Two-sided estimates of the Lebesgue constants with respect to Vilenkin systems and applications
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Abstract. In this paper we derive two-sided estimates of the Lebesgue constants for bounded Vilenkin systems, we also present some applications of importance e.g. we obtain a characterization for the boundedness of a subsequence of partial sums with respect to Vilenkin-Fourier series of $H_1$ martingales in terms of $n$'s variation. The conditions given in this paper are in a sense necessary and sufficient.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, partial sums, Lebesgue constant, two-sided estimates, $n$'s variation, modulus of continuity, martingale Hardy space.

1. Introduction

It is known that for every Vilenkin systems

$$L_n := ||D_n||_1 \leq c \log n$$

holds. For the definitions of $D_n$, the Vilenkin systems and other objects in this Section (e.g. $v(n)$ and $v^*(n)$) we refer to our Section 2.

For some concrete systems it is possible to write two-sided estimations of Lebesgue constants $L_{n_k}$. In particular, for every bounded Vilenkin systems Lukyanenko [4] proved two-sided estimates for the Lebesgue constants $L_{n_k}$ for some concrete indices $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Lukomsii [3] generalized this result and proved two-sided estimates for the Lebesgue constants $L_n$ without the conditions on the indexes. He showed that for $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$ and every bounded Vilenkin systems we have the following two-sided estimates of Lebesgue constants:

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{4\lambda} v(n) + \frac{1}{\lambda} v^*(n) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \leq L_n \leq \frac{3}{2} v(n) + 4v^*(n) - 1.$$ 

It is well-known that (see e.g. [1] and [2]) Vilenkin systems do not form bases in the space $L_1$. Moreover, there exists a function in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1$, such that the partial sums of $f$ are not bounded in $L_1$-norm.
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Onneweer [6] showed that if the modulus of continuity of \( f \in L_1[0,1) \) satisfies the condition
\[
\omega_1(\delta, f) = o\left(\frac{1}{\log(1/\delta)}\right), \quad \text{as } \delta \to 0,
\]
then its Vilenkin-Fourier series converges in \( L_1 \)-norm. He also proved that condition (2) cannot be improved.

In [8] (see also [9]) it was proved that if \( f \in H_1 \) and
\[
\omega_{H_1}\left(\frac{1}{M_n}, f\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]
then \( S_k f \) converge to \( f \) in \( L_1 \)-norm. Moreover, there was showed that condition (3) cannot be improved.

It is also known that any subsequence \( S_{n_k} \) is bounded from \( L_1 \) to \( L_1 \) if and only if \( n_k \) has uniformly bounded variation and as a corollary the subsequence \( S_{2n} \) of partial sums is bounded from Hardy space \( H_p \) to the Hardy space \( H_p \), for all \( p > 0 \).

In this paper we improve the upper bound in (1) and also prove a new similar lower bound by using a completely different new method. By applying these results we also find the characterizations of boundedness (or even the ratio of divergence of the norm) of the subsequence of partial sums of the Vilenkin-Fourier series of \( H_1 \) martingales in terms of \( n \)'s variation. We also derive a relationship of the ratio of convergence of the partial sum of the Vilenkin series with the modulus of continuity of a martingale. The conditions given in the paper are in a sense necessary and sufficient.

Our main results (Theorem 1) is presented and proved in Section 3. The mentioned applications especially Theorems 2 and 3 can be in Section 4. Section 2 is reserved for necessary definitions, notations and some Lemmas (Lemmas 2 and 3 are new).

2. Preliminaries

Let \( \mathbb{N}_+ \) denote the set of the positive integers, \( \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\} \).

Let \( m := (m_0, m_1, \ldots) \) denote a sequence of the positive numbers not less than 2.

Denote by
\[
Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, \ldots, m_k - 1\}
\]
the additive group of integers modulo \( m_k \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Define the group \( G_m \) as the complete direct product of the group \( Z_{m_k} \) with the product of the discrete topologies of \( Z_{m_k} \)'s.

The direct product \( \mu \) of the measures
\[
\mu_k(\{j\}) := 1/m_k, (j \in Z_{m_k})
\]
is the Haar measure on \( G_m \), with \( \mu(G_m) = 1 \).
In this paper we discuss bounded Vilenkin groups only, that is

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n < \infty.$$  

The elements of $G_m$ are represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots), \ (x_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighbourhood of $G_m$:

$$I_0 (x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n (x) := \left\{ y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, \ldots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1} \right\}, \ (x \in G_m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Denote $I_n := I_n (0)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{I}_n := G_m \setminus I_n$.

The norm (or quasi-norm) of the spaces $L_p (G_m)$ is defined by

$$\| f \|_p := \left( \int_{G_m} |f|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on $m$ in the following way:

$$M_0 := 1, \ M_{k+1} := m_k M_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k M_k$, where $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and only a finite number of $n_k$’s differ from zero. Let $|n| := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_k \neq 0\}$.

For the natural number $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$, we define

$$\delta_j := \text{sign} (n_j) = \text{sign} (\ominus n_j), \quad \delta_j^* := |\ominus n_j - 1| \delta_j,$$

where $\ominus$ is the inverse operation for

$$a_k \oplus b_k = (a_k + b_k) \mod m_k.$$

We define functions $v$ and $v^*$ by

$$v (n) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\delta_{j+1} - \delta_j| + \delta_0, \quad v^* (n) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_j^*.$$  

Next, we introduce on $G_m$ an orthonormal system, which is called the Vilenkin system. At first define the complex valued functions $r_k (x) : G_m \to \mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions, by

$$r_k (x) := \exp \left(2\pi i x_k / m_k\right), \ (i^2 = -1, \ x \in G_m, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}$. It is well-known that

$$r_n^k (x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x_n \neq 0, \\ m_n & x_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

(4)
Now, define the Vilenkin systems $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on $G_m$ as:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k^{\text{mk}}(x), \ (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.

The Vilenkin systems are orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G_m)$ (see e.g. [1, 10]).

Next we introduce analogues of the usual definitions in Fourier-analysis. If $f \in L_1(G_m)$ we can establish the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums, the Dirichlet kernels, with respect to Vilenkin systems in the usual manner:

$$\hat{f}(n) := \int_{G_m} f(x) \psi_n(x) d\mu, \ (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(k) \psi_k, \ (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k, \ (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$D_{M_n}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{m_k-1} r_k^s(x) \right)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
M_n & x \in I_n, \\
0 & x \notin I_n,
\end{array} \right.$$

and

$$D_n = \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D_{M_j} \sum_{u=m_j-n_j}^{m_j-1} r_j^u \right).$$

The $\sigma$-algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G_m\}$ is denoted by $F_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$. Let $f := (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a martingale with respect to $F_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$. (for details see e.g. [12]).

The maximal function of a martingale $f$ is defined by

$$f^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| f^{(n)} \right|.$$

In the case $f \in L_1(G_m)$ the maximal functions are also be given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{I_n(x)} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u) \mu(u) \right| \right|$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$
The martingale \( f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is said to be \( L_p \)-bounded \((0 < p \leq \infty)\) if \( f^{(n)} \in L_p \) and

\[
\|f\|_p := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| f^{(n)} \right\|_p < \infty.
\]

If \( f \in L_1 (G_m) \), then it is easy to show that the sequence \( F = (S_{M_n} f : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is a martingale. This type of martingales is called regular. If \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) and \( f \in L_p (G_m) \) then \( f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is \( L_p \)-bounded and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|S_{M_n} f - f\|_p = 0,
\]

consequently \( \|F\|_p = \|f\|_p \), (see [5]). The converse of the latest statement holds also if \( 1 < p \leq \infty \) (see [5]): for an arbitrary \( L_p \)-bounded martingale \( f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) there exists a function \( f \in L_p (G_m) \) for which \( f^{(n)} = S_{M_n} f \). If \( p = 1 \), then there exists a function \( f \in L_1 (G_m) \) of the preceding type if and only if \( f \) is uniformly integrable (see [5]) namely if

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\left\{ |f^{(n)}(x)| > y \right\}} \left| f^{(n)}(x) \right| d\mu(x) = 0.
\]

Thus the map \( f \to f := (S_{M_n} f : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is isometric from \( L_p \) onto the space of \( L_p \)-bounded martingales when \( 1 < p \leq \infty \). Consequently, these two spaces can be identified with each other. Similarly, the space \( L_1 (G_m) \) can be identified with the space of uniformly integrable martingales.

A bounded measurable function \( a \) is a \( p \)-atom if there exists an interval \( I \) such that

\[
\int_I a d\mu = 0, \quad \|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I)^{-1/p}, \quad \text{supp}(a) \subset I.
\]

If \( f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is a martingale, then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

\[
\widehat{f}(i) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{G_m} f^{(k)} \overline{\psi_i} d\mu.
\]

The best approximation of \( f \in L_p (G_m) \) \((1 \leq p < \infty)\) is defined as

\[
E_n (f, L_p) := \inf_{\psi \in p_n} \|f - \psi\|_p,
\]

where \( p_n \) is the set of all Vilenkin polynomials of order less than \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

The integrated modulus of continuity of \( f \in L_p \) is defined by

\[
\omega_p \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) := \sup_{h \in I_n} \|f (\cdot + h) - f (\cdot)\|_p.
\]

The concept of modulus of continuity in \( H_p \) \((0 < p \leq 1)\) can be defined in the following way:

\[
\omega_{H_p} \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) := \|f - S_{M_n} f\|_{H_p}.
\]
Watari [11] showed that there are strong connections between
\[ \omega_p \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right), \quad E_{M_n} (f, L_p) \]
and
\[ \| f - S_{M_n} f \|_p, \quad p \geq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

In particular,
\[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_p \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) \leq \| f - S_{M_n} f \|_p \leq \omega_p \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{2} \| f - S_{M_n} f \|_p \leq E_{M_n} (f, L_p) \leq \| f - S_{M_n} f \|_p. \]

The Hardy martingale spaces \( H_p (G_m) \) for \( 0 < p \leq 1 \) have atomic characterizations (see [12], [13]):

**Lemma 1.** A martingale \( f = (f(n), n \in \mathbb{N}) \in H_p (0 < p \leq 1) \) if and only if there exist a sequence \((a_k, k \in \mathbb{N})\) of \( p \)-atoms and a sequence \((\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N})\) of real numbers such that, for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \)

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{M_n} a_k = f(n), \quad \text{a.e.} \]

Moreover,
\[ \| f \|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, \]
where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of \( f \) of the form (8).

For the proof of main result we also need the following new Lemmas of independent interest:

**Lemma 2.** Let \( k, s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x \in G_m \). Then
\[ \sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} r_k^u (x) = \frac{\cos (\pi s_k x_k / m_k) \sin (\pi (s_k - 1) x_k / m_k)}{\sin (\pi x_k / m_k)} \]
\[ + \frac{\sin (\pi s_k x_k / m_k) \sin (\pi (s_k - 1) x_k / m_k)}{\sin (\pi x_k / m_k)}. \]
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Proof. Since
\[\sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} r_k^n(x) = \sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} \cos \left(\frac{2\pi ux_k}{m_k}\right) + \sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi ux_k}{m_k}\right),\]
if we apply the following well-known identities
\[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos kx = \frac{\sin \frac{nx}{2} \cos \frac{(n+1)x}{2}}{\sin \frac{x}{2}}\]
and
\[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin kx = \frac{\sin \frac{nx}{2} \sin \frac{(n+1)x}{2}}{\sin \frac{x}{2}}.\]
we immediately get the proof. □

Lemma 3. Let \(k, N, 2 \leq s_k \leq m_k\) and \(x_k = 1\). Then
\[\left|\sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} r_k^n(x)\right| = \frac{\sin \left(\pi \frac{(s_k - 1) x_k}{m_k}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi x_k/m_k\right)} \geq 1.\]

Proof. Since
\[\frac{\sin \left(\pi \frac{(m_k - 1) }{m_k}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)} = \frac{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)}{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)} = 1,\]
if we take graph of \(\sin x\) into account we obtain that
\[\frac{\sin \left(\pi \frac{(s_k - 1) }{m_k}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)} \geq 1, \text{ for } 2 \leq s_k \leq m_k.\]

Let \(x_k = 1\). By using Lemma 2 we get that
\[\left|\sum_{u=1}^{s_k-1} r_k^n(x)\right|
\[= \left(\frac{\cos^2 \left(\pi s_k x_k/m_k\right) \sin^2 \left(\pi \frac{(s_k - 1) x_k}{m_k}\right)}{\sin^2 \left(\pi x_k/m_k\right)} + \frac{\sin^2 \left(\pi s_k x_k/m_k\right) \sin^2 \left(\pi \frac{(s_k - 1) x_k}{m_k}\right)}{\sin^2 \left(\pi x_k/m_k\right)}\right)^{1/2}
\]
\[= \frac{\sin \left(\pi \frac{(s_k - 1) x_k}{m_k}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi x_k/m_k\right)} = \frac{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)}{\sin \left(\pi /m_k\right)} \geq 1.\]
The proof is complete. □
3. The main result

Our main result reads:

**Theorem 1.** Let $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$. Then

\[
\frac{1}{4\lambda} v(n) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} v^*(n) \leq L_n \leq v(n) + v^*(n),
\]

where $\lambda := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n$.

**Proof.** First we choose indices $0 \leq \ell_1 \leq \alpha_1 < \ell_2 \leq \alpha_2 < \ldots < \ell_s \leq \alpha_s < \ell_{s+1} = \infty$, such that $\alpha_j + 1 < \ell_j + 1$, for $j = 1, 2, ..., s$, $n_k = 0$, for $0 < k < \ell_1$, $n_k \in \{1, 2, ..., m_k - 1\}$, for $\ell_j \leq k < \alpha_j$ and $n_k = 0$, for $\alpha_j < k < \ell_{j+1}$. According to (6) we have that

\[
D_n = \psi_n \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{M_k} \sum_{u=1}^{m_k-1} r_k^u \right) = \psi_n \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{M_k} \sum_{u=1}^{m_k-n_k-1} r_k^u \right).
\]

Since

\[
M_k - 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (m_j - 1) M_j
\]

if we apply again (6) we get that

\[
D_{M_k-1} = \psi_{M_k-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=1}^{m_j-1} r_j^u \right).
\]

Hence,

\[
I = \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_j} D_{M_k} \sum_{u=1}^{m_k-1} r_k^u - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_j-1} D_{M_k} \sum_{u=1}^{m_k-1} r_k^u \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1} - \frac{D_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1} - \frac{D_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1} - \frac{D_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \psi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} - 1} - \frac{D_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1}{\psi_{M_{\ell_j}} - 1} \right) \right)
\]
and

$$
\|I\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left( \|D_{M_{n_j+1}}\|_1 + \|D_{M_{j}}\|_1 \right) = 2s \leq v(n).
$$

Moreover,

$$
\|II\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{j=\ell_j}^{\alpha_j} |\ominus n_j - 1| \delta_j \|D_{M_j}\|_1
= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{j=\ell_j}^{\alpha_j} |\ominus n_j - 1| \delta_j \leq v^*(n).
$$

The proof of the upper estimate in 1 follows by combining the last two estimates.

Let \( x \in I_{k+1} (x_k e_k) \), where \( 1 \leq x_k \leq n_k - 1 \) and \( e_k := (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots) \in G_m \), where only the \( k \)-th coordinate is one, the others are zero. Then, by the definition of Vilenkin functions, if we apply (14) and equalities \( x_0 = x_1 = \ldots = x_{k-1} = 0 \), we find that

\[
\psi_{M_{l-1}} (x) = \prod_{t=0}^{l-1} r_t^{m_t - 1} (x)
\]

\[
= \prod_{t=0}^{l-1} e^{(2\pi x_t (m_t - 1))/m_t} = \prod_{t=0}^{l-1} e^0 = 1,
\]

for any \( 0 \leq l \leq k \).

Let \( l_j \leq k \leq \alpha_j \) and \( x \in I_{k+1} (x_k e_k) \), where \( 1 \leq x_k \leq n_k - 1 \). Then, in view of (5) and (15) we get that

\[
I = -\psi_n (x) \frac{D_{M_{l_j}} (x)}{\psi_{M_{l-1}} (x)}
+ \psi_n (x) \left( \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} (x)}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_j+1}} (x)} - \frac{D_{M_{l_j}} (x)}{\psi_{M_{l-1}} (x)} \right) \right)
= \psi_n (x) \left( -M_{l_j} + \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} (M_{\alpha_j+1} - M_{l_t}) \right).
\]

By using Lemma 2 we have that

\[
II = \psi_n (x) \left( D_{M_k} (x) \sum_{u=1}^{m_k-n_k-1} r_k^u (x) \right)
+ \psi_n (x) \left( \sum_{t=\ell_j}^{k-1} \frac{\ominus n_t - 1}{\ominus n_t} \sum_{u=1}^{\alpha_u - 1} r_t^u (x) \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \sum_{l=\ell_s}^{\alpha_s} \frac{\ominus n_l - 1}{\ominus n_l} \sum_{u=1}^{\alpha_s} r_l^u (x) \right)
= \psi_n (x) M_k \frac{\cos \left( \left( \ominus n_k \right) x_k/m_k \right) \sin \left( \left( \ominus n_k - 1 \right) x_k/m_k \right)}{\sin \left( \pi x_k/m_k \right)}
\]
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if we invoke equalities (13), (15) and (16) we get that

\[ + \psi_n(x) M_k \frac{\sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k \right) x_k/m_k) \sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k - 1 \right) x_k/m_k)}{\sin(\pi x_k/m_k)} \]

\[ + \psi_n(x) \sum_{l=\ell_j}^{k-1} M_l \left( \oplus n_l - 1 \right) + \psi_n(x) \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \sum_{l=\ell_s} M_l \left( \oplus n_l - 1 \right). \]

Let \( x \in I_{k+1}(e_k) \) and \( \lambda := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n \). Since \( x_k = 1 \) and

\[ \frac{\sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k \right) x_k/m_k) \sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k - 1 \right) x_k/m_k)}{\sin(\pi x_k/m_k)} \geq 0 \]

if we apply Lemma 3 we obtain that

\[ |I - II| \geq \left( \left( M_k \cos(\pi \left( \oplus n_k \right) x_k/m_k) \sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k - 1 \right) x_k/m_k) \right) \frac{2}{\sin(\pi x_k/m_k)} \right)^2 + \left( \left( M_k \sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k \right) x_k/m_k) \sin(\pi \left( \oplus n_k - 1 \right) x_k/m_k) \right) \frac{2}{\sin(\pi x_k/m_k)} \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \geq \frac{M_k}{\sin(\pi x_k/m_k)} \geq M_k \geq \frac{M_k |\oplus n_k - 1|}{\lambda}. \]

Let \( x \in I_{\alpha_j+2}(x_{\alpha_j+1}e_{\alpha_j+1}) \), where \( 1 \leq x_{\alpha_j+1} \leq m_{\alpha_j+1} - 1 \). Then, by using (6) if we invoke equalities (13), (15) and (16) we get that

\[ |D_n| = \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left( \frac{D_{M_{\alpha_k+1}}}{\psi_{M_{\alpha_k+1}} - 1} - \frac{D_{M_{\ell_k}}}{\psi_{M_{\ell_k}} - 1} \right) - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} D_{M_l} \sum_{u=1}^{m_l-n_l-1} r_{l}^u \right) \]

\[ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left( (M_{\alpha_k+1} - M_{\ell_k}) - \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} |\oplus n_l - 1| M_l \right) \]

\[ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left( (M_{\alpha_k+1} - M_{\ell_k}) - \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} (m_l - 2) M_l \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \left( (M_{\alpha_k+1} - M_{\ell_k}) - \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} M_{l+1} + 2 \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} M_l \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{l=\ell_k}^{\alpha_k} M_l \geq M_{\alpha_j}. \]

Hence,

\[ \geq \sum_{l=0}^{s} \sum_{k=\ell_l+1}^{\alpha_l} \int_{I_{k+1}(e_k)}^{\oplus} \frac{M_k |\oplus n_k - 1|}{\lambda} d\mu \]
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\[ + \sum_{j=0}^{s} \sum_{x_{\alpha_j+1}=1}^{m_{\alpha_j+1}-1} \int_{I_{\alpha_j+2}} (x_{\alpha_j+1} \nu_{\alpha_j+1}) M_{\alpha_j} d\mu \]

\[ \geq \sum_{l=0}^{s} \sum_{k=\ell_l}^{x_{\alpha_l}} \frac{M_k |\oplus n_k - 1|}{\lambda} \frac{1}{M_{k+1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{(m_{\alpha_j+1} - 1) M_{\alpha_j}}{M_{\alpha_j+2}} \]

\[ \geq \sum_{l=0}^{s} \sum_{k=\ell_l}^{x_{\alpha_l}} \frac{|\oplus n_k - 1|}{\lambda^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{1}{2\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} v^*(n) + \frac{1}{4\lambda} v(n). \]

The proof is complete. \(\square\)

The next result for Vilenkin systems is known (see e.g. [1]) but it also follows from our result.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( q_n = M_{2n} + M_{2n-2} + \ldots + M_2. \) Then

\[ \frac{n}{2\lambda} \leq \|D_{q_n}\|_1 \leq \lambda n, \]

where \( \lambda := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n. \)

**Proof.** First we observe that

(17) \[ v(q_n) = 2n. \]

By using Theorem 1 we get that

\[ \|D_{q_n}\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4\lambda} v(q_n) = \frac{n}{2\lambda}. \]

Moreover, since

\[ v^*(q_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (m_{2j} - 2) \leq (\lambda - 2) \sum_{j=0}^{n} 1 \leq (\lambda - 2) n \]

if we apply (17) we readily obtain that

\[ \|D_{q_n}\|_1 \leq v^*(q_n) + v(q_n) \leq (\lambda - 2) n + 2n = \lambda n. \]

The proof is complete. \(\square\)

Finally, we mention that the following well-known results for the Walsh systems (see the book [7]) also follows directly from our main result.

**Corollary 2.** For the Walsh system the inequality

\[ \frac{1}{8} v(n) \leq L_n \leq v(n), \]

holds.
4. Applications

First we use our main result to find a characterizations for the boundedness (or even the ratio of divergence of the norm) of a subsequence of partial sums of the Vilenkin-Fourier series of $H_1$ martingales.

**Theorem 2.** a) Let $f \in H_1$ and $M_k < n \leq M_{k+1}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that
\[
\|S_nf\|_{H_1} \leq c \left(v(n) + v^*(n)\right) \|f\|_{H_1}.
\]

b) Let $\{\Phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be any non-decreasing and non-negative sequence satisfying condition
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n = \infty
\]
and $\{n_k \geq 2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a subsequence such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{v(n_k) + v^*(n_k)}{\Phi_{n_k}} = \infty.
\]

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_1$ such that
\[
\sup_k \|S_{n_k}f\|_{\Phi_{n_k}} \to \infty, \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 1 we can conclude that
\[
\|S_nf\|_1 \leq L(n) \|f\|_1 \leq L(n) \|f\|_{H_1} \\
\leq c \left(v(n) + v^*(n)\right) \|f\|_{H_1}.
\]

Let us consider the following martingale:
\[
f_\#: (S_{M_k}f, \ k \geq 1) = (S_{M_0}f, \ldots, S_{M_k}f, \ldots, S_nf, \ldots)\]

It is easy to see that
\[
\|S_nf\|_{H_1} \leq \|f\|_{H_1} \leq \sup_{0 \leq l \leq k} |S_{M_l}f|_1 + \|S_nf\|_1 \\
\leq \|f\|_{H_1} + \|S_nf\|_1 \\
\leq \|f\|_{H_1} + c \left(v(n) + v^*(n)\right) \|f\|_{H_1} \\
\leq c \left(v(n) + v^*(n)\right) \|f\|_{H_1}.
\]

b) Under the conditions of Theorem 2 there exists an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_+\} \subset \{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ of the positive integers such that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_{|\alpha_k|}} < \infty.
\]

Let
\[
f(n) := \sum_{\{k : |\alpha_k| < n\}} \lambda_k a_k,
\]
where
\[
\lambda_k = \frac{1}{\Phi |\alpha_k|}, \quad a_k = D_{M_{|\alpha_k|}+1} - D_{M_{|\alpha_k|}}.
\]

By combining (18) and Lemma 1 we conclude that the martingale \( f \in H_1 \).
It is easy to see that
\[
\hat{f}(j) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\Phi |\alpha_k|}, & \text{if } j \in \{M_{|\alpha_k|}, \ldots, M_{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \\
0, & \text{if } j \not\in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{M_{|\alpha_k|}, \ldots, M_{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}.
\end{cases}
\]

It follows that
\[
S_{\alpha_k}f = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}+1} - D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}}}{\Phi |\alpha_i|} + \frac{D_{\alpha_k} - D_{M_{|\alpha_k|}}}{\Phi |\alpha_k|}.
\]
Hence, if we invoke (18) for sufficiently large \( k \) we can conclude that
\[
\|S_{\alpha_k}f\|_1 \geq \frac{\|D_{\alpha_k}\|_1}{\Phi |\alpha_k|} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\|D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}+1} - D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}}\|_1}{\Phi |\alpha_i|} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\Phi |\alpha_i|}
\geq c_1 (v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)) - c_2 \to \infty \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]
The proof is complete. \( \square \)

At first we prove the following estimation:

**Corollary 3.** Let \( f \in H_1 \) and \( M_k < n \leq M_{k+1} \). Then there exists an absolute constant \( c \) such that
\[
\|S_nf - f\|_{H_1} \leq c (v(n) + v^*(n)) \omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_k}, f \right).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By using Theorem 2 and obvious estimates we find that
\[
\|S_nf - f\|_{H_1} \leq \|S_nf - S_{M_k}f\|_{H_1} + \|S_{M_k}f - f\|_{H_1}
= \|S_n(S_{M_k}f - f)\|_{H_1} + \|S_{M_k}f - f\|_{H_1}
\leq (v(n) + v^*(n) + 1) \omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_k}, f \right)
\leq c (v(n) + v^*(n)) \omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_k}, f \right).
\]

Thus, the proof is complete. \( \square \)
Next we use Corollary 3 to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the modulus of continuity of martingale Hardy spaces $H_p$, for which the partial sums of Vilenkin-Fourier series convergence in $L_p$-norm. We also point out the sharpness of this result.

**Theorem 3.** a) Let $f \in H_1$ and $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of non-negative integers such that
\[
\omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{|n_k|}}, f \right) = o \left( \frac{1}{v(n_k) + v^*(n_k)} \right), \text{ as } k \to \infty.
\]
Then
\[
\|S_{n_k}f - f\|_{H_1} \to 0, \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]

b) Let $\{n_k : k \geq 1\}$ be sequence of non-negative integers such that
\[
\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (v(n_k) + v^*(n_k)) = \infty.
\]
Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_1$ and a sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for which
\[
\omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha_k|}}, f \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)} \right)
\]
and
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \|S_{\alpha_k}f - f\|_1 > c > 0 \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** The proof of part a) follows immediately from (21) in Corollary 3.

Under the conditions of part b) of Theorem 3, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that
\[
v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k) \uparrow \infty \text{ when } k \to \infty
\]
and
\[
(v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k))^2 \leq v(\alpha_{k+1}) + v^*(\alpha_{k+1}).
\]
Let
\[
f(n) := \sum_{\{k : |\alpha_k| < n\}} \lambda_k a_k,
\]
where
\[
\lambda_k = \frac{1}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)}, \quad a_k = D_{M_{|\alpha_k|+1}} - D_{M_{|\alpha_k|}}.
\]

By combining (23), (24) and Lemma 1 we conclude that the martingale $f \in H_1$.

It is easy to see that
\[
\hat{f}(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)}, & \text{if } j \in \{M_{|\alpha_k|}, \ldots, M_{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \ldots \\ 0, & \text{if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{M_{|\alpha_k|}, \ldots, M_{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}. \end{cases}
\]
It follows that
\[(26) \quad S_{\alpha_k} f = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{D_{M_{|\alpha_i|+1}} - D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}}}{v(\alpha_i) + v^*(\alpha_i)} + \frac{D_{\alpha_k} - D_{M_{|\alpha_k|}}}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)}.\]

Since
\[S_{M_n} f = f^{(n)}, \quad \text{for } f = \left(f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right) \in H_p\]
and
\[
\left(S_{M_k} f^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\right) = (S_{M_k} S_{M_n} f, k \in \mathbb{N}) = (S_{M_0} f, \ldots, S_{M_{n-1}} f, S_{M_n} f, S_{M_n} f, \ldots) = \left(f^{(0)}), \ldots, f^{(n-1)}, f^{(n)}, f^{(n)}, \ldots\right)
\]
we obtain that
\[f - S_{M_n} f = \left(f^{(k)} - S_{M_k} f : k \in \mathbb{N}\right)\]
is a martingale for which
\[(27) \quad (f - S_{M_n} f)^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & k = 0, \ldots, n, \\ f^{(k)} - f^{(n)}, & k \geq n + 1, \end{cases}\]

According to Lemma 1 we get that
\[\|f - S_{M_n} f\|_{H_1} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v(\alpha_i) + v^*(\alpha_i)} = O\left(\frac{1}{v(\alpha_n) + v^*(\alpha_n)}\right)\]
when \(n \to \infty\).

By combining (5), (25) and (26) with Theorem 1 we obtain that
\[\|f - S_{\alpha_k} f\|_1 \geq \frac{D_{M_{|\alpha_k|+1}} - D_{\alpha_k}}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{M_{|\alpha_i|+1}} - D_{M_{|\alpha_i|}}}{v(\alpha_i) + v^*(\alpha_i)}\]
\[\geq c - \frac{1}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)} - \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v(\alpha_i) + v^*(\alpha_i)}\]
\[\geq c - \frac{1}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)} - 3 \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v(\alpha_i) + v^*(\alpha_i)} = c - \frac{3}{v(\alpha_k) + v^*(\alpha_k)}.\]

Hence,
\[\limsup_{k \to \infty} \|S_{\alpha_k} f - f\|_1 > c > 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.\]
The proof is complete. \(\square\)
This known results can be found in [8].

**Corollary 4.** Let $f \in H_1$ and
\[
\omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) = o \left( \frac{1}{n} \right), \text{ when } n \to \infty.
\]
Then
\[
\|S_k f - f\|_{H_1} \to 0, \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]

b) Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_1$ for which
\[
\omega_{H_1} \left( \frac{1}{M_n}, f \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \text{ when } n \to \infty
\]
and
\[
\|S_k f - f\|_1 \not\to 0 \text{ when } k \to \infty.
\]
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