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Abstract

Starting with Lie’s classification of finite-dimensional transitive Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$ we construct transitive Lie algebras of vector fields on the bundle $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ by lifting the Lie algebras from the base. There are essentially three types of transitive lifts and we compute all of them for the Lie algebras from Lie’s classification. The simplest type of lift is encoded by Lie algebra cohomology.

1 Introduction

A fundamental question in differential geometry is to determine which transitive Lie group actions exist on a manifold. Sophus Lie considered this to be an important problem, in particular due to its applications in the symmetry theory of PDEs. In [13] (see also [14]) he gave a local classification of finite-dimensional transitive Lie algebras of analytic vector fields on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^2$. Lie never published a complete list of finite-dimensional Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^3$, but he did classify primitive Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^3$, those not preserving an invariant foliation, which he considered to be the most important ones and also some special imprimitive Lie algebras of vector fields.

Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^3$ preserving a one-dimensional foliation are locally equivalent to projectable Lie algebras of vector fields on the total space of the fiber bundle $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2$. Finding such Lie algebras amounts to extending Lie algebras of vector fields on the base (where they have been classified) to the total space. For the primitive Lie algebras of vector fields on the plane, this was completed by Lie [14]. Amaldi continued Lie’s work by extending the imprimitive Lie algebras to three-dimensional space [2,3] (see also [11]), but his obtained list of Lie algebras is incomplete. Nonsolvable Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$ were recently classified in [5]. It was also showed there that a complete classification of finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^3$ is hopeless, since it contains the subproblem of
classifying left ideals of finite codimension in the universal enveloping algebra $U(g)$ for the two-dimensional Lie algebras $g$, which is known to be a hard algebraic problem.

In this paper we consider Lie algebras of vector fields on the plane from Lie’s classification, and extend them to the total space $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$. In order to avoid the issues discussed in [5] we only consider extensions that are of the same dimension as the original Lie algebra. The resulting list of Lie algebras has intersections with [14], [2, 3] and [5], but it also contains some additional solvable Lie algebras of vector fields in three-dimensional space which are missing from [2, 3].

We start in section 2 by reviewing the classification of Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$, which will be our starting point. The lifting procedure is explained in section 3. We show that transitive lifts can be divided into three types, depending on how they act on the fibers of $\pi$. In section 4 we give a complete list of the lifted Lie algebras of vector fields, which is the main result of this paper. The relation between the simplest type of lift and Lie algebra cohomology is explained in section 5.

2 Classification of Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$

Two Lie algebras $g_1 \subset D(M_1), g_2 \subset D(M_2)$ of vector fields on the manifolds $M_1$ and $M_2$, respectively, are locally equivalent if there exist open subsets $U_i \subset M_i$ and a diffeomorphism $f: U_1 \to U_2$ with the property $df(g_1|_{U_1}) = g_2|_{U_1}$. Recall that $g$ is transitive if $g|_p = T_pM$ at all points $p \in M$.

The classification of Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^2$ is due to Lie [13] (see [1] for English translation). There are up to local equivalence only three finite-dimensional transitive Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}$ and they correspond to the the groups of metric, affine and projective transformations, respectively:

\begin{equation}
\langle \partial_u \rangle, \quad \langle \partial_u, u \partial_u \rangle, \quad \langle \partial_u, u \partial_u, u^2 \partial_u \rangle
\end{equation}

On $\mathbb{C}^2$ any finite-dimensional transitive Lie algebra of analytic vector fields is locally equivalent to one of the following:

**Primitive**

$g_1 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_x, x \partial_y, y \partial_x, y \partial_y, x^2 \partial_x + xy \partial_y, xy \partial_x + y^2 \partial_y \rangle$

$g_2 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_x, x \partial_y, y \partial_x, y \partial_y \rangle$

$g_3 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, y \partial_x, x \partial_x - y \partial_y \rangle$
Imprimitive

\[ g_4 = \langle \partial_x, e^{\alpha x} \partial_y, xe^{\alpha x} \partial_y, ..., x^{m_i-1}e^{\alpha x} \partial_y | i = 1, ..., s \rangle, \]

where \( m_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i + 1 = r \geq 2 \)

\[ g_5 = \langle \partial_x, y \partial_y, e^{\alpha x} \partial_y, xe^{\alpha x} \partial_y, ..., x^{m_i-1}e^{\alpha x} \partial_y | i = 1, ..., s \rangle, \]

where \( m_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i + 2 = r \geq 4 \)

\[ g_6 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, y \partial_y, y^2 \partial_y \rangle \]

\[ g_7 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_x, x^2 \partial_x + x \partial_y \rangle \]

\[ g_8 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, ..., x^{r-3} \partial_y, x \partial_x + \alpha y \partial_y \rangle, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, r \geq 3 \]

\[ g_9 = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, ..., x^{r-3} \partial_y, x \partial_x + (r-2) y + x^{r-2} \partial_y \rangle, r \geq 3 \]

\[ g_{10} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, ..., x^{r-4} \partial_y, x \partial_x, y \partial_y \rangle, r \geq 4 \]

\[ g_{11} = \langle \partial_x, x \partial_x, \partial_y, y \partial_y, y^2 \partial_y \rangle \]

\[ g_{12} = \langle \partial_x, x \partial_x, x^2 \partial_x, \partial_y, y \partial_y, y^2 \partial_y \rangle \]

\[ g_{13} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, ..., x^{r-4} \partial_y, x^2 \partial_x + (r-4)xy \partial_y, x \partial_x + \frac{r^2}{2} y \partial_y \rangle, r \geq 5 \]

\[ g_{14} = \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x \partial_y, ..., x^{r-5} \partial_y, y \partial_y, x \partial_x, x^2 \partial_x + (r-5)xy \partial_y \rangle, r \geq 6 \]

\[ g_{15} = \langle \partial_x, x \partial_x + \partial_y, x^2 \partial_x + 2x \partial_y \rangle \]

\[ g_{16} = \langle \partial_x, x \partial_x - y \partial_y, x^2 \partial_x + (1 - 2xy) \partial_y \rangle \]

In the list above (which is based on the one in [10]), and throughout the paper, \( r \) denotes the dimension of the Lie algebra. Our \( g_{16} \) is by \( y \mapsto \frac{1}{y-x} \)

locally equivalent to \( \langle \partial_x + \partial_y, x \partial_x + y \partial_y, x^2 \partial_x + y^2 \partial_y \rangle \), which often appears in these lists of Lie algebras of vector fields on the plane but has a singular orbit \( y - x = 0 \). We also refer to [11, 4, 6, 9] which treat transitive Lie algebras of vector fields on the plane.

3 Lifts of Lie algebras of vector fields on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \)

In this section we describe how we lift the Lie algebras of vector fields from the base space to the total space of \( \pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \).

Definition 1. Let \( g \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2) \) be a Lie algebra of vector fields on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), and let
\( \mathfrak{g} \subset D(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}) \) be a projectable Lie algebra satisfying \( d\pi(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \). The Lie algebra \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) is a lift of \( \mathfrak{g} \) (on the bundle \( \pi \)) if \( \ker(d\pi|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) = \{0\} \).

For practical purposes we reformulate this in coordinates. Throughout the paper \( (x, y, u) \) will be coordinates on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \). If \( X_i = a_i(x, y) \partial_x + b_i(x, y) \partial_y \) form a basis for \( \mathfrak{g} \subset D(\mathbb{C}^2) \), then a lift \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \) on the bundle \( \pi \) is spanned by vector fields of the form \( \hat{X}_i = a_i(x, y) \partial_x + b_i(x, y) \partial_y + f_i(x, y, u) \partial_u \). The functions \( f_i \) are subject to differential constraints coming from the commutation relations of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Finding lifts of \( \mathfrak{g} \) amounts to solving these differential equations. We consider only transitive lifts.

### 3.1 Three types of lifts

The fibers of \( \pi \) are one-dimensional and, as is common in these type of calculations, we will use the classification of Lie algebras of vector fields on the line to simplify our calculations. Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a finite-dimensional transitive Lie algebra of vector fields on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) a transitive lift. For \( p \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \), let \( a = \pi(p) \) be the projection of \( p \) and let \( \mathfrak{s}t_a \subset \mathfrak{g} \) be the stabilizer of \( a \in \mathbb{C}^2 \). Denote by \( \hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) the lift of \( \mathfrak{s}t_a \), i.e. \( d\pi(\hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a) = \mathfrak{s}t_a \). The Lie algebra \( \hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a \) preserves the fiber \( F_a = \pi^{-1}(a) \) over \( a \), and thus induces a Lie algebra of vector fields on \( F_a \) by restriction to the fiber. Denote the corresponding Lie algebra homomorphism by

\[
\varphi_a: \hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a \to D(F_a).
\]

In general this map will not be injective, and it is clear that as abstract Lie algebras \( \varphi_a(\hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{h}_a = \hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a / \ker(\varphi_a) \).

Since \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) is transitive, the Lie algebra \( \varphi_a(\hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a) \) is a transitive Lie algebra on the one-dimensional fiber \( F_a \), and therefore it must be locally equivalent to one of the three Lie algebras \( \{1\} \). Transitivity of \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) also implies that for any two points \( a, b \in \mathbb{C}^2 \), the Lie algebras \( \varphi_a(\hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_a), \varphi_b(\hat{\mathfrak{s}t}_b) \) of vector fields are locally equivalent. Since the Lie algebra structure of \( \mathfrak{h}_a \) is independent of the point \( a \), it will be convenient to define \( \mathfrak{h} \) as the abstract Lie algebra isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{h}_a \). Thus \( \dim \mathfrak{h} \) is equal to 1, 2 or 3, which allows us to split the transitive lifts into three distinct types.

**Definition 2.** We say that the lift \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \subset D(\mathbb{C}^2) \) is metric, affine or projective if \( \mathfrak{h} \) is of dimension one, two or three, respectively.

Since the properties of the Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{s}t_a \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \) are closely linked, we can immediately say something about existence of the different types of lifts.

**Theorem 1.** If \( \mathfrak{s}t_a \) is solvable, then there are no projective lifts. If \( \mathfrak{s}t_a \) is abelian, then there are no projective or affine lifts.
Proof. The map $\varphi_a : \mathfrak{sl}_a \to \mathfrak{h}_a \cong \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and the image of a solvable (resp. abelian) Lie algebra is solvable (resp. abelian). □

It follows from Lie’s classification that only the primitive Lie algebras may have projective lifts.

The main goal of this section is to show that we can choose local coordinates in a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ of any point such that $\varphi_a (\mathfrak{sl}_a)|_{U \cap F_a}$ takes one of the three normal forms from (1) for every $a \in \pi (U)$, simultaneously. This fact, together with theorem 1, simplifies computations. Before proving it we make the following observation.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ be a transitive Lie algebra of vector fields, and let $a \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exists a locally transitive two-dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and a local coordinate chart $(U, (x, y))$ centered at $a$ such that $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ where $X_1 = \partial_x$ and either $X_2 = \partial_y$ or $X_2 = x \partial_x + \partial_y$.

Proof. This is apparent from the list in section 2, but we also outline an independent argument. It is well known that a two-dimensional locally transitive Lie subalgebra can be brought to one of the above forms, so we only need to show that such exists.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ be the Levi-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume first that $\mathfrak{r}$ is a locally transitive Lie subalgebra and let

$$\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{r}_1 \supset \mathfrak{r}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{r}_k \supset \mathfrak{r}_{k+1} = \{0\}.$$ 

be its derived series. If $\mathfrak{r}_k$ is locally transitive, it contains an (abelian) two-dimensional transitive subalgebra and we are done. If $\mathfrak{r}_k$ is not locally transitive, then we take a vector field $X_i \in \mathfrak{r}_i$ for some $i < k$ which is transversal to those of $\mathfrak{r}_k$. Since we have $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}_k] \subset \mathfrak{r}_k$ (can be shown by induction on $k$), we get a map $\text{ad}_{X_i} : \mathfrak{r}_k \to \mathfrak{r}_k$. Let $X_k \in \mathfrak{r}_k$ be an eigenvector of $\text{ad}_{X_i}$. Then $X_i$ and $X_k$ span a two-dimensional locally transitive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

If $\mathfrak{s}$ is a transitive subalgebra, then $\mathfrak{s}$ is locally equivalent to the standard realization on $\mathbb{C}^2$ of either $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$ or $\mathfrak{sl}_3$, all of which have a locally transitive two-dimensional Lie subalgebra.

If neither $\mathfrak{s}$ nor $\mathfrak{r}$ is locally transitive they both determine transversal one-dimensional foliations and $\mathfrak{s} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Thus it is possible to choose coordinates such that $\mathfrak{s} = \langle \partial_x, x \partial_x, x^2 \partial_x \rangle$ while $\mathfrak{r}$ is spanned by vector fields of the form $b_i(x, y) \partial_y$. Since $\mathfrak{r}$ is finite-dimensional we get $(b_i)_x = 0$, by computing Lie brackets with $x^2 \partial_x$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, and there exists a two-dimensional abelian locally transitive subalgebra. □
Example 1. Let \(X_1 = \partial_x\) and \(X_2 = \partial_y\) be vector fields on \(\mathbb{C}^2\) and consider the general lift \(\hat{X}_1 = \partial_x + f_1(x,y,u)\partial_u\), \(\hat{X}_2 = \partial_y + f_2(x,y,u)\partial_u\). We may change coordinates \(u \mapsto A(x,y,u)\) such that \(f_1 \equiv 0\). This amounts to solving \(\hat{X}_1(A) = A_x + f_1A_u = 0\) with \(A_u \neq 0\), which can be done locally around any point. The commutation relation \([\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = (f_2)_u\partial_u = 0\) implies that \(f_2\) is independent of \(x\). Thus, in the same way as above, we may change coordinates \(u \mapsto B(y,u)\) such that \(f_2 \equiv 0\). A similar argument works if \(X_2 = x\partial_x + \partial_y\).

The previous example is both simple and useful. Since all our Lie algebras of vector fields on \(\mathbb{C}^2\) contain these Lie algebras as subalgebras, we can always transform our lifts to a simpler form by changing coordinates in this way. This idea is applied in the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(\mathfrak{g} = \langle X_1, ..., X_r \rangle\) be a transitive Lie algebra of vector fields on \(\mathbb{C}^2\) and let \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \hat{X}_1, ..., \hat{X}_r \rangle\) be a transitive lift of \(\mathfrak{g}\) on the bundle \(\pi\), with \(\hat{X}_i = X_i + f_i(x,y,u)\partial_u\).

Then there exist local coordinates in a neighborhood \(U \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\) of any point such that \(f_i(x,y,u) = \alpha_i(x,y) + \beta_i(x,y)u + \gamma_i(x,y)u^2\) on \(U\) and \(\varphi_0(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)|_{U \cap F_a}\) is of the same normal form \([7]\) for every \(a \in \pi(U)\).

**Proof.** Let \(p \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\) be an arbitrary point, \(V\) an open set containing \(p\), and \((V, (x,y,u))\) a coordinate chart centered at \(p\). By lemma \([\ref{lemma}]\) we may assume that \(X_1 = \partial_x\) and either \(X_2 = \partial_y\) or \(X_2 = x\partial_x + \partial_y\) and by example \([\ref{example}]\) we may set \(f_1 \equiv 0 \equiv f_2\). We choose a basis of \(\mathfrak{g}\) such that \(\mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle X_3, ..., X_r \rangle\).

Since \(\varphi_0(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)\) is a transitive action on the line, we may in addition make a local coordinate change \(u \mapsto A(u)\) on \(U \subset V\) containing 0 so that \(\varphi_0(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)|\) is of the form \(\varphi_0(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)|_{U \cap F_a}\) or \(\varphi_0(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0)|_{U \cap F_a}\). Then for \(i = 3, ..., r\), the functions \(f_i\) have the property

\[
 f_i(0,0,u) = \alpha_i + \beta_iu + \gamma_iu^2.
\]

We use the commutation relations of \(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\) to show that \(f_i(x,y,u)\) will take this form for every \((x,y,u) \in U\).

If \([X_j, X_i] = c_{ji}^k X_k\) are the commutation relations for \(\mathfrak{g}\), then the lift of \(\mathfrak{g}\) obeys the same relations: \([\hat{X}_j, \hat{X}_i] = c_{ji}^k \hat{X}_k\). Thus

\[
 [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_i] = [X_1, X_i] + X_1(f_i)\partial_u = c_{1i}^k X_k + X_1(f_i)\partial_u
\]

which implies that \(X_1(f_i) = c_{1i}^k f_k\). In the same manner we get the equations \(X_2(f_i) = c_{2i}^k f_k\). We can rewrite the equations as

\[
 \partial_x(f_i) = c_{1i}^k f_k, \quad \partial_y(f_i) = c_{2i}^k (x) f_k.
\]
The coefficients $\tilde{c}^k_2(x)$ depend on whether $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ is abelian or not, but in any case they are independent of $u$. We differentiate these equations three times with respect to $u$ (denoted by $'$):

$$\partial_x(f'''_i) = c^k_{1i}f'''_k, \quad \partial_y(f'''_i) = \tilde{c}^k_{2i}(x)f'''_k.$$ 

By the above assumption we have $f'''_i(0,0,u) = 0$, and by the uniqueness theorem for systems of linear ODEs it follows that for every $(x,y,u) \in U$ we have $f'''_i(x,y,u) = 0$, and therefore

$$f_i(x,y,u) = \alpha_i(x,y) + \beta_i(x,y)u + \gamma_i(x,y)u^2.$$ (2)

Note also that if $f'''_i$ (or $f'_i$) vanish on $(0,0,u)$, we may assume $\gamma_i \equiv 0$ (or $\gamma_i \equiv 0$) for every $i$. The last statement of the theorem follows by the fact that $\dim \varphi_a(s\hat{t}_a)$ is the same for every $a \in \pi(U)$.

3.2 Coordinate transformations

When computing the lift of a Lie algebra we may choose coordinates so that the lift is of the special form indicated in theorem 2, and we may further simplify the expression for the lift by using transformations preserving this form. Thus after we have chosen such special coordinates, we consider metric lifts up to translations $u \mapsto u + A(x,y)$, affine lifts up to affine transformations $u \mapsto A(x,y)u + B(x,y)$ and projective lifts up to projective transformations $u \mapsto A(x,y)u + B(x,y) + C(x,y)u + D(x,y)$.

A geometric interpretation of theorem 2 is that we may choose a structure on the fibers, namely metric, affine or projective, and require the lift to preserve this structure. The following example shows the general procedure we use for finding lifts.

Example 2. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_6$ which is spanned by vector fields

$$X_1 = \partial_x, \quad X_2 = \partial_y, \quad X_3 = y\partial_y, \quad X_4 = y^2\partial_y.$$ 

Since the stabilizer of 0 is solvable, we may by corollary 1 assume that the generators of a lift $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_6$ is of the form $\hat{X}_i = X_i + f_i\partial_u$, where $f_i$ are affine functions in $u$. All lifts are either metric or affine.

By example 1 we may assume that $f_1 \equiv 0 \equiv f_2$ after making an affine change of coordinates (or a translation if we consider metric lifts). The type of coordinate transformation was not specified in the example, but it is clear that the PDE in example 1 can be solved within our framework of metric and affine lifts, respectively.
The commutation relations \([X_1, X_3] = 0, [X_2, X_3] = X_2\) imply that \(f_3\) is a function of \(u\) alone. The commutation relations \([X_1, X_4] = 0, [X_2, X_4] = 2X_3, [X_3, X_4] = X_4\) result in the differential equations

\[
(f_4)_x = 0, \quad (f_4)_y = 2f_3, \quad y(f_4)_y + f_3(f_4)_u - f_4(f_3)_u = f_4.
\]

The first two equations give \(f_4 = 2yf_3(u) + b(u)\). After inserting this into the third equation, the equation simplifies to \(f_3b_u - b(f_3)_u = b\).

Since the lift is either metric or affine, we may assume that \(f_3 = A_0 + A_1u\) and \(b = B_0 + B_1u\). Then the equation above results in \(B_1 = 0\) and \(B_0A_1 = -B_0\). Setting \(B_0 = 0\) we get transitive lifts only when \(A_1 = 0\):

\[
\partial_x, \quad \partial_y, \quad y\partial_y + A_0\partial_u, \quad y^2\partial_y + 2A_0y\partial_u.
\]

These are metric lifts. In the case \(A_1 = -1\) we get the affine lift spanned by

\[
\partial_x, \quad \partial_y, \quad y\partial_y - u\partial_u, \quad y^2\partial_y + (1 - 2yu)\partial_u
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(B_0\) have been normalized by a translation and scaling, respectively.

**Remark 1.** The family of metric lifts is also invariant under transformations of the form \(u \mapsto Cu + A(x, y)\), where \(C\) is constant. However, we would like to restrict to \(C = 1\). This will make the resulting list of lifts simpler, and it is always easy to see what a scaling transformation would do to the normal form. Geometrically this restriction makes sense if we think about the metric lift as one preserving a metric on the fibers. Another consequence of this choice is that we get a one-to-one correspondence between metric lifts and Lie algebra cohomology which will be discussed in section 5. The same cohomology spaces are treated in [8] where they are used for classifying Lie algebras of differential operators on \(\mathbb{C}^2\).

We also get a correspondence between metric lifts and “linear lifts”, whose vector fields act as infinitesimal scaling transformations in fibers. Using the notation above they take the form \(\hat{X} = X + f(x, y)u\partial_u\). They make up an important type of lifts, but we do not consider them here due to their intransitivity. Since the transformation \(u \mapsto \exp(u)\) takes metric lifts to linear lifts, the theories of these two types of lifts are analogous (given that we allow the right coordinate transformations). This makes many of the results in this paper applicable to linear lifts as well. As an example the classification of linear lifts under linear transformations \((u \mapsto uA(x, y))\), will be similar to that of metric lifts under translations \((u \mapsto u + A(x, y))\).
4 List of lifts

This section contains the list of lifts of the Lie algebras from section 2 on the bundle $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2$. For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ we will denote by $\mathcal{G}_m^g, \mathcal{G}_a^g, \mathcal{G}_p^g$ the metric, affine and projective lifts, respectively.

**Theorem 3.** The following list contains all metric, affine and projective lifts of the Lie algebras from Lie’s classification in section 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_1^m &= \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x\partial_y, x\partial_x - y\partial_y, y\partial_x, x\partial_x + y\partial_y + 2C\partial_u, \\
x^2\partial_x + xy\partial_y + 3Cx\partial_u, xy\partial_x + y^2\partial_y + 3Cy\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_1^m &= \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x\partial_y + \partial_u, x\partial_x - y\partial_y - 2u\partial_u, y\partial_x - u^2\partial_u, x\partial_x + y\partial_y, \\
x^2\partial_x + xy\partial_y + (y - xu)\partial_u, xy\partial_x + y^2\partial_y + u(y - xu)\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_2^m &= \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x\partial_y, x\partial_x - y\partial_y, y\partial_x + C\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_3^m &= \langle \partial_x, \partial_y, x\partial_y + \partial_u, x\partial_x - y\partial_y - 2u\partial_u, y\partial_x - u^2\partial_u, x\partial_x + y\partial_y \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_4^m &= \langle \partial_x, x^i e^{\alpha_j x} \partial_y + e^{\alpha_j x} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} \binom{i}{k} C_j,k x^{i-k} \right) \partial_u \mid C_{1,0} = 0 \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_5^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y + C\partial_u, x^i e^{\alpha_j x} \partial_y \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_6^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y + u\partial_u, x^i e^{\alpha_j x} \partial_y + e^{\alpha_j x} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} \binom{i}{k} C_j,k x^{i-k} \right) \partial_u \mid C_{1,0} = 0 \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_7^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y + C\partial_u, y^2\partial_y + 2Cy\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_8^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y - u\partial_u, y^2\partial_y + (1 - 2yu)\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_9^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y + C\partial_u, x^2\partial_x + x\partial_y + 2Cx\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_{10}^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y - u\partial_u, x^2\partial_x + x\partial_y + (1 - 2xu)\partial_u \rangle \\
\mathcal{G}_{11}^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y, x\partial_x + \alpha y\partial_y + A\partial_u, x\partial_y, \ldots, x^{s-1}\partial_y, \\
x^{s+i}\partial_y + \binom{s+i}{r} Bx^r\partial_u \mid i = 0, \ldots, r - 3 - s \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

where $B = 0$ unless $\alpha = s$

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_5^m &= \langle \partial_x, y\partial_y + u\partial_u, \alpha y\partial_y + (\alpha - s)u\partial_u, x\partial_y, \ldots, x^{s-1}\partial_y, \\
x^{s+i}\partial_y + \binom{s+i}{r} x^i\partial_u \mid i = 0, \ldots, r - 3 - s \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

where $B = 0$ unless $\alpha = s$
The proof of theorem \[3\] is a direct computation following the algorithm described above. The computations are not reproduced here, beyond example \[2\] but they can be found in the ancillary file to the arXiv version of this paper.

All capital letters in the list denote complex constants. For the metric lifts, one of the constants can always be set equal to 1 if we allow to rescale \( u \), as discussed in remark \[4\]. For example, this would let us identify the space of metric lifts of \( g_{12} \) with \( \mathbb{CP}^1 \) instead of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \). In the affine lifts \( \tilde{g}_g \) one of the constants must be nonzero in order for the lift to be transitive, and it can be set equal to 1 by a scaling transformation. Notice also that even though \( g_{15} \) is
not locally equivalent to $\mathfrak{g}_{16}$, their lifts are locally equivalent. In addition the two affine lifts of $\mathfrak{g}_{12}$ are locally equivalent.

Most of this list already exist in the literature. The lifts of the three primitive Lie algebras can be found in [14]. The first attempt to give a complete list of imprimitive Lie algebras of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^3$ was done by Amaldi in [2, 3]. Most of the Lie algebras we have found is contained in Amaldi’s list of “type A”, but a few are missing. Examples of this are $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{10}^m, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{14}^m, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_a^{11}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_a^{14}$ with general $\alpha$ and $B = 0$. There is also an error in the Lie algebra corresponding to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{14}$ which was noticed in [11, 12]. The lifts of nonsolvable Lie algebras are contained in [5], and the case of metric lifts was also considered in [15].

**Remark 2.** We may endow the total space of $\pi$ with the contact distribution defined by the vanishing of the 1-form $dy - udx$, thereby identifying it with the space of 1-jets of functions on $\mathbb{C}$. One way to lift a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of vector fields from the base space of $\pi$ is to require the lift of $\mathfrak{g}$, which we in this case may call the contactization of $\mathfrak{g}$, to preserve this distribution. The contactization is uniquely defined and is locally equivalent to a lift in the above list. For example, the projective lifts of the primitive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_3$ preserve the contact distribution, and are thus equal to the contactizations of the three Lie algebras. The contactization of $\mathfrak{g}_6$ is a linear lift (see remark 1) and is locally equivalent to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_6^m$, through the transformation $u \mapsto C \log(u)$.

## 5 Metric lifts and Lie algebra cohomology

We conclude this treatment by showing that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of metric lifts of $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and the Lie algebra cohomology space $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2))$. The main result is analogous to [8 Theorem 2].

Due to theorem 2 the metric lift of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ may be given by a $C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)$-valued one-form $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. For vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ lifted to $\hat{X} = X + \psi_X \partial_u$ and $\hat{Y} = Y + \psi_Y \partial_u$ we have

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}] = [X + \psi_X \partial_u, Y + \psi_Y \partial_u] = [X, Y] + (X(\psi_Y) - Y(\psi_X)) \partial_u. \quad (3)$$

Consider the first terms of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex

$$0 \rightarrow C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2) \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

where the differential $d$ is defined by

$$df(X) = X(f), \quad f \in C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

$$d\psi(X, Y) = X(\psi_Y) - Y(\psi_X) - \psi_{[X, Y]}, \quad \psi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2).$$
This complex depends not only on the abstract Lie algebra, but also on its realization as a Lie algebra of vector fields. It is clear from (3) that $\psi \in g^* \otimes C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2)$ corresponds to a metric lift if and only if $d\psi = 0$.

Two metric lifts are equivalent if there exists a biholomorphism

$$\phi: (x, y, u) \mapsto (x, y, u - U(x, y))$$

on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ that brings one to the other. A lift of $X$ transforms according to

$$d\phi: X + \psi X \partial_u \mapsto X + (\psi X - dU(X))\partial_u$$

which shows that two lifts are equivalent if the difference between their defining one-forms is given by $dU$ for some $U \in C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2)$. Thus, if we include the intransitive trivial lift into the space of metric lifts we have the following theorem, relating the cohomology space

$$H^1(g, C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2)) = \{ \psi \in g^* \otimes C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2) \mid d\psi = 0 \} / \{ dU \mid U \in C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2) \},$$

to the space of metric lifts.

**Theorem 4.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of metric lifts of a Lie algebra $g \subset D(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and the cohomology space $H^1(g, C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2))$.

**Remark 3.** As discussed previously, we have the option of removing a free constant in the metric lifts by a scaling transformation. If we did this the space of metric lifts of $g$ would be $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ in the case $H^1(g, C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2)) = \mathbb{C}^n$.

The theorem gives a transparent interpretation of metric lifts, while also showing a way to compute $H^1(g, C^\omega (\mathbb{C}^2))$, through example 2. This method is essentially the one that was used in [8], where the same cohomologies were found. There the authors extended Lie’s classification of Lie algebras of vector fields to Lie algebras of first order differential operators on $\mathbb{C}^2$, and part of this work is equivalent to our classification of metric lifts.

Their results coincide with ours, with the exceptions $g_8$ which corresponds to case 5 and 20 in [8] and $g_{16}, g_{15}, g_7$ which correspond to cases 12, 13 and 14, respectively. For $g_8$ it seems like they have not considered the case corresponding to $\ker(d\pi|_{\hat{g}}) = 0$ which is the only case we consider. The realizations used in [8] for cases 12, 13 and 14 have singular orbits, while their cohomologies are computed after restricting to subdomains, avoiding singular orbits. The cohomology is sensitive to choice of realization as Lie algebra of vector fields, and will in general change by restricting to a subdomain. The following example, based on realizations of $sl(2)$, illustrates this.
Example 3. The metric lift
\[ \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{16}^m = \langle \partial_x, x\partial_x - y\partial_y + C\partial_u, x^2\partial_x + (1 - 2xy)\partial_y + 2Cx\partial_y \rangle \]
is parametrized by a single constant, and thus \( H^1(\mathfrak{g}_{16}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \mathbb{C} \). Similarly, we see that \( H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \mathbb{C} \).

The Lie algebra \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{16} = \langle \partial_x, x\partial_x + y\partial_y, x^2\partial_x + y(2x + y)\partial_y \rangle \) is related to [8, case 12] by the transformation \( y \mapsto x + y \). It is also locally equivalent to \( \mathfrak{g}_{16} \), but it has a singular one-dimensional orbit, \( y = 0 \). Its metric lift is given by
\[ \langle \partial_x, x\partial_x + y\partial_y + A\partial_u, x^2\partial_x + y(2x + y)\partial_y + (2Ax + By)\partial_u \rangle \]
which implies \( H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{16}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \mathbb{C} \).

The Lie algebra \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15} = \langle y\partial_x, x\partial_y, x\partial_x - y\partial_y \rangle \) is the standard representation on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). If we split \( C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2) = \oplus_{k=0}^\infty S^k(\mathbb{C}^2)^* \) we get \( H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \oplus_{k=0}^\infty H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, S^k(\mathbb{C}^2)^*) \). Since \( S^k(\mathbb{C}^2)^* \) is a finite-dimensional module over \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15} \), the cohomologies \( H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, S^k(\mathbb{C}^2)^*) \) vanish by Whitehead’s lemma, and we get \( H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) = 0 \). Hence the cohomologies of the locally equivalent Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{g}_{15} \) and \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15} \) are different. To summarize, we have two pairs of locally equivalent realizations of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \), and their cohomologies are
\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(\mathfrak{g}_{16}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) & = \mathbb{C}, & H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{16}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) & = \mathbb{C}^2, \\
H^1(\mathfrak{g}_{15}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) & = \mathbb{C}, & H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{15}, C^\omega(\mathbb{C}^2)) & = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The Lie algebra cohomologies considered in this paper are related to the relative invariants (and singular orbits) of the corresponding Lie algebras of vector fields [7]. A consequence of [7, Theorem 5.4] is that a locally transitive Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) of vector fields has a scalar relative invariant if it has a nontrivial metric lift whose orbit-dimension is equal to that of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The Lie algebra \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{16} \) has two-dimensional orbits when \( A = B \). Therefore there exists an absolute invariant, and it is given by \( e^u/y^A \). The corresponding relative invariant of \( \mathfrak{g}_{16} \) is \( y^A \) and it defines the singular orbit \( y = 0 \).
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