



MASTER'S THESIS IN MATHEMATICS

**Differential invariants of
the 2D conformal Lie algebra action**

Marte Rørvik Høyem

February 2008

FACULTY OF SCIENCE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
University of Tromsø, N-9037, Tromsø

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}	6
2.1	Vector Bundles over a Complex Manifold	6
2.1.1	Algebras of Functions on a Complex Manifold	6
2.1.2	Vector Spaces and Vector Bundles	8
2.1.3	Vector Fields on a Complex Manifold	12
2.2	The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}	14
2.2.1	Lie Algebra Structure on \mathcal{O}	15
2.2.2	The Manifold \mathbb{C}	17
2.2.3	Almost Complex Structure on $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{R}^2$	18
2.2.4	A Relation Between the Lie Algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h}	19
3	Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}^k	22
3.1	The Space of Jets	22
3.1.1	Quotient Algebras	22
3.1.2	Algebra of Functions on the Space of Jets	23
3.1.3	The Tangent- and the Complexified Tangent Bundle of $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$	24
3.1.4	Vector Fields on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$	26
3.2	The Contact Distribution and the Cartan Distribution	27
3.2.1	The Contact Distribution on $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$	27
3.2.2	Contact Transformations and Contact Vector Fields	27
3.2.3	Prolongation of $\mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$	29
3.2.4	The Cartan Distribution on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$	30
3.2.5	Lie Transformations and Lie Vector Fields	30
3.2.6	Invariant Functions and Differential Invariants	32
3.3	The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}^k	34
3.3.1	The Distribution Π^k	36
3.3.2	Invariant Functions of Order 0, 1, 2 and 3	40
3.4	Invariant Differentiations and Differential Invariants	42
3.4.1	Tresse Derivation	44
3.4.2	Lie-Tresse Theorem	45

3.5	Invariant Derivatives of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}	46
3.5.1	Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 1	46
3.5.2	Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 2	49
3.5.3	Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 3	52
3.5.4	Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{co}(2)$	57
4	Differential Invariants of the Deformed Representations of \mathfrak{g}	62
4.1	The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}	62
4.1.1	The Lie Algebra Homomorphism $K_{\lambda} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$	62
4.1.2	A Lie Algebra Isomorphism	64
4.2	The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}^k	66
4.2.1	The Distribution Ω_{Fb}^k	67
4.2.2	Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}	68
4.2.3	Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} and \mathfrak{c}_{Fb}	71
5	Applications and Examples	74
5.1	Applications	74
5.2	The Action of \mathfrak{g} on $J^k\mathbb{R}$	77
6	Appendix	82
	Bibliography	83

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to my supervisor Boris Kruglikov for sharing his knowledge and guiding me throughout the work on this thesis.

I am appreciative to Valentin Lychagin for excellent lectures on nonlinear partial differential equations and helpful discussions and to Marius Overholt for many profitable conversations regarding complex analysis.

I want to thank all my fellow students and employees at the Institute of Mathematics and Statistics at UiTø for creating a friendly and inspiring work environment.

And I thank my boyfriend Frode Måløy, who in many ways have been a key person throughout this process.

Chapter 1

Introduction

The space

$$CO(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \cos(t) & -\lambda \sin(t) \\ \lambda \sin(t) & \lambda \cos(t) \end{bmatrix} \mid t \in S^1 = \mathbb{R} \bmod 2\pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}$$

is the linear conformal Lie group. The Lie algebra of $CO(2)$ is

$$\mathfrak{co}(2) = \langle -y\partial_x + x\partial_y, x\partial_x + y\partial_y \rangle.$$

Consider the 4-dimensional Lie group

$$CO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \{ \varphi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) : \varphi(x) = Ax + b \mid A \in CO(2), b \in \mathbb{R}^2 \}.$$

The Lie algebra of $CO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is

$$\mathfrak{co}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \langle -y\partial_x + x\partial_y, x\partial_x + y\partial_y, \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle.$$

It is known [S, KL2] that the conformal Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{ V_g = g_1(x, y)\partial_x + g_2(x, y)\partial_y \mid g_{1x} = g_{2y}, g_{1y} = -g_{2x} \} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

is the completion of the ∞ -prolongation of $\mathfrak{co}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra that corresponds to the Lie pseudogroup of all conformal transformations of \mathbb{R}^2

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \varphi(x, y) = (\varphi_1(x, y), \varphi_2(x, y)),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \in CO(2).$$

The conformal Lie algebra is canonically represented as the Lie algebra of vector fields in \mathbb{R}^2 . In Chapter 4 we find all possible representations of \mathfrak{g} via vector fields in

$$J^0\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^3(x, y, u)$$

which project to the canonical representation. Namely, for any function $F(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and constant $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ the inclusion map

$$I_{Fb} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$I_{Fb}(V_g) = V_g + F(u)(b_1g_1 - b_2g_2)\partial_u,$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism and these are all representations of the form $V_g \mapsto V_g + \lambda\partial_u$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_{Fb} = \text{Im}(I_{Fb}) = \{V_g + F(u)(b_1g_1 - b_2g_2)\partial_u \mid g_{1x} = g_{2y}, g_{1y} = -g_{2x}\}$$

denote the image of the map.

In this thesis we will find the algebra of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariants.

Theorem 1 *The algebra \mathcal{G}^{Fb} of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariants is generated by I_0, I_2, ∇_1 and*

∇_2 , where for $F = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= u, \\ I_2 &= \frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2}, \\ \nabla_1 &= \frac{1}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (u_{10}\mathcal{D}_x + u_{01}\mathcal{D}_y), \\ \nabla_2 &= \frac{1}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (u_{01}\mathcal{D}_x - u_{10}\mathcal{D}_y), \end{aligned}$$

and for $F \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int \frac{du}{F(u)} - b_1x + b_2y, \\ I_2 &= \frac{(-u_{01}^2 - u_{10}^2)F_u(u) + F(u)(u_{02} + u_{20})}{(b_1F(u) - u_{10})^2 + (b_2F(u) + u_{01})^2}, \\ \nabla_1 &= \left(\frac{F(u)^2}{(u_{10} - b_1F(u))^2 + (u_{01} + b_2F(u))^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{u_{10}}{F(u)} - b_1 \right) \mathcal{D}_x + \left(\frac{u_{01}}{F(u)} + b_2 \right) \mathcal{D}_y \right), \\ \nabla_2 &= \left(\frac{F(u)^2}{(u_{10} - b_1F(u))^2 + (u_{01} + b_2F(u))^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{u_{01}}{F(u)} + b_2 \right) \mathcal{D}_x + \left(-\frac{u_{10}}{F(u)} + b_1 \right) \mathcal{D}_y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, any function $f \in \mathcal{G}^{Fb}$ of order m has the form

$$f = f(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \dots, I_{m,1}, \dots, I_{m,m-1}),$$

where

$$I_{k,j} = \nabla_1^{k-2-j} \nabla_2^j (I_2), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, \quad k > j.$$

The invariants $\{I_{k,j}\}$ are functionally independent.

We will also show that if f is a \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariant and $h(x, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$

is a solution of the PDE $\mathcal{E} = \{f = 0\}$, then the function

$$u(x, y) = h(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y)), \quad F = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, y) = G^{-1}(b_1(x - g_1(x, y)) - b_2(y - g_2(x, y)) + G(h(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y))))), \quad (1.2)$$

$$F \neq 0, \quad G(u) = \int \frac{du}{F(u)},$$

is a solution of \mathcal{E} for any analytic function $g(z) = g_1(x, y) + ig_2(x, y)$ on domains where $g_z \neq 0$. Thus we get a collection of *PDEs* \mathcal{E} with $\text{sym}(\mathcal{E}) \supseteq \mathfrak{g}$. This provides a large family of solutions for any differential equation from this collection.

Structure of the thesis.

In Chapter 2 we collect some basic concepts from complex analysis and describe our main object, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

In Chapter 3 we describe the the space of jets, the Cartan distribution, invariant differentiations and the Lie-Tresse theorem. In the last part of this chapter we will use three different methods to find the differential invariants of the canonical representation of \mathfrak{g} . The three descriptions of the algebra turns out to be equivalent.

In Chapter 4 we will find the differential invariants of the deformed representations of \mathfrak{g} . We use the best method from Chapter 3 to generate the invariants.

In Chapter 5 we justify the above claim that Formulas (1.1) and (1.2) represent solutions of the \mathfrak{g} -invariant equations. In the last part of this chapter we will represent \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra of vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^2 = J^0\mathbb{R}$, and find differential invariants of some finite dimensional Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .

Conventions.

Most of the results in this thesis are defined locally, restricted to regular domains where the \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are well defined. We will not specify locations in the text.

In this thesis we will extensively use complexification, which work nicely with real-analytic objects. Thus we adopt the following convention: depending on the context C^∞ can mean

smooth or analytic function. The coordinate function

$$z = x + iy, \bar{z} = x - iy,$$

are used when we assume analyticity. The convention is helpful because the main results concerning \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants hold in smooth category. Thus we will be using the freedom of extending and shrinking the space of functions, vector fields etc.

Chapter 2

The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}

2.1 Vector Bundles over a Complex Manifold

In this section we will describe some basic concepts that will be important in the rest of the text. Most of the material is well known, see [KN].

2.1.1 Algebras of Functions on a Complex Manifold

Let M be a complex smooth manifold of dimension n . Consider the spaces of functions

$$C^\infty(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is smooth}\},$$

$$\mathcal{O}(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is complex analytic}\},$$

$$C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is smooth}\}.$$

The spaces of functions $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{O}(M)$ are \mathbb{C} -algebras, and the space of functions $C^\infty(M)$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra. Moreover, $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ is equal to the tensor product

$$C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) = C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $U \subset M$ be a chart with local coordinates

$$(z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, \dots, z_n = x_n + iy_n).$$

There exist projections (restrictions)

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(U),$$

$$\mathcal{O}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(U),$$

$$C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow C^\infty(U) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The functions $f_1 \in C^\infty(U)$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $f_3 \in C^\infty(U) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ have the forms

$$f_1 = f_1(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n),$$

$$f_2 = f_2(z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

$$f_3 = F_1(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) + iF_2(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n).$$

The inclusion map

$$\mathcal{O}(M) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is an injective \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is a \mathbb{C} -subalgebra of $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

The inclusion $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induces the inclusion

$$I : C^\infty(M) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$I(f) = f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) + i0.$$

The projection maps $\text{Re}, \text{Im} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induce the projections

$$\text{Re} : C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

$$\text{Re}(f_1(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) + if_2(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)) = f_1(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n),$$

$$\text{Im} : C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

$$\text{Im}(f_1(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) + if_2(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)) = f_2(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n),$$

with

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{C^\infty(M)}.$$

The inclusion I is an injective \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism. Hence $C^\infty(M)$ is an \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

2.1.2 Vector Spaces and Vector Bundles

Let X_1 be an \mathbb{R} -linear map and X_2 and X_3 be \mathbb{C} -linear maps

$$X_1 : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$X_2 : \mathcal{O}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

$$X_3 : C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The linear map X_j , for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is a derivation if it satisfies the equation

$$X_j(f_j g_j) = f_j X_j g_j + g_j X_j f_j \tag{2.1}$$

for all functions $f_1, g_1 \in C^\infty(M)$, $f_2, g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ and $f_3, g_3 \in C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The linear map

X_j is a derivation at the point $p \in M$ if it satisfies Equation (2.1) at p .

For any point $p \in M$ the spaces of all derivations at p of the algebras $\mathcal{O}(M)$ and $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are complex vector spaces, and the space of all derivations at p of the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ is a real vector space.

Let us use the following notation for the spaces of all derivations at p of the algebras $C^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{O}(M)$:

$$T_p M = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M))_p,$$

$$T_p^{1,0} M = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}(M))_p.$$

Lemma 2 *Let p be a point of the manifold M . Then the space of all derivations at p of the algebra $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is equal to the tensor product*

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M))_p \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. For all functions $f \in C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ there exist functions $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$f = f_1 + if_2.$$

Hence we have the \mathbb{C} -linear inclusion map

$$I : \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M))_p \otimes \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p,$$

$$(IY)(f) = Y(f_1) + iY(f_2).$$

The algebra $C^\infty(M)$ is an \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence if we restrict $\tilde{Y} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p$ to $C^\infty(M)$, then \tilde{Y} is an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\tilde{Y}|_{C^\infty(M)} : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that for all functions $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\tilde{Y}(fg)(p) = f(p)\tilde{Y}g(p) + g(p)\tilde{Y}f(p).$$

Hence we have the \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$R : \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p \longrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M))_p \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$R(\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y}|_{C^\infty(M)}.$$

The map R is surjective since

$$RI = \text{Id}_{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M))_p \otimes \mathbb{C}}.$$

Suppose that for an element $\tilde{Y} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p$ $\tilde{Y}(f_j) = 0$ for all functions $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$. Then

$$\tilde{Y}(f) = \tilde{Y}(f_1) + i\tilde{Y}(f_2) = 0, \quad \forall f = f_1 + if_2 \in C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence $\text{Ker}(R) = \{0\}$. It follows that the map R is bijective. ■

The inclusion map

$$T_p^{1,0}M \hookrightarrow T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C})_p$$

is an injective \mathbb{C} -linear map. Hence $T_p^{1,0}M$ is a \mathbb{C} -subspace of $T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

The inclusion map

$$I : T_pM \hookrightarrow T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

where

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{T_pM}$$

is an injective \mathbb{R} -linear map. Hence $T_p M$ is an \mathbb{R} -subspace of $T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the \mathbb{C} -subspace of $T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$T_p^{0,1} M = \overline{T_p^{1,0} M}.$$

Lemma 3 [KN] $T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is equal to the direct sum

$$T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_p^{1,0} M \oplus T_p^{0,1} M.$$

Let

$$(U, (z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, \dots, z_n = x_n + iy_n))$$

be any smooth chart containing p . $T_p M$ is a real vector space of dimension $2n$

$$T_p M = \langle \partial_{x_1}|_p, \partial_{y_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{x_n}|_p, \partial_{y_n}|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$T_p^{1,0} M$ and $T_p^{0,1} M$ are complex vector spaces of dimension n

$$T_p^{1,0} M = \langle \partial_{z_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{z_n}|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_{y_1})|_p, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_n} - i\partial_{y_n})|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}},$$

$$T_p^{0,1} M = \langle \partial_{\bar{z}_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{\bar{z}_n}|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_{y_1})|_p, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_n} + i\partial_{y_n})|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

$T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a complex vector space of dimension $2n$

$$\begin{aligned} T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C} &= T_p^{1,0} M \oplus T_p^{0,1} M = \langle \partial_{x_1}|_p, \partial_{y_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{x_n}|_p, \partial_{y_n}|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \\ &= \langle \partial_{z_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{z_n}|_p, \partial_{\bar{z}_1}|_p, \dots, \partial_{\bar{z}_n}|_p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the spaces

$$TM = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M,$$

$$T^{1,0} M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{1,0} M,$$

$$T^{0,1}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{0,1}M,$$

$$TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

By standard topological arguments TM , $T^{1,0}M$, $T^{0,1}M$ and $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are vector bundles over M . The bundle TM is a real subbundle of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M$ are complex subbundles of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 4 [KN] *The above constructions work as well for the case when M is an almost complex manifold, i.e. M is a real manifold with a tensor field J which is, at every point p of M , an endomorphism of the tangent space $T_p M$ such that $J^2 = -1$, where 1 denotes the identity transformation of $T_p M$.*

2.1.3 Vector Fields on a Complex Manifold

Consider the spaces of smooth sections of the vector bundles TM , $T^{1,0}M$, $T^{0,1}M$ and $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{D}(M) = C^\infty(TM) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M)),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,0}(M) = C^\infty(T^{1,0}M),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{0,1}(M) = C^\infty(T^{0,1}M),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M)) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a module over the algebra $C^\infty(M)$, and the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{1,0}(M)$, $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are modules over the algebra $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the space of \mathbb{C} -analytic sections

$$C^\omega(T^{1,0}M) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}(M)).$$

The space $C^\omega(T^{1,0}M)$ is a module over $\mathcal{O}(M)$.

Let us write these vector fields in local coordinates. There exist projections (restrictions) for $\varphi \in \{(), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$

$$\mathcal{D}^\varphi(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\varphi(U),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(U) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$C^\omega(T^{1,0}M) \longrightarrow C^\omega(T^{1,0}U).$$

For the vector fields $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, $X_2 \in \mathcal{D}(U) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $X_3 \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(U)$, $X_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{0,1}(U)$ and $X_5 \in C^\omega(T^{1,0}U)$ there exist functions $f_{1j}, f_{2j} \in C^\infty(U)$, $h_{1j}, h_{2j}, q_{1j}, q_{2j} \in C^\infty(U) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $g_j \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that

$$X_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{1j} \partial_{x_j} + f_{2j} \partial_{y_j},$$

$$X_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{1j} \partial_{x_j} + h_{2j} \partial_{y_j},$$

$$X_3 = \sum_{j=1}^n q_{1j} \partial_{z_j},$$

$$X_4 = \sum_{j=1}^n q_{2j} \partial_{\bar{z}_j},$$

$$X_5 = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \partial_{z_j}.$$

The spaces $C^\omega(T^{1,0}M)$, $\mathcal{D}^\varphi(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are infinite dimensional Lie algebras with the Lie bracket being the commutator.

For $\beta \in \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ the inclusion maps

$$C^\omega(T^{1,0}M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(M),$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\beta(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

are Lie algebra homomorphisms. Hence $C^\omega(T^{1,0}M)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}^{1,0}(M)$, and $\mathcal{D}^\beta(M)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

The inclusion map

$$I : \mathcal{D}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\operatorname{Re} I = \operatorname{Im} (iI) = \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{D}(M)},$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

2.2 The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}

Consider the subspace $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y \mid g_{1x} = g_{2y}, g_{1y} = -g_{2x}\}.$$

Any element of \mathfrak{g} has the form

$$V_g = g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y,$$

where $g = g_1 + ig_2 \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 5 *The space \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra.*

Proof. For any numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and any functions $v, w \in \mathcal{O}$

$$aV_v + bV_w = (av_1 + bw_1)\partial_x + (av_2 + bw_2)\partial_y = V_{av+bw} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence \mathfrak{g} is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} [V_v, V_w] &= \tilde{u}_1 \partial_x + \tilde{u}_2 \partial_y \\ &= (v_1 w_{1x} - v_2 w_{2x} - w_1 v_{1x} + w_2 v_{2x}) \partial_x + (v_1 w_{2x} + v_2 w_{1x} - w_1 v_{2x} - w_2 v_{1x}) \partial_y. \end{aligned}$$

It is left to show that the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold for the function $\tilde{u}_1 + i\tilde{u}_2$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{u}_1 = v_1 w_{1xx} - v_2 w_{2xx} - w_1 v_{1xx} + w_2 v_{2xx} + v_{1x} w_{1x} - v_{2x} w_{2x} - w_{1x} v_{1x} + w_{2x} v_{2x},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{u}_2 = v_1 w_{2xx} + v_2 w_{1xx} - w_1 v_{2xx} - w_2 v_{1xx} + v_{1x} w_{2x} + v_{2x} w_{1x} - w_{1x} v_{2x} - w_{2x} v_{1x},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tilde{u}_1 = -v_1 w_{2xx} - v_2 w_{1xx} + w_1 v_{2xx} + w_2 v_{1xx} - v_{2x} w_{1x} - v_{1x} w_{2x} + w_{2x} v_{1x} + w_{1x} v_{2x},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tilde{u}_2 = v_1 w_{1xx} - v_2 w_{2xx} - w_1 v_{1xx} + w_2 v_{2xx} - v_{2x} w_{2x} + v_{1x} w_{1x} + w_{2x} v_{2x} - w_{1x} v_{1x}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\tilde{u}_{1x} = \tilde{u}_{2y}, \quad \tilde{u}_{1y} = -\tilde{u}_{2x},$$

and $[V_v, V_w] \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence \mathfrak{g} is closed under the commutator bracket. ■

2.2.1 Lie Algebra Structure on \mathcal{O}

Consider the map

$$L : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O},$$

$$L(V_g) = V_g(z) = V_g(x) + iV_g(y) = g.$$

L is an isomorphism of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . Since \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra we are able to introduce a Lie algebra structure on the space of analytic functions. Namely, define the bracket on \mathcal{O} by the following rule

$$[V_v, V_w] \stackrel{def}{=} V_{[v,w]}.$$

In coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} [V_v, V_w] &= V_v(V_w) - V_w(V_v) \\ &= (v_1 w_{1x} - v_2 w_{2x} - w_1 v_{1x} + w_2 v_{2x}) \partial_x + (v_1 w_{2x} + v_2 w_{1x} - w_1 v_{2x} - w_2 v_{1x}) \partial_y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the bracket on \mathcal{O} is

$$\begin{aligned} [v, w] &= [V_v, V_w](z) = V_v(w) - V_w(v) \\ &= (v_1 w_{1x} - v_2 w_{2x} - w_1 v_{1x} + w_2 v_{2x}) + i(v_1 w_{2x} + v_2 w_{1x} - w_1 v_{2x} - w_2 v_{1x}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the formula for the bracket on \mathcal{O} in complex coordinates is

$$\{f(z), g(z)\} = f(z)g'(z) - f'(z)g(z). \quad (2.2)$$

This leads to an isomorphism of the space \mathcal{O} equipped with the bracket defined in Equation (2.2) with the space of linear in momenta holomorphic functions on $T^*\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the standard Poisson structure.

The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{O}, \{\})$ is simple, i.e. it contains no ideals, but it does contain subalgebras. For instance, consider the subspace

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \langle 1, z, z^2 \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}.$$

The space \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a linear subspace of \mathcal{O} . Moreover, for $j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

$$\{z^j, z^k\} = (k - j)z^{k+j-1} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2.$$

Hence \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{O} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that the subspace

$$\langle V_1, V_i, V_z, V_{iz}, V_{z^2}, V_{iz^2} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

2.2.2 The Manifold \mathbb{C}

In this subsection we will use the theory of Section 2.1 for the manifold \mathbb{C} . Note that \mathbb{C} is a complex manifold of dimension 1, and $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is a real manifold of dimension 2.

The space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is an algebra with subalgebras $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and \mathcal{O} .

For any point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the following vector spaces

$$T_{z_0}\mathbb{R}^2 = \langle \partial_x|_{z_0}, \partial_y|_{z_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$T_{z_0}^{1,0}\mathbb{C} = \langle \partial_z|_{z_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad T_{z_0}^{0,1}\mathbb{C} = \langle \partial_{\bar{z}}|_{z_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}},$$

$$T_{z_0}\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} = \langle \partial_x|_{z_0}, \partial_y|_{z_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \partial_z|_{z_0}, \partial_{\bar{z}}|_{z_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The spaces of smooth sections of the vector bundles $T\mathbb{R}^2$, $T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}$, $T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}$ and $T\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) = C^\infty(T\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f_1\partial_x + f_2\partial_y \mid f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}) = C^\infty(T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}) = \{f\partial_z \mid f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}) = C^\infty(T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}) = \{f\partial_{\bar{z}} \mid f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = C^\infty(T\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{f_1\partial_x + f_2\partial_y \mid f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{h} denote the space of all derivations of the space \mathcal{O}

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{g\partial_z \mid g \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

The space \mathfrak{h} is a free 1-dimensional module over \mathcal{O} .

The spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\mathcal{D}^{1,0}(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{h} are infinite dimensional Lie subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the space of anti-holomorphic functions

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}} = \{h = h_1 + ih_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid h_{1x} = -h_{2y}, h_{2x} = h_{1y}\} = \{\bar{g} \mid g \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

The space $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is a subalgebra of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the space of all derivations of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \{\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}} \mid g \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

The space $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a free 1-dimensional module over $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

2.2.3 Almost Complex Structure on \mathbb{TR}^2

The tensor

$$J = \partial_y \otimes dx - \partial_x \otimes dy$$

is an almost complex structure on \mathbb{TR}^2

$$J(\partial_x) = \partial_y, \quad J(\partial_y) = -\partial_x.$$

If the vector field

$$V = g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

is a symmetry of the tensor J , then

$$\begin{aligned} L_V(J) &= -[V, \partial_x] \otimes dy - \partial_x \otimes d(g_2) + [V, \partial_y] \otimes dx + \partial_y \otimes d(g_1) \\ &= -(g_{2x} + g_{1y})\partial_x \otimes dx + (-g_{2y} + g_{1x})\partial_y \otimes dx \\ &\quad + (g_{1x} - g_{2y})\partial_x \otimes dy + (g_{2x} + g_{1y})\partial_y \otimes dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of symmetries of the tensor J . This shows that there must exist a Lie algebra isomorphism between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} . In the next subsection we will find it.

2.2.4 A Relation Between the Lie Algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h}

Consider the \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$2 \operatorname{Re} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

We have that

$$2 \operatorname{Re} [ix\partial_x, i\partial_x] = 2\partial_x \neq [2 \operatorname{Re}(ix\partial_x), 2 \operatorname{Re}(i\partial_x)] = 0.$$

Hence $2 \operatorname{Re}$ is not a Lie algebra homomorphism between the Lie algebras $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We have that

$$g\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y + i(-g_1\partial_y + g_2\partial_x)).$$

Thus the map $2 \operatorname{Re}$ restricted to \mathfrak{h} is

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(g\partial_z) = V_g.$$

Proposition 6 *The \mathbb{R} -linear map*

$$2 \operatorname{Re} : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Using the Poisson bracket defined on \mathcal{O} in Subsection 2.2.1 we get

$$[2 \operatorname{Re}(g\partial_z), 2 \operatorname{Re}(f\partial_z)] = [V_g, V_f] = V_{\{g,f\}} = 2 \operatorname{Re}((g_z f - f_z g)\partial_z) = 2 \operatorname{Re}[g\partial_z, f\partial_z].$$

Hence $2 \operatorname{Re}$ preserves the bracket.

By definition $V_g \in \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{O}$, i.e. $g\partial_z \in \mathfrak{h}$. Hence $2 \operatorname{Re}$ is bijective. ■

Example 7 *It was shown in Subsection 2.2.1 that*

$$\langle V_1, V_i, V_z, V_{iz}, V_{z^2}, V_{iz^2} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

is a Lie algebra. Hence

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle \partial_z, z\partial_z, z^2\partial_z \rangle \subset \mathfrak{h}$$

is a Lie algebra. Moreover, \mathfrak{s} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

The \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$2 \operatorname{Im} : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

$$2 \operatorname{Im}(g\partial_z) = -V_{ig},$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . It follows from Proposition 6 that for any functions $g, h \in \mathcal{O}$

$$[2 \operatorname{Im}(g\partial_z), 2 \operatorname{Im}(h\partial_z)] = [2 \operatorname{Re}(ig\partial_z), 2 \operatorname{Re}(ih\partial_z)] = -2 \operatorname{Re}[g\partial_z, h\partial_z] = -2 \operatorname{Im}(i[g\partial_z, h\partial_z]).$$

Hence the map is not a Lie algebra isomorphism.

The complexification of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and the direct sum of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{h} and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{V_g + iV_h \mid g, h \in \mathcal{O}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \{g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}} \mid g, h \in \mathcal{O}\},$$

are Lie subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 8 *The map*

$$\psi : \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$\psi(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}) = \operatorname{Re}(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}) + i \operatorname{Im}(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}) = \frac{1}{2} (V_{g+h} + iV_{i(h-g)}),$$

is a \mathbb{C} -linear Lie algebra isomorphism.

Proof. For any functions $g, h \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(i(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}})) &= \psi(ig\partial_z - i\bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}) = \frac{1}{2} (V_{ig-ih} + iV_{i(-ig-ih)}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (V_{g+h} + iV_{i(h-g)}) = i\psi(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map ψ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

For any function $g \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\psi(g\partial_z + \bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}}) = V_g.$$

Hence ψ is bijective.

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi([g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}, f\partial_z + \bar{q}\partial_{\bar{z}}]) &= \psi([g\partial_z, f\partial_z] + [\bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}, \bar{q}\partial_{\bar{z}}]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (V_{[g,f]+[h,q]} + iV_{i(-[g,f]+[h,q])}), \\ [\psi(g\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}), \psi(f\partial_z + \bar{q}\partial_{\bar{z}})] &= \left[\frac{1}{2} (V_{g+h} + iV_{i(h-g)}), \frac{1}{2} (V_{f+q} + iV_{i(q-f)}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (V_{[g+h,f+q]+[h-g,q-f]} + iV_{i([g+h,q-f]+[h-g,q+f])}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (V_{[g,f]+[h,q]} + iV_{i(-[g,f]+[h,q])}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ preserves the bracket. ■

Chapter 3

Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}^k

3.1 The Space of Jets

3.1.1 Quotient Algebras

For any point $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ the space

$$\mu_{z_0} = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f(x_0, y_0) = 0\}$$

is a maximal ideal of the algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The space

$$(\mu_{z_0})^{k+1} = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = \sum f_1 \dots f_{k+1}, f_j \in \mu_{z_0} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

is an ideal of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It follows from Equation (3.1) that

$$(\mu_{z_0})^{k+1} \subset (\mu_{z_0})^k \dots \subset (\mu_{z_0})^2 \subset \mu_{z_0}.$$

Hence for $k > 0$ the ideal $(\mu_{z_0})^{k+1}$ is not maximal.

The quotient space

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) / (\mu_{z_0})^{k+1}$$

is an \mathbb{R} -algebra.

For any smooth function $f(x, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the corresponding equivalence class $[f(x, y)]_{z_0}^k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) / (\mu_{z_0})^{k+1}$ has the following representative

$$[f]_{z_0}^k \equiv f(x_0, y_0) + \sum_{m+n \leq k} \frac{m!n!}{(m+n)!} \frac{\partial^{m+n} f}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x_0, y_0) (x - x_0)^m (y - y_0)^n.$$

3.1.2 Algebra of Functions on the Space of Jets

For any pair of integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $m + n \leq k$ there exists an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} u_{mn} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) / \mu_{z_0}^k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ u_{mn}([f]_{z_0}^k) &= \frac{\partial^{m+n} f}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x_0, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

The space

$$J_{z_0}^k \mathbb{R}^2 = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) / (\mu_{z_0})^{k+1}$$

is a real vector space of dimension $(k+1)(k+2)/2$

$$J_{z_0}^k \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \langle u_{mn} \mid m+n \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Consider the space

$$J^k \mathbb{R}^2 = \bigcup_{z_0 \in \mathbb{C}} J_{z_0}^k \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By standard topological arguments $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ is a vector bundle over \mathbb{C} . Its total space is diffeomorphic to

$$J^k\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)(k+2)/2+2}(x, y, u_{mn} | m+n \leq k).$$

Consider the following spaces

$$C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ f : J^k\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is smooth} \right\},$$

$$C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{ f : J^k\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is smooth} \right\}.$$

The space $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an algebra over \mathbb{R} and $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is an algebra over \mathbb{C} .

The algebra $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is equal to the tensor product

$$C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) = C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The inclusion map

$$I : C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\operatorname{Re} I = \operatorname{Im}(iI) = \operatorname{Id}_{C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

is an injective \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism. Hence $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

3.1.3 The Tangent- and the Complexified Tangent Bundle of $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$

For any point $p \in J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ the space

$$T_p(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) = \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)\right)_p$$

is a real vector space. It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(C^\infty \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right)_p.$$

The real dimension of $T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right)$ is $(k+1)(k+2)/2 + 2$

$$T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) = \langle \partial_x|_p, \partial_y|_p, \partial_{u_{nm}}|_p \mid m+n \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The complex dimension of $T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is $(k+1)(k+2)/2 + 2$

$$T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \langle \partial_x|_p, \partial_y|_p, \partial_{u_{nm}}|_p \mid m+n \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The inclusion map

$$I : T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \hookrightarrow T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right)},$$

is an injective \mathbb{R} -linear map. Hence $T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right)$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear subspace of $T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the spaces

$$T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) = \bigcup_{p \in J^k \mathbb{R}^2} T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right),$$

$$T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{p \in J^k \mathbb{R}^2} T_p \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The space $T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right)$ is an \mathbb{R} -vector bundle and $T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector bundle over $J^k \mathbb{R}^2$. The bundle $T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right)$ is an \mathbb{R} -subbundle of $T \left(J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

3.1.4 Vector Fields on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$

Consider the spaces of all smooth sections of the vector bundles $T(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $T(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) = C^\infty(T(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = C^\infty(T(J^k\mathbb{R}^2), \mathbb{C}) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a module over the algebra $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$, and the space $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a module over the algebra $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) = \left\{ \tilde{f}_1 \partial_x + \tilde{f}_2 \partial_y + \sum_{m+n \leq k} f_{mn} \partial_{u_{mn}} \mid \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, f_{mn} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \left\{ \tilde{f}_1 \partial_x + \tilde{f}_2 \partial_y + \sum_{m+n \leq k} f_{mn} \partial_{u_{mn}} \mid \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, f_{mn} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ are infinite dimensional Lie algebras with the Lie bracket being the commutator. The inclusion map

$$I : \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

3.2 The Contact Distribution and the Cartan Distribution

3.2.1 The Contact Distribution on $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$

The 4–dimensional distribution on $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$

$$C_0 = \text{Ker}(\omega_0), \quad \omega_0 = du - u_{10}dx - u_{01}dy$$

is called the contact distribution. The distribution is spanned by the four vector fields

$$C_0 = \langle X_1 = \partial_x + u_{10}\partial_u, X_2 = \partial_y + u_{01}\partial_u, Y_1 = \partial_{u_{10}}, Y_2 = \partial_{u_{01}} \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

There exists no integral manifold of dimension four, since

$$[Y_j, X_j] = \partial_u \notin C_0, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Every smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ determines a 2–dimensional submanifold of $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$

$$L_f = \left\{ u = f(x, y), \quad u_{10} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y), \quad u_{01} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

which is an integral manifold of the contact distribution since

$$\omega_0|_{L_f} = 0.$$

3.2.2 Contact Transformations and Contact Vector Fields

A diffeomorphism

$$F : J^1\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow J^1\mathbb{R}^2$$

is called a contact transformation if it preserves the contact distribution, i.e.

$$F^*(\omega_0) = \lambda_F \omega_0, \quad \lambda_F \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2).$$

A vector field $X \in \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ is called a contact vector field if its flow consists of contact transformations. If X is a contact vector field, then

$$L_X(\omega_0) = \lambda_X \omega_0, \quad \lambda_X \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2).$$

It is known that all contact vector fields on $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$ have the form

$$X_f = f\partial_u + X_1(f)Y_1 + X_2(f)Y_2 - Y_1(f)X_1 - Y_2(f)X_2,$$

where X_j and Y_j are given in Equation (3.2) and the function $f \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ is equal to

$$f = \omega_0(X_f).$$

The space of all contact vector fields is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra denoted $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that consists of all the complexified vector fields that preserve the contact distribution

$$\{ Y \in \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid L_Y(\omega_0) = \lambda_Y \omega_0, \lambda_Y \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \} \subset \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.4)$$

For any vector field $Y \in \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ there exist vector fields $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $Y = Y_1 + iY_2$. Hence if Y preserve the contact distribution, then

$$L_Y(\omega_0) = L_{Y_1}(\omega_0) + iL_{Y_2}(\omega_0) = \lambda_Y \omega_0.$$

It follows that Y_1 and Y_2 are contact vector fields. Hence there exist functions $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $f = f_1 + if_2 \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$Y = Y_1 + iY_2 = X_{f_1} + iX_{f_2} = X_f.$$

So the subspace described in Equation (3.4) is the complexification of the Lie algebra of contact vector fields

$$\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{ Y \in \mathcal{D}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid Y = X_f, f \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \}.$$

The inclusion map

$$I : \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism. Hence $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

3.2.3 Prolongation of $\mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$

Consider the vector field $W_1 = a_1\partial_x + b_1\partial_y + c_1\partial_u \in \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the complex vector field $W_2 = a_2\partial_x + b_2\partial_y + c_2\partial_u \in \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The first prolongation of W_1 is $X_{f_1} \in \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the first prolongation of W_2 is $X_{f_2} \in \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where

$$f_j = c_j - a_j u_{10} - b_j u_{01}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

It is known [KL1] that the k^{th} prolongation of the vector fields $X_{f_1} \in \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $X_{f_2} \in \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is

$$X_{f_j}^{(k)} = \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} \mathcal{D}_x^m \mathcal{D}_y^n (f_j) \partial_{u_{mn}} - \partial_{u_1}(f_j) \mathcal{D}_x|_{J^k} - \partial_{u_2}(f_j) \mathcal{D}_y|_{J^k}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x &= \partial_x + \sum_{m,n \geq 0} u_{(m+1)n} \partial_{u_{mn}}, & \mathcal{D}_y &= \partial_y + \sum_{m,n \geq 0} u_{m(n+1)} \partial_{u_{mn}}, \\ \mathcal{D}_x|_{J^k} &= \partial_x + \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} u_{(m+1)n} \partial_{u_{mn}}, & \mathcal{D}_y|_{J^k} &= \partial_y + \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} u_{m(n+1)} \partial_{u_{mn}}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2.4 The Cartan Distribution on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$

The distribution on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$

$$C_k = \text{Ker}(\omega_{mn} \mid m + n < k), \quad \omega_{mn} = du_{mn} - u_{(m+1)n}dx - u_{m(n+1)}dy$$

is called the Cartan distribution. Note that when $k = 1$ the Cartan distribution is the contact distribution.

It is known [KLV] that if $L \subset J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ is an integral manifold of the Cartan distribution such that the map

$$\pi_k : L \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

is a diffeomorphism, then there exists a unique function $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that L is equal to the k^{th} prolongation of the integral manifold L_h defined in Equation (3.3)

$$L = L_h^{(k)}.$$

3.2.5 Lie Transformations and Lie Vector Fields

A diffeomorphism

$$F : J^k\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow J^k\mathbb{R}^2$$

is called a Lie transformation of $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ if for any pair of integers $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $i + j < k$

$$F^*(\omega_{ij}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\langle \omega_{nm} \mid m + n < k \rangle}.$$

A vector field $X \in \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is called a Lie vector field on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ if its flow consists of Lie transformations. Let $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ denote the space of all Lie vector fields on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$. If $Y \in \text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$L_Y(\omega_{ij}) = \sum_{m+n < k} \lambda_{Y_{mn}} \omega_{mn}, \quad \lambda_{Y_{mn}} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2).$$

It follows from the Lie-Bäcklund theorem that all Lie transformations are prolongations of contact transformations, see [KLV]. Hence the space of Lie vector fields on $J^k\mathbb{R}^2$ is the k^{th} prolongation of the space of contact vector fields on $J^1\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) = \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)^k = \left\{ X_f^{(k)} \mid f \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}.$$

Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that consists of all vector fields that preserve the Cartan distribution

$$\left\{ Y \in \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid L_Y(\omega_{ij}) = \sum_{m+n < k} \lambda_{Y_{mn}} \omega_{mn}, \lambda_{Y_{mn}} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.5)$$

For any vector field $Y \in \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ there exist vector fields $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{D}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $Y = Y_1 + iY_2$. Hence if Y preserve the Cartan distribution, then

$$L_Y(\omega_{ij}) = L_{Y_1}(\omega_{ij}) + iL_{Y_2}(\omega_{ij}) = \sum_{m+n < k} \lambda_{Y_{mn}} \omega_{mn}.$$

It follows that $Y_1, Y_2 \in \text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$. Hence the subspace described in Equation (3.5) is the complexification of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)^k \otimes \mathbb{C} = (\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C})^k = \left\{ X_f^{(k)} \mid f \in C^\infty(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The inclusion map

$$I : \text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow \text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\text{Re } I = \text{Im}(iI) = \text{Id}_{\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism. Hence $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

3.2.6 Invariant Functions and Differential Invariants

Let \mathfrak{f} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$. The space of functions

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \left\{ h \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid X_f^{(k)}(h) = 0, \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{f} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

is the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{f} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Let \mathfrak{j} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The space of functions

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \left\{ h \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid X_f^{(k)}(h) = 0, \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{j} \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

is the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{j} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 9 *Let \mathfrak{q} be any Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$, and let \mathcal{Q}_k be the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{q} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the algebra of invariant functions under the action of $\mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is $\mathcal{Q}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. \implies For all functions $h \in \mathcal{Q}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$ there exist functions $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_k$ such that

$$h = h_1 + ih_2.$$

Hence for all contact vector fields $X_f \in \mathfrak{q}$

$$X_f^{(k)}(h) = X_f^{(k)}(h_1) + iX_f^{(k)}(h_2) = 0.$$

\Leftarrow Suppose that the function $h \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathfrak{q} -differential invariant

$$X_f^{(k)}(h) = 0, \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

There exist functions $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$h = h_1 + ih_2.$$

Hence

$$X_f^{(k)}(h) = X_f^{(k)}(h_1) + iX_f^{(k)}(h_2) = 0, \quad \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

It follows that $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_k$ and

$$h \in \mathcal{Q}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

■

The projection map for any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\pi_{k,k-1} : J^k \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2$$

induces the following exact maps for any point $p \in J^k \mathbb{R}^2$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\pi_{k,k-1}^*} C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$T_p(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{(\pi_{k,k-1})_*} T_p(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi_{k,k-1}^*} C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$T_p(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{(\pi_{k,k-1})_*} T_p(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For any vector fields $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(J^0 \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{D}(J^0 \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the k^{th} prolongation of X_1 is a Lie vector field $X_1^{(k)} \in \text{Lie}(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$ and the k^{th} prolongation of X_2 is the complexification of a Lie vector field $X_2^{(k)} \in \text{Lie}(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence the vector fields X_1 and X_2 are $(\pi_{k,k-1})_*$ -projectable. So for $j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$(\pi_{k,k-1})_* X_j^{(k)} = X_j^{(k-1)}.$$

It follows that for any smooth functions $f_1 \in C^\infty(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $f_2 \in C^\infty(J^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$X_j^{(k-1)}(f_j) = (\pi_{k,k-1})_* X_j^{(k)}(f_j) = X_j^{(k)}(\pi_{k,k-1}^* f_j),$$

for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence the map $\pi_{k,k-1}$ induces the canonical inclusions

$$(\pi_{k,k-1})_* : \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k,$$

$$(\pi_{k,k-1})_* : \mathcal{J}_{k-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_k,$$

where \mathcal{F}_k and \mathcal{J}_k are the algebras defined in Equation (3.6) and (3.7).

Definition 10 *The algebra of \mathfrak{f} -differential invariants is the following inductive limit*

$$\mathcal{F} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_k = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{F}_k.$$

Definition 11 *The algebra of \mathfrak{j} -differential invariants is the following inductive limit*

$$\mathcal{J} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_k = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{J}_k.$$

3.3 The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}^k

The inclusion maps

$$I_1 : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$I_2 : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$I_j(f_1\partial_x + f_2\partial_y) = f_1\partial_x + f_2\partial_y + 0\partial_u, \quad j \in \{1, 2\},$$

are injective Lie algebra homomorphisms. Hence any Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and any Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the following spaces for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}^k &= \left\{ (g\partial_z)^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}, \\ \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^k &= \left\{ (\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^k &= \left\{ V_g^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The spaces \mathfrak{h}^k , $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^k$ and $\mathfrak{g}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are infinite dimensional Lie subalgebras of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and \mathfrak{g}^k is an infinite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$. If we consider $\mathfrak{g}^k \subset \text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(g\partial_z)^{(k)} = g\partial_z - \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\partial^l g}{\partial z^l} \left(\sum_{m=l}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} \binom{m}{l} u_{(m+1-l)\bar{n}} \partial_{u_{m\bar{n}}} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

$$V_g^{(k)} = (g\partial_z)^{(k)} + (\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Definition 12 Let \mathcal{H}_k denote the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \left\{ h \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid (g\partial_z)^{(k)}(h) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Definition 13 Let \mathcal{G}_k denote the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \left\{ h \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid V_g^{(k)}(h) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Proposition 14 The algebra of invariant functions under the action of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_k \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k \cap \mathcal{H}_k.$$

Proof. \implies For any function $f \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k \cap \mathcal{H}_k$

$$V_g^{(k)}(f) = (g\partial_z)^{(k)}(f) + (\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)}(f) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{G}_k \otimes \mathbb{C} \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k \cap \mathcal{H}_k.$$

\Leftarrow For any function $f \in \mathcal{G}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$(V_g - iV_{ig})^{(k)}(f) = 2(g\partial_z)^{(k)}(f) = 0, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{O},$$

$$(V_g + iV_{ig})^{(k)}(f) = 2(\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)}(f) = 0, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{G}_k \otimes \mathbb{C} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k \cap \mathcal{H}_k.$$

■

3.3.1 The Distribution Π^k

It is known [KLR] that if M is a real $(n + m)$ -dimensional smooth manifold and

$$\pi : TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow M$$

is the complexification of the tangent bundle, then a complex distribution P on M is a smooth field

$$P : a \in M \mapsto P_a = P(a) \subset T_a M \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

of complex subspaces of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} P_a = m$.

A complex distribution P of rank m on a $(n + m)$ -dimensional real manifold M is called completely integrable if it has locally n functionally independent first integrals, i.e. complex-valued functions $I_j \in C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\text{Ann}(P) = \langle dI_1, \dots, dI_n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

A complex distribution P is involutive if $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{D}(P)$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}(P)$.

Theorem 15 [KLR] *Let P be a complex involutive distribution such that $P + \bar{P}$ is an involutive distribution and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(P \cap \bar{P}) = \text{const}$. Then P is a completely integrable distribution.*

Prolongations of the holomorphic vector fields define the following complex distribution on $J^k \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\Pi^k = \left\langle (g\partial_z)^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from Equation (3.8) that

$$\Pi^k = \left\langle \partial_z, \sum_{m=l}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} \binom{m}{l} u_{(m+1-l)\bar{n}} \partial_{u_{m\bar{n}}}, \mid l \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence Π^k has complex dimension $k + 1$.

The conjugate of the complex distribution Π^k is

$$\bar{\Pi}^k = \left\langle (\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\langle \partial_{\bar{z}}, \sum_{m=l}^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-m} \binom{m}{l} u_{n(m+1-l)} \partial_{u_{n\bar{m}}}, \mid l \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Since

$$\Pi^k \cap \bar{\Pi}^k = 0,$$

it follows that the complex distribution

$$\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k = \left\langle ((h\partial_z)^{(k)} + (\bar{g}\partial_{\bar{z}})^{(k)} \mid g, h \in \mathcal{O}) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\langle V_g^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \quad (3.13)$$

has complex dimension $2(k + 1)$.

Corollary 16 *The distribution Π^k is completely integrable.*

Proof. For any functions $g, h \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\left[(g\partial_z)^{(k)}, (h\partial_z)^{(k)} \right] = ((gh_z - hg_z)\partial_z)^{(k)} \in \Pi^k,$$

$$\left[V_g^{(k)}, V_h^{(k)} \right] = V_{[g,h]}^{(k)} \in \Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k.$$

Hence the distributions Π^k and $\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$ are involutive. So by Theorem 15 the distribution Π^k is completely integrable. ■

It follows from Equation (3.13) that the first integrals of the complex distribution $\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$ are invariant functions under the action of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. By Proposition 9 the algebra of invariant functions under the action of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is $\mathcal{G}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where \mathcal{G}_k is the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$. Therefore for $K = (k+1)(k+2)/2 + 2$, the complex distribution $\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$ has locally $K - 2(k+1)$ functionally independent real first integrals

$$\{J_j\}_{j=1}^{K-2(k+1)} \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Consider the inclusion defined in Subsection 3.2.6

$$\mathcal{G}_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k+1}.$$

By the argument above the distribution $\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$ has one first integral of order 0

$$I_0 \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$$

and $l-1$ first integrals of order l

$$\{I_{l,j}\}_{j=2}^{l-1} \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$$

for $1 \leq l \leq k$, such that locally the $K - 2(k+1)$ functions

$$\{I_0\} \cup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \{I_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{l-1}$$

are functionally independent.

It follows from Equation (3.10) that the first integrals of the distribution Π^k are invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. By Equation (3.11) and (3.12)

$$\bar{z}, u_{0\bar{j}} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k$$

are first integrals of the distribution Π^k and not first integrals of $\bar{\Pi}^k$. Hence locally the $K - (k + 1)$ functionally independent functions

$$\{\bar{z}\} \cup \{u_{0\bar{j}}\}_{j=1}^k \cup \{I_0\} \cup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \{I_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{l-1}$$

are first integrals of the distribution Π^k .

The following table shows the number of locally functionally independent first integrals of pure order from 0 to k for the distributions Π^k , $\bar{\Pi}^k$ and $\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$.

Order	Π^k	$\bar{\Pi}^k$	$\Pi^k \oplus \bar{\Pi}^k$
k	k	k	$k - 1$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
l	l	l	$l - 1$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
1	1	1	0
0	2	2	1

The algebras of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h} and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ are

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(\bar{z}, u_{0\bar{1}}, \dots, u_{0\bar{k}}, I_0, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(z, u_{1\bar{0}}, \dots, u_{l\bar{0}}, I_0, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\}.$$

3.3.2 Invariant Functions of Order 0, 1, 2 and 3

For any function $g \in \mathcal{O}$ the first, second and third prolongations of the vector fields $V_g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g\partial_z \in \mathfrak{h}$ are

$$V_g^{(1)} = g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y - (g_{1x}u_{10} - g_{1y}u_{01})\partial_{u_{10}} - (g_{1x}u_{01} + g_{1y}u_{10})\partial_{u_{01}},$$

$$(g\partial_z)^{(1)} = g\partial_z - g_z u_{1\bar{0}}\partial_{u_{1\bar{0}}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_g^{(2)} &= g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y - (g_{1x}u_{10} - g_{1y}u_{01})\partial_{u_{10}} - (g_{1x}u_{01} + g_{1y}u_{10})\partial_{u_{01}} \\ &\quad + (-2u_{20}g_{1x} + 2u_{11}g_{1y} - u_{10}g_{1xx} + u_{01}g_{1xy})\partial_{u_{20}} \\ &\quad + (-u_{20}g_{1y} - 2g_{1x}u_{11} + g_{1y}u_{02} - u_{10}g_{1xy} - u_{01}g_{1xx})\partial_{u_{11}} \\ &\quad + (-2u_{11}g_{1y} - 2u_{02}g_{1x} + u_{10}g_{1xx} - u_{01}g_{1xy})\partial_{u_{02}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(g\partial_z)^{(2)} = g\partial_z - g_z u_{1\bar{0}}\partial_{u_{1\bar{0}}} + (-g_{zz}u_{1\bar{0}} - 2g_z u_{2\bar{0}})\partial_{u_{2\bar{0}}} - g_z u_{1\bar{1}}\partial_{u_{1\bar{1}}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_g^{(3)} &= V_g^{(2)} + (-3g_{1xx}u_{20} + 3g_{1xy}u_{11} - 3g_{1x}u_{30} + 3g_{1y}u_{21} - g_{1xxx}u_{10} + g_{1xxy}u_{01})\partial_{u_{30}} \\ &\quad + (-2g_{1xy}u_{20} - g_{1xx}3u_{11} - g_{1y}u_{30} + 2g_{1y}u_{12} - 3g_{1x}u_{21} + g_{1xy}u_{02} - g_{1xxy}u_{10} \\ &\quad - g_{1xxx}u_{01})\partial_{u_{21}} \\ &\quad + (g_{1xx}u_{20} - g_{1xy}3u_{11} - 3g_{1x}u_{12} - 2g_{1y}u_{21} + g_{1y}u_{03} - 2g_{1xx}u_{02} \\ &\quad + g_{1xxx}u_{10} - g_{1xxy}u_{01})\partial_{u_{12}} \\ &\quad + (3g_{1xx}u_{11} - 3g_{1xy}u_{02} - 3g_{1x}u_{03} - 3g_{1y}u_{12} + g_{1xxy}u_{10} + g_{1xxx}u_{01})\partial_{u_{03}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(g\partial_z)^{(3)} = (g\partial_z)^{(2)} - (g_{zzz}u_{1\bar{0}} + 3g_{zz}u_{2\bar{0}} + 3g_z u_{3\bar{0}})\partial_{u_{3\bar{0}}} - (g_{zz}u_{1\bar{1}} + 2g_z u_{2\bar{1}})\partial_{u_{2\bar{1}}} - g_z u_{1\bar{2}}\partial_{u_{1\bar{2}}},$$

The algebras of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h} and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ on $C^\infty(J^3\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

and \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^3\mathbb{R}^2)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_3 &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^3\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f\left(\bar{z}, u, u_{0\bar{1}}, \frac{u_{1\bar{1}}}{u_{0\bar{1}}u_{1\bar{0}}}, u_{0\bar{2}}, u_{0\bar{3}}, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}\right) \right\}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_3 &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^3\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f\left(z, u, u_{1\bar{0}}, \frac{u_{1\bar{1}}}{u_{0\bar{1}}u_{1\bar{0}}}, u_{2\bar{0}}, u_{3\bar{0}}, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}\right) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_3 &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^3\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \tilde{f}\left(u, \frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2}, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}\right) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_{3,1} &= \frac{-(u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{2\bar{1}} u_{1\bar{0}} - u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}} u_{0\bar{1}} - u_{0\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}} + u_{1\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}})}{u_{1\bar{1}}^3} \\ &= \frac{-2}{(u_{20} + u_{02})^3} (u_{10}^3 u_{30} + u_{10}^3 u_{12} - u_{10}^2 u_{20}^2 + u_{10}^2 u_{21} u_{01} + u_{10}^2 u_{02}^2 + u_{01}^2 u_{20}^2 \\ &\quad + u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{30} + u_{10}^2 u_{03} u_{01} + u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{12} + u_{01}^3 u_{03} + u_{01}^3 u_{21} - u_{01}^2 u_{02}^2 \\ &\quad - 4u_{10} u_{01} u_{20} u_{11} - 4u_{10} u_{01} u_{02} u_{11}), \\ I_{3,2} &= \frac{i(-u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{2\bar{1}} u_{1\bar{0}} + u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}} u_{2\bar{0}} - u_{0\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}} + u_{1\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}})}{u_{1\bar{1}}^3} \\ &= \frac{2}{(u_{20} + u_{02})^3} (u_{10}^2 u_{30} u_{01} - u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{21} + u_{10}^2 u_{12} u_{01} - u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{03} + 2u_{10}^2 u_{20} u_{11} \\ &\quad + 2u_{10} u_{01} u_{02}^2 - 2u_{10} u_{01} u_{20}^2 + 2u_{10}^2 u_{02} u_{11} - 2u_{01}^2 u_{02} u_{11} - 2u_{01}^2 u_{20} u_{11} \\ &\quad - u_{10}^3 u_{03} + u_{01}^3 u_{12} + u_{01}^3 u_{30} - u_{10}^3 u_{21}).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 17 *It is not possible to find \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of pure order 3 by standard methods with Maple 11. However, it is possible to find \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of pure order 3. The function $h = \frac{-u_{0\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}} + u_{1\bar{2}} u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}}{u_{1\bar{1}}^3}$ and its conjugate \bar{h} are \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants. Hence $I_{3,1} = h + \bar{h}$ and $I_{3,2} = i(h - \bar{h})$ are \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants. The \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of pure order 3 are computed in Maple Worksheet "h_diff_inv_3", see Appendix 6.*

3.4 Invariant Differentiations and Differential Invariants

Let \mathfrak{q} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$, and let \mathcal{Q}_k be the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{q} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$. Consider the derivation operator

$$\nabla = \lambda_1 \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_2 \mathcal{D}_y,$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C^\infty(J^p\mathbb{R}^2)$ and p is the maximum order of the functions λ_1 and λ_2 . The derivation operator ∇ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{q} if the following diagram commutes for all contact vector fields $X_f \in \mathfrak{q}$ and all integers $k \geq \max\{p-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{X_f^{(k)}} & C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \\ \downarrow \nabla & & \downarrow \nabla \\ C^\infty(J^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{X_f^{(k+1)}} & C^\infty(J^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^2) \end{array} .$$

If ∇ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{q} , then

$$\left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \nabla \right] = 0, \quad \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{q}, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$X_f^{(\infty)} = \sum_{m+n \geq 0} (\mathcal{D}_x)^m (\mathcal{D}_y)^n (f) \partial_{u_{mn}} - \partial_{u_1}(f) \mathcal{D}_x - \partial_{u_2}(f) \mathcal{D}_y.$$

For any function $q \in \mathcal{Q}_k$

$$X_f^{(k+1)}(\nabla(q)) = \nabla \left(X_f^{(k)}(q) \right) = 0, \quad \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{q}$$

Hence

$$\nabla : \mathcal{Q}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}.$$

Let \mathfrak{f} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and let \mathcal{F}_k be the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{f} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Consider the complex derivation operator

$$\nabla = \lambda_1 \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_2 \mathcal{D}_y$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C^\infty(J^p\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and p is the maximum order of the functions λ_1 and λ_2 .

The derivation operator ∇ is a complex invariant derivative of \mathfrak{f} if the following diagram

commutes for all vector fields $X_f \in \mathfrak{f}$ and all integers $k \geq \max\{p-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{X_f^{(k)}} & C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \nabla & & \downarrow \nabla \\ C^\infty(J^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{X_f^{(k+1)}} & C^\infty(J^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array} .$$

Moreover,

$$\left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \nabla \right] = 0, \quad \forall X_f \in \mathfrak{f}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\nabla : \mathcal{F}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k+1}.$$

It follows from Subsection 3.2.2 that if \mathfrak{q} is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$, then \mathfrak{q} is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 18 *Let \mathfrak{q} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$. If*

$$\nabla = (\lambda_{11} + i\lambda_{12}) \mathcal{D}_x + (\lambda_{21} + i\lambda_{22}) \mathcal{D}_y$$

is a complex invariant derivative of \mathfrak{q} , then

$$\text{Re}(\nabla) = \lambda_{11} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{21} \mathcal{D}_y,$$

$$\text{Im}(\nabla) = \lambda_{12} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{22} \mathcal{D}_y,$$

are real invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{q} .

Proof. It follows from Equation(3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[X_f^{(\infty)}, (\lambda_{11} + i\lambda_{12}) \mathcal{D}_x + (\lambda_{21} + i\lambda_{22}) \mathcal{D}_y \right] \\ &= \left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \lambda_{11} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{21} \mathcal{D}_y \right] + i \left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \lambda_{12} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{22} \mathcal{D}_y \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all contact vector fields $X_f \in \mathfrak{q}$. Hence

$$\left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \lambda_{11} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{21} \mathcal{D}_y \right] = \left[X_f^{(\infty)}, \lambda_{12} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{22} \mathcal{D}_y \right] = 0.$$

So by Equation (3.14) $\text{Re}(\nabla)$ and $\text{Im}(\nabla)$ are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{q} . ■

3.4.1 Tresse Derivation

The total differential of a function $h \in C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$ is

$$\hat{d}h = \mathcal{D}_x(h)dx + \mathcal{D}_y(h)dy.$$

It is known [KL1] that if the total differentials of two functions $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are independent, i.e.

$$\hat{d}h_1 \wedge \hat{d}h_2 \neq 0$$

on a domain $U \in J^k \mathbb{R}^2$, then $\langle \hat{d}h_1, \hat{d}h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a cobasis of $(\pi_k)^* T\mathbb{R}^2|_U$. Hence for any function $h \in C^\infty(J^l \mathbb{R}^2)$ the total differential of h is

$$\hat{d}h = \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}h}{\mathcal{D}h_1} \right) \hat{d}h_1 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}h}{\mathcal{D}h_2} \right) \hat{d}h_2,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}h}{\mathcal{D}h_1} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}h}{\mathcal{D}h_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x(h_1) & \mathcal{D}_x(h_2) \\ \mathcal{D}_y(h_1) & \mathcal{D}_y(h_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x(h) \\ \mathcal{D}_y(h) \end{bmatrix}$$

are the Tresse derivations of the function h .

Let \mathfrak{q} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1 \mathbb{R}^2)$, and let \mathcal{Q} be the algebra of the \mathfrak{q} -differential invariants. If the total differentials of two functions $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ are independent,

it is known [KL1] that for any function $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ the Tresse derivations of q are \mathfrak{q} -differential invariants

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}q}{\mathcal{D}q_2}, \frac{\mathcal{D}q}{\mathcal{D}q_1} \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Hence the two derivation operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}q_1} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}q_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x(q_1) & \mathcal{D}_x(q_2) \\ \mathcal{D}_y(q_1) & \mathcal{D}_y(q_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x \\ \mathcal{D}_y \end{bmatrix}$$

are invariant derivatives of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{q} . Moreover, these invariant derivatives commute

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}q_1}, \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}q_2} \right] = 0.$$

Let \mathfrak{f} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and let \mathcal{F} be the algebra of the complex valued \mathfrak{f} -differential invariants. If the total differentials of two functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ are independent, then the derivation operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}f_1} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}f_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x(f_1) & \mathcal{D}_x(f_2) \\ \mathcal{D}_y(f_1) & \mathcal{D}_y(f_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x \\ \mathcal{D}_y \end{bmatrix}$$

are complex invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{f} . Moreover,

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}f_1}, \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}f_2} \right] = 0.$$

3.4.2 Lie-Tresse Theorem

Let \mathfrak{q} be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$. It is known [L, T, KL1] that there exist \mathfrak{q} -differential invariants, $I_{g_1}, I_{g_2}, J_{k_1}, J_{k_2}, \dots, J_{k_s}$ such that if J is an \mathfrak{q} -differential invariant, then

$$J = J \left(I_{g_1}, I_{g_2}, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{g_1}} \right)^{m_1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{g_2}} \right)^{n_1} (J_{k_1}), \dots, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{g_1}} \right)^{m_s} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{g_2}} \right)^{n_s} (J_{k_s}) \right).$$

3.5 Invariant Derivatives of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}

In this section we will find invariant derivatives of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by using three different methods. The first two methods require \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order three, while in the third method we only need two \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of order zero.

3.5.1 Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 1

In this subsection we will use the theory of Subsection 3.4.1 to find two invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} .

So far, we have found four invariant functions $I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2} \in \mathcal{G}$ that are independent on some regular domains in $J^3\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0 &= u, \\
I_2 &= \frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2}, \\
I_{3,1} &= \frac{-2}{(u_{20} + u_{02})^3} (u_{10}^3 u_{30} + u_{10}^3 u_{12} - u_{10}^2 u_{20}^2 + u_{10}^2 u_{21} u_{01} + u_{10}^2 u_{02}^2 + u_{01}^2 u_{20}^2 \\
&\quad + u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{30} + u_{10}^2 u_{03} u_{01} + u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{12} + u_{01}^3 u_{03} + u_{01}^3 u_{21} - u_{01}^2 u_{02}^2 \\
&\quad - 4u_{10} u_{01} u_{20} u_{11} - 4u_{10} u_{01} u_{02} u_{11}), \\
I_{3,2} &= \frac{2}{(u_{20} + u_{02})^3} (u_{10}^2 u_{30} u_{01} - u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{21} + u_{10}^2 u_{12} u_{01} - u_{10} u_{01}^2 u_{03} + 2u_{10}^2 u_{20} u_{11} \\
&\quad + 2u_{10} u_{01} u_{02}^2 - 2u_{10} u_{01} u_{20}^2 + 2u_{10}^2 u_{02} u_{11} - 2u_{01}^2 u_{02} u_{11} - 2u_{01}^2 u_{20} u_{11} \\
&\quad - u_{10}^3 u_{03} + u_{01}^3 u_{12} + u_{01}^3 u_{30} - u_{10}^3 u_{21}).
\end{aligned}$$

The functions $I_{3,1}$ and $I_{3,2}$ have independent symbols

$$(\partial_{u_{30}}, \partial_{u_{21}}, \partial_{u_{12}}, \partial_{u_{03}})(I_{3,1}) = 2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} (u_{10}, u_{01}, u_{10}, u_{01}), \quad (3.16)$$

$$(\partial_{u_{30}}, \partial_{u_{21}}, \partial_{u_{12}}, \partial_{u_{03}})(I_{3,2}) = -2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} (u_{01}, -u_{10}, u_{01}, -u_{10}). \quad (3.17)$$

It follows from Subsection 3.4.1 that the two derivation operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x(I_0) & \mathcal{D}_x(I_2) \\ \mathcal{D}_y(I_0) & \mathcal{D}_y(I_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x \\ \mathcal{D}_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_x \\ \mathcal{D}_y \end{bmatrix}$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} . The maximum order of λ_{ij} , for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, is 3. These invariant derivatives are computed in Maple Worksheet "tresse_inv_der", see Appendix 6.

It follows from Equation (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}(I_{3,1}) &= f_{01} + 2(I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad (\lambda_{11}(u_{10}u_{40} + u_{01}u_{31} + u_{10}u_{22} + u_{01}u_{13}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{12}(u_{10}u_{31} + u_{01}u_{22} + u_{10}u_{13} + u_{01}u_{04})), \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}(I_{3,2}) &= f_{02} - 2(I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad (\lambda_{11}(u_{01}u_{40} - u_{10}u_{31} + u_{01}u_{22} - u_{10}u_{13}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{12}(u_{01}u_{31} - u_{10}u_{22} + u_{01}u_{13} - u_{10}u_{04})), \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,1}) &= f_{21} + 2(I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad (\lambda_{21}(u_{10}u_{40} + u_{01}u_{31} + u_{10}u_{22} + u_{01}u_{13}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{22}(u_{10}u_{31} + u_{01}u_{22} + u_{10}u_{13} + u_{01}u_{04})), \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,2}) &= f_{22} - (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad (\lambda_{21}(u_{01}u_{40} - u_{10}u_{31} + u_{01}u_{22} - u_{10}u_{13}) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{22}(u_{01}u_{31} - u_{10}u_{22} + u_{01}u_{13} - u_{10}u_{04})), \end{aligned}$$

where f_{ij} are smooth functions of order less than 4, for $i \in \{0, 2\}$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence

$$g_1(I_0, I_2, I_{31}, I_{32}) \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{m_1}}(I_{3,j_1}) + g_2(I_0, I_2, I_{31}, I_{32}) \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_{m_2}}(I_{3,j_2}) = 0$$

if and only if $g_1 = g_2 = 0$, for $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 2\}$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2\}$.

It follows from computations in Maple Worksheet "dep_inv" that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}(I_{3,2}) &= \frac{1}{2}I_2^2((I_{3,1}I_2 + 2)\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,2}) - I_2I_{3,2}\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,1})), \\ g_1(I_0, I_2, I_{31}, I_{32})\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,1}) + g_2(I_0, I_2, I_{31}, I_{32})\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,2}) + g_2(I_0, I_2, I_{31}, I_{32})\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}(I_{3,2}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $g_j = 0$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{G}_4 = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f\left(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}(I_{3,1}), \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,1}), \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}(I_{3,2})\right) \right\}.$$

Theorem 19 For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the $m + 2$ functions

$$I_{m+3,m+2} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}\right)^m(I_{3,2}), \quad I_{m+3,j+1} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_0}\right)^{m-n} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}I_2}\right)^n(I_{3,1}), \quad n \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\},$$

are \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order $m + 3$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are independent, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+2} g_j I_{m+3,j} = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{m+2} \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m+2\}.$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $J^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$

is

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\}.$$

Theorem 19 will be proved in Subsection 3.5.3.

3.5.2 Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 2

In this subsection we are seeking invariant derivatives of order less than 3. The first step is to find derivation operators ∇_1 and ∇_2 such that

$$\nabla_1(I_2) = h_1(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}),$$

$$\nabla_2(I_2) = h_2(I_0, I_2, I_{3,2}),$$

where $\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial I_{3,i}} \neq 0$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and the \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants $I_{3,1}$ and $I_{3,2}$ are as defined in Subsection 3.5.1.

The second step is to compute the commutator for $j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\left[V_g^{(\infty)}, \nabla_j \right].$$

If the commutator is zero for any function $g \in \mathcal{O}$, then ∇_1 and ∇_2 are invariant derivatives.

Let us start at the first step and find a derivation operator ∇_1 such that $\nabla_1(I_2) = h_1(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1(I_2) &= (A\mathcal{D}_x + B\mathcal{D}_y)(I_2) \\ &= A \left(\frac{\partial I_2}{\partial x} + u_{10} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u} + u_{20} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{10}} + u_{11} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{01}} \right) + \\ &\quad B \left(\frac{\partial I_2}{\partial y} + u_{01} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u} + u_{11} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{10}} + u_{02} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{01}} \right) + \\ &\quad u_{30} \left(A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{20}} \right) + u_{21} \left(A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{20}} + B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{20}} \right) + \\ &\quad u_{12} \left(A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{02}} + B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{11}} \right) + u_{03} \left(B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{02}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The function $I_{3,1}$ is linear in the coordinate functions of third order. Hence the functions

A and B must satisfy the four equations

$$\begin{aligned} A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{20}} &= \frac{\partial I_{3,1}}{\partial u_{30}} f(I_0, I_2) = 2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} u_{10} f(I_0, I_2), \\ A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{11}} + B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{20}} &= \frac{\partial I_{3,1}}{\partial u_{21}} f(I_0, I_2) = 2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} u_{01} f(I_0, I_2), \\ A \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{02}} + B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{11}} &= \frac{\partial I_{3,1}}{\partial u_{12}} f(I_0, I_2) = 2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} u_{10} f(I_0, I_2), \\ B \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial u_{02}} &= \frac{\partial I_{3,1}}{\partial u_{03}} f(I_0, I_2) = 2 (I_2(u_{20} + u_{02})^2)^{-1} u_{01} f(I_0, I_2), \end{aligned}$$

for some smooth function f .

The four equations hold for the functions

$$B = \frac{u_{01}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2}, \quad A = \frac{u_{10}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2}, \quad f(I_0, I_2) = -I_2^3/2.$$

Hence we get the derivation operator

$$\nabla_1 = \frac{u_{10}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_x + \frac{u_{01}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_y.$$

Now, let us find ∇_2 such that $\nabla_2(I_2) = h_2(I_0, I_2, I_{3,2})$. By following the procedure above, we get the derivation operator

$$\nabla_2 = \frac{u_{01}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_x - \frac{u_{10}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_y.$$

Note that if ∇_1 and ∇_2 are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , then $\nabla_j(I_0) \in \mathcal{G}$ for $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let us check that this is true before we do the last step

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1(I_0) &= \left(\frac{-u_{01}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_x + \frac{u_{10}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_y \right) (u) = 0 \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \nabla_2(I_0) &= \left(\frac{u_{10}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_x + \frac{u_{01}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \mathcal{D}_y \right) (u) = 1 \in \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [(V_g)^\infty, \nabla_j] &= (g_1 \mathcal{D}_x(\lambda_{1j}) + g_2 \mathcal{D}_y(\lambda_{1j}) - \lambda_{1j} g_{1x} - \lambda_{2j} g_{1y} \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \geq m+n \geq 0} (\mathcal{D}_x)^m (\mathcal{D}_y)^n (u_{10} g_1 + u_{01} g_2) \partial_{u_{mn}}(\lambda_{1j})) \mathcal{D}_x \\ &\quad + (g_1 \mathcal{D}_x(\lambda_{2j}) + g_2 \mathcal{D}_y(\lambda_{2j}) + \lambda_{1j} g_{1y} - \lambda_{2j} g_{1x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \geq m+n \geq 0} (\mathcal{D}_x)^m (\mathcal{D}_y)^n (u_{10} g_1 + u_{01} g_2) \partial_{u_{mn}}(\lambda_{2j})) \mathcal{D}_y \end{aligned}$$

for $\nabla_j = \lambda_{1j} \mathcal{D}_x + \lambda_{2j} \mathcal{D}_y$. It follows from that Appendix ?? that

$$[V_g^{(\infty)}, \nabla_j] = 0, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Hence the derivation operators

$$\nabla_1 = \frac{1}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (u_{10}\mathcal{D}_x + u_{01}\mathcal{D}_y), \quad \nabla_2 = \frac{1}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (-u_{01}\mathcal{D}_x + u_{10}\mathcal{D}_y),$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} .

The commutator of ∇_1 and ∇_2 is

$$[\nabla_1, \nabla_2] = -I_2 \nabla_2.$$

Theorem 20 *For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the $m + 1$ functions*

$$I_{m+2,j+1} = (\nabla_1)^{m-j} (\nabla_2)^j (I_2), \quad j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$$

are \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order $m + 2$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are independent, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} g_j I_{m+2,j} = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{m+1} \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m + 1\}.$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$

is

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\}.$$

Theorem 20 will be proved in Subsection 3.5.3.

Remark 21 *For $k \geq 3$, the \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant $I_{k,j}$ defined in Theorem 20 is not equal to the \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant $I_{k,j}$ defined in Theorem 19. In the following sections $I_{k,j}$ will denote the \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant defined in Theorem 20. The two \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of pure order three used in Subsection 3.5.1 are*

$$I_{3,1}^{old} = -2 \frac{I_{3,1} + I_2^2}{I_2^3}, \quad I_{3,2}^{old} = 2 \frac{I_{3,2}}{I_2^3}.$$

The computation is done in Maple Worksheet "dep_inv_n_o".

3.5.3 Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g} , Method 3

The methods used in Subsection 3.5.1 and 3.5.2 required \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order three to generate the algebra \mathcal{G} . In this subsection we will use two \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of order zero

$$u, \bar{z} \in \mathcal{H},$$

to generate the algebra \mathcal{G} .

The derivation operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_z(\bar{z}) & \mathcal{D}_z(u) \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(\bar{z}) & \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(u) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_z \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_z \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix}$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{h} .

The derivation operator $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{h} . Hence $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(u) = u_{0\bar{1}}$ is an \mathfrak{h} -differential invariant.

Note that for any integers $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ where $k \geq j$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \right)^{k-j} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right)^j (u_{0\bar{1}}) \\ &= f + \left(\frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}} \right)^j \sum_{n=0}^{k-j} \binom{k-j}{n} \left(-\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}} \right)^{k-j-n} (u_{(k-n)(1+n)}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where f is a smooth functions of order less than $k + 1$.

Theorem 22 For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the $m + 1$ functions

$$Q_{m+1,j+1} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \right)^{m-j} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right)^j (u_{0\bar{1}}), \quad j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$$

are \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of order $m + 1$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants

are independent, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} g_j Q_{m+1,j} = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{H}_m \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}.$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h} on

$C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(\bar{z}, u, u_{0\bar{1}}, Q_{1,1}, \dots, Q_{k,k}) \right\}.$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Subsection 3.3.1 and Equation (3.18). ■

Since the derivation operator $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{h} and \mathcal{D}_z is an invariant derivative of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$, it follows that

$$\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u} = \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}} \mathcal{D}_z, \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} = -\frac{1}{u_{0\bar{1}}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$$

are invariant derivatives of both \mathfrak{h} and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ and hence also invariant derivatives of the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\left[\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u}, \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right] = -I_2 \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u} - \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right).$$

It follows from Proposition 18 that the derivation operators

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u} + \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right) = \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + u_{0\bar{1}}^2} (u_{1\bar{0}} \mathcal{D}_x + u_{0\bar{1}} \mathcal{D}_y), \\ \nabla_2 &= \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} - \frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u} \right) = \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + u_{0\bar{1}}^2} (u_{0\bar{1}} \mathcal{D}_x - u_{1\bar{0}} \mathcal{D}_y), \end{aligned}$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 23 For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the $m + 1$ functions

$$I_{m+2,j+1} = (\nabla_1)^{m-j} (\nabla_2)^j (I_2), \quad j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$$

are \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order $m + 2$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are independent, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=0}^k g_j I_{m+2,j+1} = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{m+1} \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, m\}.$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2)$

is

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(I_0, I_2, I_{3,1}, I_{3,2}, \dots, I_{k,k-1}) \right\}.$$

Proof. The lemma follows from Theorem 22 . ■

The invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g} that we found in Subsection 3.5.2 are equal to ∇_1 and ∇_2 . Hence Theorem 20 follows from Lemma 23.

Theorem 24 Suppose that the invariant derivatives $\hat{\nabla}_1$ and $\hat{\nabla}_2$ are equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\nabla}_1 \\ \hat{\nabla}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_1 \\ \nabla_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the maximum order of the functions $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}$ is $k + 2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and

$$f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21} \neq 0, \quad f_{22} \neq 0.$$

Then the $k + n + 1$ functions

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n+k+2,i_1+1} &= \hat{\nabla}_1^{n-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1} (I_{k+2,1}), \quad i_1 \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \\ K_{n+k+2,i_2+n+1} &= \hat{\nabla}_2^n (I_{k+2,i_2+1}), \quad i_2 \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \end{aligned}$$

are \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants of order $n + k + 2$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are independent

$$\sum_{i_2=1}^k g_{i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^n(I_{k+2,i_2+1}) + \sum_{i_1=0}^n g_{i_2} \hat{\nabla}_1^{n-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1}(I_{k+2,1}) = 0, \quad g_{i_2}, g_{i_1} \in \mathcal{G}_{k+n+1} \implies g_{i_2} = g_{i_1} = 0.$$

For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g} on $C^\infty(J^m \mathbb{R}^2)$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_m = \{f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^m \mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(I_0, \dots, I_{k,k-1}, K_{k+1,1}, \dots, K_{m,m-1})\}$$

Proof. For $i_2 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_2^n(I_{k+2,i_2+1}) &= (f_{21} \nabla_1 + f_{22} \nabla_2)^n(I_{k+2,i_2+1}) \\ &= h_{i_2} + (f_{22})^n(I_{k+n+2,i_2+1+n}) + \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ji_2} (f_{22})^{n-j} (f_{21})^j (I_{k+n+2,i_2+n-j+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{i_2}, h_{ji_2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k+n+1}$. Hence

$$\sum_{i_2=1}^k g_{i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^n(I_{k+2,i_2+1}) = 0, \quad g_{i_2} \in \mathcal{G}_{k+n+1} \implies g_{i_2} = 0.$$

We will prove that

$$\sum_{i_1=0}^n g_{i_2} \hat{\nabla}_1^{n-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1}(I_{k+2,1}) = 0, \quad g_{i_1} \in \mathcal{G}_{k+n+1} \implies g_{i_1} = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

by induction.

For $n = 1$

$$\hat{\nabla}_1(I_{k+2,1}) = f_{11}I_{k+3,1} + f_{12}I_{k+3,2},$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_2(I_{k+2,1}) = f_{12}I_{k+3,1} + f_{22}I_{k+3,2}.$$

Since $f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21} \neq 0$, it follows that Equation (3.19) holds for $n = 1$.

Suppose that Equation (3.19) holds for $n = m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_1=0}^m g_{i_2} \hat{\nabla}_1 \hat{\nabla}_1^{m-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1} (I_{k+2,1}) &= 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k+m+2} \implies g_{i_1} = 0, \\ \sum_{i_1=0}^m g_{i_2} \hat{\nabla}_2 \hat{\nabla}_1^{m-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1} (I_{k+2,1}) &= 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k+m+2} \implies g_{i_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$g_1 \hat{\nabla}_1^{m+1} (I_{k+2,1}) + g_2 \hat{\nabla}_2^{m+1} (I_{k+2,1}) = 0, \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{k+m+2} \implies g_1 = g_2 = 0,$$

it follows that Equation (3.19) holds for $n = m + 1$. Hence Equation (3.19) holds for any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

It follows that

$$\sum_{i_2=1}^k g_{i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^n (I_{k+2,i_2+1}) + \sum_{i_1=0}^n g_{i_2} \hat{\nabla}_1^{n-i_1} \hat{\nabla}_2^{i_1} (I_{k+2,1}) = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k+n+1} \implies g_{i_2} = g_{i_1} = 0.$$

■

We have that the invariant derivatives defined in Subsection 3.5.1 are equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{D}I_0 \\ \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{D}I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{I_{3,2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_{3,2} & -I_{3,1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_1 \\ \nabla_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence Theorem 19 follows from Theorem 24.

3.5.4 Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{co}(2)$

It follows from Subsection 2.2.1 and 2.2.4 that

$$\mathfrak{z} = \langle V_1, V_i, V_z, V_{iz}, V_{z^2}, V_{iz^2} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle \partial_z, z\partial_z, z^2\partial_z \rangle \subset \mathfrak{h},$$

are Lie algebras. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{z} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the algebra of \mathfrak{s} -differential invariants and let \mathcal{Z} denote the algebra of \mathfrak{z} -differential invariants. It follows from Equation (3.20) that

$$\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{S} \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}}. \quad (3.21)$$

For an integer $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ the distribution defined in Subsection 3.3.1 is equal to

$$\Pi^k = \left\langle (\partial_z)^{(k)}, (z\partial_z)^{(k)}, (z^2\partial_z)^{(k)} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{H}_k, \quad \mathcal{Z}_k = \mathcal{G}_k, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

For any integer $k \geq 3$ there exist locally $k + 1$ functionally independent \mathfrak{s} - and \mathfrak{z} -differential invariants of pure order k . Theorem 22 and Lemma 23 give us k \mathfrak{s} -differential invariants and $k - 1$ \mathfrak{z} -differential invariants of pure order k . Hence we are seeking two real functions $\hat{I}_{k,1}$ and $\hat{I}_{k,2}$ of pure order k such that

$$\hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2} \in \mathcal{Z}_k, \quad \hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2} \notin \mathcal{G}_k,$$

$$g_1 \hat{I}_{k,1} + g_2 \hat{I}_{k,2} = 0, \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{k-1} \implies g_1 = g_2 = 0,$$

for all integers $k \geq 3$. It follows from Equation (3.21) that

$$\hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2} \in \mathcal{S} \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Since there exist locally k functionally independent \mathfrak{h} -differential invariants of pure order

k , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2}) &= g_2(u_{1\bar{0}}, \dots, u_{k\bar{0}}) \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}, \\ g_3(\hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2}) &= g_4(u_{0\bar{1}}, \dots, u_{0\bar{k}}) \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some nonzero functions $g_1, g_3 \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $g_2, g_4 \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

For $k = 3$ it is well known [KL2] that the Schwarz derivative is a differential invariant of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{s}

$$SD = \frac{2u_{1\bar{0}}u_{3\bar{0}} - 3u_{2\bar{0}}^2}{u_{1\bar{0}}^4}.$$

Note that

$$SD \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}, \overline{SD} \in \mathcal{H}, \quad SD \notin \mathcal{H}, \overline{SD} \notin \bar{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Hence

$$\hat{I}_{3,1}, \hat{I}_{3,2} \in \mathcal{Z}_k, \quad \hat{I}_{3,1}, \hat{I}_{3,2} \notin \mathcal{G}_k,$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{3,1} &= -\frac{1}{2}(SD + \overline{SD}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + u_{0\bar{1}}^2)^4} (3u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{2\bar{0}}^2 + 6u_{0\bar{1}}^5 u_{2\bar{1}} - 2u_{0\bar{1}}^5 u_{0\bar{3}} - 12u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{1\bar{1}}^2 - 12u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{1\bar{1}}^2 + 48u_{1\bar{0}}^3 u_{0\bar{1}} u_{2\bar{0}} u_{1\bar{1}} \\ &\quad - 48u_{1\bar{0}}^3 u_{0\bar{1}} u_{1\bar{1}} u_{0\bar{2}} + 72u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{1\bar{1}}^2 - 6u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{2\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{2}} + 36u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{2\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{2}} - 12u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^3 u_{2\bar{1}} \\ &\quad + 4u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^3 u_{0\bar{3}} + 6u_{1\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{3\bar{0}} - 18u_{1\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{1\bar{2}} - 48u_{1\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{1}}^3 u_{2\bar{0}} u_{1\bar{1}} + 48u_{1\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{1}}^3 u_{1\bar{1}} u_{0\bar{2}} \\ &\quad - 6u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{2\bar{0}} u_{0\bar{2}} - 18u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{0\bar{2}}^2 + 3u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{2\bar{0}}^2 + 3u_{0\bar{1}}^4 u_{0\bar{2}}^2 - 18u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{0\bar{1}} u_{2\bar{1}} + 6u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{0\bar{1}} u_{0\bar{3}} \\ &\quad + 4u_{1\bar{0}}^3 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{3\bar{0}} - 12u_{1\bar{0}}^3 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{1\bar{2}} - 18u_{1\bar{0}}^2 u_{0\bar{1}}^2 u_{2\bar{0}}^2 - 2u_{1\bar{0}}^5 u_{3\bar{0}} + 6u_{1\bar{0}}^5 u_{1\bar{2}} + 3u_{1\bar{0}}^4 u_{0\bar{2}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_{3,2} &= -\frac{i}{2} (SD - \overline{SD}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2)^4} (-2u_{10}^2 u_{01}^3 u_{30} + 6u_{10}^2 u_{01}^3 u_{12} - 6u_{10} u_{01}^3 u_{02}^2 - 24u_{10}^3 u_{01} u_{11}^2 - 6u_{10} u_{01}^3 u_{20}^2 \\
&\quad + u_{01}^5 u_{30} - 3u_{01}^5 u_{12} + 2u_{10}^3 u_{01}^2 u_{03} + 3u_{10} u_{01}^4 u_{03} - 9u_{10} u_{01}^4 u_{21} + 24u_{10} u_{01}^3 u_{11}^2 \\
&\quad + 6u_{01}^4 u_{11} u_{02} + 9u_{10}^4 u_{01} u_{12} - 3u_{10}^4 u_{01} u_{30} - 6u_{10}^4 u_{20} u_{11} + 6u_{10}^4 u_{11} u_{02} - 6u_{01}^4 u_{20} u_{11} \\
&\quad + 36u_{10}^2 u_{01}^2 u_{20} u_{11} - 36u_{10}^2 u_{01}^2 u_{11} u_{02} + 12u_{10} u_{01}^3 u_{20} u_{02} + 6u_{10}^3 u_{01} u_{20}^2 + 6u_{10}^3 u_{01} u_{02}^2 \\
&\quad - 12u_{10}^3 u_{01} u_{20} u_{02} - 6u_{10}^3 u_{01}^2 u_{21} - u_{10}^5 u_{03} + 3u_{10}^5 u_{21}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Subsection 3.5.3 that the derivation operators

$$\nabla = \frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}u} = \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}} \mathcal{D}_z, \quad \bar{\nabla} = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}u} = \frac{1}{u_{0\bar{1}}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}},$$

are invariant derivatives of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{h} , $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$, \mathfrak{s} and $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$. Hence

$$\nabla^k (SD) \in \bar{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathcal{S} \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}},$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^k (\overline{SD}) \in \mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{S} \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}},$$

$$\nabla^k (SD) \notin \mathfrak{H},$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^k (\overline{SD}) \notin \bar{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Hence the two functions

$$\hat{I}_{3+k,1} = \nabla^k (SD) + \bar{\nabla}^k (\overline{SD}),$$

$$\hat{I}_{3+k,2} = i \left(\nabla^k (SD) - \bar{\nabla}^k (\overline{SD}) \right),$$

are \mathfrak{z} -differential invariant of pure order $k + 3$.

Therefore the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{s} and $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ on $C^\infty(J^k \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes$

\mathbb{C} and \mathfrak{z} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(\bar{z}, u_{0\bar{1}}, \dots, u_{0\bar{k}}, u, I_2, \dots, I_{k,k-1}, SD, \dots, \nabla^{k-3}(SD)) \right\}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{S}}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(z, u_{1\bar{0}}, \dots, u_{1\bar{0}}, u, I_2, \dots, I_{k,k-1}, \overline{SD}, \dots, \bar{\nabla}^{k-3}(\overline{SD})) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(u, I_2, \dots, I_{k,k-1}, \hat{I}_{3,1}, \hat{I}_{3,2}, \dots, \hat{I}_{k,1}, \hat{I}_{k,2}) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where the functions $I_{j,i}$ are as defined in Lemma 23.

The subspaces

$$\mathfrak{c} = \langle V_1, V_i, V_z, V_{iz} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{z},$$

$$\mathfrak{w} = \langle \partial_z, z\partial_z \rangle \subset \mathfrak{s},$$

are Lie algebras. There exist locally three functionally independent \mathfrak{c} - and \mathfrak{w} -differential invariants of pure order two. The function

$$\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}$$

is a \mathfrak{w} -differential invariant. Hence the two functions

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} + \frac{u_{0\bar{2}}}{u_{0\bar{1}}^2} \right) &= \frac{(u_{20} - u_{02})(u_{1\bar{0}}^2 - u_{0\bar{1}}^2) + 4u_{11}u_{01}u_{10}}{(u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + u_{0\bar{1}}^2)^2}, \\ \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} - \frac{u_{0\bar{2}}}{u_{0\bar{1}}^2} \right) &= \frac{2(u_{20} - u_{02})u_{01}u_{10} - 2u_{11}(u_{1\bar{0}}^2 - u_{0\bar{1}}^2)}{(u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + u_{0\bar{1}}^2)^2},\end{aligned}$$

are \mathfrak{c} -differential invariants.

The function

$$\nabla \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \right) = \frac{1}{u_{1\bar{0}}} \mathcal{D}_z \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \right) = \frac{u_{3\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^3} - \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \right)^2$$

is an \mathfrak{c} -differential invariant of order three. Note that

$$SD = 2\nabla \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \right) - \left(\frac{u_{2\bar{0}}}{u_{1\bar{0}}^2} \right)^2.$$

Chapter 4

Differential Invariants of the Deformed Representations of \mathfrak{g}

This chapter is a generalization of Chapter 3.

4.1 The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}

4.1.1 The Lie Algebra Homomorphism $K_\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

In this subsection we are seeking a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$K_\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$K_\lambda(g\partial_z) = g\partial_z + \lambda(g, u)\partial_u,$$

where $\lambda(z, u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence the map K_λ must be linear and preserve the commutator bracket, i.e.

$$\lambda(\{g, h\}, u) = gh_z\lambda_h(h, u) - hg_z\lambda_g(g, u) + \lambda(g, u)\lambda_u(h, u) - \lambda_u(g, u)\lambda(h, u), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\{g, h\}$ is the bracket defined on \mathcal{O} in Subsection 2.2.1.

It follows from Equation (4.1) that for any constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\lambda(\{c_1, c_2\}, u) = \lambda(c_1, u)\lambda_u(c_2, u) - \lambda_u(c_1, u)\lambda(c_2, u) = 0.$$

Hence the function $\lambda(z, u)$ is separable, i.e.

$$\lambda(z, u) = Z(z)U(u).$$

It follows from Equation (4.1) that

$$Z(\{1, z\}) = Z(1) = Z'(z).$$

Hence

$$Z(z) = zc, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus the map

$$K_\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$K_\lambda(g\partial_z) = g\partial_z + \lambda(g, u)\partial_u,$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism if and only if

$$\lambda(z, u) = zU(u), \quad U(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_U = \text{Im}(K_\lambda)$ denote the image of the Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{h}_U = \{ g(\partial_z + U(u)\partial_u) \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \}.$$

4.1.2 A Lie Algebra Isomorphism

In this subsection we are seeking functions $G(u) = G_1(u) + iG_2(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that the \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$2 \operatorname{Re} : \mathfrak{h}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$$

is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_y) + (g_1G_1(u) - g_2G_2(u))\partial_u + i \left(\frac{1}{2}(g_2\partial_x - g_1\partial_y) + (g_2G_1(u) + g_1G_2(u))\partial_u \right) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(g(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u)) = V_g + 2(g_1G_1(u) - g_2G_2(u))\partial_u.$$

If the map $2 \operatorname{Re}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism when restricted to \mathfrak{h}_F , then

$$\begin{aligned} & [2 \operatorname{Re}(g(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u)), 2 \operatorname{Re}(h(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u))] \\ &= [V_g + 2(g_1G_1(u) - g_2G_2(u))\partial_u, V_h + 2(h_1G_1(u) - h_2G_2(u))\partial_u] \\ &= V_{[g,h]} + 2(V_g(h_1G_1(u) - h_2G_2(u)) - V_h(g_1G_1(u) - g_2G_2(u)))\partial_u \\ &\quad - 4(g_1h_2 - h_1g_2)(G_1(u)G_2'(u) - G_1'(u)G_2(u))\partial_u \end{aligned}$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \operatorname{Re}[g(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u), h(\partial_z + G(u)\partial_u)] \\ &= V_{[g,h]} + 2(V_g(h_1G_1(u) - h_2G_2(u)) - V_h(g_1G_1(u) - g_2G_2(u)))\partial_u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G_1(u)G_2'(u) - G_1'(u)G_2(u) = 0.$$

So it follows that the linear map $2\text{Re} : \mathfrak{h}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism if and only if

$$G(u) = F(u)b,$$

where $F(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence the three spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} &= \left\{ gW_{Fb} = g(z) \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right) \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}, \\ \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{Fb} &= \left\{ \bar{g}\bar{W}_{Fb} = \bar{g}(z) \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2}F(u)\bar{b}\partial_u \right) \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} &= \left\{ V_{Fbg} = V_g + F(u)(g_1b_1 - g_2b_2)\partial_u \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

are infinite dimensional Lie algebras.

If we consider \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then

$$V_{Fbg} = g(z) \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right) + \bar{g} \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2}F(u)\bar{b}\partial_u \right), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Moreover, the complexification of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} is equal to the direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g}_{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{Fb}.$$

Consider the linear subspace of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb}

$$\mathfrak{s}_{Fb} = \left\langle z^j \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right) \mid j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{Fb}.$$

Since

$$\left[z^j \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right), z^l \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right) \right] = (l-j)z^{j-l} \left(\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u \right) \in \mathfrak{s}_{Fb}$$

for $j, l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, it follows that \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} is a 3-dimensional Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence the space

$$\mathfrak{z}_{Fb} = \langle V_{Fb_1}, V_{Fbi}, V_{Fbz}, V_{Fbiz}, V_{Fbz^2}, V_{Fbiz^2} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}_{Fb},$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

4.2 The Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}^k

In this section we will use the results from Chapter 3.

Consider the spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}_{Fb}^k = \left\{ V_{Fbg}^{(k)} = V_g^{(k)} + ((F(u)(b_1g_1 - b_2g_2))\partial_u)^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_{Fb}^k = \left\{ gW_{Fb}^{(k)} = (g(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

For $k \geq 1$ \mathfrak{h}_{Fb}^k is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}^k is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Let \mathcal{H}^{Fb} denote the algebra of the \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} -differential invariants, and let \mathcal{G}^{Fb} denote the algebra of the \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariants.

Proposition 25 *We have that*

$$\mathcal{G}^{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H}^{Fb} \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{Fb}$$

Proof. \implies For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any function $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^{Fb} \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k^{Fb}$

$$V_{Fbg}^{(k)}(f) = (g(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)}(f) + (\bar{g}(z) (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2}F(u)\bar{b}\partial_u))^{(k)}(f) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{G}^{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C} \supseteq \mathcal{H}^{Fb} \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{Fb}$$

\Leftarrow For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and any function $f \in \mathcal{G}_k^{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C}$

$$(g(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)}(f) = V_{Fbg}^{(k)}(f) - iV_{Fbig}^{(k)}(f) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$(\bar{g}(z) (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2}F(u)\bar{b}\partial_u))^{(k)}(f) = V_{Fbg}^{(k)}(f) + iV_{Fbig}^{(k)}(f) = 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}.$$

■

4.2.1 The Distribution Ω_{Fb}^k

In this subsection we will use the results from Subsection 3.3.1.

For every function $F(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and constant $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ the complex distribution

$$\Omega_{Fb}^k = \left\langle (g(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

has complex dimension $k + 1$ and

$$\Omega_{Fb}^k \oplus \bar{\Omega}_{Fb}^k = \left\langle V_{Fbg}^{(k)} \mid g \in \mathcal{O} \right\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

has complex dimension $2(k + 1)$.

For any functions $g, h \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\left[(g(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)}, (h(z) (\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}F(u)b\partial_u))^{(k)} \right] \in \Omega_{Fb}^k,$$

$$\left[V_{Fbg}^{(k)}, V_{Fbh}^{(k)} \right] \in \Omega_{Fb}^k \oplus \bar{\Omega}_{Fb}^k.$$

Moreover

$$\Omega_{Fb}^k \cap \bar{\Omega}_{Fb}^k = 0.$$

Hence the distribution Ω_{Fb}^k is completely integrable.

The first integrals of the complex distribution $\Omega_{Fb}^k \oplus \bar{\Omega}_{Fb}^k$ are invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence the distribution $\Omega_{Fb}^k \oplus \bar{\Omega}_{Fb}^k$ has one first integral of order zero

$$J_0 \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$$

and $l - 1$ first integrals of order l for $1 \leq l \leq k$

$$\{J_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{l-1} \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2), \quad 2 \leq l \leq k$$

such that locally the $K - 2(k + 1)$ functions

$$J_0 \cup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \{J_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{l-1}$$

are functionally independent, where

$$K = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2 + 2.$$

The first integrals of the distribution Ω_{Fb}^k are invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence the distribution Ω_{Fb}^k has one first integral of pure order l

$$Q_l, \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k,$$

such that locally the $K - (k + 1)$ functions

$$J_0 \cup_{2 \leq l \leq k} \{J_{l,j}\}_{j=1}^{l-1} \cup_{1 \leq m \leq k} Q_m$$

are functionally independent.

The algebras of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} and $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{Fb}$ on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_k^{Fb} &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(J_0, J_2, J_{3,1}, J_{3,2}, \dots, J_{k,k-1}, Q_1, \dots, Q_k) \right\}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_k^{Fb} &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(J_0, J_2, J_{3,1}, J_{3,2}, \dots, J_{k,k-1}, \bar{Q}_1, \dots, \bar{Q}_k) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_k^{Fb} &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(J_0, J_2, J_{3,1}, J_{3,2}, \dots, J_{k,k-1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.2 Invariant Derivatives of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}

For any function $F(u) \neq 0 \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ and constant $b = b_1 + ib_2 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, the following functions are \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} -differential invariants of order zero

$$\bar{z}, J_0 = \int \frac{1}{F(u)} du - \frac{1}{2}zb - \frac{1}{2}\bar{z}\bar{b} \in \mathcal{H}^{Fb}.$$

The derivation operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-1}{\frac{u_{1\bar{0}}}{F(u)} - \frac{1}{2}b} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{b} & -\frac{u_{1\bar{0}}}{F(u)} + \frac{1}{2}b \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_z \\ \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix}$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} .

The derivation operator $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} . Hence the following function is a \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} -differential invariant of order one

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(J_0) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{b} = \frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)}.$$

Note that for any integers $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $k \geq j$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \right)^{k-j} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \right)^j \left(\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} \right) \tag{4.2} \\ = & f + \frac{1}{F(u)} \left(\frac{-F(u)}{u_{1\bar{0}} - \frac{1}{2}F(u)b} \right)^k \sum_{n=0}^{k-j} \left(\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{b} \right)^{k-j-n} \left(-\frac{u_{1\bar{0}}}{F(u)} + \frac{1}{2}b \right)^n u_{(k-n)(1+n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where f is a smooth function of order less than $k+1$.

Theorem 26 For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the $k+1$ functions

$$K_{k+1,j+1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \right)^{k-j} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \right)^j \left(\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} \right), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$$

are \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} -differential invariants of order $k+1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k g_j \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}\bar{z}} \right)^{k-j} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \right)^j \left(\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} \right) = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{H}_k^{Fb} \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, k\}.$$

For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^m\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\mathcal{H}_m^{Fb} = \{f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^m\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(\bar{z}, J_0, K_{1,1}, K_{2,1}, K_{2,2}, \dots, K_{m,m})\}$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Equation (4.2) and Subsection 4.2.1. ■

Since $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$ is an invariant derivative of \mathfrak{h}_{Fb} and \mathcal{D}_z is an invariant derivative of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{Fb}$

it follows that the derivation operators

$$\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{u_{1\bar{0}}}{F(u)} - \frac{1}{2}b} \mathcal{D}_z, \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{u_{0\bar{1}}}{F(u)} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{b}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}},$$

are invariant derivatives for $\mathfrak{g}_{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\left[\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}J_0}, \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \right] = \frac{\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(J_0)}{\mathcal{D}_z(J_0) \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(J_0)} \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} - \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}J_0} \right),$$

it follows that the function

$$J_2 = \frac{\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(J_0)}{\mathcal{D}_z(J_0) \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(J_0)} = \frac{(-u_{01}^2 - u_{10}^2)F_u(u) + F(u)(u_{02} + u_{20})}{(b_1 F(u) - u_{10})^2 + (b_2 F(u) + u_{01})^2}$$

is an \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariant of order two. Moreover,

$$\hat{\nabla}_1 = \left(\frac{F(u)^2}{(u_{10} - b_1 F(u))^2 + (u_{01} + b_2 F(u))^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{u_{10}}{F(u)} - b_1 \right) \mathcal{D}_x + \left(\frac{u_{01}}{F(u)} + b_2 \right) \mathcal{D}_y \right),$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_2 = \left(\frac{F(u)^2}{(u_{10} - b_1 F(u))^2 + (u_{01} + b_2 F(u))^2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{u_{01}}{F(u)} + b_2 \right) \mathcal{D}_x + \left(-\frac{u_{10}}{F(u)} + b_1 \right) \mathcal{D}_y \right),$$

are invariant derivatives of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} .

Theorem 27 For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the $k+1$ functions

$$J_{k+2,j+1} = \left(\hat{\nabla}_1 \right)^{k-j} \left(\hat{\nabla}_j \right)^j (J_2), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$$

are \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariants of order $k+2$. Moreover, these \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariants are independent, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=0}^k g_j \left(\hat{\nabla}_1 \right)^{k-j} \left(\hat{\nabla}_2 \right)^j (J_2) = 0, \quad g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}^{Fb} \implies g_j = 0, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, k\}.$$

For any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^m\mathbb{R}^2)$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_m^{Fb} = \{f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^m\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid f = f(J_0, J_2, J_{3,1}, J_{3,2}, \dots, J_{m,m-1})\}.$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Theorem 26 . ■

Remark 28 Note that for any nonzero function $F(u) \in C^\infty(J^0\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} (V_g + F(u)(b_1g_1 + b_2g_2)) &= V_g, \\ \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} J_0 &= \int \frac{du}{F(u)} = \int \frac{dI_0}{F(I_0)}, \\ \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} J_2 &= -F'(u) + F(u) \left(\frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \right) = -F'(I_0) + F(I_0)I_2, \\ \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \hat{\nabla}_1 &= \frac{F(u)}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (u_{10}\mathcal{D}_x + u_{01}\mathcal{D}_y) = F(I_0)\nabla_1, \\ \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \hat{\nabla}_2 &= \frac{F(u)}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} (u_{01}\mathcal{D}_x - u_{10}\mathcal{D}_y) = F(I_0)\nabla_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the results of Chapter 3 can be obtained from the results of this chapter.

4.2.3 Invariant Functions of the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} and \mathfrak{c}_{Fb}

For any function $F(u) \neq 0 \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ and constant $b = b_1 + ib_2 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{c}_{Fb} = \langle V_{Fb1}, V_{Fbi}, V_{Fbz}, V_{Fbiz} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} = \langle V_{Fb1}, V_{Fbi}, V_{Fbz}, V_{Fbiz}, V_{Fbz^2}, V_{Fbiz^2} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}_{Fb},$$

$$\mathfrak{w}_{Fb} = \langle z^j (\partial_z + \frac{b}{2}F(u)\partial_u) \mid j \in \{0, 1\} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} = \langle z^j (\partial_z + \frac{b}{2}F(u)\partial_u) \mid j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{h}_{Fb}.$$

For any integer $k \geq 2$ there exist locally $k + 1$ functionally independent \mathfrak{c}_{Fb} - and \mathfrak{w}_{Fb} -differential invariants of pure order k . The function

$$W_2 = \frac{u_{2\bar{0}}F(u) - F'(u)u_{1\bar{0}}^2}{(-2u_{1\bar{0}} + bF(u))^2} \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}, W_2 \notin \mathcal{H},$$

is an \mathfrak{m}_{Fb} -differential invariant.

For any integer $k \geq 3$ there exist locally $k + 1$ functionally independent \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} - and \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} -differential invariants of pure order k . The function

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla(W_2) \\ &= \frac{2}{(-2u_{1\bar{0}} + bF(u))^4} (2F_u(u)u_{2\bar{0}}u_{1\bar{0}}^2 + 3u_{1\bar{0}}F_u(u)u_{2\bar{0}}bF(u) - 2F_{uu}(u)u_{1\bar{0}}^4 + \\ & \quad bF_{uu}(u)F(u)u_{1\bar{0}}^3 - 2bF_u(u)^2u_{1\bar{0}}^3 - 4u_{2\bar{0}}^2F(u) + 2F(u)u_{3\bar{0}}u_{1\bar{0}} - F(u)^2u_{3\bar{0}}b) \end{aligned}$$

is an \mathfrak{m}_{Fb} -differential invariant, where $\nabla = \frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}{\mathcal{D}J_0}$ is the invariant derivative of \mathfrak{h} from Subsection 4.2.2. Since \mathfrak{m}_{Fb} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} , it follows that there must exist a function $W_3(W_2, \nabla(W_2))$ that is an \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} -differential invariant. Indeed, the function

$$W_3 = 2W_2^2 + \nabla(W_2)$$

is an \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} -differential invariant.

Since

$$\mathfrak{z}_{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{s}_{Fb} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{Fb}, \quad \mathfrak{c}_{Fb} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{m}_{Fb} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{Fb},$$

it follows that the functions

$$\hat{J}_{2,1} = W_2 + \bar{W}_2, \quad \hat{J}_{2,2} = i(W_2 - \bar{W}_2),$$

are \mathfrak{c}_{Fb} -differential invariants and

$$\hat{J}_{3+k,1} = \nabla^k W_3 + \nabla^k \bar{W}_3, \quad \hat{J}_{3+k,2} = \nabla^k W_3 - \nabla^k \bar{W}_3, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

are \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} -differential invariants.

The algebras of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{c}_{Fb} and \mathfrak{z}_{Fb} on $C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$

are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_k^{Fb} &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(J_0, \dots, J_{k,k-1}, \hat{J}_{2,1}, \hat{J}_{2,2}, \dots, \hat{J}_{k,1}, \hat{J}_{k,2}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_k^{Fb} &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f = f(J_0, \dots, J_{k,k-1}, \hat{J}_{3,1}, \hat{J}_{3,2}, \dots, \hat{J}_{k,1}, \hat{J}_{k,2}) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where the functions $J_{i,j}$ are as defined in Subsection 4.2.2.

Chapter 5

Applications and Examples

5.1 Applications

For any function $Q \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ the surface $\mathcal{E} = \{Q = 0\}$ is a *PDE*. A vector field $X_f \in \text{Cont}(J^1\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a symmetry of \mathcal{E} if

$$X_f^{(k)}(f) = \lambda_{X_f} f, \quad \lambda_{X_f} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Let θ_t be the flow of a symmetry vector field. If $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a solution of \mathcal{E} , then

$$\theta_t(x, y, h(x, y)) = (x_t, y_t, h_t(x_t, y_t)),$$

where $\hat{h}_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a family of solutions of the *PDE* \mathcal{E} .

The Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} consists of all conformal transformations of \mathbb{R}^2 . Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 29 *Let F be a \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant. If the function $h(x, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a solution of the *PDE* $\mathcal{E} = \{F = 0\}$, then the function*

$$u(x, y) = h(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y))$$

is a solution of \mathcal{E} for every function $g(z) = g_1(x, y) + ig_2(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}$ on domains where $g_z \neq 0$.

Example 30 The function $I_2 = \frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} \in C^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant.

Therefore the PDE

$$\mathcal{E} = \{u_{20} + u_{02} = 0\}$$

is \mathfrak{g} -invariant. Moreover, \mathfrak{g} acts transitively on the space $\text{sol}(\mathcal{E})$ of harmonic functions.

Example 31 The function $\frac{u_{20} + u_{02}}{u_{10}^2 + u_{01}^2} - \frac{1}{u}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -differential invariant.

$$h(x, y) = x^2 + y^2.$$

is a solution of the PDE

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \frac{u_{11} + u_{22}}{u_2^2 + u_1^2} - \frac{1}{u} = 0 \right\}.$$

We will verify that the function

$$F(x, y) = h(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y)) = g_1(x, y)^2 + g_2(x, y)^2$$

is a solution of \mathcal{E} for any function $g = g_1 + ig_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ on domains where $g_z \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{F_{xx} + F_{yy}}{F_x^2 + F_y^2} - \frac{1}{F} \\ = & \frac{2(g_1g_{1xx} + g_{1x}^2 - g_2g_{1xy} + g_{1y}^2) + 2(g_1g_{1yy} + g_{1x}^2 + g_2g_{1xy} + g_{2y}^2)}{4(g_1g_{1x} - g_2g_{1y})^2 + 4(g_1g_{1y} - g_2g_{1x})^2} - \frac{1}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} \\ = & \frac{4(g_{1x}^2 + g_{1y}^2)}{4(g_1^2 + g_2^2)(g_{1x}^2 + g_{1y}^2)} - \frac{1}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the flow of $V_{Fbg} \in \mathfrak{g}_{Fb}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= g_1(x, y), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= g_2(x, y), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= F(u) (b_1 g_1(x, y) - b_2 g_2(x, y)) = F(u) \left(b_1 \frac{dx}{dt} - b_2 \frac{dy}{dt} \right).\end{aligned}$$

For $F \neq 0$ we have

$$G(u) = \int \frac{du}{F(u)} = (b_1 x - b_2 y + c).$$

Hence

$$u = G^{-1} (b_1 x - b_2 y + G(u_0) - (b_1 x_0 - b_2 y_0)).$$

Substituting

$$x_0 = g_1(x, y), \quad y_0 = g_2(x, y), \quad u_0 = h(x_0, y_0) = h(g_1, g_2)$$

we get the following theorem:

Theorem 32 *Let J be a \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariant for $F \neq 0$. If the function $h(x, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a solution of the PDE $\mathcal{E} = \{J = 0\}$, then the function*

$$u(x, y) = G^{-1} (b_1 (x - g_1(x, y)) - b_2 (y - g_2(x, y)) + G(h(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y)))).$$

is a solution of \mathcal{E} for every function $g = g_1 + ig_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ on domains where $g_z \neq 0$.

Example 33 *Let $F = c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$. The function $\frac{(u_{20} + u_{02})}{(cb_1 - u_{10})^2 + (cb_2 + u_{01})^2}$ is a \mathfrak{g}_{Fb} -differential invariant. The function*

$$h = \ln(xy) + c(b_1 x - b_2 y)$$

is a solution of the PDE

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \frac{(u_{20} + u_{02})}{(cb_1 - u_{10})^2 + (cb_2 + u_{01})^2} + 1 = 0 \right\}.$$

We will verify that the function

$$H(x, y) = c(b_1x - b_2y) + \ln(g_1(x, y)g_2(x, y))$$

is a solution of \mathcal{E} for any function $g(z) = g_1(x, y) + ig(x, y)_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ on domains where $g_z \neq 0$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_x &= \frac{g_{1x}g_2 - g_{1y}g_1}{g_1g_2} + cb_1, \\ H_y &= \frac{g_{1y}g_2 + g_{1x}g_1}{g_1g_2} - cb_2, \\ H_{xx} &= \frac{-g_{1x}^2g_2^2 - g_{1y}^2g_1^2 + g_{1xx}g_1g_2^2 - g_{1xy}g_1^2g_2}{g_1^2g_2^2}, \\ H_{yy} &= \frac{-g_{1y}^2g_2^2 - g_{1x}^2g_1^2 - g_{1xx}g_1g_2^2 + g_{1xy}g_1^2g_2}{g_1^2g_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows that

$$\frac{(H_{xx} + H_{yy})}{(cb_1 - u_{10})^2 + (cb_2 + u_{01})^2} + 1 = \frac{(-2g_{1x}^2g_2^2 - 2g_{1y}^2g_1^2)}{(g_{1x}g_2 - g_{1y}g_1)^2 + (g_{1y}g_2 + g_{1x}g_1)^2} + 1 = 0.$$

5.2 The Action of \mathfrak{g} on $J^k\mathbb{R}$

Consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{g_1\partial_x + g_2\partial_u \mid g_{1x} = g_{2u}, g_{1u} = g_{2x}\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathcal{D}(J^0\mathbb{R}).$$

This canonical action on $J^0\mathbb{R}$ lifts to the action of \mathfrak{g} on $J^k\mathbb{R}$.

All scalar \mathfrak{g} -differential invariants are constants. However there exist \mathfrak{g} -invariant differential equations $\mathcal{E} \subset J^k\mathbb{R}$, see [G].

Consider the 3–dimensional Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{t} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_u, u\partial_x - x\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{a} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_u, x\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

For $k \geq 2$ there exists $k - 1$ functions that are invariant under the action of \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{a} on $J^k\mathbb{R}$.

The function u_1 is an \mathfrak{a} –differential invariant of order one and $\frac{u_2}{(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2}}$ is a \mathfrak{t} –differential invariant of order two. The latter invariant is the well known curvature of a curve.

The spaces \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{a} are Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{c} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_u, x\partial_x + u\partial_u, u\partial_x - x\partial_u \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

For $k \geq 3$ there exists one \mathfrak{c} –differential invariant of pure order k .

The functions

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \frac{u_3 u_1^2 - 3u_2^2 u_1 + u_3}{u_2^2}, \\ I_4 &= \frac{u_4 u_1^4 - 10u_1^3 u_2 u_3 + (2u_4 + 15u_2^3)u_1^2 - 10u_1 u_2 u_3 + u_4}{u_2^3}, \end{aligned}$$

are \mathfrak{c} –differential invariants. Hence for any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the following function

$$I_{k+4} = \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_x(I_3)} \mathcal{D}_x \right)^k (I_4)$$

is a \mathfrak{c} –differential invariant of pure order $4 + k$.

Consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{f} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_u, x\partial_x + u\partial_u, u\partial_x - x\partial_u, (x^2 - y^2)\partial_x + 2xy\partial_y, (x^2 - y^2)\partial_y + 2xy\partial_x \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

For $k \geq 5$ there exists one \mathfrak{f} -differential invariant of pure order k , denoted J_k . Since \mathfrak{c} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{f} it follows that

$$J_k = f_k(I_3, \dots, I_k).$$

Indeed, the functions

$$\begin{aligned} J_5 &= \frac{1}{4I_3^3}(45 + 4I_3I_4I_5 - 12I_3^2I_4 + 40I_3^2 - 30I_4 + 5I_4^2 - 12I_3I_5 - 8I_5I_3^3), \\ J_6 &= \frac{1}{4I_3^{9/2}}(1/4(-405 - 108I_3I_4I_5 - 24I_6I_3^2I_4 + 15I_4^3 + 405I_4 + 18I_5I_4^2I_3 - 80I_3^4 + 24I_3^4I_4 \\ &\quad - 42I_4^2I_3^2 + 24I_5I_3^5 + 16I_6I_3^6 - 48I_3^3I_4I_5 - 8I_5^2I_3^4 + 4I_5^2I_4I_3^2 - 16I_6I_3^4I_4 + 36I_6I_3^2 \\ &\quad + 4I_6I_4^2I_3^2 - 135I_4^2 - 390I_3^2 + 48I_6I_3^4 + 144I_5I_3^3 - 12I_5^2I_3^2 + 256I_3^2I_4 + 162I_3I_5), \end{aligned}$$

are \mathfrak{f} -differential invariants of pure order five and six. Hence for any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the following function

$$J_{k+6} = \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_x(I_5)} \mathcal{D}_x \right)^k (J_5)$$

is an \mathfrak{f} -differential invariant of pure order $6 + k$.

The algebra of invariant functions under the action of \mathfrak{t} , \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{f} on $J^k\mathbb{R}$ are, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}) \mid f = f \left(\frac{u_2}{(1+u_1^2)^{3/2}}, I_3, I_4, J_5, J_6, \dots, J_k \right) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}) \mid f = f(u_1, I_3, I_4, J_5, J_6, \dots, J_k) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}) \mid f = f(I_3, I_4, J_5, J_6, \dots, J_k) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_k &= \left\{ f \in C_{loc}^\infty(J^k\mathbb{R}) \mid f = f(J_5, J_6, \dots, J_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

All the computations of this section are done in Maple Worksheet "Lie_sa_fin"

Example 34 *The ordinary differential equation*

$$y'' = K \left(1 + (y')^2\right)^{3/2}, \quad K \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.1)$$

determines a 1-dimensional distribution on the manifold of 1-jets $J^1\mathbb{R}$. The distribution is generated by the vector field

$$\mathcal{D} = \partial_x + u_1 \partial_u + K(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2} \partial_{u_1},$$

or by the Cartan differential 1-forms

$$\omega_1 = du - u_1 dx, \quad \omega_2 = du_1 - K(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2} dx.$$

The function $\frac{u_2}{(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2}}$ is a \mathfrak{t} -differential invariant, where

$$\mathfrak{t} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_u, u \partial_x - x \partial_u \rangle.$$

Hence the following vector fields

$$S_1 = \partial_u,$$

$$S_2 = \partial_x - \mathcal{D} = - \left(u_1 \partial_u + K(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2} \partial_{u_1} \right),$$

are commutative shuffling symmetries of the distribution.

Following the method of [KLR], we introduce the differential 1-forms for $K \neq 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{u_1}{K(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{K(1 + u_1^2)^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The differential 1-forms $\langle dx, \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ are the dual basis for $\langle \mathcal{D}, S_1, S_2 \rangle$. In addition the differential 1-forms θ_1 and θ_2 are closed, i.e.

$$\theta_1 = dH, \quad \theta_2 = dG,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \int du - \int \frac{u_1}{K(1+u_1^2)^{3/2}} du_1 = u + \frac{1}{K(1+u_1^2)^{1/2}} + c_1, \quad c_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\
 G &= \int dx - \int \frac{1}{K(1+u_1^2)^{3/2}} du_1 = x - \frac{u_1}{K(1+u_1^2)^{1/2}} + c_1, \quad c_1 \in \mathbb{R}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions H and G are first integrals of the distribution, we can express the solution as the curve

$$(x - c_1)^2 + (u - c_2)^2 = 1/K^2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This proves that the only curves of constant curvature $K \neq 0$ are circles of radius $1/K$.

Chapter 6

Appendix

In this thesis we used DifferentialGeometry Package of Maple 11 to compute differential invariants and invariant derivatives. The program used are:

- Maple Worksheet "h_diff_inv_3",
- Maple Worksheet "tresse_inv_der",
- Maple Worksheet "dep_inv",
- Maple Worksheet "dep_inv_n_o",
- Maple Worksheet "Lie_sa_fin".

They are available from the author on request.

Bibliography

- [G] V. Gauhman, *The geometry of a second-order ordinary differential equation with respect to a conformal group of transformations in two variables* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **140** (1961), 15–18.
- [KL1] B.Kruglikov, V. Lychagin, *Invariants of Pseudogroup Actions: Homological Methods and Finiteness Theorem*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics Vol **3**, (2006), 1131-1165.
- [KL2] B.Kruglikov, V. Lychagin, *Handbook of Global Analysis*, Ed. D.Krupka, D.Saunders, Elsevier, 725-771, 2007.
- [KN] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Volume 2, Wiley Classics Library, 1996.
- [KLR] A. Kushner, V. Lychagin, V. Rubtsov, *Contact Geometry and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [KLV] I. Krasilschik, V. Lychagin, A. Vinogradov, *Geometry of jet spaces and differential equations*, Gordon and Breach, 1986.

- [L] S. Lie, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen* Zweiter Abschnitt (unter Mitwirkung von Prof. Dr. Friederich Engel), Teubner, Leipzig, 1890.

- [S] S. Sternberg, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1964.

- [T] A. Tresse, *Sur les invariants différentiels des groupes continus de transformations*, Acta Math. **18** (1894) 1-88.