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Abstract
Late Early Permian–lowermost Triassic carbonate, siliceous (spiculites) and clastic marine sediments in the Marmierfjellet area (Isfjorden, central Spitsbergen) contain a relatively diverse and abundant trace fossil assemblage providing important information about the depositional processes. The Vøringen Member (Late Artinskian–Kungurian) of the Kapp Starostin Formation (Late Artinskian–? Changhsingian) contains trace fossils (Nereites, Phycosiphon, Zoophycos and Arenicolites—common in tempestites) typical of the proximal–archetypal Cruziana ichnofacies, which indicates lower shoreface. Nereites, Phycosiphon and Zoophycos, accompanied by other rare trace fossils, characterize the Svenskegga and Hovtinden members of the Kapp Starostin Formation. They are interpreted as the distal Cruziana ichnofacies, possibly transitional to the Zoophycos ichnofacies typical of the lower offshore zone. However, the sporadic occurrences of Arenicolites and Macaronichnus can point to episodic shallowing to upper offshore/lower shoreface. The lowest part of the Triassic Vikinghøgda Formation (Induan–Olenekian) contains a very low-diverse ichnoassemblage composed of a few simple and branched forms ascribed to the impoverished Cruziana ichnofacies (lower to upper offshore environment), which is attributed to the early recovery stage after the Permian–Triassic extinction. The trace fossils and loss of primary sedimentary structures caused by intense bioturbation throughout most of the section point to generally oxygenated pore waters on the sea floor. However, some horizons, especially laminated black shales, display reduced or no bioturbational activity. These horizons also show high V/(V + Ni) ratios, which indicate oxygen-depleted sediments with periods of anoxic conditions. A remarkable black shale unit deposited under anoxic and sulphidic conditions occurs at the Permian–Triassic transition.
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The northern margin of the supercontinent Pangaea was situated at about 20°N during the Late Carboniferous and drifted northwards to 45°N in the Late Permian (Stemmerik 2000; Golonka 2011). This shift in palaeolatitudes caused a marked change predominantly from warm-water carbonates to cool-water carbonates and...
spiculites encountered in Arctic Canada, North Greenland, Svalbard and the Barents Sea (Beuchamp & Desrochers 1997; Stemmerik 1997, 2000; Stemmerik & Worsley 2005; Blomeier et al. 2011; Grundvåg et al. 2013; Nielsen et al. 2013). The latest Permian and Early Triassic were associated with a temperature rise (Georgieva et al. 2011; Sun et al. 2012) and are mostly characterized by siliciclastic sediments with few macrofossils at Svalbard (Mørk et al. 1999).

At the end of the Permian, life was nearly completely wiped out by an environmental catastrophe of a magnitude never seen before or since (e.g., Erwin 1993, 2006). The mass extinction annihilated about 95% of the marine skeletal organisms and 80% of land animals (e.g., Knoll et al. 2007; Payne et al. 2007; Sahney & Benton 2008). Shen, Crowley et al. (2011) dated the extinction peak to just before 252.28 ± 0.08 Mya, a time interval very close to the Changhsingian (Permian)–Induan (Triassic) boundary (Gradstein et al. 2012). Both marine and terrestrial ecosystems collapsed suddenly. Shen, Crowley et al. (2011) give evidence for an extinction interval of less than 200 Kya; Burgess et al. (2014) indicate that the ecosystems collapsed in only 60 Kya. The most widely accepted causes of the Permian–Triassic mass extinction have been summarized by Payne & Clapham (2012), Chen et al. (2013) and others. Episodic shoaling of anoxic water onto shallower water areas (Kajiwara et al. 1994; Wignall & Twitchett 1996; Algeo et al. 2008; Nielsen et al. 2010; Shen, Farquhar et al. 2011; Schoepfer et al. 2013; Wei et al. 2015) may have had a major impact on the mass extinction.

So far, investigations of the Permian–Triassic extinction have mostly concentrated upon body fossils (e.g., Jin et al. 2000; Twitchett et al. 2001; Knoll 2004), but much less is known about the trace fossils. Therefore, we have concentrated upon describing the trace fossils from a well-exposed late Early Permian to earliest Triassic marine sequence at Marmierfjellet, Svalbard. In addition to describing the trace fossils and their stratigraphic range, we have also carried out trace element analysis to achieve a better understanding of the redox fluctuations of the bottom water during deposition and the relationships between the facies development and ichnofauna (for material and methods see Supplementary File 1).

**Geological setting**

On Spitsbergen, upper Palaeozoic rocks can be traced as a narrow belt from Sørkapp in the south along the west coast to Broggerhalvøya in the north (Fig. 1). However, the largest and most continuous exposures occur in central Spitsbergen. Cutbll & Challinor (1965) erected a lithostratigraphic framework for the Upper Carboniferous and Permian strata, which has been only slightly modified by later workers. For an overview, see Dallmann et al. (1999), who also give an overview of the Triassic lithostratigraphy. In this paper, we follow the revised lower Triassic stratigraphy given by Mørk et al. (1999).

The sections studied in this work are situated at the north side of Marmierfjellet (Fig. 2), where the uppermost part of the Gipshuken Formation (Sakmarian–Artinskian), the Kapp Starostin Formation (late Artinskian–?Changhsingian) and the lowermost part of the Vikinghøgda Formation (Induan–Olenekian) are well exposed. The Kapp Starostin Formation, which is the main focus of this study, accumulated in a marine basin that had several depocentres separated by structural highs. There was probably a continental area to the west of Svalbard and the basin was shallowing to the south and to the northeast where the Kapp Starostin Formation is significantly thinner and onlaps the Sørkapp–Hornsund High and the Nordaustlandet platform, respectively (Steel & Worsley 1984; Worsley et al. 1986; Harland et al. 1997; Ehrenberg et al. 2001; Fig. 3). As shown by the fence diagram in Fig. 3, the Kapp Starostin Formation is characterized by a complex interfingering of lithofacies and has a maximum thickness near the entrance of Isfjorden. The formation is up to 500 m thick in the deeper part of the basin and significantly thinner towards the basin margins (e.g., the Sørkapp–Hornsund High), indicating a considerable rate of subsidence and down-warping along major lineaments (Steel & Worsley 1984; Worsley et al. 1986). Several depositional models have been proposed for the Kapp Starostin Formation (Malkowski & Hoffman 1979; Szañiawski & Malkowski 1979; Malkowski 1982, 1988; Fredriksen 1988; Henriksen 1988; Ezaki et al. 1994; Nakrem 1994; Olempska & Błaszyk 1996; Ehrenberg et al. 2001; Grundvåg 2008; Blomeier et al. 2011; Dustira et al. 2013). There is a general agreement that the bioclastic Voringen Member was deposited in a near-shore to shallow-water setting during rising sea level, being replaced upwards by open marine shelf facies. The succeeding part of the Kapp Starostin Formation is dominated by chert/cherty shale and spiculites. There is also a general high glauconite content, which was interpreted by Ezaki et al. (1994) to indicate low sedimentation rates, possibly forming condensed deposits. The spiculites can be divided into light- and dark-coloured varieties. Ehrenberg et al. (2001) suggested that the light-coloured
variety were formed in well oxygenated, quiet water below the storm wave base while the dark spiculites were deposited in deeper water. The glauconitic sandstone in the top of the Kapp Starostin Formation represents near-shore facies, indicating an Upper Permian regressive phase occurring in proximity to basin margins and palaeo-highs (e.g., Worsley et al. 1986; Ezaki et al. 1994; Ehrenberg et al. 2001). This part of the sequence also contains a meagre fauna of disarticulated lingulids.

The underlying Gipshuken Formation in the western part of Svalbard is characterized by stacked tidal flats and sabkha sequences dominated by interbedded dolomites and evaporites—gypsum and/or anhydrite (Fig. 4). The top of the Gipshuken Formation is characterized by an erosional surface reflecting a hiatus of tens of millions of years. The sediments on the ravinement surface normally consist of a 2–5 cm thick dark brown to black shaly bed overlain by a transgressive barrier sequence referred to as the Vøringen Member (Cutbill & Challinor 1965), comprising the lower part of the Kapp Starostin Formation (Fig. 4). This unit is up to 40 m thick (Dallmann et al. 1999) and is dominated by bioclastic carbonate banks with a rich fauna of brachiopods, bryozoans and crinoids (Nakrem et al. 1992; Ezaki et al. 1994; Nielsen et al. 2013). The Vøringen Member represents shoreface deposits, which were regarded by Worsley et al. (1986) to represent a carbonate barrier sequence that migrated across the restricted marine platform and sabkha environments of the underlying Gipshuken Formation.

The Kapp Starostin Formation comprises several members (Fig. 4; Dallmann et al. 1999). In our study area, it is only possible to correlate the lowermost part of the Kapp Starostin Formation with the Vøringen Member as defined by Cutbill & Challinor (1965). The scantiness of skeletal fossils in the upper part has caused some discrepancy regarding the age of the uppermost part of...
the Kapp Starostin Formation, leading Mangerud (1994) to conclude that palynomorphs are the best fossil group for correlation in this part of the sequence. The overlying informal members, Svenskegga and Hovtinden members, have therefore previously only been defined in the type area at outer Isfjorden (Cutbill & Challinor 1965). Their stratigraphic relation to other sections of the Kapp Starostin Formation is unclear because of discrepancies in these definitions and local facies development (Dallmann et al. 1999).

The Permian/C1 Triassic boundary at Svalbard has traditionally been placed on the top of the Kapp Starostin Formation, where it is an abrupt change from sandy facies or spiculites to black shales of the Sassendalen Group (Mørk et al. 1982; Gruszczynski et al. 1989; Mørk et al. 1989; Mørk et al. 1999), producing a distinct recess in the cliff line. Biostratigraphic correlation of different skeletal materials indicates the presence of a hiatus between the Permian and Triassic (sensu Blomeier et al. 2011), but preliminary magnetostratigraphic data from central Spitsbergen by Hounslow et al. (1996) suggest that the Permian/C1 Triassic transition is complete, occurring around the formation boundary. Palynological studies by Mangerud & Konieczny (1993) in western Spitsbergen by Hounslow et al. (1996) suggest that the Permian–Triassic transition is complete, occurring around the formation boundary. Palynological studies by Mangerud & Konieczny (1993) in western Spitsbergen also supported the view that there was a continuous sequence, characterized by a very slow sedimentation rate across the Permian–Triassic boundary. This is consistent with work by Mørk et al. (1982), Steel & Worsley (1984) and Małkowski et al. (1989) in the central part of the basin, revealing a conformable transition from the Kapp Starostin Formation into the overlying Vikinghøgda Formation, although Mørk et al. (1989) suggested that the contact was an enigmatic, non-erosive submarine hiatus. According to Nakrem et al. (1992), this indicates a condensed Late Permian succession.

Wignall et al. (1998) attempted from modest organic carbon isotope data to define a continuous sedimentation across the Permian–Triassic boundary from the Kapp Starostin–Festningen section in the western Spitsbergen, and suggested that the actual boundary can be found in the basal metres of the Vikinghøgda Formation. On account of the lack of biostratigraphic control, the continuous sedimentation across the Permian–Triassic boundary was later questioned by Wignall et al. (2013). Using palynomorphs, Mørk et al. (1999) showed that the Permian–Triassic boundary was situated in the lower part of the Vikinghøgda Formation (within 1.7–4.7 m above the base). The presence of the fungal spore *Tympanisycya stoscichiana* in the basal part of the uppermost Vikinghøgda Formation (Mangerud & Konieczny 1993) is very similar to a distinct fungal spike described from the latest Permian strata in Tethyan sections (e.g., Visscher et al. 1996; Wignall & Twitchett 1996). Wignall et al. (1998) took this as an indication that the Permian–Triassic boundary occurs in the basal metres of the Vikinghøgda Formation. Biomagnetostratigraphy of the Permian–Triassic Arctic Boreal successions by Hounslow & Nawrocki (2008) and Hounslow et al. (2008) shows that the Permian–Triassic boundary post-dates a pronounced palynofloral turnover and predates a short duration...
Fig. 3 Fence diagram showing thickness and lateral development of the main lithological units within the Kapp Starostin Formation. The abrupt change from sandy spiculitic facies to black organic shale has been used as a datum because this lithological change is easily seen in the field and is very close to the Permian–Triassic boundary. Modified from Worsley et al. (1986), Fredriksen (1988) and Henriksen (1988).
Fig. 4 Sedimentological log showing the vertical range of trace fossils, Marmierfjellet, central Spitsbergen. See text for discussion of the stratigraphy. The grain size of siliciclastic material and classification of the limestone deposits according to Dunham (1962) is shown along the X axis. Dark and light spiculates are abbreviated as DSP and LSP, respectively.
reverse magnetozone. In Svalbard, the Permian–Triassic transition seems to be continuous in the central Spitsbergen, in the south and east there is a pronounced hiatus, while there is a submarine hiatus in the western Barents Sea (Mørk & Mangerud 2013). Vigran et al. (2014) have recently introduced a formal palyno-stratigraphy from the uppermost Permian and Triassic in the northernmost Atlantic region, including Svalbard. They report the Permian–Triassic boundary in lowermost Vikinghøgda Formation of central Svalbard, based on sparse and poorly preserved sporomorphs and their zonation is applied to the present succession to identify the Permian–Triassic boundary.

**Previous investigations of Upper Permian and Lower Triassic trace fossils in Svalbard**

There are no systematic descriptions of the trace fossil fauna in the Kapp Starostin Formation. Brief investigations of Zoophycos assemblages on Akseløya on the west coast of Spitsbergen have been conducted by Bromley et al. (1989), Hanken et al. (1989) and Bromley & Hanken (1992). The common occurrence of Zoophycos in the Kapp Starostin Formation has also been mentioned by Dallmann et al. (1999: 117). Stemmerik & Worsley (1995) pointed out that the spiculitic shales are dominated by Zoophycos, Thalassinoides, Chondrites and Phycosiphon, while Hüneke et al. (2001) pointed out that the spiculites are intensely bioturbated and dominated by Schaubcylindrichnus, Scalaritubia, Thalassinoides and Chondrites. Löfek (2012) described the trace fossil fauna from the Kapp Starostin Formation at Akseløya and the Lower Triassic Vikinghøgda Formation at Mariaholmen, west Spitsbergen.

Wignall et al. (1998) investigated the distribution of ichnotaxa in the topmost Kapp Starostin Formation and Vikinghøgda (Vardebukta) Formation of the Kapp Starostin–Festningen coastal section. Apparently, the trace fossil assemblage in the spiculitic Kapp Starostin Formation contains only four ichnotaxa at this locality. There is an abrupt change at the base of the Vikinghøgda Formation, where Zoophycos, Diplorcraterion and Chondrites disappear and Planolites is joined by small pyritized burrows in the Selanderneset Member (Lower Triassic). Trace fossil diversity gradually increases in the overlying Siksaken Member of the Vikinghøgda Formation. The trace fossil fauna crossing the Permian–Triassic transition in Lusitaniadalen from eastern Spitsbergen has also been described by Mørk et al. (1999) and Nabbefeld et al. (2010). Unlike the northern side of Marmierfjellet studied here, the upper Permian sandstone facies in Lusitaniadalen has a high ichnodiversity including amongst others Thalassinoides, Arenicolites, Skolithos and Palaeophycus.

**Table 1 Trace fossils from the studied deposits.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Burrows</th>
<th>Arenicolites isp., Fig. 5a, b, 11a?</th>
<th>Cylindrichnus isp.; Fig. 5c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cf. Cylindrichnus isp.; Fig. 5d, e, 7c</td>
<td>Macaronichnus segregatis Clifton &amp; Thompson, 1978; Fig. 5f-h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmintopsis isp.; Fig. 6a, b</td>
<td>Nereites missouriensis (Weller, 1889); Fig. 7a-f, 8f, 11a-d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. tubularis Hall, 1847; Fig. 8d</td>
<td>Palaeophycus cf. tubularis Hall, 1847; Fig. 8d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phycosiphon incertum Fischer-Ooster, 1858; Fig. 7b, d, 8a-c</td>
<td>Planolites isp. A and B; Fig. 8e, 10b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teichichnus isp.; Fig. 8f</td>
<td>Thalassinoides suevicus (Rieth, 1932); Fig. 9a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalassinoides isp. A; Fig. 9b</td>
<td>?Thalassinoides isp. B; Fig. 9c, f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoophycos isp.; Fig. 7c, 8c, 9d-g</td>
<td>Creeping traces A and B; Fig. 10a-c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small vertical structures; Fig. 10d</td>
<td>Borings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf. Conchostrama isp. A; Fig. 12a, 13e</td>
<td>cf. Conchostrama isp. B; Fig. 12c, e, g, h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf. Oichnus isp.; Fig. 13c</td>
<td>Palaeosabella prisa (McCoy, 1855); Fig. 12f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinaceocladiichnus isp.; Fig. 12b</td>
<td>Rogereella isp.; Fig. 13a, b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Talpina isp. A; Fig. 13d, f</td>
<td>?Talpina isp. B; Fig. 12d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contorted tubes; Fig. 12h</td>
<td>Small galleries; Fig. 13b, c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Palynology**

Six samples from the Permian–Triassic succession at the Marmierfjellet section were analysed for palynomorphs. Two samples from a shale unit (198.9 and 218.0 m) in the middle part of the Kapp Starostin Formation contain a typical Upper Permian, terrestrial spore-pollen flora dominated by abundant Vittatina and Proteopalaxypinus together with other bisaccate pollen and relatively few trilette spores (e.g., Krauselisporites). The marine flora comprises abundant acritarchs referred to Miroxystridium, Reinodendinium, Unellidium and Veryhaccium. The samples are referred to spore and pollen zone B and acritarch zone 3 from the Upper Permian (Dorning et al. 1984), and to Krauselisporites spp. assemblage from the Lower–Upper Permian (Artinskian–Capitanian) (Mangerud & Konieczny 1993).

Four samples were analysed from the uppermost Kapp Starostin Formation to the lowermost Vikinghøgda Formation to locate the Permian–Triassic boundary in the studied succession. The recent palynological biozonation
by Vigran et al. (2014) erected for the northernmost Atlantic region, is applied to these samples. The Triassic samples contain strongly degraded spores and pollen, together with poorly preserved marine algae embedded in abundant and degraded organic matter; only sporomorphs with very characteristic morphology can be identified.

The sample from the uppermost Kapp Starostin Formation is distinctly different from the overlying samples in that the sporomorphs are associated only with abundant brown and black woody material. The three higher samples in the basal Vikinghøgda Formation are rich in very fine-grained organic material, partly in the form of microscopic coprolites. The composition of the organic matter suggests that the lower sample was deposited in a well-oxygenated environment, whereas the preservation of the delicate coprolite forms as well as the fine-grained organic debris in the three upper samples may indicate a low-energy environment with reduced oxygen content and consequently less degradation of the organic debris and little agitation of the settled debris.

Vigran et al. (2014) define three biostratigraphic zones at the Permian–Triassic transition and correlate the zones to the Permian–Triassic boundary. The *Uvaesporites imperialis* Composite Assemblage Zone (Changhsingian, uppermost Permian), the *Reduviasporites chalastrus* C. A. Zone (Permian–Triassic transition) and the *Proprisporites pocockii* C. A. Zone (lower Induan, Triassic). The *U. imperialis* C. A. Zone is characterized by a total dominance of lycopod spores; for example, an acme of *U. imperialis*. The lower boundary of *R. chalastrus* C. A. Zone is defined by the first appearance datum of *Lundbladispora obsoleta* and the lower boundary of the *P. pocockii* C. A. Zone is defined by the first appearance datum of *Densoisporites nejburgii*.

---

**Fig. 5** Trace fossils of the Kapp Starostin Formation. (a), (b) *Arenicolites* isp. in vertical cross-section of sandstone beds from the lower part of the section (0–26 m). (c) *Chondrites* isp. (Ch) on the upper surface of a sandstone bed, ca. 120 m of the section. (d) Cf. *Cylindrichnus* isp. (Cy) on the upper surface of a sandstone bed, 120 m of the section. (e) Cf. *Cylindrichnus* isp. (Cy) and *Zoophycos* isp. (Zo) on the horizontal section of a bed, 120 m of the section, INGUJ207P2a. (f)–(h) *Macaronichnus segregatis* (Ma) in loose blocks. Cross-section in (h); (f) INGUJ207P18, (h) INGUJ207P13a.
In the present study, the lower sample contains a typical Upper Permian flora of abundant *Vittatina*, *Protohaploxypinus* and *Krauselisporites* in association with a diverse acritarch flora, for example, *Cymatiosphaera*, *Reinoddedinium*, *Unellidinium* and *Veryhachium*. Neither *U. imperialis*, nor *R. chalastra* or any *L. obsoleta* is recorded herein and the age is therefore considered Upper Permian.

The next higher sample is in the Vikinghøgda Formation (0.12 m above the base of the formation) and is dominated by the acritarch *Micrhystridium*, in association with a scanty sporomorph assemblage dominated by small *Lunatisporites*. Rare and degraded, cavate trilete spores, similar in shape and size to *L. obsoleta* as well as rare potential *R. chalastra* remains have been recovered from the sample. These vague data suggest affinity to the *R. chalastra* C. A. Zone (Vigran et al. 2014) embracing the Permian–Triassic boundary.

The Permian–Triassic boundary occurs therefore near the base of the Vikinghøgda Formation, probably in the lowest 12 cm but certainly lower than 1.11 m above the base.

**Trace fossils**

Trace fossils identified include 17 ichnotaxa of burrow and 10 ichnotaxa of borings (Table 1). For their systematic description see Supplementary File 2 and for methods of preparation of borings see Supplementary File 3.

**Geochemistry**

Generally, the Ni content of the shales (> 1 cm thickness) ranges from about 4 to 200 ppm, with an average of about 42 ppm (not shown). The Ni content is obviously facies-dependent, as shown by enrichment in the thicker shale units. The V content ranges from 9 to 277 ppm, with an average value of about 108 ppm. The V content shows a similar facies dependence to that of the Ni content. However, a low Ni but high V content has been found for the thin-bedded shales intercalated with the dark spiculites in the lower part of the Svenskegga member. The highest contents of both Ni and V are found in the thin-bedded shales interbedded with the dolostones of the Gipshuken Formation, the thick shale unit in the middle part of the Kapp Starostin Formation, and in most of the shales at the Permian–Triassic boundary.

The V/(V/Ni) ratio of the investigated shales ranges from 0.35 to 0.94 with an average of 0.72 (Figs. 14, 15). Most of the shales represent lower dysoxic, anoxic to sulphidic bottom-water conditions (see also later). Note that the evidence from fauna and trace fossils in the interbedded deposits indicates that the anoxic and sulphidic conditions were usually short-lived and therefore punctuated by more oxic conditions.

**Discussion**

**Ichnofacies**

The trace fossil diversity in the Permian sediments is moderately low (Fig. 4). Only 12 ichnogenera are recognized,
among which *Nereites missouriensis*, *Phycosiphon incertum*, *Zoophycos* isp. and *Arenicolites* are common. The other ichnotaxa are rare. The ichnoassemblage is dominated by pascichnia (*Nereites, Phycosiphon*) and fodinichnia (*Zoophycos*). Generally, they are typical of the *Cruziana* ichnofacies, which typically occurs in shoreface and offshore sediments (Fig. 16). However, the fine-grained sediments, where only *Nereites, Phycosiphon* and *Zoophycos* are present, can be considered as transitional to the *Zoophycos* ichnofacies (e.g., Frey & Seilacher 1980; MacEachern et al. 2007), which represents deeper, commonly oxygen-deficient environments.

The *Cruziana* ichnofacies, however, can be subdivided into proximal, archetypal and distal parts (Pemberton et al. 2001). The *Voringen* Member is dominated by thick carbonate banks, some of which display hummocky cross-stratification or graded bedding. At least some of them can be interpreted as proximal tempestites. These sediments contain *Nereites, Phycosiphon* and *Zoophycos*, and commonly *Arenicolites*. This assemblage can be interpreted as the proximal–archetypal *Cruziana* ichnofacies, which is typical of lower shoreface (Pemberton et al. 2001). *Arenicolites* is common in storm beds (Frey & Goldring 1992).

In the middle and upper part of the formation, *Nereites, Phycosiphon* and *Zoophycos* are rarely accompanied by other trace fossils. This assemblage can be interpreted as the distal *Cruziana* ichnofacies, maybe transitional to the *Zoophycos* ichnofacies. These ichnofacies indicate a lower offshore zone (Pemberton et al. 2001). The *Zoophycos* ichnofacies is problematic because *Zoophycos* generally occurs in shallow-water deposits in the Palaeozoic, but begins to occur in deeper waters since the Middle Jurassic (Bottjer et al. 1988; Olivero 2003). The *Zoophycos* ichnofacies refers mostly to Mesozoic and Cenozoic settings, after migration of the *Zoophycos* tracemaker to the deep sea. Therefore, even mass occurrence of *Zoophycos* in the Palaeozoic is not evidence of a palaeoenvironment deeper than the shelf. The sporadic occurrence of other trace fossils in some thin sandstone beds...
(e.g., at 109, 153 and 315 m), especially Arenicolites, can be interpreted as an occurrence of the archetypal Cruziana ichnofacies and upper offshore-lower shoreface deposits. Macaronichnus has been found at a level of 290 m in a small gorge situated about 200 m west of the main profile. This trace fossil is common in well-oxygenated shoreface and foreshore sands (Pemberton et al. 2001).

Some horizons of the Triassic siltstones contain a very low-diversity ichnoassemblage composed of a few simple and branched forms (Fig. 4). They can be ascribed to the impoverished Cruziana ichnofacies. The impoverishment was probably caused by anoxia and consequences of the Permian–Triassic global mass extinction. This ichnofacies, together with the presence of hummocky cross-stratified beds (e.g., Grundvåg 2008; Grundvåg et al. 2013), suggests a lower to upper offshore environment. The low diversity, small size, low complexity, low penetration depth and low degree of bioturbation are typical of Induan trace fossil assemblages in many parts of the world, as a consequence of the major Permian–Triassic event, in the first stage of recovery sensu Twitchett (2006; see also, e.g., Twitchett & Wignall 1996; Chen et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012; and references therein). However, very early advanced (late Griesbachian) recovery manifested by higher ichnodiversity is noted in tropic and boreal realms (Wignall et al. 1998; MacNaughton & Zonneveld 2010; Hofmann et al. 2011). It seems that the ichnoassemblage investigated here represents times before this recovery interval. The interval probably corresponds to the lowest part of the Vardebukta Formation, which is bioturbated with small, sinuous and occasionally branched burrows situated below an about 50 m thick interval of anoxic sediments (Wignall & Twitchett 1996).

An abundance of borings, as in the case of brachiopod shells from the Vøringen Member, is generally associated with a shallow, oxygenated marine environment; however,
individual borings, for example, *Rogerella* or *Conchotrema*, can occur in circumlittoral and bathyal Neogene settings (Bromley & D’Alessandro 1990). Their production requires an exposition on the sea floor, probably no less than a few months, as can be speculated by comparison with recent experiments (Bromley & Asgaard 1993).

### Oxygenation

In the Gipshuken Formation, there are several thin shales interbedding dolostones containing evaporite nodules (Fig. 14). V/(V + Ni) ratios of the thin shale (>1 cm) indicate that these laminated sediments were deposited in somewhat dysoxic to anoxic and sulphidic bottom-water conditions. The limited thickness of these thin shale beds indicates short duration of these hostile bottom conditions. Such conditions were most likely triggered by saline brines formed in a warm dry climate (Stemmerik & Worsley 2005), where dense saline waters contained less oxygen. In the uppermost part of the Gipshuken Formation, there is a significant trend toward lower V/(V + Ni) values of the thin shales that subsequently turn to higher values. This reflects a change from sulphidic to anoxic and dysoxic conditions, and then back to anoxic and sulphidic conditions. When the dysoxic (and probably frequent oxic) conditions prevailed, the less stressed environment allowed the ichnotaxa *Arenicolites* isp., *Zoophycos* isp. and *Planolites* isp. A to develop (Figs. 4, 14).

In the overlying Voringen Member, the ichnotaxa increase in number and also include abundant borings (Fig. 4) where productids and spiriferinids, together with other brachiopod taxa (terebratulids and rhynchoellids), often show the presence of bioerosion, indicating that the skeletal material was exposed for some time at the sea floor before final burial.
The depositional conditions from the Gipshuken Formation to the Vøringen Member changed to a less stressed environment, where oxygen-richer waters became dominant. Only two thin shale units of a few cm in thickness are evidence of short-lived anoxic and sulphidic bottom-water conditions at levels 29.9 m and 44.5 m (Fig. 14) characterized by the absence of ichnotaxa.

The middle part of the formation is dominated by dark spiculites interbedded by light spiculites, silty spiculites and thin shales (>1 cm thickness). The very frequent occurrence of *Nereites missouriensis* and *Phycosiphon incertum*, among others, found in the spiculites indicates that oxygen-rich bottom waters existed dominantly, although frequently punctuated by short-lived anoxic (and perhaps sulphidic) conditions (Figs. 4, 14). The absence of silica sponges during deposition of the laminated sediments suggests that the fauna was intolerant to shoaling of oxygen-depleted deeper waters into the shallower water.

Anoxia in fine-grained sediments is manifested by fine, parallel lamination and the absence of trace fossils such as *Nereites* and *Phycosiphon*, which form within the substrate and use oxygen from pore water. The thick dark shale of the Svenskegga member (198.9–218 m) is not laminated, yet does not contain trace fossils (Fig. 4). The overall lack of lamination can be explained by very shallow bioturbation limited to water-saturated sediments, where burrowing does not cause the formation of trace fossils (e.g., Bromley 1996). The infrequent low-diversity bryozoan fauna, the lack of trace fossils and the V/(Ni + V) ratios show that anoxic conditions overall changed to dysoxic conditions throughout the thick shale unit (Fig. 14). An enrichment of sponges and brachiopods in the upper part of the thick shale unit confirms a transition toward more oxygenated bottom-water conditions.

The upper part of the formation is mostly bioturbated, with an almost continuous record of trace fossils, mostly *Nereites* and *Phycosiphon* (Fig. 4). This suggests oxygenated bottom waters during deposition of the Kapp Starostin Formation at the northern side of the Marmierfjellet. The situation is uncertain for some segments of the section, where primary features are strongly obliterated by diagenetic processes, mostly silicification (Fig. 9d). However, very short anoxic periods cannot be excluded. Laminated sediments deposited during such periods are too thin to be preserved after subsequent bioturbation during improvement of oxygenation. However, periods of anoxia are recorded as dark laminated shales (>1 cm thick), where lack of infauna and the relatively high V/(V + Ni) ratios indicate anoxic and sulphidic bottom-water conditions (Fig. 14).

At the Permian–Triassic transition, there is a significant change from *Zoophycos* isp., *Nereites missouriensis* and *Phycosiphon incertum* to no recorded trace fossils in the thick laminated dark shale unit (Fig. 14). As indicated by relatively high V/(V + Ni) ratios, this may be related to anoxic and sulphidic bottom-water conditions recorded throughout the thick shale unit (Fig. 15). The anoxic bottom-water conditions existed prior to the Permian–Triassic boundary, reported from East Greenland (Nielsen & Shen 2004) and elsewhere. A more detailed biostratigraphic study than presented here is needed to unravel...
Some ichnological features of the Kapp Starostin Formation. (a) *Nereites missouriensis* (Ne) and *?Arenicolites* isp. (Ar) crossing primary cross lamination, 55 m of the section. (b) Two horizons (a, b) with abundant *Nereites missouriensis*, 200–220 m of the section. (c) Smear *Nereites missouriensis* due to deformation in a very soft sediment, 349.8 m of the section, INGUJ207P12. (d) Obliterated *Nereites missouriensis* due to silification, 102 m of the section, INGUJ207P25.

the timing of the onset of sulphidic conditions. Nielsen et al. (2010) showed that sulphidic conditions in water columns gradually became established above the extinction event in the East Greenland Basin, followed by a delayed biotic recovery. At Marmierfjellet, trace fossils recorded from approximately the 372 m level (Fig. 4) indicate that the infauna recovered somewhat. The bioturbation shut down and the biotic recovery was possibly delayed by episodic shoaling of deep anoxic/sulphidic waters. This is also evident from the latest Permian mass extinctions of the Meishan section in China (Shen, Crowley et al. 2011; Shen, Farkukar et al. 2011), in south Tibet and British Colombia (Wignall & Newton 2003), and other areas worldwide (e.g., Hallam 1995).

**Mode of deposition and remarks on palaeoecology**

The dominance of pascichnia and fodinichnia in the Kapp Starostin Formation points to an abundance of food in the sediment. In most beds, *Nereites* cross-cuts *Phycosiphon* (Fig. 7d), and both of them are cross-cut by *Chondrites*, which is rare, however. *Phycosiphon* is also cross-cut by *Zoophycos* (Fig. 8c). The situation is similar to the sequential colonization of turbiditic beds (Wetzel & Uchman 2001). According to this model, pore water of turbiditic beds contains dissolved oxygen and sediments are rich in organic material. At the beginning, such beds are colonized by the *Phycosiphon* tracemakers, which are small opportunistic deposit feeders. Later, *Phycosiphon* is replaced by *Nereites*, produced by a larger sediment-feeder. The tracemakers of *Phycosiphon* and *Nereites* benefit from the oxygenated pore waters and penetrate the sediment without necessity of connection to the sea floor. Decreasing content of oxygen and food makes horizontal mining difficult. Therefore, the sediment is colonized by producers of *Chondrites*, produced by stationary chemosymbionts. It may be the case that the role of turbidity currents were played by storm generated currents in the studied section. Only thicker tempestites are not obliterated by bioturbation. Therefore, the storm deposition seems to be underestimated in the sedimentary record. The rarity of *Chondrites* can be explained by relatively good oxygenation and continuous abundance.

---

Fig. 13. Borings in brachiopod shells. (a) *Rogerella* isp. in pedicle valve of spiriferid brachiopod. (b) *Rogerella* isp. and small galleries (sg). (c) Cf. *Oichnus* (O1) and small galleries (sg) marked by small tunnels and holes. (d) *Talpina* isp. A on the inner side of the valve. (e) Cf. *Conchotrema* isp. A. (f) *Talpina* isp. A.
Fig. 14 Sedimentological log for the Marmierfjellet section. Interpretation of anoxicity is expressed as \( V/(V+N) \) ratio of shales differentiating between oxic, dysoxic, anoxic and sulphidic bottom-water conditions during deposition.
of food, where the *Nereites* tracemaker was active for a long time. It is probable that horizontal, permanent reworking of sediment by *Nereites* prevented the development of delicate, vertical *Chondrites*. The role of *Chondrites* was played to some extent by *Zoophycos*, which was able to penetrate deeper beyond the range of *Nereites*. On some parts of the section, *Nereites* and *Phycosiphon* tend to concentrate in thin layers separated by less continuously reworked thicker layers (Fig. 11b), which could have developed at the top of storm beds. In some layers, *Nereites* is plastically deformed (Fig. 11c); it was probably produced in semi-fluid (soupy) sediment.

Thicker tempestites in the Vøringen Member contain typical storm suites of trace fossils represented by *Arenicolites*, produced by filter feeders brought in by storm currents. *Nereites, Phycosiphon* and *Zoophycos* represent the background ichnofauna which was active between storm events (e.g., Frey & Goldring 1992; Pemberton et al. 1992; Pemberton & MacEachern 1997; and references therein).

**Fig. 15** A close-up of the element ratio V/(V+Ni) ratio of shales for the Permian–Triassic transition at the Marmierfjellet. At the Permian–Triassic transition, the bottom water fluctuated between anoxic and sulphidic conditions followed by more stable anoxic conditions.

**Fig. 16** Main sedimentological and ichnofacies interpretation of subenvironments, oxygenation and sequence/parasequence boundaries. See legend in Fig. 4.
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