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ABSTRACT

New marine-geophysical data were analyzed to investigate the sedimentary processes operating on the continental slope north of Nordaustlandet, Svalbard. Kvitøya Trough terminates in a trough-mouth fan (TMF) on the slope, whereas Albertini Trough incises the shelf edge and a TMF is notably absent. Instead, the continental slope beyond Albertini Trough is dominated by thick, acoustically stratified units likely formed by down-slope and along-slope sedimentological processes combined. The morphological and sedimentological differences between Albertini and Kvitøya troughs may partly be due to the larger dimensions of Kvitøya Trough and its associated glacial catchment area relative to Albertini, suggesting that the transport of a larger volume of glacigenic sediments potentially was a contributing factor in building Kvitøya TMF. By contrast, the downfaulted bedrock below outer Albertini Trough provided larger accommodation space for glacigenic sediments which accumulated in an outer-shelf basin, highlighting the importance of the structural-geological setting in TMF development. Debris-fl ow deposits and/or channel-levee deposits on the lower continental slope and rise o ff Kvitøya Trough indicate bypassing of glacigenic sediments from the shelf to the deep ocean, a process that is likely a result of locally steep slope gradients (< 9°). The volume of the Kvitøya TMF is smaller than TMFs along the western Svalbard margin, which may be linked to the more erosion-resistant bedrock of the northern margin and/or the comparatively small drainage basin of Kvitøya Trough compared to drainage basins of ice streams that drained westwards from Barents Sea. In addition, the Kvitøya TMF is incised by gullies indicating that they formed after Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) while larger tributary canyons flanking the Kvitøya TMF likely have developed during a longer time span. High seafloor backscatter values in the tributary canyons and gullies are interpreted as coarse-grained deposits that lead down-slope to debris-fl ow deposits, suggesting an origin for the tributary canyons and gullies through incision by gravity fl ows of sediment-laden meltwater during and/or after deglaciation.

1. Introduction

The marine-based Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice-Sheet (SBIS) covered the Barents Sea and Svalbard archipelago during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (Siegert et al., 2001; Svendsen et al., 2004; Hughes et al., 2016). The ice sheet was drained by ice streams that eroded cross-shelf troughs during Quaternary full-glacial conditions (e.g. Ottesen et al., 2005, 2007; Hogan et al., 2010), which significantly shaped the morphology of the shelf edge and the adjacent continental slope (e.g. Vorren et al., 1988; Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014). The largely diamicton sediments delivered by fast-flowing ice streams to the shelf edge are most often found as stacked glacigenic debris-fl ows on the continental slope, forming trough-mouth fans (TMFs) (e.g. Laberg and Vorren, 1995; O’Cofaigh et al., 2003). The glacial morphology of the continental slope beyond cross-shelf troughs can therefore provide information regarding ice-sheet dynamics and glacial history (e.g. Laberg and Vorren, 1995; O’Cofaigh et al., 2003). However, several Arctic cross-shelf troughs lack TMFs (c.f. Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014). This is often attributed to low input of glacigenic sediments to the shelf edge or to sediment-bypassing of the upper slope (Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014). Relatively low fluxes of glacigenic sediment have been suggested to depend on factors such as bedrock resistance to erosion, relatively small ice-drainage basin area (Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2009), or to limited ice streaming over the shelf (c.f. Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014).
Several TMFs have been described along the western continental margin of Svalbard and the Barents Sea: the Kongsfjorden, Isfjorden, Storfjorden and Bear Island TMFs (e.g. Laberg and Vorren, 1996; Elverhøi et al., 1997; Andreassen et al., 2008; Sarkar et al., 2011). However, on the northern continental margin of Svalbard much less is known about continental slope morphology and its connection to ice-sheet dynamics. There is clear evidence that the SBIS reached the northern shelf edge during the LGM (Knies et al., 2001; Chauhan et al., 2016a) and that Hinlopen Trough was a major drainage pathway for the SBIS that brought ice and sediments to the continental shelf edge (Batchelor et al., 2011). Ice streams are also shown to have occupied Albertini and Kvitøya Troughs, but little is known of the geomorphology and sedimentary processes on the continental slope beyond these two troughs (Fig. 1a-b and 2a) (Hogan et al., 2010; Noormets et al., 2012).

In this paper, we investigate the morphology and sedimentary architecture of the continental slope beyond the Albertini and Kvitøya glacial troughs north of Svalbard, and link this to sedimentary processes...
on the continental slope and past SBIS dynamics on the adjacent shelf. This is addressed using a variety of high-resolution marine-geophysical data including airgun seismic profiles, chirp sub-bottom profiles, and multibeam-bathymetric and backscatter data. By interpreting these data we identify the glacial-sedimentary processes shaping this continental margin, and how and why the margin differs from the western Barents Sea. Ultimately we are contributing to the understanding of the dynamics of the northern SBIS during the last glacial-deglacial cycle.

2. Geological and glaciological setting

The northern continental margin of Svalbard is located in the northwestern Barents Sea (Fig. 1a and 2a). It is bounded by the Svalbard archipelago to the south, the Yermak Plateau to the northwest, and the Nansen Basin of the Arctic Ocean to the north. The Barents Sea region consists of a series of platforms and basins that drifted to their present positions from about 20°N in the Carboniferous period through 55°N in the Triassic period (Worsley and Aga, 1986; Heafford, 1988; Doré, 1995). Long-term palaeoclimatic factors linked to plate drifting therefore played an important role in determining sedimentary environments in the Barents Sea, which have changed from carbonate-dominated to clastic deposits with the northward motion of the region (e.g. Gee et al., 2008). Intracontinental sedimentation dominated in the Barents Sea from approximately 240 to 60 Ma before the opening of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Doré, 1995; Faleide et al., 1996); however, marine sedimentation has dominated since the late Paleozoic (Heafford, 1988; Doré, 1995). However, although the bedrock of Spitsbergen is dominated by sedimentary rocks, Late Precambrian crystalline rocks of Hecla Hoek formation is likely dominating the northern Svalbard margin (Elverhøi and Lauritzen, 1984).

The continental margin around Svalbard has been reshaped significantly by glacial-interglacial processes during the Plio-Pleistocene (e.g. Mangerud et al., 1998). The fjords and troughs of northern Svalbard, such as Wijdefjorden, Rjupfjorden and Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs demarcate former drainage pathways of the SBIS (Ottesen et al., 2005, 2007; Hogan et al., 2010; Batchelor et al., 2011; Fransner et al., 2017) (Fig. 2a). The erosion rate of streaming ice were probably partly controlled by the underlying bedrock, where high resistance to glacial erosion as found along the northern Svalbard margin probably minimized the local erosion rate there (e.g. Hogan et al., 2010).

Kvitøya Trough has approximate dimensions of 190 × 25 × 0.5 km (length × width × max depth) and a glacial drainage basin estimated to be around 15,000 km² (Fig. 2a) (Dowdeswell et al., 2010). The corresponding dimensions for the smaller Albertini Trough are approximately 100 × 35 × 0.22 km with a drainage basin of approximately 6000 km² (Fig. 2a) (Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014).

The beginning of deglaciation after the LGM is dated to c. 18.5 cal. ka BP for the continental shelf edge north of Svalbard (Knies et al., 2001; Chauhan et al., 2016a). Ice-rafted debris (IRD) on the continental slope and significant numbers of iceberg ploughmarks on the continental shelf north of Nordauslandet suggest that calving was of importance during regional deglaciation (Dowdeswell et al., 2010; Hogan et al., 2010; Noormets et al., 2012; Chauhan et al., 2016a; Dowdeswell and Hogan, 2016). Unconsolidated subglacial-deglacial-postglacial sediments are often draped on top of bedrock in the Barents Sea, although sediment thicknesses are variable (Elverhøi et al., 1998). The three typical lithofacies covering bedrock in the NW Barents Sea are, from bottom to top: (1) diamicton, (2) pebbly mud and (3) massive mud (Elverhøi et al., 1989). Radiocarbon dates from above the diamicton on the shelf return deglacial-postglacial ages (Elverhøi et al., 1989). Sediments of LGM and older age, up to c. 74 ka, have been preserved on the upper continental slope of the northern Svalbard margin (Chauhan et al., 2016a).

The modern oceanography of the northern continental margin of Svalbard is influenced strongly by the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC), which is subdivided into the Yermak and Svalbard branches (e.g. Slubowska et al., 2005) (Fig. 1a). These branches are the main suppliers of relatively warm, Atlantic water into the Arctic Ocean (e.g. Slubowska et al., 2005). The Svalbard branch, due to its flow along the continental margin north of Svalbard, is also of importance for the reworking and redistribution of the unconsolidated sediments there (Slubowska et al., 2005; Vanneste et al., 2006; Chauhan et al., 2016a, 2016b).
3. Data acquisition and methods

Air-gun seismic and chirp acoustic shallow sub-bottom profiles, a sediment gravity core, and the majority of the multibeam-bathymetric data used for this study were acquired by the R/V Helmer Hanssen in September 2011 and 2013 (Fig. 1c). The seismic data were acquired using a Delph single airgun system with a 30-m long streamer. The airborne chirp data were collected with a hull-mounted Edge Tech 3300 chirp sub-bottom profiler with a frequency range of 2–16 kHz. A Kongsberg EM300, 30 kHz multibeam echo-sounder system was used to acquire the bathymetric data. Additional multibeam-bathymetric data were acquired by the RSS James Clark Ross in 2006 using a Kongsberg EM12, 12 kHz multibeam system and were provided by the Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, UK (Fig. 1c).

The multibeam-bathymetric data were processed, gridded and visualized in the QPS Fledermaus suite. The data were then gridded using a 40 m isometric grid-cell size. Maps of the bathymetric data were produced in ArcMap (version 10.1).

For the sub-bottom data, two-way-travel time (TWT) was converted to depth using a sound velocity of 1500 m/s, which has been used in previous works on shallow glacial-marine sediments (e.g. Hogan et al., 2011; Hjelstuen et al., 2013). Where possible, acoustic units identified on the chirp profiles were correlated with lithological units in sediment gravity core HH11–09 (Fig. 1b). Sedimentological and paleoceanographic analysis of the core can be found in Chauhan et al. (2016a, 2016b).

The majority of the chirp profiles were converted to SEG-Y format for display and analysis in Kingdom Suite (version 8.8). However, some chirp profiles lost resolution during conversion and were kept in their native format (.jsf) for display and analysis in Edgetech Discover 2.0. A sound velocity of 1500 m/s was used to convert the TWT to meters. The airgun profiles were converted to SEG-Y format for display and analysis in Kingdom Suite (version 8.8). The airgun profiles were analyzed in the time domain (i.e. TWT) due to lack of a velocity model for the data. However, an approximate depth conversion was performed using a sound velocity of 1500 m/s in order to facilitate comparison with the chirp data.

4. Seismic-reflection data: architecture of the continental shelf edge and slope

4.1. Description

Seismic-reflection data from the continental shelf edge and slope were already presented by Geissler and Jokat (2004) (Fig. 3). Although their data penetrate deeper than the new data presented here, our data bring new information regarding seismic units and architecture. The total sediment thickness imaged by the seismic-reflection data presented here varies from 0.3 s TWT (c. 225 m) on the upper continental slope to 0.8 s TWT (c. 1200 m) on the middle-lower continental slope beyond Albertini Trough (Figs. 4–5).

No seismic units or interpretations were characterized from the continental slope beyond Kvitøya Trough due to the comparatively low quality of the data from there (Fig. 5a).

The sedimentary architecture of the continental slope off Kvitøya and Albertini troughs is characterized by five seismic units (A1-A5) (where “A” stands for “Airgun”) (Table 1). The acoustic characteristics of these units are described in Table 1.

Where visible, the internal reflectors of A1 are semi-parallel to parallel to each other (Table 1). The low amplitude of the reflectors together with the absence of the base of A1 is likely due to loss of the airgun signal due to attenuation with depth. A1 is present on the continental slope beyond Albertini Trough (Fig. 5a). On the middle continental slope between c. 2.18 and 2.3 sect TWT, A1 displays an erosional boundary (Fig. 5d). The sediment stratigraphy immediately to the north of the erosional boundary displays a more chaotic structure (Fig. 5d). A1 is depth-correlated to late-Pliocene sediments interpreted by Geissler and Jokat (2004) (Fig. 3).

Unit A2 is up to 0.2 s TWT thick and lies conformably on top of A1 (Fig. 5a). A2 is depth-correlated to lower Quaternary Sediments, as interpreted by Geissler and Jokat (2004). The erosional boundary in A1 is also visible in A2 (Fig. 5d). The erosional boundary is therefore present between c. 2.0 and 2.3 s TWT in the stratigraphy at the middle continental slope off Albertini Trough (Fig. 5d).

The internal reflectors of unit A3 generally go from sub-parallel at the upper slope to parallel at the middle to lower slope (Fig. 5b). A3 lies conformably on top of A2 (Fig. 5b) and is depth-correlated to Quaternary sediments (Fig. 3). A3 displays an erosional boundary between c. 1.75 and 1.90 s TWT on the middle continental slope off Albertini Trough (Fig. 5d).

A4 has several internal reflectors of high amplitude (Table 1). These reflectors generally go from semi-parallel at the upper slope to parallel on the middle to lower slope (Fig. 5b). Local areas of high amplitude and chaotic reflector patterns occur above areas of acoustic blanking (Table 1; Fig. 5d). A4 is generally found conformably on top of A3 (Fig. 5d). A4 is depth-correlated to Quaternary sediments (Fig. 3).

The upper bounding reflector of Unit A5 is of moderate-high amplitude and continuity (Table 1). Its internal reflectors have sub-parallel to chaotic geometries defining lense-shaped units of seismically homogenous to chaotic character. A5 forms hummocky units at the seafloor that dominate the seismic stratigraphy on the continental rise and lower slope beyond Kvitøya Trough (Fig. 6). The hummocky units are present from ca. 3.40 to 3.65 s TWT, and are depth-correlated to Quaternary sediments following Geissler and Jokat (2004) (Figs. 3, 6).

4.2. Interpretation

The sub-parallel to parallel reflectors in Unit A1 are probably a result of rhythmic variations in sedimentation (e.g. Hjelstuen et al., 2013). Such variations on continental slopes often consist of alternating clay and sandy silt that are deposited and reworked by down-slope-turbidity and along-slope contour currents (Rebesco et al., 1996; Pudsey, 2000; Dowdeswell et al., 2006). Although a glaciogenic origin for the sediments cannot be excluded, it is suggested that the majority...
of the sediments of A1 were deposited by along-slope currents, possibly the WSC, which has formed similar contouritic deposits along the western Svalbard margin (e.g. Rebesco et al., 2013). However, the oldest recorded influx of warm Atlantic water along the northern Svalbard margin is from MIS 6, which is much younger than unit A1 (Knies et al., 2000). It is not possible to confirm here whether unit A1 was deposited by the WSC or by older continental slope processes.

Units A2–A4 have similar seismic-reflector characteristics to A1, which could indicate similar depositional environments. However, the local semi-parallel reflectors in A3 and A4 on the upper slope may indicate a higher energy sedimentary environment with less processes sorting and redistributing the sediments there compared to further downslope. The depth correlation to Quaternary sediments suggests that units A2–A4 were deposited in a glacimarine environment which presumably increased sediment fluxes to the continental slope, at least periodically as ice sheets expanded and retreated across the shelf (Geissler and Jokat, 2004).

The erosional contact affecting both A1 and A2 between c. 2.0 and 2.2 s TWT is interpreted as a slide scar resulting from a sediment failure at the middle continental slope off Albertini Trough. The part of the sediment stratigraphy to the north of the slide scar is interpreted as a part of the sediment deposition from the failure due to its chaotic acoustic character (e.g. García et al., 2012) (Fig. 5d).

The erosional boundary in A3 is not connected to the slide scar affecting A1 and A2 (Fig. 5d). Therefore the erosional boundary in A3 is interpreted to have formed by another process than the sediment failure in A1 and A2. The lack of sediment deposition related to the erosional boundary in A3 may indicate that the eroded sediments were transported further from its source. We therefore suggest that A3 was eroded by gully incision (Fig. 5d).

The local high-amplitude and chaotic reflectors in unit A4 are interpreted as local debris-flow deposits (Fig. 5d), which commonly give a chaotic reflector-pattern (e.g. García et al., 2012).

Chaotic reflector geometry of sediment located beyond trough mouths are commonly interpreted to be composed of glacigenic debris-flow deposits (e.g. García et al., 2012). Unit A5 is therefore interpreted as glacigenic debris-flow deposits originating from relatively rapid sediment delivery and down-slope transport of sediments by ice streaming to the mouth of Kvitøya Trough during full-glacial times. Since A5 is exclusively present below Kvitøya Trough, A5 cannot be put into relation with the stratigraphy off Albertini Trough where units A1–A4 are present. However, the different hummocky units building unit A5 as well as the relatively high height of A5 are characteristics often associated with channel levee deposits formed by sediment overspill along the sides of channels (Kane et al., 2010). Channel-levee deposits are therefore an alternative interpretation for unit A5 (Fig. 6).

5. Chirp sub-bottom data: uppermost sediment stratigraphy

5.1. Description

Eight units named C1–C8 (where “C” sands for “Chirp”) were distinguished in the acoustic stratigraphy (upper c. 50 m of seafloor sediments) from the continental slope (Figs. 7-8 and Table 2). The acoustic characteristics of these units are presented in Table 2.

C1 constitutes the acoustic basement and is overlain by units C2–C7 offshore Albertini Trough. Here, C1 shows a tendency of lobate geometry (Fig. 7b). C1 crops out on the continental slope beyond Kvitøya Trough (Figs. 7-8). C2 is found conformably on top of C1 on the upper slope beyond Albertini Trough (Fig. 7b). C2 has a maximum thickness of 6 m (Fig. 7b). The maximum thickness of C3 is c. 4.5 m (Fig. 7b). C3 lies conformably on top of C2 (Fig. 7b). C4 is present conformably on top of C3. C4 reaches 3 m in thickness (Fig. 7b). The maximum thickness of C5 is c. 11 m. C5 is present conformably on top of C4 on the upper slope off Albertini Trough (Fig. 7b). Units C1 to C5 correlate with the top c. 10 m of airgun unit A4 on the upper continental slope off...
Albertini Trough.

Unit C6 covers the top of the acoustic stratigraphy at the middle and lower slope off Albertini Trough (Fig. 8a). Where present, C6 correlates to the top 50 m of the airgun unit A4 (Fig. 5d). The upper surface of C6 is characterized by V-shaped incisions and internal reflectors are truncated (Fig. 8a). While some of the v-shaped incisions are filled with sediment, others are empty (Fig. 8a). Unit C7 locally overlies C1 on the slope beyond Kvitøya Trough (Fig. 8b). The maximum thickness of C7 is c. 9 m (Fig. 8b). Unit C8 is exclusively present on the continental shelf edge (Table 2). The thickness of C8 cannot be determined due to the very little to no penetration of the acoustic signal below the upper bounding reflector of C8 (Table 2).

5.2. Interpretation

Unit C1 is interpreted as the acoustic basement, consisting of coarse-grained glacigenic sediments based on little-to-no acoustic penetration of the unit (e.g. Batchelor et al., 2011) (Table 2). The lobate geometry of unit C1 off Albertini Trough could indicate deposition through debris flows there (Fig. 7).

The acoustic transparency and conformable geometry of Unit C2 is typical for relatively homogenous glacimarine muds based on little-to-no acoustic penetration of the unit (e.g. Batchelor et al., 2011) (Table 2). The lobate geometry of unit C1 off Albertini Trough could indicate deposition through debris flows there (Fig. 7).

The top of Unit C3 was sampled by gravity core HH11-09 (Fig. 7b). The correlated core interval consists of mud with relatively thin sand layers towards its base (Chauhan et al., 2016a). The thin sand layers are suggested to cause the internal reflectors of low amplitude and low continuity in this unit. C3 has similar acoustic characteristics as C2, suggesting similar depositional environments for these units (Table 2).

Unit C4 is partly correlated with a reworked sand layer that was deposited c. 24–23 ka cal yr BP (Chauhan et al., 2016a) (Fig. 7b). This unit was interpreted to be of subglacial origin and was deposited on the continental slope through gravity driven processes during the maximum extent of the SBIS (Chauhan et al., 2016a). It is however unlikely that the interpretation of the sand layer in HH11-09 can be extrapolated to the whole C4 unit. Even if C4 contains sand it is likely that this unit just like unit C3 has a mud matrix.

Unit C5 correlates with homogenous muds in core HH11-09; two dates from this unit give ages of 15 ka cal yr BP and 16.9 ka cal yr BP, respectively (Fig. 7b), which are postglacial ages (Chauhan et al., 2016a).

The acoustically stratified character of Unit C6 is interpreted to result from rhythmical variations in sedimentation on the slope (Table 2) (Hjelstuen et al., 2013). On continental slopes such sediments often consist of alternating sandy silts and clays deposited and re-worked by down-slope turbidity currents and along-slope contour currents (Rebesco et al., 1996, 2013; Pudsey, 2000; Dowdeswell et al., 2006).

The top of Unit C3 was sampled by gravity core HH11-09 (Fig. 7b). The correlated core interval consists of mud with relatively thin sand layers towards its base (Chauhan et al., 2016a). The thin sand layers are suggested to cause the internal reflectors of low amplitude and low continuity in this unit. C3 has similar acoustic characteristics as C2, suggesting similar depositional environments for these units (Table 2).

Unit C4 is partly correlated with a reworked sand layer that was deposited c. 24–23 ka cal yr BP (Chauhan et al., 2016a) (Fig. 7b). This unit was interpreted to be of subglacial origin and was deposited on the continental slope through gravity driven processes during the maximum extent of the SBIS (Chauhan et al., 2016a). It is however unlikely that the interpretation of the sand layer in HH11-09 can be extrapolated to the whole C4 unit. Even if C4 contains sand it is likely that this unit just like unit C3 has a mud matrix.

Unit C5 correlates with homogenous muds in core HH11-09; two dates from this unit give ages of 15 ka cal yr BP and 16.9 ka cal yr BP, respectively (Fig. 7b), which are postglacial ages (Chauhan et al., 2016a).

The acoustically stratified character of Unit C6 is interpreted to result from rhythmical variations in sedimentation on the slope (Table 2) (Hjelstuen et al., 2013). On continental slopes such sediments often consist of alternating sandy silts and clays deposited and re-worked by down-slope turbidity currents and along-slope contour currents (Rebesco et al., 1996, 2013; Pudsey, 2000; Dowdeswell et al., 2006).
Table 1  
Seismic units A1-A5 identified from the seismic-reflection data from the continental slope of the study area. The unit examples are arrowed in each image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Data example</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Moderate-high amplitude and high continuity of upper bounding reflector. Semi-parallel to chaotic reflection geometry. Locally present on the continental rise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>High amplitude and continuity of upper bounding reflector. Internal, semi-parallel to parallel reflectors of high amplitude and continuity. The reflectors are chaotic pattern.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>High amplitude and high continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of moderate amplitude and continuity. The reflectors are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Moderate amplitude and high continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of moderate amplitude and continuity. The reflectors are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Low amplitude and moderate continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of low amplitude and continuity. The reflectors are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Multibeam-bathymetric morphological data

The continental shelf edge of Nordaustlandet is present at a water depth between approximately 200 and 290 m with the deepest areas (250–290 m) at the mouth of Kvitøya Trough (Fig. 1b). The gross morphology of the Albertini and Kvitøya trough mouth shows significant differences in planview: the mouth of Albertini Trough incises into the shelf whereas the mouth of Kvitøya Trough protrudes northwards (Fig. 1b). The geometry of the continental slope beyond the two troughs also shows significant morphological differences. The slope beyond Kvitøya Trough has a concave long-profile shape with a maximum dip of 9° before it reaches the continental rise at a depth of about 3000 m (Fig. 1d). In contrast, the continental slope beyond Albertini Trough is more complex. Down to a water depth of 1000 m the continental slope is comparatively flat, with a maximum dip of 3–4° (Fig. 1d). From 1000 m to the continental rise the slope gradient steepens to a maximum of 12°. Overall, this gives a convex-shaped slope profile (Fig. 1e). Taken together, the study area comprises several morphological features described below.

6.1. Trough-mouth fan (TMF)

The Kvitøya TMF is demarcated by depth contours bulging outwards from the shelf edge in water depths between about 300 and 2700 m (Fig 9a and e). However, we infer that the down-slope extent of the Kvitøya TMF continues beyond the limits of our dataset because the IBCAO regional bathymetry (Jakobsson et al., 2012) shows that the hummocky terrain of the continental rise continues to the north (Fig. 1b). Taking this area of hummocky terrain into account, we estimate the area of the Kvitøya TMF to be at least 2500 km² (Fig. 1b) with an average thickness of Quaternary deposits of 0.53 s TWT, equivalent to 0.4 km. This yields an estimated volume of approximately 1000 km³ for the Kvitøya TMF. This volume is relatively small compared to selected TMFs in Table 3 (c.f. Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014 and references therein). Although Albertini Trough lacks a TMF, it is possible to estimate the Quaternary deposits in the trough mouth above the downfaulted bedrock. The downfaulted bedrock covers a distance of c. 58 km of the outermost Albertini Trough (Fig. 3b). The width of Albertini Trough is c. 35 km (Table 3). The average thickness of Quaternary deposits in the downfaulted area of Albertini Trough is c. 0.5 s TWT, equivalent to 0.38 km (Fig. 3b). This gives an estimated volume of 770 km³ for the Quaternary deposits above the downfaulted bedrock in Albertini Trough, which is relatively similar to the estimated volume of the Kvitøya TMF.

The multibeam-bathymetric data from Kvitøya TMF indicate that there are large, numerous debris-flow deposits and/or channel-levee deposits that cross-cut each other at water depths between 1900 and 2800 m, indicating multiple down-slope transport events (Fig. 9a). The dimensions of these deposits vary from c. 8 × 2 × 0.1 km to c. 16 × 7 × 0.1 km (lengths × widths × depths; Fig. 9a). The debris-flow deposits and/or channel-levee deposits are acoustically chaotic and correlate with seismic unit A5 on the continental rise lower slope and acoustic unit C7 at the middle slope (Figs. 6; 8b). Although debris flows deposits and channel-levee deposits are formed by different processes, the chaotic nature of the sea bed of the continental rise off Kvitøya Trough makes it difficult to distinguish which of the two processes was the dominating one (Fig. 9a). However, the presence of acoustic unit C7 on the lower slope indicates that debris-flow deposits dominate there (Fig. 8b).

The extent of Kvitøya TMF is also well-defined in seafloor multibeam backscatter data (Fig. 9c). Backscatter returns over the fan have relatively high values (−20 to −30 dB) compared to adjacent slope areas (−30 to −40 dB), although the shelf edge and the debris-flow and/or channel-levee deposits on the lower continental slope and rise have the highest values (c. −20 to −25 dB).
6.2. Slide scar and associated sediment deposit

An along-slope-elongated slide scar with approximate length of 23.6 km and width of maximum 800 m (in the bathymetry) is located along the middle continental slope where the western tributary canyon system begins (Fig. 10a). The steepest wall of the northwards dipping slide scar is c. 12°. The slide scar correlates to the location of the slide scar visible between 2.0 and 2.2 s TWT in the seismic-reflection data (Fig. 5c). A lobe-shaped sediment deposit that is elongate in a down-slope direction is located just north of the eastern side of the slide scar.

Fig. 6. (a) Seismic-reflection profile along the continental rise. (b) Interpretation of the seismic profile in (a) shows data-coverage for the top 0.3 s TWT and that this sediment has semi-parallel to chaotic reflector geometry. These sediments are of Quaternary age based on depth-correlation with Geissler and Jokat (2004) (Fig. 3). Location of the profile is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 7. (a) Chirp profile across the upper slope beyond Albertini Trough. (b) Interpretation of (a) showing relatively thick sediment cover consisting of C1-C5. The location and approximate penetration depth of gravity core HH11-09 (Chauhan et al., 2016a) is also shown. Location of the profile is shown in Fig. 1.
individual canyons have widths and depths varying between 1500 and 7000 m and 150 to 400 m, respectively (Fig. 9f).

6.3. Tributary canyons and gullies

The multibeam-bathymetric data indicate that V-shaped tributary canyons flank the western and eastern sides of Kvituå TMF between c. 1160 and 2900 m water depth (Fig. 9a). In addition, the IBCAO map indicates that the slope immediately to the west of the study area also is dissected by similar tributary canyons (Fig. 1b). Here we describe only the tributary canyons to the west and east of Kvituå TMF that are imaged by our multibeam-bathymetric data, which will be referred to as the western and the eastern tributary canyon sets, respectively (Fig. 9a).

The western tributary canyon set consists of multiple tributaries located beyond the Albertini trough mouth (Fig. 9). These tributaries have widths and depths between 3000 and 7000 m and 150 to 500 m, respectively (Fig. 9d). A channel on the upper continental slope beyond Albertini Trough is connected to the start of the western tributary canyon set (Fig. 9c). The start of the western tributary canyon set correlates to the slide scar in the bathymetry as well as to the erosional boundary of A3, supporting the interpretation of that boundary as formed by canyon incision after the sediment failure on the middle continental slope that formed the slide scar with associated lobe-shaped sediment deposit (Figs. 5d and 10a). The sides of the lobes-shaped sediment deposit are eroded by a canyon to the west and smaller gullies to the east (Fig. 10b).

Although all the tributary canyons of the western set are prominent, the chirp data shows that some of them are being infilled while others are not (Fig. 8a). This indicates that downslope sediment transportation through the tributary canyons is an ongoing process but that these transportations are unevenly distributed over the tributary canyon system.

The eastern tributary canyon set is less prominent (Fig. 9) There, the individual canyons have widths and depths varying between 1500 and 6000 m and 50 to 400 m, respectively (Fig. 9f).

Fig. 8. (a) Chirp profile across the middle-lower continental slope off Albertini Trough where a tributary canyon network (arrowed) cuts through the uppermost units (C6) and erodes the side of a sediment mound. Subtle ridges are hinted at the base of the sediment mound. The large arrow is pointing to the tributary canyon shown in the seismic-reflection data (Fig. 4c). All the arrows are labeled with “infill” or “no infill”, which refers to that some tributary canyons are filled with sediments while others are not. (b) Chirp profile along the continental slope offshore of Kvituå Trough, which is dominated by acoustic facies C1. (c) Blow-up of (b) shows two lobes of C8 on the lower continental slope. The respective location of the chirp profiles is shown in Fig. 1.

The dimensions of individual canyons in both tributary canyon sets tend to decrease towards Kvituå TMF (Fig 9d and f), where they gradually transit into significantly smaller, more linear gullies without tributaries that are superimposing Kvituå TMF (Figs. 10a–b). These gullies lead down to the debris flow deposits and/or channel-levee deposits on the continental rise which may indicate that the channel-levee deposits are dominating the deposits there (Fig. 9a).

The differences in dimensions and development of the gullies is likely due to that the gullies off Kvituå Trough more regularly are infilled by glacigenic sediments from Kvituå Trough compared to the gully sets flanking them, which can form over longer time spans less affected by glacigenic infill (Figs. 9-10).

Multibeam-backscatter strength is variable within the tributary canyons (Fig. 9c). The upper parts of the tributary canyons have values around −25 to −30 dB, which gradually increase to −20 dB in their lower parts (Fig. 9c). A bulge-shaped sediment deposit with strong multibeam-backscatter values of −20 to −30 dB is present below the western tributary canyon set at the continental rise (Fig. 9c). The location of the bulge-shaped sediment deposit indicates that it is a submarine fan formed by repeated sediment delivery bypassing through the tributary canyons (c.f. Covault, 2011).

The areas unaffected by canyon erosion on the western flank of Kvituå TMF are covered by acoustic unit C6, and normally display backscatter values of −30 to −40 dB (Fig. 8a). The higher backscatter values in the tributary canyons compared to their surroundings suggest that the tributary canyon areas consist of coarser sediments, which most likely result from erosion during active downslope sediment transport (Fig. 9c).

6.4. Sediment mound and flanking channel

A sediment mound is located on the middle continental slope at water depths between 730 and 1100 m (Fig. 10a). The mound extends beyond the seaward limit of the multibeam dataset used in this study; however, the part of the mound that we have mapped has the approximate dimensions of 22 × 15 × 0.2 km (Fig. 10a). Chirp data
Table 2
Acoustic units C1-C8 identified from the chirp data from the continental shelf edge and slope in the study area. The unit examples are arrowed in the table. The spikes in the data examples are heave artefacts (arrowed in Fig. 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Data example</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C8" /></td>
<td>Low-moderate amplitude and moderate continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Very little to no penetration. Undulating reflector due to ploughmarks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C7" /></td>
<td>Acoustically transparent lobes. Moderate-high amplitude and medium continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Present at the continental slope and rise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C6" /></td>
<td>High amplitude and continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of high amplitude and continuity which are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C5" /></td>
<td>Moderate to high amplitude and high continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Locally internal, parallel reflectors of high amplitude and low-medium continuity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C4" /></td>
<td>High amplitude and high continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of high amplitude and low continuity. The reflectors are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C3" /></td>
<td>High amplitude and high continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Internal reflectors of low amplitude and low continuity. The reflectors are semi-parallel to parallel to each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C2" /></td>
<td>Moderate amplitude and moderate continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Acoustically transparent to semi-transparent unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="C1" /></td>
<td>Moderate amplitude and moderate continuity of the upper bounding reflector. Constitutes the bounding reflector of the acoustic basement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data contained in Table 2 provide a detailed description of acoustic units C1-C8 observed in the study area. These units were identified from chirp data and are characterized by specific acoustic properties such as amplitude, continuity, and reflector type. The figures accompanying Table 2 illustrate the physical characteristics of these acoustic units, incorporating elements such as marines, slopes, and rises. The data indicate that the sediment mound consists of acoustic unit C6, interpreted as layered glaciomarine deposits (Fig. 10a). Subtle ridges are hinted at the acoustic basement of the sediment mound, however, these ridges are not visible on the overlying sea floor (Fig. 8a). The bathymetric data also show that the western tributary canyon set is incising the sediment mound, possibly via retrogressive upslope progression of gullies (Fig. 10a). The top of the sediment mound is characterized by a series of subtle, parallel to sub-parallel linear ridges and troughs with wavelengths of approximately 500 m, amplitudes of 10–20 m, and SW-NE orientations (Fig. 10c). It is uncertain if the ridges in the bathymetry are related to the ridges hinted in the underlying chirp data since those ridges only appear under below the sea bed (Fig. 8a). A flat-bottomed channel with approximate dimensions of 10 × 4 × 0.1 km flanks the eastern side of the sediment mound (Fig. 10a). The channel extends down the upper slope and ends on the middle slope where smaller gullies connect to one of the larger tributary canyons (labeled in Fig. 10a and c).

7. Discussion

7.1. Variations in shelf-edge and slope morphology and architecture

Our results indicate that the Albertini glacial trough-slope system is different from most other glacial troughs around Svalbard and the Barents Sea in several ways. Firstly, the mouth of Albertini Trough cuts back into the shelf edge, rather than protruding seaward, and does not have a TMF at the shelf edge and on the slope despite the fact that previous studies show prograding Quaternary sediments in the trough (Figs. 1; 11) (Geissler and Jokat, 2004). Secondly, the morphology and sedimentology of the continental slope beyond Albertini Trough is dominated by thick, acoustically stratified units instead of debris-flow deposits, which are typically the main building blocks of TMFs (Figs. 5; 8a) (e.g. Laberg and Vorren, 1995; O’Cofaigh et al., 2003). Thirdly, the slope beyond Albertini Trough is characterized by a well-developed set of tributary canyons incising the sea floor (Figs. 5c-d, 8a).

However, unlike Albertini Trough, the neighbouring Kvitøya Trough mouth does have a TMF that is depth-correlated to prograding Quaternary sediments (Fig. 3a). Although the deeper internal architecture of this fan is not as well imaged, the chirp and multibeam-backscatter data indicate that the sedimentology of the continental slope adjacent to Kvitøya Trough consists of coarse-grained glaciogenic sediments (Fig. 8b and 9c). The surface of the fan is relatively smooth but is incised by gullies significantly smaller and less developed than the tributary canyon sets flanking the trough mouth fan (Fig. 9a–b). Further down-slope, the continental rise and lower slope are covered by debris-flow and/or channel levee deposits (Fig. 11).

The differences between the Albertini and Kvitøya glacial trough-slope systems can be explained by several factors. Firstly, the structural-geological setting of the two troughs differs considerably. Deep seismic-reflection profiles reveal that the acoustic basement below Albertini Trough is heavily down-faulted and lies between 2.5 and 3.5 s TWT (1.9–2.6 km) (Fig. 3) (Geissler and Jokat, 2004). The acoustic basement below Kvitøya Trough lies between 1.0 and 2.5 s TWT (0.75–1.9 km) and is more intact, with significantly less throw on down-faulted sections (Fig. 3) (Geissler and Jokat, 2004). We suggest that the down-faulted bedrock surface below Albertini Trough provided a significantly larger accommodation space for the Late Pliocene sediments compared to the bedrock below Kvitøya Trough. Therefore, glaciogenic sediments brought to the shelf edge by ice streaming through Albertini Trough probably filled the comparatively large accommodation space available instead of accumulating on, and building out onto the adjacent slope.

We suggest that this is the reason for the absence of a significant trough-mouth fan deposit beyond Albertini Trough. The absence of a TMF beyond Albertini Trough probably facilitated tributary canyon incision which uninhibited of infill of glaciogenic sediments could develop better than off Kvitøya Trough (Fig. 9a).
Secondly, we consider the sedimentary architecture of the continental slope beyond Albertini and Kvitøya troughs in order to determine the different sedimentation processes that may have shaped these margins.

The thick stratified units imaged by the airgun units A1-A4 from the middle to lower continental slope as well as by chirp (Unit C6) indicate that the dominant processes on the middle to lower slope beyond Albertini Trough were deposition by contour currents and/or downslope turbidity currents since the late Pliocene (Figs. 5; 8a). The continuous sequence of these units indicates that these processes also prevailed on the middle to lower continental slope during Quaternary. We suggest that this is due to relatively low input of glacigenic sediments from Albertini Trough to the slope because those sediments were "trapped" in the accommodation space on the outer shelf (Figs. 3, 11).

The slide scar on the middle continental slope in units A1 and A2 indicates that a mass-wasting event evacuated c. 0.3 s TWT of late Pliocene-lower Quaternary sediments down the continental slope off Albertini Trough (Figs. 5d and 10). The lobe-shaped sediment deposit adjacent to the slide scar is likely the depositional area for parts of the evacuated sediments from the slide scar (Figs. 10; 11). It is unlikely that this slope failure itself contributed to the cut-back of Albertini Trough into the shelf edge.

The recent deposition of acoustically laminated sediments (unit C6) and a sediment mound with superimposed sediment waves on the middle slope (Figs. 8a; 10) support the interpretation that the area is influenced strongly by contour currents. We suggest that the contourites on the slope adjacent to Albertini Trough were formed by deposition from the Svalbard branch of the WSC which flows eastward

---

**Table 3**

A selection of glacial cross-shelf troughs, their dimensions, approximate ice-stream drainage basins, shelf width, and approximate TMF volume (adapted from Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2014). Albertini and Kvitøya troughs (above the first dotted line) are the focus of this study. Bear Island, Hinlopen and Storfjorden troughs are also situated on the Svalbard margin; the Mackenzie and Scoresby Sund troughs are from the Canadian and Greenland margins, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trough</th>
<th>Trough length (km)</th>
<th>Trough width (km)</th>
<th>Trough depth (km)</th>
<th>App. ice-stream drainage basin (km²)</th>
<th>Shelf width (km)</th>
<th>App. volume of TMF (km³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albertini</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>No TMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvitøya</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear Island</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinlopen</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storfjorden</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoresby-sund</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 9.** (a) Multibeam-bathymetric data showing Kvitøya TMF with tributary canyon sets flanking its western and eastern sides. Smaller gullies generally extend from the upper to lower continental slope of the Kvitøya TMF where they connect to debris-flow-and/or channel levee deposits. (b) The shelf edge is heavily scoured by iceberg ploughmarks. (c) A multibeam-backscatter image of the slope, indicating that the TMF has stronger multibeam-backscatter than the flanking areas eroded by tributary canyon sets. The white dashed lines mark the boundaries between the TMF and the areas of the slope incised with tributary canyons. A submarine fan with relatively high multibeam-backscatter values (labeled) is present below the tributary canyon set west of the TMF. (d) Seafloor profile of the western tributary gully set. (e) Seafloor profile of the eastern tributary canyon set.
Fig. 10. (a) Continental slope of the western flank of Kvitøya TMF. The start of gullies is indicated at 1160 m water depth. A slide scar crossing the middle continental slope correlates with the beginning of the western gully set. A lobe-shaped sediment deposit associated with the eastern part of the slide scar is located east of the tributary canyons. A channel is found upslope of one of the tributary canyons. (b) A cross-profile covering one of the major tributary canyons and the lobe-shaped sediment deposit shows that the flanks of the lobe-shaped deposit are eroded by canyon and gully incision. (c) A cross-profile shows that the channel is ca. 4 km wide and 50–100 m deep. The channel is cutting a sediment mound found to the west of the channel. The sediment mound is superimposed by sediment waves (arrowed).

Fig. 11. Morphology of the outer shelf and slope north of Nordaustlandet, Svalbard. Kvitøya Trough mouth is bulging outwards and displays an adjacent TMF which Albertini Trough does not have. Instead, the slope beyond Albertini Trough is heavily incised by a tributary canyon set. The Kvitøya TMF extends down-slope beyond the dataset (Fig. 1).
along the northern margin of Svalbard (e.g. Slubowska et al., 2005). Similar contourite drifts formed by the WSC have been reported from the western Svalbard margin at water depths between 1200 and 1800 m (Rebesco et al., 2013), which covers the depth range of the contourites here (Figs. 8a; 10).

The semi-parallel internal reflectors of the Quaternary sediments covered by units A3 and A4 indicate that the stratigraphy of the upper slope off Albertini Trough was formed in a sedimentary environment influenced by both suspension settling and by gravity driven processes. This is supported by the chirp stratigraphy of the upper slope, indicating gravity driven processes during the maximum extent of the SBIS (Chauhan et al., 2016a). The lack of contourites on the upper slope may indicate the absence of contour currents here, unlike on the middle to lower slope (Fig. 8a).

The multibeam-backscatter and chirp data suggest that the flanks of Kvitøya TMF as well as the slope beyond Albertini Trough were heavily eroded by tributary canyon formation (Figs. 9c; 11). These tributary canyons likely developed during a relatively long time, since they are not filled in by glaciogenic sediments derived from the shelf edge. We note that the similar location of the tributary canyons and the contourites could mean that the fine fraction of the debris flows entered the nepheloid layer of the WSC, thus contributing to contourite formation along the northern continental slope (c.f. Rebesco et al., 2013).

The high multibeam-backscatter signals of the tributary canyons are suggested to come from relatively coarse-grained deposits from sediment-laden meltwater that eroded the tributary canyons on the continental slope during deglaciation (Fig. 9c) (c.f. Dowdeswell et al., 2006). The high multibeam-backscatter of the submarine fan on the continental slope (c.f. Rebesco et al., 2013), which covers the depth range of the contourites here (Figs. 8a; 10).

The sediment core PS2138-1, acquired from the continental slope adjacent to the eastern gully system at 995 m water depth contains a significant amount of terrigenous organic matter (TOM) (Fig. 1b) (Knies and Stein, 1998). The TOM was interpreted to have sourced from glaciogenic sediments that bypassed the slope during the last deglaciation (Knies and Stein, 1998). The high TOM concentration supports the interpretation that down-slope gravity flows triggered by sediment-laden meltwater contributed to the erosion of the tributary canyons during deglaciation.

One of the major tributary canyons off Albertini Trough begins at the location of the previously mentioned slide scar, which may indicate that the comparatively steep dip of the slide scar facilitated canyon formation from there (Fig. 10). This would also explain the absence of a major sediment deposit below the western to central slide scar, which likely was eroded by the canyon incision and brought to the continental rise (Fig. 10).

The significant dimension differences between the western and eastern tributary canyon sets suggest that a greater volume of material has bypassed the western gully set (Fig. 9). The channel on the upper slope beyond Albertini Trough is interpreted to have been eroded by a focused down-slope gravity flow from the shelf edge; the channel transitions into gullies connecting to the tributary canyon system where the slope gradient increases to > 4° (Fig. 1; 10). We suggest that the focused gravity flows originating from the channel contributed significantly to the development of the larger western tributary canyon set (Figs. 9; 11). Furthermore, the transition from a channel to gullies at > 4° indicates that the erosive energy of the sediment-laden meltwater increased with increasing slope gradient, and that 4° is the minimum angle for gully erosion on this margin (Figs. 9–11).

Beyond Kvitøya Trough, the debris-flow and/or channel levee deposits with a chaotic reflector pattern indicate that glaciogenic sediments delivered from ice streaming through Kvitøya Trough bypassed the relatively steep continental slope and accumulated as stacked deposits on the continental rise (Figs. 6; 8b; 9). The accumulation of debris-flow and/or channel levee deposits is supported by the comparatively high multibeam-backscatter values of the hummocky terrain on the continental rise (Fig. 9c), implying comparatively coarse-grained glaciogenic sediments there. We suggest that both debris-flows and gullies eroding the continental slope off Kvitøya Trough likely were facilitated by relatively steep upper-slope gradients (< 9°), which yielded an unstable depositional environment for the glaciogenic sediments. Previous research has suggested that TMF development is favored by slope gradients < 1° (O’Cofaigh et al., 2003), which lends support to our interpretation. The comparatively small, less developed gullies incising Kvitøya TMF indicate that they formed after LGM since they otherwise likely would be covered by glaciogenic sediments from Kvitøya trough mouth (Fig. 11).

The iceberg ploughmarks on the continental shelf edge indicate that calving was important for mass-loss during the last deglaciation of the northern Svalbard margin (Fig. 9b; 11). The larger ploughmarks on the upper continental slope have previously been suggested to originate from icebergs calving from large ice streams further eastward, such as in St. Anna and Franz Victoria Troughs (Fig. 11) (Dowdeswell et al., 2010; Dowdeswell and Hogan, 2016). Abundant smaller iceberg ploughmarks have also been identified in Albertini Trough as well as from the inner continental shelf north of Nordaustlandet (Noormets et al., 2012; Fransner et al., 2017).

7.2. Comparison to other troughs

Kvitøya Trough and its associated TMF and catchment area have smaller dimensions compared to several cross-shelf troughs along the western Barents Sea (Fig. 2 and Table 3). This could indicate that ice in Kvitøya Trough was only of moderate importance for the drainage of the SBIS when compared with other ice streams (Fig. 2 and Table 3). Previous work has suggested that the full-glacial drainage basin for the Kvitøya Trough ice stream was relatively small, based on the sparse landform record and the relatively low elongation ratios of the landforms further south in Kvitøya Trough (Hogan et al., 2010). However, when comparing the TMFs of the Barents Sea region, it is evident that the bedrock of the western Barents Sea is dominated by sedimentary rocks of relatively low erosional resistivity whereas the bedrock of the northern margin is dominated by crystalline rocks (Elverhøi and Lauritzen, 1984). Therefore, the relatively high resistance to glacial erosion of the bedrock of Kvitøya Trough probably minimized the erosion rate of the streaming ice (Hogan et al., 2010). This lower erosion rate consequently reduced the volume of the trough as well as the volume of sediments delivered to its mouth (Table 3; Hogan et al., 2010).

The dimensions of Kvitøya Trough and its estimated drainage basin are similar to those of Hinlopen Trough, which is also located on the northern Svalbard margin (Fig. 2 and Table 3). In addition to these similarities, the bedrock geology is likely to be similar which makes a comparison between Kvitøya and Hinlopen troughs relevant (Hogan et al., 2010; Batchelor et al., 2011). Despite the similarities, Kvitøya Trough has a significantly larger TMF (Fig. 2 and Table 3). However, unlike Kvitøya, the slope beyond Hinlopen Trough has undergone a significant mass-wasting event, the Hinlopen-Yermak Slide, where 1350 km³ of sediments were evacuated to the Nansen Basin about 30,000 years ago (Vanneste et al., 2006; Winkelmann et al., 2008; Hogan et al., 2013). It is likely that most of the evacuated sediments are remnants of the Hinlopen TMF (Vanneste et al., 2006; Winkelmann et al., 2008). If the volume of the slide deposits is included in the estimation, the volume of Hinlopen TMF becomes c. 1363.5 km³, which is similar to the estimated volume of the Kvitøya TMF. The similar volumes of the TMFs in similar geological settings may indicate similar drainage basin sizes and ice dynamics in the Kvitøya and Hinlopen troughs during Quaternary glacial.
suggest that Albertini Trough is less developed than the other two troughs, further indicating that less glacigenic sediments were brought to the slope through Albertini Trough (Fig. 2 and Table 3). A similar interpretation was made for the Mackenzie Trough system in the Canadian Arctic, which lacks a TMF, unlike its better-developed neighbouring Amundsen Gulf and McClure Strait troughs (Fig. 2) (Batchelor et al., 2013). Our results suggest that although Albertini Trough seems to be less developed than Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs, a proportionally sized TMF would be possible if the trough mouth was less developed than Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs, a proportionally sized TMF would be possible if the trough mouth was less developed than Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs, a proportionally sized TMF would be possible if the trough mouth was less developed than Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs, a proportionally sized TMF would be possible if the trough mouth was less developed than Hinlopen and Kvitøya troughs, a proportionally sized TMF would be possible if the trough mouth was

Fig. 12. Schematic model of Albertini Trough mouth suggesting that the downfaulted bedrock on the shelf edge acts as a trap for glacigenic sediment, preventing TMF development on the adjacent slope. The trapping of the glacigenic sediments facilitates canyon development over longer time spans compared to below troughs with associated TMFs.

8. Conclusions

Based on the combined analysis of acoustic stratigraphy and seafloor geomorphology we have investigated the architecture and evolution of two glacial trough–continental slope systems on the northern Barents Sea margin (Fig. 1). We have identified the dominant Quaternary sedimentary processes acting in these two systems and their glaciological and geological factors affecting them. Our main findings are as follows.

- The shelf edge and continental slope beyond Kvitøya Trough is outward-bulging as a result of the buildup of a glacial TMF whereas the shelf edge and slope beyond of the adjacent Albertini Trough is incised and lacks a TMF.
- The main factor preventing the build-up of a TMF was the locally downfaulted bedrock below Albertini Trough, which yielded a larger accommodation space into which glacial sediments were deposited.
- A slide scar that formed in late Pliocene-early Pleistocene sediments on the middle continental slope indicates a mass-wasting event where c. 0.3 s TWT of sediments were evacuated down-slope off Albertini Trough. Although this event likely did not contribute to the withdrawn trough mouth, the steep slide scar became a start point for one of the major tributary canyons.
- The tributary canyons are flanking the sides of the Kvitøya TMF. The absence of glacigenic sediments facilitated the development of these tributary canyons which otherwise likely would have been buried or partly infilled.
- Beyond Kvitøya Trough, the relatively steep slope gradient caused the majority of the Quaternary TMF deposits to bypass the upper slope and reach the continental rise as debris-flows and/or through gullies forming channel-levee deposits. The Kvitøya TMF is incised by gullies suggesting that the gullies were active after the LGM, possibly as a consequence of sediment-laden meltwater triggering down-slope gravity flows that bypassed the slope and accumulated on the continental rise.
- Low slope gradients on the upper continental slope in front of both troughs limited the energy of the down-slope gravity flows, which prevented gully and canyon formation at the shelf edge.
- The Kvitøya TMF is significantly smaller than the TMFs of the western Barents Sea region, which we suggest is due mainly to the more erosion-resistant bedrock of the northern Barents Sea shelf, combined with a relatively small interior ice-drainage basin when compared to drainage basins of ice streams that drained westwards from the Barents Sea (Table 1).
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