 T-box transcription factor eomesodermin/Tbr2 in Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhu</i> L.): Molecular characterization, promoter structure and function analysis Heng Chi^{1,2,3*}, Kristian Gillebo S ørmo¹, Jing Diao², Roy Ambli Dalmo^{1*} 1. Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
 L.): Molecular characterization, promoter structure and function analysis Heng Chi^{1,2,3*}, Kristian Gillebo S ørmo¹, Jing Diao², Roy Ambli Dalmo^{1*} Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	iua
 Heng Chi^{1,2,3*}, Kristian Gillebo Sørmo¹, Jing Diao², Roy Ambli Dalmo^{1*} I. Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	.S
 Heng Chi^{1,2,3*}, Kristian Gillebo S ørmo¹, Jing Diao², Roy Ambli Dalmo^{1*} Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
 Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
 Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms Ø, Norway Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
 8 the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Troms ø, Norway 9 2. Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of 10 Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China 11 3. Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute 12 Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 13 	, UiT
 Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture, Marine Biology Institute of Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
 Shandong Province, 266104 Qingdao, China Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	e of
 Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 	
12 Oceanology, 266071 Qingdao, China 13	te of
13	
14	
15 *Correspondence: Roy Ambli Dalmo, E-mail: roy.dalmo@uit.no, Phone: +47 77644482	
16 Heng Chi, E-mail: chiheng@qdio.ac.cn	
17	
18	
19 Keywords: Eomesodermin; Atlantic cod; Molecular cloning; Promoter structure; Func	nction
20 analysis	
21	

22	Abstract: Eomesodermin (Eomes) is a member of T-box transcription factor family and plays an
23	important role in the regulation of a wide variety of developmental processes and immune
24	response in animals. Here we report cloning and characterization of the full-length cDNA of
25	Atlantic cod Eomes (GmEomes), which possesses a TBOX_3 domain similar to its counterpart in
26	mammals. The regulated expression was observed in head kidney and spleen in response to live
27	Vibrio anguillarum infection in vivo, and spleen leukocytes in vitro after PMA and poly I:C
28	stimulation. Furthermore, we determined a 694 bp sequence, upstream of the transcriptional start
29	site (TSS), to contain a number of sequence motifs that matched known transcription
30	factor-binding sites. Activities of the presumptive regulatory gene were assessed by transfecting
31	different 5'-deletion constructs in CHSE-214 cells. The results showed that the basal promoters
32	and positive transcriptional regulator activities of GmEomes were dependent by sequences located
33	from -694 to -376 bp upstream of TSS. Furthermore, we found that some Eomes binding sites
34	were present in the 5'-flanking regions of the cod IFN γ gene predicted by bioinformatics.
35	However, Co-transfection of eomesodermin overexpression plasmids with INFy reporter vector
36	into CHSE-214 cells determined that Atlantic cod eomesodermin played a minor role in INFy.
37	

38 **1. Introduction**

39 T-box genes, a highly conserved family of transcription factors having a common DNA-binding 40 sequence (the T-box), are important in the regulation of a wide variety of developmental processes 41 and immune response in animals [1-4]. Eomesodermin (Eomes) and T-BRAIN1 together with 42 T-box expressed in T-cells (T-bet/TBX21) all belong to TBR1 (T-box brain protein 1) sub-family 43 of T-box genes in mammals [5-8]. Eomes has been shown to be involved in mesoderm formation 44 in most vertebrates and in trophoblast differentiation in mammals [6, 8-11]. Important roles of 45 Eomes during early development have also been reported in fish [12-15]. In the mammalian immune system, Eomes is highly expressed in CD8⁺ T cells and functions redundantly with T-bet 46 47 in the induction of IFN- γ production to prevent CD8⁺ T cells from differentiating into other T cell 48 subtypes [16-18].

49 Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.) is an economically and ecologically important species in the 50 northern Atlantic [19]. Recently, genomic analysis of Atlantic cod reveals an immune system that 51 differs significantly from that in other vertebrates and most fish species. The major 52 histocompatibility complex (MHC) II, CD4 and invariant chain (Ii) have been lost during 53 evolution. However, there is an expanded number of MHCI genes and a unique composition of the 54 toll-like receptor family. These compensatory changes in both adaptive and innate immunity 55 suggest that cod may not be more susceptible to infectious disease than most other vertebrates [20], 56 indicating a high relevance to study the importance of innate immunity and mechanisms leading to 57 T-cell dependent cytotoxic responses. A comprehensive understanding of the molecular pathways 58 involved in physiological and immunological responses of Atlantic cod may help to overcome the 59 challenges in health management (e.g. viral diseases) [20,21]. While MHCI, present in all 60 nucleated cells, has long been thought to be exclusively involved in the presentation of 61 endogenous antigens, this classical view has been progressively replaced by a more complete 62 understanding of the cell biology of antigen-presenting cells. Indeed, exogenous antigens can also 63 be processed by the proteasome and loaded on MHCI molecules by an alternative pathway called 64 cross-presentation, which ultimately activates $CD8^+$ T-cells [22]. The presence of such a high 65 number of MHCI loci in cod has therefore led to the hypothesis that different subsets of $CD8^+T$ cells have been generated to compensate the absence of CD4⁺ T-cells [23]. 66

Eomes is an important transcription factor during the immune response of $CD8^+$ T-cells, and has been identified in some teleost species such as Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout, ginbuna crucian carp and zebrafish [24-26], while studies of this transcription factor in Atlantic cod still are lacking. The main objective of this study was to characterise the expression pattern of Eomes in healthy and *V. anguillarum* infected cod, the promoter structure analysis and ability of GmEomes to activate the expression of IFN- γ .

73

74 2. Materials and methods

75 2.1. Cloning and sequencing of GmEomes cDNA

76 A partial cod EST sequence similar to vertebrate Eomes was identified based on nucleotide and 77 amino acid sequence homology to annotated zebrafish and Atlantic salmon T-bet and Eomes 78 deposited GenBank BLAST sequences in using the software 79 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Two Atlantic cod Eomes ESTs (GenBank accession no: 80 ES786771.1 and ES786391.1) were retrieved. Internal primers were designed from the cod EST 81 sequences and PCR products were obtained from the cDNA library of the stimulated spleen tissue and sequenced. Total RNA (1 μ g) isolated from Atlantic cod spleen (~30 mg), using TRIZOL[®] 82 83 Reagent (Invitrogen), was used as a template and reverse transcribed to cDNA for RACE (rapid 84 amplification of cDNA ends) with a SMART RACE cDNA Amplification kit (Clontech) according 85 to the manufacturer's instruction and as described previously [27]. The cDNA sequence and 86 deduced amino acid sequence of Atlantic cod Eomes sequences were further analyzed using 87 BLAST and the ExPASy Molecular Biology server (http://us.expasy.org) and Pfamp [28]. Amino 88 acid identity and similarity analysis were done with the Matrix Global Alignment Tool (MatGAT) 89 program v 2.0 using default parameters [29].

91 2.2. Phylogenetic analysis

Multiple sequence alignment was created using CLUSTALW, while MEGA version 4.1 was used to assess the similarities among the aligned sequences (<u>www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/</u>) [30]. A phylogenetic tree, based on the deduced amino acid sequences, was constructed using the neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithm, and the reliability of the branching was tested using bootstrap re-samplings with 1 000 pseudo-replicates.

97

98 2.3. Isolation of 5'-flanking region of the GmEomes gene by genome walking

The 5 'flanking region of the GmEomes gene was isolated using the Universal GenomeWalker Kit (Clontech). Four GenomeWalker libraries were constructed according to the manufacturer's instruction. For each genome walker experiment, two adjacent reverse primers (GmEomes gwrv1 and GmEomes gwrv2) were designed near 5'-UTR region of the target gene (Table 1), and used in two PCRs in combination with the forward adaptor primers AP1 and AP2 (Clontech) for each library. The resulting PCR products from four different libraries were cloned in TOPO vector (Invitrogen), sequenced and analyzed as described above.

In order to verify this new sequence, a forward primer (GmEomesEcoRIfw1) was designed within this new sequence and used with a reverse primer (GmEomesSacIIrv) designed within the transcribed region of the Eomes gene (Table 1). PCR from the Atlantic cod genomic DNA was performed, and the products obtained were cloned and sequenced. Identification of transcription factor binding motifs was predicted with TRANSFAC[®] (Biobase International) and MatInspector version 6.2 [31,32].

113 2.4. Construction of GmEomes reporter gene plasmids

114 Deletion constructs with successive removal of the 5'-region were generated by PCR using the 115 forward primers GmEomesEcoRIfw1, GmEomesEcoRIfw2, and GmEomesEcoRIfw3 having recognition sequences for restriction endonuclease EcoRI, while the reverse primer 116 117 GmEomesSacIIrv (Table 1) had a SacII restriction site to generate the constructs p(-694/+23)Luc, 118 p(-376/+23)Luc, and p(-216/+23)Luc respectively. The promoterless pMet Luciferase Reporter 119 (Clontech) was used as reporter plasmids for cloning. 120 Both the PCR products of different 5'-deletion constructs and the basic reporter vectors were 121 digested with their respective restriction enzymes (New England) and ligated (T4 DNA ligase) to 122 generate the above-mentioned constructs for each basic reporter vector (pMet Luciferase) in 123 parallel. All plasmid DNA constructs were isolated using Endo-free Plasmid Mini Kit (Qiagen) to 124 have high quality plasmid for transfection. All plasmid constructs were verified by restriction map 125 analysis and DNA sequencing.

126

127 2.5. Cell culture, transfection and reporter activity assay

128 Chinook salmon embryonic cells (CHSE-214) were seeded in a flask (Nunc) containing L-15 129 medium (Invitrogen), penicillin ($60 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$), streptomycin ($100 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$), 1% non-essential amino 130 acid (NEAA, Gibco) and 8% fetal calf serum (FCS) at 20° C in an incubator for one week. Cells 131 were washed twice in 10 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and then 1.5 ml trypsin (1.25%) was 132 added. Cells were re-suspended in L-15 medium (8% FCS, 1% NEAA, without antibiotics) and 133 the cell number was adjusted to give a proper density. Cells were washed twice with PBS and 134 re-suspended in buffer R (Invitrogen) and divided into tubes (10µl per tube). For each tube, 2x10⁵ cells and plasmids {300 ng luciferase vector and 50 ng pSEAP2 control vector (Clontech)} were mixed and transfection was performed using electroporation by the Neon Transfection System (Invitrogen): 10 µl volume using D1 program (voltage 1100, pulse width 30, pulse no. 2) in line with the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. The pSEAP2-control vector for normalizing transfection efficiency was included in all assays to standardize protein expression levels.

The transfected CHSE-214 cells were seeded in 24 well plates (Nunc) at a density of 2x10⁵ cells well⁻¹. 12 h after transfection, the medium was removed and replaced by fresh medium. The analysis for Metridia luciferase activity using Ready-To-GlowTM Secreted Luciferase Reporter System (Clontech) and SEAP activity using Great EscAPeTM SEAP Chemiluminescence Detection Kit (Clontech), and then the luciferase and SEAP activity were assayed using a plate Luminometer, Luminoskan Ascent (Thermo). The assay was performed thrice.

146

147 2.6. Tissue specific expression of GmEomes in healthy cod

148 Atlantic cod (~50 g) were supplied from the Aquaculture Research Station (Troms & Norway). Six fish were killed by immersion the fish in 100 mg L^{-1} Metacaine, and then, gill, head kidney, liver, 149 150 heart, gut, pyloric caeca, skin and muscle were sampled and quickly immersed in RNAlater 151 (Invitrogen). Total RNA was isolated by using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNase-Free DNase Set 152 (Qiagen) was additionally used to remove genomic DNA contamination and QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) was applied for cDNA synthesis. The synthesized cDNA was diluted 153 154 10-fold with MilliQ water and 1 µl of this dilution was used as template in a 20 µl reaction volume. 155 Fast SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used as reagents. qPCR was carried out in ABI PRISM 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers for qPCR 156

have been listed in Table 1. An evaluation of gene expression of the two endogenous controls, β -actin and eF1α, No significant differences in gene expression (P > 0.05) were detected between the two endogenous controls, and eF1αwas used as endogenous control in this study [33,34]. The results were expressed as fold change in comparison with the lowest expression level. All experiments on fish were approved by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority, and experiments were in accordance the animal welfare act.

163

164 2.7. Expression of GmEomes in spleen leucocytes after Poly I:C and PMA stimulation

165 Isolation of spleen primary cells was performed in line with the previously published protocol [27]. Briefly, the spleen was removed and minced through a 100 µm nylon Falcon cell strainer (BD 166 Bioscience) in L-15 culture medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with heparin (20 U ml⁻¹). The cell 167 168 suspension was loaded on a discontinuous 25/50% Percoll (Amersham Pharmacia Biotec) gradient, and centrifuged at 850 g for 40 min at 4° C. The cells at the interface were collected and washed 169 twice with L-15 medium. Cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^6 cells per well in 24-well cell 170 171 culture plates in L-15 with 1% FCS. The cells were divided into 3 groups with 6 replications for 172 each group and incubated with polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C; Sigma) (100 ng ml⁻¹), phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma) (100 ng ml⁻¹) or left unstimulated, respectively. 173 174 After incubation for 0, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h, cells were harvested for qPCR analysis, and the results 175 were expressed as fold change in comparison with the unstimulated group at 0 h. The methods of 176 RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis have been described in 2.6.



179	V. anguillarum (serotype O2b; isolate 4299) [35] were inoculated on Tryptic Soya Agar (Oxoid)
180	supplemented with 5% human blood and 1.5% NaCl and incubated for 3 days and grown in
181	Marine Broth (MB-2216, Difco) at 12° C with gentle shaking until optical density (OD _{600 nm}) was
182	= 0.5-0.6. The culture was washed, centrifuged and diluted with 0.9% NaCl (saline) giving OD_{600}
183	$_{nm}$ = 0.2 corresponding to approximately 10 ⁸ bacteria ml ⁻¹ . Infection doses were adjusted by
184	diluting the bacteria suspension to $\sim 10^7$ bacteria ml ⁻¹ . Colony forming units (CFU) of the
185	infection doses were determined using plates which were incubated at 22 $^{\circ}$ C for five days. 18 fish
186	(~50 g) were intraperitoneally (i.p) injected with 0.1 ml bacterial suspension after being
187	anesthetized, whereas the time-control fish received 0.1 ml of saline. Fish in these two treatment
188	groups were kept apart in two tanks (500L) with continuous supply of sea water (10 $^{\circ}$ C).
189	Additional six untreated fish were sampled as time zero control fish at the start of the experiment.
190	The head kidney and spleen from six fish of each group were sampled at 1, 2 and 4 days after
191	injection and immersed in RNAlater (Thermo) for qPCR analysis, and the results were expressed
192	as fold change in comparison with the control group at 0 day. The methods of RNA isolation and
193	cDNA synthesis have been described in 2.6. The experiment with V. anguillarum infection was
194	approved by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

196 2.9. Overexpression plasmid construction of pGmEomes-RFP and promoter-reporter plasmid
197 construction of pLuc2-IFNy

198 To construct pGmEomes-RFP which express GmEomes fused to red fluorescent protein (RFP), the

199 coding sequences of GmEomes were amplified with primers GmEomesORFfw / GmEomesORFrv

200 (Table 1), and the PCR products were inserted into pTagRFP-N (Evrogen). The construction of

201 pSsT-bet-RFP plasmid which expresses salmon T-bet fused to RFP has been described previously 202 [36]. Genomic DNA was isolated from Atlantic cod spleen with the DNA isolation kit (Qiagen). 203 About 588 bp of the 5' flanking region sequences of the cod IFNy (GenBank No: FJ356236.1) 204 were obtained from the genomic DNA by PCR using the primers GmIFNrpromfw/GmIFNrpromrv 205 (Table 1), and the PCR products were inserted into pMetLuc-2 vector (Clontech). All plasmid DNA constructs were isolated using EndoFree Plasmid Kit (Qiagen) to have high quality plasmid 206 for transfection. All plasmid constructs were verified by restriction map analysis and DNA 207 208 sequencing. The cell culture, transfection and reporter activity assay have been described above in 209 2.5. The reporter assay experiment was done in triplicate fashion.

At 48 h after transfection, the medium in the wells was used for Metridia luciferase and SEAP analysis. The cells transfected by pGmEomes-RFP and pTagRFP-N expressing plasmids were fixed with 4% formaldehyde (w/v) (Thermo) for a half hour, then DAPI (Invitrogen) was used for nucleic acid (nucleus) staining in line with the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. Micrographs were obtained by inverted fluorescence microscope (Zeiss).

215 2.11. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by LSD multiple group comparisons in the SPSS 18.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are presented as means \pm SD, and statistical significance was defined as *P* < 0.05.

219

220 **3 Results**

221 3.1. Characterization of the nucleotide and amino acid sequences of Eomes

- 222 The GmEomes cDNA (GenBank accession no. JF968408) consisted of 2618 bp in length with an
- 223 open reading frame of 1989 bp encoding a polypeptide of 662 amino acids, a 278 bp 5'

224	un-translated region (UTR) and a 2531 bp 3' UTR. Within the 3' UTR, one polyadenylation
225	signals (AATAAA) and four mRNA instability motifs (ATTTA) were found (Fig. 1). The putative
226	protein had a molecular weight of 72321.9 Da and an estimated pI of 6.15.
227	According to a BLAST search, the cloned GmEomes gene possessed a high degree of sequence
228	similarity (64.8-89.9%) (Table 2) to various members of the Eomes family in different animals,
229	indicating that it has been conserved during evolution (Fig. 2). Alignment of the predicted protein
230	of GmEomes revealed the presence of conserved TBOX_3 domain (219-414). A phylogenetic tree
231	was developed based on multiple alignments of Eomes from various species, including fish, frog,
232	chicken and mammals (Fig. 3). GmEomes was more closely related to Atlantic salmon Eomes
233	than to the other species.

235 *3.2. Structure of 5'-flanking region of GmEomes gene*

As the first step towards understanding the transcriptional regulation of the GmEomes gene, a 236 237 sequence of 694 bp lying 5' to the transcription start site (TSS) was determined (GenBank 238 accession no. JF968407). Sequence analysis using the transcription factor binding site prediction program MatInspector and TRANSFAC® revealed several notable features. The TATA-box, 239 240 considered being the core promoter sequence, was present at position -309 relative to the putative 241 GmEomes transcription start site (Fig. 4). In addition, we found two potential GATA consensus 242 sequences present within this region at -211, and -269 which are known to be enriched in specific gene regulatory regions of immune cells. Oct-1, HSF2, MyoD, CdxA and SRY, known to be 243 important for transcriptional regulation of genes were also found in this region. Lastly, the NF-E2 244 was found close to the TSS. Other putative transcription factor binding sites are shown in Fig. 4. 245

247 3.3. Activity of the GmEomes promoter

248	To precisely define the 5'-end of TSS of GmEomes that was required for the induced activation,
249	progressive deletion constructs of the GmEomes promoter region were generated and transiently
250	transfected into CHSE-214 cells (Fig. 4). All promoter constructs were active at 12 h, and induced
251	increasing luciferase activities from 24 h to 48 h ($P < 0.001$). The minimal promoter construct
252	induced low luciferase activity at all time points and similar time kinetics as the p(-376/+23)Luc
253	(P > 0.05), but showed significant difference compared to p(-694/+23)Luc ($P < 0.001$). The
254	full-length p(-694/+23)Luc promoter construct induced relative luciferase activity 40.16-fold
255	higher than the promoterless controls at 48h, whereas the p(-376/+23)Luc construct and minimal
256	Eomes promoter induced only 2.97-fold and 2.65-fold, compared to promoterless controls,
257	respectively (Fig. 4).

258

259 3.4. Tissue distribution of Eomes mRNA

As shown in Fig. 5, the GmEomes gene was widely expressed in all the sampled tissues of healthy fish. The largest quantity of GmEomes mRNA was found in spleen, followed by gill, head kidney, liver, heart, gut, pyloric caeca and skin. The level of GmEomes transcripts in muscle was relatively low.

264

265 3.5. Expression profile of GmEomes in head kidney and spleen in vivo after V. anguillarum
266 infection and in spleen leukocytes in vitro after PMA and poly I:C stimulation

267 No mortality and abnormal behavior were observed during the experimental challenge with V.

268	anguillarum. In spleen, the expression of GmEomes went 3.85-fold higher than in the control
269	group at day 1 ($P < 0.01$), whereas it was decreased at day 4 post infection (Fig. 6A). No
270	statistically significant changes of GmIFNy mRNA levels were observed in the saline injected fish
271	in the control group or during challenge with V. anguillarum. In head kidney, both GmEomes and
272	GmIFN _γ expressions were significantly upregulated at 4 d post-infection, with 5.36 and 3.56-fold
273	increase, respectively ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 6B). No statistically significant differences in the expression
274	of GmEomes and GmIFN γ between fish in the control group at the other time points was found.
275	The spleen leukocytes stimulated with PMA showed significantly increased expression of
276	GmEomes at 6, 12, 24 and 48 h ($P < 0.01$), compared to non-stimulated cells. Similarly, the
277	expression of GmIFN γ was also significantly upregulated at 12, 24 and 48 h after PMA
278	stimulation. The GmIFN γ expression was significantly higher at 48 h post poly I:C stimulation
279	compared to control cells ($P < 0.01$), whereas no statistically significant changes of GmEomes
280	mRNA levels was observed in control cells and during poly I:C stimulation ($P > 0.05$) (Fig. 6C).
281	3.6 Localization of over expressed GmEomes in CHSE-214 cells

282 To obtain information from overexpression of GmEomes, microscopic analysis of cells transfected 283 with GmEomes encoding plasmid fused to red fluorescent protein (RFP) were carried out. The complete coding sequence of GmEomes was inserted into the plasmids encoding red fluorescent 284 285 protein fused to the 3'-terminal ends. Approximately 15-20% of RFP positive CHSE-214 cells 286 were observed after transfection with the plasmids, as shown in Fig. 7A. GmEomes fused with RFP were identified in or close to the nuclei at 48 h post transfection, whereas the cells transfected 287 288 with empty control vector (pTagRFP-N) but still containing a RFP gene, showed RFP widespread 289 in the cytoplasms.

291 3.7 The effect from over expression of GmEomes on Atlantic cod IFNy promoter

Approximately 588 bp 5' flanking region of GmIFN γ were cloned, in which Eomes binding sites were found (Fig. 7B). Overexpression of GmEomes in CHSE-214 cells slightly enhanced the activity of GmIFN γ promoter region, but not with statistically significant difference compared to controls (*P* = 0.083), while an overexpression of salmon T-bet significantly enhanced the activity of the IFN γ promoter (*P* < 0.01) (Fig. 7C).

297

298 4 Discussion

299 In this study, we identified an Eomes homologue, GmEomes, from Atlantic cod and analysed its 300 structure, expression, and regulatory property. We found that GmEomes shared 64.8 and 89.9% 301 overall amino acid sequence identities with human and zebrafish Eomes, respectively. This 302 observation indicated that Eomes is considerably conserved among lower and higher vertebrates, 303 which may be consistent with the fundamental role of Eomes. GmEomes contains a T-box domain 304 which was defined as the minimal region within the T-box family proteins that is both necessary 305 and sufficient for sequence-specific DNA binding. The presence of this domain in GmEomes 306 suggested a potential capacity of the translated protein to bind DNA as a transcription factor.

Sequence analysis of 5' flanking region of GmEomes revealed that the presence of TATA box in the proximal promoter (694 bp to the TSS) are in line with the previous study on the Xenopus and salmon Eomes promoters [9,24]. By PCR-aided deletion of the putative promoter region we detected a strong positive regulatory element between positions -694 and-377 bp in the GmEomes promoter. Further analysis of this element indicated that it shared a number of consensus transcription factor binding sites, such as binding sites for MyoD, Oct-1, HSF and SRY. Recent

313	reports have shown that MyoD is a transcription factor involved in regulating muscle
314	differentiation, whereas both Oct-1 and Cdx are involved in regulation of the genes essential for
315	growth and embryonic development [37,38]. The sex-determining region Y (SRY) is responsible
316	for the initiation of male sex determination in humans. HSF is needed for proper animal
317	development and the survival of cancer cells [39,40]. The presence of MyoD, Oct-1, Cdx and SRY
318	transcription factor binding sites in the promoter of GmEomes indicated similar mechanisms of
319	development and cell differentiation in cod as in mammals. By PCR-aided deletion of the putative
320	promoter region we detected a weak positive regulatory element between positions -376 and +23
321	bp in the GmEomes promoter. Further analysis of this element indicated that it shared a number of
322	consensus transcription factor binding sites, including GATA and Cdx for positive control
323	transcription factor binding sites, and S8 and HF-E2 negative control transcription factor binding
324	sites. This suggests that GATA and Cdx are not the main transcription factors for the expression of
325	GmEomes.

326 In mammals, Eomes is expressed highly at the certain stages during development [41] and has been well defined as key drivers of neuronic, cardiac and immune cell development associated 327 328 with effector function [41-43]. In the immune system, Eomes expression has been reported to be present in different lymphoid tissues, and in cells and their subsets, including CD8⁺ T-cells, $\gamma\delta$ 329 330 T-cells, invariant NKT cells, natural killer cells, B-cells, and dendritic cells [44]. In this study, we 331 found that the expression of GmEomes occurred in multiple tissues and was highly expressed in spleen, head kidney and gill. This is not in full consistence with the reports on Atlantic salmon, 332 trout and zebrafish [24,26]. The expression of Eomes mRNA in gills is rather at low levels when 333 334 compared to head kidney in trout and ginbuna carp [25,26]. However, the levels of GmEomes

transcripts were similar in gill and head kidney of Atlantic cod. In salmonid fishes and ginbuna carp, constitutive high expression of GATA-3 and IL-4 in gill suggests that this tissue may be capable to form a Th2-skewed immune environment [45,46]. A presence of high level of Eomes in the gills of cod may also lead to differentiation of na $\ddot{v}e$ T-cells into CD8⁺T cells to compensate the absence of of CD4⁺T cells and subsets.

V. anguillarum is an abundant pathogen in aquaculture, and causes vibriosis in a range of different fish species [47-51]. In our study, the expression of GmEomes was enhanced in spleen (day 2) and in the head kidney (day 4) after experimental infection with the *V. anguillarum*. These results suggest a role for GmEomes in host immune defence against microbial pathogens. PMA, through its activation of protein kinase C, is often used experimentally to induce leucocyte activation especially in T-cells [52,53]. In this study, the expression of GmEomes was indeed induced in spleen leucocytes following PMA stimulation (24 h and 48 h).

In mammals, Eomes is highly expressed in $CD8^+$ T cells, but not in $CD4^+$ T cells. Even though 347 Eomes/Tbr2 plays a role in the ability of CD8⁺ T cells to penetrate tumors, it only plays a small 348 349 role in production of IFN γ [16,17,54]. In our study, the transcripts levels of GmIFN γ were 350 increased with the increase of GmEomes expression at some time points after PMA stimulation in 351 vitro or during V. anguillarum infection in vivo. In addition, we found that some Eomes binding 352 sites were present in the 5'-flanking regions of the cod IFN γ gene predicted by bioinformatics. In 353 CHSE-214 cells transfected with pGmEomes-RFP, the fusion protein was detected mainly in the nucleus, which is consistent with the known function of Eomes in regulation of gene expression. 354 355 However, GmEomes only slightly increased the activity of this IFN γ promoter suggesting that GmEomes does not significantly contribute to IFNy production, while the GmT-bet significantly 356

357	enhanced the activity of $GmIFN\gamma$ promoter. These results were in line with some reports from
358	mice, where similar numbers of IFN γ producing cells were observed in WT and Eomes KO
359	effector CD8 ⁺ T cells. T-bet KO CD8 ⁺ T cells and T-bet/Eomes double KO CD8 ⁺ T cells showed
360	only a slight reduction (approx. 10%) of IFN- γ^+ cells [54]. As such, Eomes is required, but not
361	crucial, for IFN-γ production by T cells. Mechanistic studies on the interplay between Eomes and
362	T-bet should be performed to find out their relative importance to induce IFN γ production in fish
363	lymphocytes.

In summary, we identified and characterized Gmeomes which is a T-box transcription factor molecule and its expression pattern during homeostasis and during contains of PAMPs and pathogen challenge in A. cod. This work presents new knowledge about the promoter region and the promoter activity of the GmEomes. Furthermore, we found that GmEomes mainly was localized in the nucleus, but played a minor role in activation of the INFy promoter.

369

370 Acknowledgement

371 This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 31872594 &

- 372 31402326), the Research Council of Norway (grants 237315 & 239140) and the Open Fund of
- 373 Shandong Key Laboratory of Disease Control in Mariculture (grant KF201801), TromsøResearch
- Foundation and The Key Laboratory of Mariculture (KLM), Ministry of Education, OUC.

375

376 References

- 377 [1] J. Smith, T-box genes: what they do and how they do it, Trends Genet. 15 (1999) 154-158.
- 378 [2] V.E. Papaioannou, L.M. Silver, The T-box gene family, BioEssays 20 (1998) 9-19.
- 379 [3] A. Kispert, B.G. Herrmann, The Brachyury gene encodes a novel DNA binding protein,

- 380 EMBO. J. 12 (1993) 3211-3220.
- [4] C. Showell, O. Binder, F.L. Conlon, T-box genes in early embryogenesis, Dev. Dyn. 229
 (2004) 201–218.
- 383 [5] B.G. Ciruna, J. Rossant, Expression of the T-box gene eomesodermin during early mouse
 384 development, Mech. Dev. 81 (1999) 199-203.
- [6] A.P. Russ, S. Wattler, W.H. Colledge, S.A. Aparicio, M.B. Carlton, J.J. Pearce, et al.,
 Eomesodermin is required for mouse trophoblast development and mesoderm formation,
 Nature 404(2000) 95-99.
- [7] K. Tagawa, T. Humphreys, N. Satoh, T-Brain expression in the apical organ of hemichordate
 tornaria larvae suggests its evolutionary link to the vertebrate forebrain, J. Exp. Zool. 2000,
 288:23-31.
- 391 [8] S.J. Szabo, S.T. Kim, G.L. Costa, X. Zhang, C.G. Fathman, L.H. Glimcher, A novel
- transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment, Cell 100 (2000) 655-669.
- 393 [9] K. Ryan, N. Garrett, P. Bourillot, F. Stennard, J.B. Gurdon, The Xenopus eomesodermin
- 394 promoter and its concentration-dependent response to activing, Mech. Dev. 94 (2000) 133–
 395 146.
- 396 [10] A. Bulfone, S. Martinez, V. Marigo, M. Campanella, A. Basile, N. Quaderi, et al., Expression
- 397 pattern of the Tbr2 (Eomesodermin) gene during mouse and chick brain development, Mech.
 398 Dev. 84 (1999) 133–138.
- 399 [11] K. Sone, T.C. Takahashi, Y. Takabatake, K. Takeshima, T. Takabatake, Expression of five
- 400 novel T-box genes and brachyury during embryogenesis, and in developing and regenerating
- 401 limbs and tails of newts, Develop. Growth Differ. 41 (1999) 321–333.

- 402 [12] M. Mione, S. Shanmugalingama, D. Kimelmanb, K. Griffin, Overlapping expression of
 403 zebrafish T-brain-1 and eomesodermin during forebrain development, Mech. Dev. 100 (2001)
 404 93–97.
- 405 [13] A.E. Bruce, C. Howley, Y. Zhou, S.L. Vickers, L.M. Silver, M.L. King, et al., The maternally
- 406 expressed zebrafish T-box gene eomesodermin regulates organizer formation, Development
 407 130 (2003) 5503–5517.
- 408 [14] A.E. Bruce, C. Howley, D. Dixon Fox, R.K. Ho, T-box gene eomesodermin and the
- 409 homeobox-containing Mix/Bix gene mtx2 regulate epiboly movements in the zebrafish, Dev.
- 410 Dyn. 233 (2005) 105–114.
- [15] C.R.R. Bjornson, K.J.P. Griffin, G.H. Farr III, A. Terashima, C. Himeda, et al.,
 Eomesodermin is a localized maternal determinant required for endoderm induction in
 zebrafish, Dev. Cell 9 (2005) 523–533.
- 414 [16] E.L. Pearce, A.C. Mullen, G.A. Martins, C.M. Krawczyk, A.S. Hutchins, V.P. Zediak, et al.,
- 415 Control of effector CD8+ T cell function by the transcription factor Eomesodermin. Science
 416 302 (2003) 1041–1043.
- 417 [17] C.N.R. Lino, J. Barros-Martins, L. Oberdörfer, T. Walzer, I. Prinz, Eomes expression reports
- 418 the progressive differentiation of IFN- γ -producing Th1-like $\gamma\delta$ T cells, Eur. J. Immunol. 47
- 419 (2017) 970–981.
- 420 [18] E. Lupar, M. Brack, L. Garnier, S. Laffont, K.S. Rauch, K. Schachtrup, et al., Eomesodermin
- 421 Expression in CD4+ T Cells Restricts Peripheral Foxp3 Induction, J. Immunol. 195 (2015)
 422 4742-4752.
- 423 [19] G. Rosenlund, O. Halldorsson, Cod juvenile production: research and commercial

- 424 developments, Aquaculture 268 (2007) 188-194.
- 425 [20] B. Star, A.J. Nederbragt, S. Jentoft, U. Grimholt, M. Malmstrøm, T.F. Gregers, et al., The
- genome sequence of Atlantic cod reveals a unique immune system, Nature 477 (2011)207-210.
- 428 [21] O.K. Tørresen, B. Star, S. Jentoft, W.B. Reinar, H. Grove, J.R. Miller, et al., An improved
- 429 genome assembly uncovers prolific tandem repeats in Atlantic cod, BMC Genomics, 18430 (2017), 95.
- [22] S. Amigorena, A. Savina, Intracellular mechanisms of antigen cross presentation in dendritic
 cells, Curr. Opin. Immunol. 22 (2010) 109–117.
- 433 [23] F. Buonocorea. M. Gerdol. Alternative adaptive immunity strategies: coelacanth, cod and
 434 shark. Immunity. Mol. Immunol. 69 (2016) 157–169.
- [24] J. Kumari, J. Bøgwald, R.A. Dalmo, Eomesodermin of Atlantic salmon: an important
 regulator of cytolytic gene and interferon gamma expression in spleen lymphocytes, PLoS.
- 437 One 8 (2013) e55893.
- 438 [25] F. Takizawa, K. Araki, K. Ito, T. Moritomo, T. Nakanishi, Expression analysis of two
- 439 eomesodermin homologues in zebrafish lymphoid tissues and cells, Mol. Immunol. 44 (2007)
 440 2324–2331.
- [26] F. Takizawa, K. Araki, M. Ohtani, H. Toda, Y. Saito, V.S.Lampe, J.M. Dijkstra,
 Transcription analysis of two Eomesodermin genes in lymphocyte subsets of two teleost
 species. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 36 (2014):215–222.
- 444 [27] H. Chi, Z. Zhang, J. Bøgwald, W. Zhan, R.A. Dalmo, Cloning, expression analysis and 445 promoter structure of TBK1 (TANK-binding kinase 1) in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.),

- 446 Fish Shellfish Immunol. 30(2011) 1055-1063.
- [28] R.D. Finn, J. Tate, J. Mistry, P.C. Coggill, J.S. Sammut, H.R. Hotz, et al., The Pfam protein
 families database, Nucleic Acids Res. 36 (2008) D281-D288.
- 449 [29] J.J. Campanella, L. Bitincka, J. Smalley, MatGAT: an application that generates
- similarity/identity matrices using protein or DNA sequences, BMC. Bioinform. 4 (2003) 29.
- [30] K. Tamura, J. Dudley, M. Nei, S. Kumar, MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
 (MEGA) software version 4.0, Mol. Biol. Evol. 24 (2007) 1596-1599.
- 453 [31] T. Heinemeyer, E. Wingender, I. Reuter, H. Hermjakob, A.E. Kel, O.V. Kel, et al., Data
- 454 bases on transcriptional regulation: TRANSFAC, TRRD and COMPEL, Nucleic Acids Res.
 455 26 (1998) 362-367.
- 456 [32] K. Cartharius, K. Frech, K. Grote, B. Klocke, M. Haltmeier, A. Klingenhoff, et al.,
- 457 MatInspector and beyond: promoter analysis based on transcription factor binding sites,
 458 Bioinformatics 21 (2005) 2933-2942.
- 459 [33] C. Furnes, M. Seppola, B. Robertsen, Molecular characterisation and expression analysis of
- 460 interferon gamma in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 26 (2009)
 461 285-292.
- 462 [34] I.A. Aursnes, A.L. Rishovd, H.E. Karlsen, T. Gjøen, Validation of reference genes for
- quantitative RT-qPCR studies of gene expression in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) during
 temperature stress. BMC Res Notes. 5 (2011) 104.
- 465 [35] H. Mikkelsen, V. Lund, L. Martinsen, K. Gravningen, M. B. Schrøderb, Variability among
- 466 Vibrio anguillarum O2 isolates from Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.): Characterisation and
- 467 vaccination studies, Aquaculture 266 (2007) 16-25.

- 468 [36] J. Kumari, Z. Zhang, T. Swain, H. Chi, C. Niu, J. Bøgwald, et al., Transcription factor T-Bet
- 469 in Atlantic salmon: characterization and gene expression in mucosal tissues during
 470 *Aeromonas Salmonicida* infection, Front. Immunol. 6 (2015) 345.
- 471 [37] X. He, M.N. Treacy, D.M. Simmons, M.A. Ingraham, L.W. Swanson, M.G. Rosenfeld,
- 472 Expression of a large family of POU-domain regulatory genes in mammalian brain
 473 development, Nature 340 (1989) 35-42.
- 474 [38] S. Kouyasu, R.E. Hussey, L.K. Clayton, A. Lerner, R. Pedersen, P. Delany-Heiken, et al.,
- 475 Targeted disruption within the CD3 zeta/eta/phi/Oct-1 locus in mouse, EMBO. J. 13 (1994)
 476 784-797.
- [39] H.H. Salamanca, N. Fuda, H. Shi, J.T. Lis, An RNA aptamer perturbs heat shock
 transcription factor activity in Drosophila melanogaster, Nucleic Acids Res. 39 (2011) 6729–
 6740
- 480 [40] H.H. Salamanca, M.A. Antonyak, R.A. Cerione, H. Shi, J.T. Lis, Inhibiting heat shock factor
- 481 1 in human cancer cells with a potent RNA aptamer, PLoS.ONE 9 (2014) e96330.
- 482 [41] C. Englund, A. Fink, C. Lau, D. Pham, R.A. Daza, A. Bulfone, et al., Pax6, Tbr2, and Tbr1
- are expressed sequentially by radial glia, intermediate progenitor cells, and postmitotic
 neurons in developing neocortex, J. Neurosci. 25 (2005) 247–251.
- 485 [42] A. Sessa, C.A. Mao, A.K. Hadjantonakis, W.H. Klein, V. Broccoli, Tbr2 directs conversion
- 486 of radial glia into basal precursors and guides neuronal amplification by indirect neurogenesis
- 487 in the developing neocortex glish, Neuron. 60 (2008) 56–69.
- 488 [43] I. Costello, I.M. Pimeisl, S. Dräger, E.K. Bikoff, E.J. Robertson, S.J. Arnold, The T-box
- 489 transcription factor Eomesodermin acts upstream of Mesp1 to specify cardiac mesoderm

during mouse gastrulation, Nat. Cell Biol. 13 (2011) 1084–1091.

- 491 [44] J.J. Knox, G.L. Cosma, M.R. Betts, L.M. McLane, Characterization of T-bet and eomes in
- 492 peripheral human immune cells, Front. Immunol. 5 (2014) 217.
- 493 [45] F. Takizawa, Y. Mizunaga, K. Araki, T. Moritomo, M. Ototake, T. Nakanishi, GATA3
- 494 mRNA in ginbuna crucian carp (Carassius auratus langsdorfii): cDNA cloning, splice

495 variants and expression analysis, Dev Comp Immunol. 32 (2008) 898–907.

- 496 [46] F. Takizawa, E.O. Koppang, M. Ohtani, T. Nakanishi, K. Hashimoto, U. Fischer, et al.,
- 497 Constitutive high expression of interleukin-4/13A and GATA-3 in gill and skin of salmonid
- 498 fishes suggests that these tissues form Th2-skewed immune environments, Mol Immunol. 48499 (2011):1360-1368.
- 500 [47] I. Frans, C.W. Michiels, P. Bossier, K.A. Willems, B. Lievens, H. Rediers, *Vibrio*501 *anguillarum* as a fish pathogen: virulence factors, diagnosis and prevention, J. Fish Dis. 34
 502 (2011) 643–661.
- [48] X. Zhang, S. Wang, S. Chen, Y. Chen, Y. Liu, C. Shao, et al., Transcriptome analysis
 revealed changes of multiple genes involved in immunity in *Cynoglossus semilaevis* during
- 505 *Vibrio anguillarum* infection, Fish Shellfish Immunol. 43 (2015) 209–218.
- 506 [49] I. Frans, K. Dierckens, S. Crauwels, A. Van Assche, J.J. Leisner, J. Leisner, et al., Does
- 507 virulence assessment of *Vibrio anguillarum* using sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae
- 508 correspond with genotypic and phenotypic characterization, PLOS. One 8 (2013): e70477.
- 509 [50] C. Baker-Austin, L. Stockley, R. Rangdale, J. Martinez-Urtaza, Environmental occurrence
- 510 and clinical impact of *Vibrio vulnificus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*: a European perspective,
- 511 Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 2 (2010) 7–18.

- 512 [51] C. Yang, J. Zhang, F. Li, H. Ma, Q. Zhang, T.A. Jose Priya, et al., A Toll receptor from
- 513 Chinese shrimp Fenneropenaeus chinensis is responsive to Vibrio anguillarum infection,
- 514 Fish Shellfish Immunol. 24 (May 2008) 564–574.
- 515 [52] A. Weiss, R.L. Wiskocil, J.D. Stobo, The role of T3 surface molecules in the activation of
- 516 human T cells: a two-stimulus requirement for IL 2 production reflects events occurring at a
- 517 pre-translational level, J. Immunol. 133 (1984) 123-128.
- 518 [53] B. Manger, K.J. Hardy, A. Weiss, J.D. Stobo, Differential effect of cyclosporin A on
- 519 activation signaling in human T cell lines, J. Clin. Invest. 77 (1986) 1501-1506.
- 520 [54] Y. Zhu, S. Ju, E. Chen, S. Dai, C. Li, P. Morel, et al., T-bet and eomesodermin are required
- 521 for T cell-mediated antitumor immune responses, J. Immunol. 185 (2010) 3174–3183.

523 **Table 1.** List of primers and their designated applications

524 Table 2. Identities (%) of Eomes in 10 vertebrate species at nucleotide and amino acid levels. The 525 percentage identity values of nucleotides are marked with numbers in bold and the percentage 526 identity of amino acids are marked as numbers in italic font. Matrix Global Alignment Tool 527 (MatGAT) was applied in the calculation.

528 Fig.1. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of GmEomes cDNA. Start and stop codons

s29 are in bold. The TBOX_3 domain is bordered. The RNA instability motifs (atta) are marked with

530 underlines. The putative polyadenylation (aataaa) signal is in bold and underlined.

Fig.2. Multiple alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of Eomes in cod and other vertebrates by the ClustalW program. Residues shaded in the same colour are completely conserved across all species aligned, and residues shaded in different colour to 50–90% identity.

534 Dashes indicate gaps. The GenBank accession numbers of the Eomes sequences are as follows:

535 human: BAH13105.1; monkey: XP_002803087.1; chimpanzee: XP_526157.2; dog: XP_542755.2;

536 Norway rat: XP_001061749.2; mouse: NP_034266.2; newt: BAA84718.1; frog: AAI25987.1;

537 gray bichir: BAH58788.1; Zebrafish: NP_571754.3.

Fig.3. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship between GmEomes and other vertebrate amino acid sequences. The phylogram was constructed with the MEGA 4.0 software using the neighbor-joining method based on an amino acid alignment (ClustalW) of the full-length protein. Numbers beside the internal branches indicate bootstrap values based on 1 000 replications. The 0.1 scale indicates the genetic distance. The position of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) Eomes was marked with "•". GenBank accession numbers for some Eomes sequences are listed in the legend of Fig.2, while the others are listed as follows: Eomes: *Salmo salar*: NP_001191029.1;

545	Gallus gallus: XP_426003.2; TBR1: Danio rerio: AAG_48249.1; Xenopus tropicalis: AAI36087.1;
546	Ailuropoda melanoleuca: XP_002924534.1; Canis lupus: XP_545492.2; Callithrix jacchus:
547	XP_002798955.1; Pan troglodytes: XP_001150172.1; Homo sapiens: AAI13419.1; Rattus
548	norvegicus: NP_001177999.1; Bos taurus: NP_ 001178978.1; Equus caballus: XP_001493157.2;
549	TBX21: Salmo salar: ADP36855.1; Carassius auratus langsdorfii: BAF73805.1; Danio rerio:
550	NP_001164070.1; Mus musculus: NP_062380.2; Rattus norvegicus: NP_001100513.1; Canis
551	lupus familiaris: XP_548164.1; Homo sapiens: BAJ21009.1; Pan troglodytes: XP_001173500.1.
552	Fig.4. The sequence and activity of Eomes promoter. A. The nucleotide sequence of promoter
553	regions (694 bp) was determined. The transcription start site is designated as +1 and boxed.
554	Transcription factor binding sites were predicted by MatInspector and TRANSFAC [®] . Consensus
555	elements of transcription factor binding sites are underlined, while (-) sign indicates the binding
556	sites identified on the negative strand. B. CHSE-214 cells were transiently transfected with the
557	promoter constructs plus pSEAP2 internal control vector in 24-well plates. Luciferase activity is
558	expressed relative to SEAP (mean \pm SD from six wells). Double asterisks (**) above the bars
559	show significant differences ($P < 0.01$) compared to the different promoter constructs with the
560	same treatment. The data are from six cell wells per treatment in one experiment and are
561	representative of three independent experiments.

Fig.5. Tissue distribution of GmEomes transcripts. The expression of GmEomes mRNA was determined by qRT-PCR in different organs. The results were calculated by relative expression with β -actin as the house keeping gene and muscle as a calibrator. The value above the bars shows average real-time CT values of six fish. Data are represented as mean ± SD (N=6).

566 **Fig.6.** Specific expression of GmEomes in Atlantic cod spleen (A) and head kidney (B) during V.

567 anguillarum infection and spleen leukocytes (C) at different time-points upon PolyI:C or PMA stimulation. (A) Spleen. Data are presented as means \pm SD (N = 6). Untreated fish spleen as 568 569 calibrator for GmEomes. (B) Head kidney. Data are presented as means \pm SD (N = 6). Untreated 570 fish head kidney as calibrator for GmEomes. (C) Head kidney leucocytes. Data are presented as 571 means \pm SD (N = 6). Untreated fish spleen leucocytes as calibrator for GmEomes. **P < 0.01 and 572 *P < 0.05 show the significantly differences compared the control group. 573 **Fig.7.** The regulation of GmEomes on Atlantic cod IFN γ (GmIFN γ) promoter. A. The micrograph 574 of overexpression of RFP and GmEomes-RFP (red) in CHSE-214 cells. The colour of blue 575 showed nuclei stained by DAPI (blue). B. Gene sequence and schematic representation of the cod 576 IFN γ promoter. The transcription start site is designated as +1 and boxed. Eomes binding sites were predicted by MatInspector and TRANSFAC[®]. C. GmIFN_y promoter activity in CHSE-214 577 578 cells. Luciferase and SEAP activities were measured at 48 h after the transfection. Luciferase 579 activity is expressed relative to SEAP (mean \pm SD, n=6). Double asterisks (**) above the bars show significant differences (P < 0.01) compared to the control group. The data are from six cell 580 581 wells per treatment in one experiment and are representative of at least three independent 582 experiments.

583

Oligo Name	Sequence 5' to 3'	Use
GmEomefw1	ccccggctgcacatcgtggaggttaccga	3'-RACE
GmEomefw2	cgtggaggttaccgaggagggcgtggagg	3'-RACE
GmEomefw3	agcaacgaggcgcgagacacagaccttca	3'-RACE
GmRomerv1	tttcgcgaaggggttgtggtctatcttcag	5'-RACE
GmRomerv2	tggtaggcggtcacggcgatgaactggtt	5'-RACE
GmRomerv3	aggtctgtgtctcgcgcctcgttgctcat	5'-RACE
AP1	gtaatacgactcactatagggc	Genome walking
AP2	actatagggcacgcgtggt	Genome walking
GmEomes gwrv1	atactcgatctgtgaggagccggggctgt	Genome walking
GmEomes gwrv2	ttgcgctgtcggacgacgagaggttataaa	Genome walking
GmEomesEcoRIfw1	gcttcgaattcaaattgtgaactaaatgccc	Promoter cloning
GmEomesEcoRIfw2	gettegaatteteaatetteegagaateetattta	Promoter cloning
GmEomesEcoRIfw3	gcttcgaattcctatgtcgataaggcaagtgcattt	Promoter cloning
GmEomesSacIIrv	taagcccgcggatgtgcaactccgattatgatct	Promoter cloning
GmEomesRTfw1	cgacatggccaacccgtgct	Real-time PCR
GmEomesRTrv1	ccgatgctggatcccgtcgc	Real-time PCR
GmIFNy F	tggtctgcatgtcagtttgtctg	Real-time PCR
GmIFNy R	ttctgtggatgttgttggctaaga	Real-time PCR
GmEF1a F	atgtgagcggtgtggcaatc	Real-time PCR
GmEF1a R	tcatcatcctgaaccaccctg	Real-time PCR
GmEomesORFfw	cagatetegagatgeagttggagaacateetteet	Plasmid construction
GmEomesORFrv	ttgagetcgaggggggetcgtgtagaacgeatagta	Plasmid construction
GmIFNypromfw	cagatetegagtetgcetteetagtaagtgaggetge	Plasmid construction
GmIFNypromrv	ttgagetegagecetgeagetgtacaegetgaaagtege	Plasmid construction

Table 2

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Human		97.9	72.7	66.3	69.6	59.8	59.2	59.8	55.7	56.5
2. Monkey	98 . 3		73. 3	66. 1	70.3	59.8	59.2	60.9	56.4	55.9
3. Dog	<i>72.</i> 8	<i>73.6</i>		80.9	89.8	63.4	63.5	66.9	59.1	59.9
4. Norway rat	<i>69.</i> 8	<i>70.1</i>	85		88.4	60.9	60.4	62.5	55.9	57.1
5. Mouse	<i>70.9</i>	71.4	<i>92.</i> 8	<i>90.</i> 4		63. 3	62.8	65	58.5	59.5
6. Gray bichir	67.8	67.6	<i>72.</i> 4	73 . 3	<i>72.</i> 4		64.7	67.8	73.6	74.4
7. Newt	<i>65. 8</i>	<i>65.9</i>	<i>73.</i> 7	72.8	<i>73.</i> 1	75.9		70.9	62.7	62.5
8. Frog	<i>66.</i> 4	67.9	76	<i>74.6</i>	74.7	<i>79.</i> 4	<i>79.8</i>		61.7	64.4
9. Zebrafish	<i>64.1</i>	<i>65.1</i>	71.7	<i>72.</i> 1	<i>71.3</i>	85.8	<i>73. 8</i>	76 . 1		78.1
10. Cod	64.8	<i>64.4</i>	<i>72.3</i>	73	71.9	<i>84. 7</i>	74.7	<i>78.5</i>	<i>89. 9</i>	

593 Figure 1

 $agatcataatcggagttgcacatctctgggtgaggaagaaggccaagtcctgaagtgaggagcaggaacgggaggacgcgcaccgcag \ 90$ $atctacceaccgaggcgacactcgtacagtgtcgctcctgggcttatactcacttttggggtcccgagtctttttttggttactccaaag \ 180$ gttaaacgatgcagttggagaacatccttcctagctcgagcatcaacttacccaagacgttttataacctctcgtcgtccgacagcqcaa 270 M Q L E N I L P S S S I N L P K T F Y N L S S S D S A 27 ${\tt at} a {\tt c} a {\tt c} c {\tt c} c {\tt c} c {\tt c} c {\tt c} a {\tt c} a {\tt g} a {\tt d} t {\tt c} a {\tt g} a {\tt$ 360 N N S P G S S O I E Y O E V E R T E T E S T N A P K K Y L S gagcggggatgatggggggaggggggggggggggggacactttctctggggactaagaccgcccccgatgggaggaaaggctctcccggttctcggtg450 А MMGE GEGDTFSGTKTAPDGRKGSP V L 87 gtgttggtgttggtgttgcagaggaccgacctgacaagtggccggcgatacaacatagacgatctgggctccgacagatactttatctcgt540 DDLTSGRRYNIDDL GSDRYFIS V A E 117 $cctctcaggcgggttccgacatggccaacccgtgctccttgttcccctacgcgggacagaccggctcggtgtacagcgcctccaacggct \ 630$ G S D M A N P C S L F P Y A G Q T G S V Y S A S N 147 SSQ 720 S R Y S A S L H Y G S V L P P A G F S S S V C S S R S Q F A gcggaggataccagttcggccagggtccgggctgtctgtacccttcttacccagcgacgggatccagcatcggctccatgtcgctgcccg G G G Y Q F G Q G P G C L Y P S Y P A T G S S I G S M S L P 810 207 900 G S A A G A R A Q V Y L C N R P L W L K F H R H Q T E M I I 237 $\verb|ccaaacaggggcggcgaatgttcccattcctcagtttcaacatcaccgggctcaacctgacagctcattacaacgtgtttgtagaagtcable a label{eq:caacctgacagctcattaccaccgtgtt} \label{eq:caacctgacagctcattaccacqctgacagctcattaccacctgacagctcattaccacctgacagctcattaccacct$ 990 T K Q G R R M F P F L S F N I T G L N L T A H Y N V F V E 267 V tcctggcagaccccaatcactggcgctttcagggaggcaaatgggtcacctgtgggaaagcggacaataatatgcaggggaacaagatgt 1080 ILADPNHWRFQGGKWVTCGKADNNMQGNKM 297 acgtccaccccgaatcccccaataccggggggggaactggatgagacaagaaatctcatttggcaaactgaagctgaccaacaagggtg 1170 Y V H P E S P N T G A H W M R Q E I S F G K L K L T N N K G 327 1260 A N N N T Q M I V L Q S L H R Y Q P R L H I V E V T E E G 357 tggaggacatgagcaacgaggccgagacacagaccttcacctttccggagaaccagttcatcgccgtgaccgcctaccaaaacactgaca1350 V E D M S N E A E T Q T F T F P E N Q F I A V T A Y Q N T D 387 tcacacaactgaagatagaccaccaccccttcgcgaaaggtttccgggacaattatgactccaggatgtacacggccccggagagcgaca1440 T Q L K I D H N P F A K G F R D N Y D S R M Y T A P E S D 417 Ι ggttgaccccgtcccccaccgactcgccgcgctcccaccagatcgtcccgggcgcccgctacgccatgcagcccttcttccaggaccagt R L T P S P T D S P R S H Q I V P G A R Y A M Q P F F Q D Q 1530 447 VNQLPQNRFYASERAVPQTNSILSP QGE 477 cgggcgccggcgcctccgcgcagcggttggttcgtcacgccgtgcaacaggcgggctccaacaactggacttgtcctatgagaacgact1710 QRWF V T P V Q Q A G S N K L D L S Y A S A E N D 507 actccgccggcagcctgctgtcgtacggcatcaagccgctgcccctgcagacgtcccacgccctcagctaccaccgactcggccttcg1800 G S L L S Y G I K P L P L Q T S H A L S Y Y P D S A F 537 Y S $cctccatgacggcgggctggggcagccgcagcacttaccagcgcaaggtgaccacgggcctgccctggtccccgcggcccagcccccccg\ 1890$ A S M T A G W G S R S T Y O R K V T T G L P W S P R P S P P 567 1980 A F P E E R L G A P T K D K L P E E S A P P S S A S N W L E cgtcgcactcgatgagtgggggtggactcgacgggggtggtactccatggtcgtcagagggggcgccggatgtcccccggggggctcca T S H S L K S V D S T D S G V Y S M V C K R R R M S P G G S 597 2070 gcacggagaactccccgagcattaagtgcgaggacttgaccacggacgagtacaacaaggacaacccgaaaggcatgggctactatgcgt2160 ENSPSIKCEDLTTDEYNKDNPKGMGYY S T A 657 FYTSP-662 aactgtaatttttgttatttttta<u>attta</u>aattaaggct<u>attta</u>aaggtcctttttgatgtacagtatttgtgcac<math>tttagaatgtgatg 2520 2610 аааааааа 2618





Figure 3



605 Figure 4











624

B

SCATATAGICACOTATACICITATATAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIAGIA	GCATATAGTCACGTA	TACTCTTATATAGTA	GTACATAGTCAATTATC	AACATACAGTATA -528
--	------------------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------------

CTCTTATATAGTCGTATATGGTC	<u>GTTATATA</u> GTCATA	T <u>TGAGTGTTATATT</u> ATAATATGTA	-468
E	omes	Eomes	

 ${\tt GGTCGTCATAGAATGCTCTTCACAGCAACAGTTGCACAAGCTAGGATAACCGAGGCTAGC -348}$

TAACACCAGCCAGACTAACACACAAGCCTAACATTGTGGCTAACTAGCATAGCCAAGCTA -288

 $\frac{\text{CCTTAAAGGGTAGTAAATCTCTTACTTCCTCTTACTTTTGGATAGCT\underline{TACGTGTGTGT}}{\text{Eomes}} = -228$