types of skarn deposits elsewhere: (1) the stage of isochemical contact metamorphism during igneous rock emplacement, (2) the prograde stage characterized by metasomatic replacement of carbonate rocks with anhydrous silicate minerals, and (3) the retrograde hydrothermal stage that resulted in the alteration of earlier-formed mineral assemblages. Deposition of ore minerals accompanies stages 2 and 3 (e.g. MEINERT et al., 2005).

5.1. THE STAGE OF ISOCHEMICAL CONTACT METAMORPHISM

The isochemical contact metamorphism stage resulted in recrystallization of the country rocks (Upper Triassic limestone and schist, Fig. 2). The size of calcite grains in the recrystallized limestone varies from several millimetres, at places spatially distal to the mineralization and the breccia pipe, up to several centimetres near the contact with the ore bodies and the breccia. The absence of fluid inclusions from the barren recrystallization limestone suggests recrystallization without involvement of either H\_2O or CO\_2. The barren recrystallized limestone is characterized by a high CaO content and REE patterns with the negative Ce\_r anomaly that are typical for marine carbonates (ELDERFIELD & GREAVES, 1982; HU et al., 1988). Furthermore, the average δ\(^{13}\)C value of the barren recrystallized limestone corresponds well to the worldwide Phanerozoic marine carbonate values (VEIZER & HOEFS, 1976) and δ\(^{18}\)O values are consistent with the Triassic marine carbonate values (CLAYPOOL et al., 1980). Obviously, the chemical and isotope composition of the limestone were not significantly disturbed by the recrystallization processes.

5.2. THE PROGRADE ANHYDROUS STAGE

The host recrystallized limestone at the contact with the prograde (skarn) mineralization is depleted in \(^{13}\)C and \(^{18}\)O, reflecting the contribution of magmatic CO\_2 (ZHENG & HOEFS, 1993; ROSATELLI et al., 2010). The increased content of Fe, Mn, Mo, As, Au, Cs, Ga, REE and Y suggests that the listed elements were transported by infiltrating magmatic fluids.

5.3. THE MAIN BRECCIA - LIMESTONE CONTACT

Fluid inclusions are abundant in calcite crystals of the recrystallized limestone near contacts with the main breccia pipe and its lateral branches suggesting that aqueous fluids, channeled by the breccia, were involved in the recrystallization processes. Multiple generations of fluid inclusions that have been found at the contact with the main breccia pipe give an insight into the P-T-X characteristics of fluids involved in breccia formation processes. The high-temperature (T\(_H\) = 343-370°C) and high salinity (19.8-20.6 wt.% NaCl equ.) L-rich fluid inclusion assemblages (Subtype 1a, Fig. 8a) represent the earliest among all recorded fluid inclusion generations. To keep fluids in the liquid phase at temperatures above 343°C the pressure should exceed 18 MPa (Fig. 10). The high pressure possibly reflects lithostatic conditions that preceded breccia formation. The travertine deposits found at the Trepča deposit surface (approximately 850 m above mean sea level) mark the preserved palaeosurface and allow estimation of the palaeodepth. The analyzed recrystallized limestone-breccia contact (sample T3a, 75 m above mean sea level) occurs around 775 m below the palaeosurface implying the lithostatic pressure of 21 MPa. The pressure correction applied to the representative isochores (Fig. 10) suggests the formation temperature between 350 and 370°C. The fluid inclusion assemblages that comprise coexisting L-rich and V-rich inclusions (Type 2, Fig. 8b) reflect boiling conditions probably related to decompression of the system from a lithostatic to hydrostatic regime due to the brecciation (STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2014). Assuming the fluid salinity around 20 wt. % NaCl, the homogenization temperature between 285 and 305°C corresponds to a depth between 600 and 800 m below the former water table (Fig. 11). Boiling resulted in the separation of high salinity L-rich and low salinity V-rich inclusions. The fluid temperature drop from 350-370°C to 285-305°C suggests an adiabatic process and allows the calculation of the steam loss (e.g., SIMPSON et al., 2015):

\[
H_{L,T}(subtype \ 1a) = (1-y)\times H_{L,Th(L-rich, \ Type 2)} + y\times H_{V,Th(L-rich, \ Type 2)}
\]

In this equation, H_{L,T}(subtype 1a) represents an average specific enthalpy of the fluid before boiling at the temperature estimated from the pressure corrected representative isochores for Subtype 1a fluid inclusions (Fig. 10). H_{L,Th(L-rich, Type 2)} and H_{V,Th(L-rich, Type 2)} are average specific enthalpies of liquid and vapor phases separated during boiling at temperature equal to the homogenization temperature of L-rich inclusions coexisting with V-rich inclusions, and y is the steam (vapour) fraction. The specific enthalpies for liquid and vapour phases (assuming pure H\_2O) were adopted from KEENAN et al. (1969). The calculated steam loss ranges around 30%.

The fluid inclusion assemblages composed
only of V-rich inclusions (Type 3) reveal that fluids periodically were completely converted to vapour, probably due to episodic fluxes of hot magmatic fluids. Assuming the palaeodepth of around 775 m, the pressure correction applied to the representative isochores for the moderate-temperature (T \text{in} = 275-290°C) and low salinity (2.6-4.2 wt.% NaCl equ.) L-rich fluid inclusion assemblages (Subtype 1b, Fig. X1) reveals their entrapment temperature between 275 and 290°C. Cooling and dilution of the fluids may reflect a contribution of descending groundwaters in the later stages of breccia formation.

The recrystallized limestone at the contact with the main breccia pipe (T3a) shows an increase in SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, and MnO content accompanied with the depletion in the CaO content comparing to the composition of the barren recrystallized limestone placed distally from the breccia. Some trace elements, including Pb, As, Sb, W, Y and REE are also enriched. The REE pattern possesses a weak negative Ceₙ anomaly and a prominent positive Euₙ anomaly. Furthermore, the recrystallized limestone at the contact with the breccia is depleted in ¹³C and ¹⁸O indicating the contribution of isotopically light CO₂ (Fig. 7) probably of a magmatic origin (ZHENG & HOEFS, 1993; ROSATELLI et al., 2010).

### 5.4. LATERAL BRECCIA BRANCHES - LIMESTONE CONTACT

The lateral dyke-like branches infilled with the milled matrix represent non-venting branches of the main phreatomagmatic breccia (CORBETT & LEACH, 1998). The hydraulic fracturing of country rocks requires a fluid pressure equal to the minimum principal stress plus the tensile strength of the rocks (JAEGGER & COOK, 1979). The L-rich fluid inclusions found in the recrystallized limestone at contacts with the fissures indicate that recrystallization processes occurred in the presence of moderate salinity single phase (liquid) fluids that were channeled through the fissures. The minimum entrapment pressure was around 20 MPa (Fig. 10) suggesting that the pressure was controlled by lithostatic conditions. The pressure correction applied to the representative isochores, assuming the lithostatic conditions (Fig. 10), suggests the entrapment temperature was between 365 and 375°C. The abundant rock flour that was injected into such a type of fissures reflects transport by fluidization (McCALLUM, 1985; BRANQUET et al., 1999; CLARK & JAMES, 2003). The various types of hydrothermal alterations, including sericitization, kaolinitization, pyritization and carbonatization, that affected the rock flour suggest an intensive hydrothermal circulation. The major element composition and the REE content of the recrystallized limestone at this type of contacts (samples T4 and T7-4a, 75 m above mean sea level) overlap with the values obtained for the barren recrystallized limestone placed distally from the breccia. However, some trace elements, including Pb, Ni, As, Cd and Sb are more abundant than in the barren limestone suggesting their transport by aqueous fluids. The δ¹³C values overlap with values recorded for the barren recrystallized limestone revealing the negligible contribution of magmatic CO₂. In contrast, the decreased δ¹⁸O values may be attributed to the involvement of aqueous fluids. According to the fractionation equation for a calcite-water pair (ZHANG, 1999), the fluid in the isotopic equilibrium with the analyzed calcite (δ¹⁸O=17.9‰) at temperatures around 370°C should have and ¹⁸O value of +13.7‰.

#### Table 4. The K/Ar data obtained at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Dated fraction</th>
<th>K %</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar* cm³/g</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar* %</th>
<th>K/Ar age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR-6</td>
<td>Surface, hydrothermaly altered quartz-lathe</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>8.035×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.787×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>25.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7-4a</td>
<td>Level X., milled breccia</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.985×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Level X., milled breccia</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>7.277×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>23.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 10.** Pressure-temperature diagram showing the ranges of isochores for L-rich fluid inclusions hosted within the recrystallized limestone at its contact with the main breccia pipe (sample T3a, 75 m above mean sea level) and its contact with the lateral breccia branches (sample T4, 75 m above mean sea level). The boiling conditions obtained from the fluid inclusion assemblage composed of coexisting L-rich and V-rich inclusions are plotted too (Type 2, sample T3a, 75 m above mean sea level). The liquid-vapour curve for H₂O-NaCl fluids with 5, 10 and 20 wt % NaCl is adopted from BODNAR & VITYK (1994).
The fragments composed of a magnetite core and a pyrite rim (samples KM7-1, KM8; 835 m above mean sea level) suggest an increase in oxygen and sulfur fugacities over time (Fig. 12). Textural features suggest that the fibroradial magnetite core, accompanied by a mixture of quartz and carbonates, was formed by retrograde alteration of hedenbergite under increased oxygen fugacity:

$$3 \text{CaFe}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_6 + 3 \text{CO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{O}_4 + 3 \text{CaCO}_3 + 6 \text{SiO}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Hedenbergite magnetite calcite quartz

The $O_2$ vs. $S_2$ diagram constructed for the pre-breccia stage (lithostatic regime; $P \approx 20$ MPa; $T \approx 400^\circ$C) and the main stage of the breccia formation (hydrostatic regime; $P \approx 7.5$ MPa; $T \approx 300^\circ$C) reveals that hedenbergite stability strongly depends on temperature and pressure, favouring the prograde stage conditions (Fig. 12). The increased water activity and oxygen fugacity as well as the decreased temperature are direct consequences of the breccia pipe formation that enhanced the permeability along the recrystallized limestone-schist contact and enabled incursions of cold oxygenated groundwater in the system.

5.5. THE MAIN BRECCIA CLASTS

The clasts of country rocks and skarn mineralization that preceded the breccia formation underwent intense milling, mixing and hydrothermal alterations depending on their lithological characteristics and spatial position within the breccia. They show mutual differences in shape, dimension, composition, alteration, direction and distance of transport typical for phreatomagmatic breccias (e.g. SILLITOE, 1985; BAKER et al., 1986; TAMAS & MILESI, 2002). Sericitization of the breccia matrix, locally accompanied by minor kaolinitization, indicate increased water activity under near-neutral to weakly acidic conditions.

The fragments composed of a magnetite core and a pyrite rim (samples KM7-1, KM8; 835 m above mean sea level) suggest an increase in oxygen and sulfur fugacities over time (after HAAS, 1971) estimate the formation depths assuming the hydrostatic regime.

The presence of Bi-sulfides speaks in favour of the skarn precursor for these types of fragments. Namely, according to fluid inclusion data published by STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al. (2013), the prograde skarn mineralization was formed at temperatures between 390 and 475°C. In contrast, the main ore deposition stage appears to have been largely contemporaneous with the retrograde stage of skarn development at temperatures below 350°C. In weakly acidic to near-neutral aqueous solutions Bi is predominantly transported as the Bi(OH)$_6$ complex (SKIRROW & WALSH, 2002) and its mobility is negligible at temperatures below 400°C (TOOTH et al., 2013).

The pyrite rim reflects sulfidation of magnetite into pyrite under the increased sulfur fugacity:

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{O}_4 + 3 \text{S}_2 + 8 \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 3 \text{FeS} + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$\text{magnetite}$ $\rightarrow$ $\text{pyrite}$

The isotope composition of sulfides from the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit suggest a magmatic origin of sulfur (STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2013). The solubility of sulfur in silicate magma is a function of temperature, pressure, FeO and SiO$_2$ content and oxygen fugacity (CARROLL & WEBSTER, 1994; O’NEILL & MAVROGENES, 2002; DE MOOR et al., 2013). Under reducing conditions, the sulfur solubility decreases with decreasing temperature, and cooling of magma plays an important role in sulfur degassing. Furthermore, at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit, phreatomagmatic explosions and formation of diatreme unsealed the system, decreased the pressure and additionally favouring sulfur release.

P-T-X characteristics of fluids involved in retrograde alteration processes are evidenced by multiple generations of fluid inclusions. Primary liquid-rich fluid inclusion assemblages in calcite grains entrap moderate salinity high temperature fluids. A significant increase in salinity recorded by the coexisting primary liquid- and vapour-rich inclusions may have been caused by boiling within an open system (e.g. SIMMONS & BROWNE, 1997; BAUMGARTNER, 2008) and may not represent a fluid that originally had higher salinities. Alternatively, as reported by SIMMONS (1991) and ALBINSON et al. (2001) at the Fresnillo Pb-Zn-Ag deposit, Zacatecas, Mexico, the variation in salinity may have been caused by discrete pulses of saline fluids. The presence of numerous vapour-rich inclusions favours a boiling scenario that would also be consistent with the observed salinity variations without temperature change. Assuming hydrostatic conditions, boiling occurred at depths between 600 and 800 m (Fig. 11). The pseudosecondary liquid-rich fluid inclusion assemblages suggest dilution and cooling over time. Sporadic boiling episodes increased the salinity up to 0.3 wt.% NaCl (from 2.2-4.8 wt.% NaCl eq. up to 2.6-5.1 wt.% NaCl eq.) suggesting steam loss of between 6 and 15%. The decreased $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O values obtained for calcite grains suggest an involvement of magmatic CO$_2$ (Table 3, Fig. 7). Similar $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O values have been recorded for the recrystallized limestone fragments as well as for the recrystallized limestone that hosts the skarn mineralization (Table 3, Fig. 7).

The fragments composed of pyrite, pyrrhotite and minor Bi-sulfides reflect that locally increases in sulfur fugacity...
were sufficient to complete sulfidation of hedenbergite to pyrrhotite and/or pyrite. Bismuth, a trace element in the skarn paragenesis, behaves in an immobile manner during the retrograde alteration processes accumulating in forms of various Bi-sulfides.

The limestone fragments found in the deeper portion of the breccia pipe are commonly replaced by siderite and occasionally impregnated by pyrite and microcrystalline quartz. Dickite aggregates and euhedral quartz occupy cavities. The fluid inclusion data obtained from quartz suggest a formation temperature of between 290 and 330°C, from a fluid with salinity in the range of 6-8.5 wt% NaCl equ. and a pH below 5.5 (STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ et al., 2009). The δ34S value of pyrite suggests a magmatic source of sulfur (STRMIĆ PALINKAŠ, 2009).

Two distinctive generations of fluid inclusions in recrystallized limestone fragments accompanied with fibroradial arsenopyrite and magnetite suggest the dilution and cooling of hydrothermal fluids over time. The δ13C and δ18O values overlap with data obtained for calcite hosted by fragments composed of a magnetite core and pyrite rim, the recrystallized limestone fragments and the recrystallized limestone that hosts the skarn mineralization (Table 3, Fig. 7).

5.6. HYDROTHERMAL CARBONATES

The recrystallized limestone that hosts the hydrothermal mineralization shows a weak contribution of magmatic CO₂ and slight enrichment in Mn and Fe. Concentration of other elements overlap with values obtained for the barren recrystallized Upper Triassic limestone.

Syn-ore and post-ore carbonates associated with both skarn and hydrothermal parageneses point to the diminishing influence of magmatic CO₂ during the main-ore and post-ore stages (Table 3, Fig. 7). Syn-ore carbonates are enriched in Fe, Mg, Mn, many chalcophile elements, including Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn, as well as in Au, Y and REE. Post-ore carbonates are depleted in Fe, Mg, Mn and the majority of chalcophile elements comparing to syn-ore carbonates, reflecting declining hydrothermal activity.

Traveretine, with traces of carbonates enriched in Zn and grains of galena, barite and gypsum, was precipitated during the late stage of hydrothermal activity. According to PENTECOST (2005), travertines are chemically-precipitated continental limestones where precipitation is mainly driven by CO₂ degassing from a groundwater source leading to calcium carbonate supersaturation. Based on the origin of the CO₂ interacting with the groundwater PENTECOST (2005) subdivided travertines into meteogene and thermogene deposits. Soil-zone and atmospheric CO₂ may be characterized as meteoric in origin, since the terrestrial vegetation and associated soil contains carbon fixed from the atmosphere. Travertines formed from groundwaters charged with a meteoric carrier are termed meteogene whereas thermogene travertines are formed as a massive deposit from fluids carrying CO₂ originating from thermal processes. Waters related to meteogene travertines have a lower temperature (generally ambient), a lower dissolved inorganic carbon content (DIC < 10 mmol/L), a lower CO₂ partial pressure (pCO₂ < 0.01 MPa) and pH values between 7 and 8. Furthermore, while meteogene travertines generally display a negative carbon isotope composition (δ13C between -12 and 0‰), thermogene travertines, in contrast, show δ13C values in the range of -1 to 10‰ (PENTECOST, 2005). On the basis of the geochemical characteristics the Trepča travertine should have been considered as thermogene in its origin although a contribution of organic-derived carbon cannot be excluded. Particularly, signs of rapid CO₂ degassing during deposition such as bubbles and radial calcite crystals are typical of thermogene travertine. The δ13C data mostly overlap with δ13C values previously published for thermogene travertines with a magmatic origin of CO₂ (e.g. PENTECOST, 2005; D’ALESSANDRO et al., 2007). In addition, dissolution of the host recrystallized limestone during the main ore stage enriched hydrothermal fluids on HCO₃⁻. Furthermore, decreased δ13C values reflect the influence of organic carbon (e.g. LAZAREVA et al., 2008). The δ18O value is predominantly affected by a significant contribution of circulating groundwaters at elevated temperatures.

5.7. PYROCLASTIC DEPOSITS

According to their isotope composition, calcite grains hosted by pyroclastic material of the caldera represent minute fragments of the recrystallized Triassic limestone. In contrast, the carbonate matrix is significantly depleted in 13C reflecting the contribution of organic carbon. Textural characteristics of pyroclastic material with fossil imprints of leaves and plant roots evidence precipitation within a lacustrine environment enriched in organic matter remains. However, a contribution of magmatic CO₂ should not be ignored. Fluid inclusions hosted by calcite grains suggest their formation under boiling conditions. Homogenization temperatures between 320 and 340°C for fluid inclusions with salinities around 7 wt.% NaCl equ. indicate the formation depth of approximately 500 - 650 m and 1300 - 1750 m assuming lithostatic and hydrostatic conditions (Fig. 11), respectively. Younger V-rich inclusions reflect a sudden pressure drop probably related to phreatomagmatic explosions.
5.8. THE K/Ar AGE

The presence of the hydrothermally altered quartz-latite dyke within the main breccia core and overlapping K/Ar ages of hydrothermally altered quartz-latite (24.0 ± 0.7 Ma and 25.1 ± 0.9 Ma) and the milled matrix breccia (23.2 ± 0.7 Ma) suggest that magmatic activity coincided with the mineralizing events. The volcanic rocks in the area, produced by the Late Oligocene postcollisional magmatic activity (CVETKOVIĆ et al., 2004), display mostly overlapping K/Ar (this study) and Ar/Ar ages (BOROJEVIĆ ŠOŠTARIĆ et al., 2012).

6. CONCLUSIONS

According to the mineralogical, geochemical and isotope data we can distinguish several stages that directed formation of the phreatomagmatic breccia pipe at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit:

1) The stage of isochemical contact metamorphism resulted from emplacement of a magmatic chamber during the Late Oligocene postcollisional magmatic activity. This stage preceded the mineralization and the breccia formation and resulted in the recrystallization of country rocks without significant changes in their chemical and isotope composition.

2) The stage of prograde (skarn) mineralization preceded the breccia formation. The prograde (skarn) mineralization resulted from the interaction of magmatic fluids with the recrystallized Upper Triassic limestone. The magmatic fluids were derived from a cooling magmatic body below the ore deposit. The host recrystallized limestone at the contact with the prograde (skarn) mineralization has an increased Fe, Mn, Mo, As, Au, Cs, Ga, REE and Y content suggesting their transport by infiltrating magmatic fluids. The decreased δ18O and δ618O values reflect the contribution of magmatic CO2. In addition, the pyroxene predominant mineralogy reflects a low oxygen fugacity and a low water activity.

3) Emplacement of the quartz-latite dyke along the contact between the recrystallized limestone and the overlying schist. An interaction of the hot magmatic body with cold ground water resulted in phreatomagmatic explosions and formation of the phreatomagmatic breccia. The pyroclastic deposits found at the surface of the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit confirm subaqueous explosive volcanic activity.

The contact between the main breccia pipe and the host recrystallized limestone has preserved geochemical and isotope evidence of variable P-T-X conditions during the phreatomagmatic brecciation. The early generations of fluid inclusions precede the brecciation and reflect the recrystallization under lithostatic conditions at temperatures between 350 and 370°C. The phreatomagmatic brecciation turned the system from the lithostatic to hydrostatic regime, decreasing the pressure and triggering the fluid boiling. Assuming an adiabatic process, the temperature drop from 350-370°C to 285-305°C resulted with a steam loss of approximately 30%. The later generations of fluid inclusions point to cooling and dilution of the fluids due to an enhanced contribution of descending groundwaters in late stages of the breccia formation. The host recrystallized limestone at the contact with the main breccia pipe is enriched in Si, Fe, Mn, Pb, As, Sb, W, REE and Y and depleted in 13C and 18O.

The lateral dyke-like branches infilled with the milled matrix represent non-venting branches of the main phreatomagmatic breccia. The fluid inclusions founded in the recrystallized limestone at contacts with the fissures indicate that hot (365-375°C) and moderate salinity single phase aqueous (liquid) fluids enriched in Pb, Ni, As, Cd and Sb were channeled through the fissures under lithostatic conditions.

4) The hydrothermally altered quartz-latite dyke points to an increase in water activity over time. Partly preserved sanidine accompanied with the mixture of muscovite and quartz reflects a near-neutral to weakly acidic environment.

5) The clasts of country rocks and skarn mineralization underwent intense milling and mixing due to repeated magmatic penetrations. Sericitization of the breccia matrix, locally accompanied with minor kaolinization, point to an increased water activity under near-neutral to weakly acidic conditions. Large fragments originally composed of anhydrous skarn minerals (pyroxenes) are usually completely altered to a mixture of fibroradial magnetite, quartz and various amount of carbonates suggesting an increase in oxygen fugacity. Their pyrite rims reflect that the increase in the oxygen fugacity was followed by an increase in sulfur fugacity. The fragments predominantly composed of Fe-sulfides and minor Bi-sulfides indicate that locally increases in sulfur fugacity were sufficient to complete sulfidation of hedenbergite to pyrrhotite and/or pyrite. The increase in water activity and oxygen fugacity are associated with the breccia pipe formation that enhanced the permeability along the recrystallized limestone-schist contact and enabled groundwater incursions in the system. At the same time, cooling and decompression of the system intensified the magmatic sulfur degassing.

7) Syn-ore and post-ore carbonates associated with both skarn and hydrothermal parageneses reflect the diminishing influence of magmatic CO2 during the main-ore and post-ore stages. Syn-ore carbonates are enriched in Fe, Mg, Mn, many chalcophile elements, including Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn, as well as in Au, Y and REE. In contrast, post-ore carbonates reflect a declining hydrothermal activity and the depletion in Fe, Mg, Mn and the majority of chalcophile elements.

8) Travertine deposits were precipitated in the late stage of hydrothermal activity at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit. Their isotope signature suggests that carbon originates predominantly from the dissolute host recrystallized limestone influenced by significant amounts of magmatic and organic carbon. In contrast, δ18O values are strongly affected by the contribution of circulating groundwaters at elevated temperatures.

Although the phreatomagmatic breccia at the Trepča Pb-Zn-Ag skarn deposit does not carry significant amounts of the ore mineralization, its formation was crucial for ore deposition. Phreatomagmatic explosions and formation of the breccia triggered the retrograde stage increasing the water activity and oxygen fugacity in the system. In addition, cooling and decompression of the system contributed to more effective degassing of magmatic sulfur increasing the sulfur fugacity.
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