Original Paper

Factors Influencing Motivation and Engagement in Mobile Health Among Patients With Sickle Cell Disease in Low-Prevalence, High-Income Countries: Qualitative Exploration of Patient Requirements

David-Zacharie Issom^{1,2}, BSc, MSc; André Henriksen³, BSc, MSc; Ashenafi Zebene Woldaregay⁴, BSc, MSc; Jessica Rochat^{1,2}, BSc, MSc; Christian Lovis^{1,2}, MD, MPH; Gunnar Hartvigsen⁴, BSc, MSc, PhD

¹Division of Medical Information Sciences, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland

²Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

³Department of Community Medicine, UiT – The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

⁴Department of Computer Science, UiT – The Arctic University of Norway, Norway, Tromsø, Norway

Corresponding Author:

David-Zacharie Issom, BSc, MSc Faculty of Medicine University of Geneva Rue Michel-Servet 1 Geneva, 1206 Switzerland Phone: 41 223722601 Email: david.issom@unige.ch

Abstract

Background: Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a hematological genetic disease affecting over 25 million people worldwide. The main clinical manifestations of SCD, hemolytic anemia and vaso-occlusion, lead to chronic pain and organ damages. With recent advances in childhood care, high-income countries have seen SCD drift from a disease of early childhood mortality to a neglected chronic disease of adulthood. In particular, coordinated, preventive, and comprehensive care for adults with SCD is largely underresourced. Consequently, patients are left to self-manage. Mobile health (mHealth) apps for chronic disease self-management are now flooding app stores. However, evidence remains unclear about their effectiveness, and the literature indicates low user engagement and poor adoption rates. Finally, few apps have been developed for people with SCD and none encompasses their numerous and complex self-care management needs.

Objective: This study aimed to identify factors that may influence the long-term engagement and user adoption of mHealth among the particularly isolated community of adult patients with SCD living in low-prevalence, high-income countries.

Methods: Semistructured interviews were conducted. Interviews were audiotaped, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis. Analysis was informed by the Braun and Clarke framework and mapped to the COM-B model (capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior). Results were classified into high-level functional requirements (FRs) and nonfunctional requirements (NFRs) to guide the development of future mHealth interventions.

Results: Overall, 6 males and 4 females were interviewed (aged between 21 and 55 years). Thirty FRs and 31 NFRs were extracted from the analysis. Most participants (8/10) were concerned about increasing their physical capabilities being able to stop pain symptoms quickly. Regarding the psychological capability aspects, all interviewees desired to receive trustworthy feedback on their self-care management practices. About their physical opportunities, most (7/10) expressed a strong desire to receive alerts when they would reach their own physiological limitations (ie, during physical activity). Concerning social opportunity, most (9/10) reported wanting to learn about the self-care practices of other patients. Relating to motivational aspects, many interviewees (6/10) stressed their need to learn how to avoid the symptoms and live as normal a life as possible. Finally, NFRs included inconspicuousness and customizability of user experience, automatic data collection, data shareability, and data privacy.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that motivation and engagement with mHealth technologies among the studied population could be increased by providing features that clearly benefit them. Self-management support and self-care decision aid are patients' major demands. As the complexity of SCD self-management requires a high cognitive load, pervasive health technologies such as wearable sensors, implantable devices, or inconspicuous conversational user interfaces should be explored to ease it. Some of the required technologies already exist but must be integrated, bundled, adapted, or improved to meet the specific needs of people with SCD.

(JMIR Hum Factors 2020;7(1):e14599) doi: 10.2196/14599

KEYWORDS

mHealth; wearable devices; self-management; sickle cell disease; patient engagement; adoption; motivation; user computer interfaces; health behavior; persuasion

Introduction

A Tsunami of Mobile Health Apps for Chronic Disease Self-Management

Mobile health (mHealth) apps are flooding the app stores, with 200 new apps each day [1]. Many apps can significantly improve health outcomes [2,3] by supporting people with diverse medical conditions [4]. However, little is known about the app usage frequency and long-term engagement among chronic patients [5,6]. Indeed, Robbins et al [7] underlined that people who would most benefit from such apps underuse them. To promote mHealth usage, app developers need to comprehend what could motivate patients to engage in mHealth [8]. The theory of reasoned action [9,10] demonstrates that the likelihood to engage in a specific behavior is a function of the motivation to perform it. Authors, such as Coa et al [11], confirmed that calculating baseline motivation levels could predict retention rates for apps. To influence people's motivation through persuasion rather than coercion, the field of persuasive technology offers novel user-centered approaches (ie, cocreation) [12-18].

The Case of Sickle Cell Disease: One of the World's Most Neglected Chronic Diseases

In this paper, we focus on sickle cell disease (SCD). SCD is the most common monogenic blood disorder in the world. Studies approximate 400,000 neonates per year [19,20] and between 25 and 100 million patients worldwide living with the disease [21,22]. In this study, we specifically target populations from low-prevalence areas of high-income countries. In these settings, the disease is underresourced, research-derived evidence is lacking, and patients are particularly isolated [23].

SCD is still a serious illness. Hemolytic anemia and vaso-occlusive pain crises (VOCs) are the hallmarks of the disease [24]. Patients may suffer severe and potentially lethal complications [25]. Hydroxyurea, the preferred disease-modifying treatment, is underutilized and not effective for every patient [26]. Furthermore, the only curative option, bone marrow transplant, is largely inaccessible [27].

However, public health interventions such as regional screening programs, preventive care, coordinated care and comprehensive care plans have been introduced in the major regions of high-prevalence, high-income countries [28]. These interventions drastically reduced early childhood mortality and made SCD shift to a chronic disease of adulthood [29].

http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/

Nevertheless, such programs have not been implemented widely and remain virtually absent in most low-prevalence, high-income countries [20,30]. In addition, there are few trained physicians and access to specialized health care is suboptimal [31-33]. Indeed, patients with SCD are particularly prone to confront stigmatization, to suffer unequal treatment, and to experience health care injustice (ie, perception as drug seekers) [33-35]. This often leads to mistrust between patients and health care providers [36,37]. As several studies demonstrated [38-44], when patients arrive in emergency departments, the lack of objective hematological findings and little awareness of those findings make health care providers suspicious of the veracity of a VOC. This distrust makes the SCD community infamously difficult to recruit in research initiatives and hard to engage in interactions with medical providers, and the distrust also lowers adherence to medical recommendations [36,45-48].

Consequently, most patients are left to self-manage and rely on poor-quality health care, and they report low levels of quality of life [49,50].

Persuasive Mobile Health Interventions to Support an Undersupported Population

To make matters worse, as several studies demonstrated [51,52], self-care management is challenging for people with SCD. Indeed, managing the numerous potential precipitating factors of VOCs requires high levels of self-efficacy [52-55]. Well-known triggers [56] include inadequate diet, stress (ie, exertional, oxidative, and psychological), infections, inflammations, acidosis, dehydration, fatigue, chronic hemolysis, hypoxia, smoke inhalation, alcohol intoxication, pregnancy, and environmental factors (ie, altitude, pollution, extremes of temperature, climate, and wind speed). As a consequence, SCD self-care management practices require patients to pay special attention to everything. In a usual day, extreme temperature changes, bad weather, and high altitudes should be avoided; eating behaviors should be optimized with regular hydration; exercise should be moderate and not exhausting; rest should be sufficient; prescribed drugs should be taken; and stress should be managed and avoided as much as possible [50,57-59]. Finally, as in all diseases, socioeconomic factors such as education levels, occupation, or income play an important role in empowering patients [60,61].

mHealth apps, because of their relatively low cost and wide reach, could offer a potential route to support patients' numerous self-management tasks [62]. Little work has been done to design

```
XSL•FO
```

tailored mHealth interventions for the comprehensive self-management needs of patients with SCD [63,64]. Today, most existing apps and research focuses on medication adherence [65]. Consequently, solutions encompassing the multiple components of SCD self-management are absent. Shah et al [66] suggested that patients with SCD could be interested in such tools. However, as with other chronic diseases, little is known about those patients' mHealth app adoption and long-term engagement.

This paper is the last component of a study from which preliminary results have already been published [67]. This prior publication was the first to elaborate on mHealth long-term engagement among patients with SCD. The authors explored common motivational patterns for mHealth use between patients with SCD, patients with diabetes, and *healthy* people.

This paper focuses on adults with SCD living in low-prevalence areas of high-income countries. It was aimed to assess patients' requirements in terms of value-adding digital health tools and to guide the development of future mHealth interventions that people with SCD would want to use.

Methods

Inclusion Criteria

To be part of the study, applicants had to be diagnosed with SCD or be the caregiver of a person with SCD. Participants had to be at least 18 years old and able to understand French or English. People who had been cured (ie, bone marrow transplantation) were excluded.

Recruitment

The sample for this study was a convenience sample from Switzerland and Norway, two very low-prevalence, high-income countries. Indeed, compared with an average in the European Union of 2.5 cases in 10,000 people [68], these two countries have less than approximately 1 to 4 cases per 100,000 people [69-71] and a total of approximately 100 adult patients with SCD. We recruited participants through the national patient associations' online support groups. In all, 64 individuals with SCD were invited to participate. One week after the initial invitation, nonresponders were sent a reminder.

Instrument

The first author (DI), an expert patient, conducted most of the semistructured interviews using the guide presented in Table 1. The choice of an *insider* interviewer was made to build a trusted, warm, and open rapport with the interviewees and to maximize the reception of honest and open responses. In addition, the interviewer was already familiar with some participants. JR conducted the test interviews. Both interviewers have many years of interview experiences. Interviews were conducted in locations convenient and comfortable for the participants (ie, university and private address).

The interview guide was developed previously as a joint effort by all coauthors [67]. It was divided into five themes: (1) preliminary questions, (2) goals, expectations, and attitudes, 3) electronic health literacy and data integration, (4) wearables and sensors, and (5) data sharing. Data saturation was reached and determined by no new information emerging after conducting interviews with all participants. Interviews were audiotaped and lasted approximately 60 min. Questions were open ended, and discussions were conducted flexibly. Questions were ignored or adapted relative to the context.

Table 1. Overview of the questions asked during the interviews.

Themes	Questions		
Preliminary questions	What is most important for you in your life?If you had access to a new health technology, which purpose or features should it have?		
Goals, expectations, and attitudes	 What motivates and demotivates you to access Web-based health information? What are the most difficult things about your self-care? What could help you become more autonomous with your self-care? 		
Electronic health literacy and data integration	Have you ever used an app that collects health data?What factors would discourage you from using such an app?		
Wearables and sensors	 What indication would you expect from wearable sensors for health self-monitoring? What is the most valuable indication you would want from devices collecting your data? 		
Data sharing	What would you share with other patients, caregivers, and doctors and why?What feedback should be provided by the system?		

Data Analysis

RenderX

First, transcriptions of the resulting interviews were deidentified. Second, an inductive thematic analysis was conducted using the guidelines and checklist from Braun et al [72]. Codes were extracted by reading the interviews recursively. Third, emerging patterns were clustered together and checked for variability and

http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/

and forth. Once saturation was reached, themes were mapped across the capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior model (COM-B), hub of the behavior change wheel (BCW) framework [73]. The BCW is a fairly recent, theory-driven approach that helps to design health interventions for preventive care [73]. With the specific reading grid it provides, it allows

consistency. Themes were interpreted by reading the codes back

us to identify barriers and enablers of engagement in any intervention and in our particular case, to identify factors that, if implemented together, may elicit the long-term engagement and user adoption of mHealth apps [74-76]. Transcripts were organized and coded using ATLAS.ti version 8.3.20.0 (ATLAS.ti Scientific Software Development GmBH, Berlin, Germany).

Finally, to make it easier for software developers to comprehend, themes were categorized into functional requirements (FRs) and nonfunctional requirements (NFRs) [77]. In software engineering, FRs are descriptions of the specific behaviors and functions of an information system. They make explicit the features a software should offer to the end user. In other words, it describes what the system should do [78]. NFRs are descriptions of how the system should operate, which is not linked to the functionalities. To put simply, NFRs define how the system should be (eg, responsive, intuitive, fast, and secure).

Ethics and Study Approvals

The Norwegian Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Swiss Regional Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol and interview questions. As required by committees, all participants gave informed consent before the interview, and responses were anonymized.

Results

Participant Characteristics

A total of 11 participants (7 males and 4 females) agreed to join the study. In all, 8 patients and 3 caregivers took part in the study, but 1 patient withdrew because of a VOC. This led to a total of 7 patients and 3 caregivers participating in the study. Patients were aged between 21 and 55 years. A total of 7 participants were residing in Switzerland, 3 in Norway, and 1 was partly residing in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and in Switzerland. Table 2 presents the demographics of the population studied.

Table 2. Distribution of characteristics of the studied sample (N=10).

Characteristics of respondents	Value
Gender, male, n (%)	5 (50)
Age (years)	
Mean (SD)	35.6 (9.41)
Median	37
Country of residence, n (%)	
Switzerland ^a	7 (70) ^a
Norway	3 (30)
Democratic Republic of the Congo ^a	1 (10) ^a

^aOne respondent was partly residing in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and in Switzerland.

Interview Results

This section shows extracted themes from the interview data. The themes were classified into 31 FRs and 30 NFRs. We organized them with the COM-B framework and illustrated them with quotations from interviewees. Table 3 presents some

of the most frequent themes that appeared during interviews. Each theme is an FR or an NFR and belongs to a COM-B system category. In the table, themes are sorted by COM-B system attribute, type of requirement, and then by the number of quotes. The complete list is available in Multimedia Appendix 1.



Table 3. Overview of potential influencers of adoption through the capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior (COM-B) lens.

Requirement	Quotes	COM-B system	Туре
Prevent crises by avoiding symptoms	12	Automatic motivation	Functional
Family and social community support (shareability)	15	Automatic motivation	Nonfunctional
Gain more control on disease through daily self-care support	28	Physical capability	Functional
Limit management	9	Physical capability	Functional
Importance of information trustworthiness	17	Physical opportunity	Nonfunctional
Invisibility or inconspicuousness	9	Physical opportunity	Nonfunctional
Similarity with messaging apps	8	Physical opportunity	Nonfunctional
Automatic reminders and automatability	5	Physical opportunity	Nonfunctional
Simplicity	3	Physical opportunity	Nonfunctional
Learn trigger factors	14	Psychological capability	Functional
Predict health outcomes	11	Psychological capability	Functional
Receive threshold alerts	10	Psychological capability	Functional
Feedback on self-care practices	17	Psychological capability	Nonfunctional
Customizable	8	Reflective motivation	Nonfunctional
Privacy	4	Reflective motivation	Nonfunctional
Learn what other patients do	14	Social opportunity	Functional

Physical Capability

All participants were concerned about not being able to better predict the onset of VOCs or avoid chronic complications. Another point to consider is that many differentiated general daily self-management skills (ie, pain management) from preventive care (ie, symptoms prevention). As one patient said:

It's difficult when the situation changes in a short time and prevents you from being active, without warning. When suddenly you cannot do anything. [Patient 5, 49 years]

In addition, a couple of interviewees said they would want novel technologies that automatically regulate their hematologic parameters (ie, hemoglobin concentration, leucocyte adherence to vascular endothelium) [79]. Accordingly, a participant proposed a very innovative solution:

I would like nanorobots that help my blood stay normal, self-regulate. [Patient 9, 30 years]

Psychological Capability

The majority of participants desired to receive feedback about SCD self-care tasks. Most emphasized the difficulty of managing their own limits. Some wished to be warned before exceeding their physical limitations. Namely, an interviewee proposed:

A sensor that informs me if I can go into the water or...when I play sport, a sensor that allows me to know what I have to limit, if there is a threshold not to exceed. [Patient 1, 21 years]

Importantly, most of participants suggested that such warnings should be detected with wearable sensors, releasing their cognitive load. This can be illustrated by the following quote: Something that shows my limitations. For example, I would not want something that tells me 'if you go on like this, you're going to have a crisis'. But something configurable. Because, I like pushing my limits. For example, when I'm walking, well... first it must realize that I'm walking, but it could ask me how I feel, learn my limits. [Patient 9, 30 years]

Social Opportunity

For most participants, learning about other patients' self-care practices was a very important concern. A majority of the participants said they would like to share their own experiences on digital platforms. For example, one participant said:

An indication of good health. And see what influences what in whom. Compare what people do to feel good. [Caregiver 1, 37 years]

Many participants stressed the importance of social support. Some stated that mHealth could help them communicate their needs. As one participant suggested:

Help family members to better understand the problem, but also for crisis prevention, teach people how to manage the disease. [Caregiver 3, 49 years]

A majority of participants reported using social media for information sharing, communication, or entertainment. Only a minority of them used social media to get health information. Many participants deplored the absence of mechanisms to easily access and control the quality of information. As one participant said:

How to check the veracity of information sources and information. How to demonstrate that it is validated information. We can already see that fake news may surpass the traditional media. So it's a sensitive topic. [Patient 2, 37 years]

Physical Opportunity

For several interviewees, it was crucial to receive trustworthy information. Many suggested that wearable sensors could support this. As one participant highlighted:

Indications that support my decisions, based on sensor data or collected data. An alert, a barometer that allows me to refocus and would say: "be careful, be careful, your temperature is high, your hemoglobin is low, your CRP is high, your oxygen is low, you should rather be at this level." [Patient 9, 30 years]

Several participants stated that information overload would demotivate them for long-term engagement, specifying that mHealth apps should be as discreet as possible. Furthermore, most participants recognized the potential usefulness of notifications, but only if discreet and not disturbing. As one participant stressed:

Yes, but not frequently, not something that screaming "you're sick! you're sick!" [Patient 7, 55 years]

Notwithstanding, the majority of participants preferred user interfaces that are simple to use and do not require a high learning curve. Some participants believed messaging software was the best inspiration because of its intuitive interface. As one caregiver said:

A technology that is easy to use, user-friendly for the digital illiterate. A system using widespread technologies. A system, an application that has a long life cycle. Proven technology like messaging, a simple thing that everyone can understand. [Caregiver 2, 37 years]

Motivation

Reflective Motivation

Importantly, a majority of the participants were not using mHealth apps. One of the 10 participants reported using Apple Health for menstruation management, hydration, and physical activity management. Two participants reported using Samsung Health for blood oxygen recording and physical activity management. Another point to consider is that all participants expressed a lack of specificity and adaptability to SCD particularities in the usual health apps (ie, normal values for people with SCD are the values indicating a disease in healthy people). As one participant stressed:

Things should be adapted, for instance since oxygen or arrythmia are usual for SCD. It should be adapted. It's difficult to compare to normal people. [Patient 6, 35 years]

Privacy issues were also a fundamental concern for most interviewees. Many feared to lose control on their data. As one participant said:

I am not against connected devices, Cloud... I just do not want to be found and get problems. [Patient 2, 37 years]

Finally, most participants preferred customizable information systems. As one interviewee said:

I want to be the decision maker of what I share. I want to control what I receive. [Patient 9, 28 years]

Automatic Motivation

The three main motivators identified were (1) *strengthen social support*, (2) *prevent VOC*, and (3) *reduce the limitations to functioning and independent living*. Regarding the first motivator, participants wished to be able to enjoy with their families and give back to their communities. As one participant said:

My daughter is the most important thing in my life, because of that, I must not forget my health. If I'm not happy she's not happy. [Patient 7, 55 years.]

Regarding the second motivator, all participants stated their desire to prevent the excruciating pain crises. As one participant said:

I want to be able to regulate, to avoid health problems before they appear, to prevent rather than to cure. [Patient 4, 29 years]

More importantly, most participants stressed the importance of living as normal a life as possible and stay in good health. This can be illustrated by the following quote:

I am particularly motivated by the desire to live well, to be like everyone else. For my self-esteem, to show that I am able to do the same things as a normal person, and even better. [Patient 9, 28 years]

Discussion

Principal Findings

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore factors that could influence the long-term engagement with mHealth interventions of adults with SCD living in low-prevalence, high-income countries. As a result, interviewees described how mHealth could benefit their life and detailed what could increase their long-term engagement and motivation toward the mHealth app usage.

Functional Requirements in the Prism of the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior Framework

To maximize the chances of eliciting behavior change and engagement, as many patient requirements as possible should be implemented. In other words, providing patients with information or alerts is not sufficient to lead to behavior change. However, through simultaneously implementing other features such as therapeutic education (eg lessons and accompaniment), specifically designed wearable devices could maximize engagement. In the following sections, we propose potential solutions and summarize what needs to be done before being able to develop specifically designed wearable devices.

Increasing Physical Capability: Regulate Hematological Parameters

Patients suggested how pioneering technologies such as blood-regulating nanorobots could inspire future pharmaceutical research or stimulate patient-led research initiatives [80]. By

altering hematological parameters, some innovative pharmaceutical compounds are already aligned with patients' suggestions [56,81]. For instance, recent clinical trials of crizanlizumab, an antibody acting against endothelial adhesion, have shown a significant reduction in the frequency of VOCs [82]. However, this substance requires frequent intravenous injections. Therefore, knowing the current underutilization of orally administrated therapies such as hydroxyurea, intravenous therapies bring a supplementary barrier.

In the meantime, although nonpharmaceutical strategies based on information technologies could inform patients on how to adapt their behaviors (ie, dietary change) to alter blood parameters (ie hemoglobin levels, oxygen levels, and inflammation) [83-86], novel devices, inspired from other clinical populations, could be created. This can be illustrated by what is happening in the Diabetes Do It Yourself (DIY) community [87] (ie, #WeAreNotWaiting and #DIYPS). Here, impatient patients self-organized to hack blood glucose-monitoring system and insulin pump. They proceeded to create a system that can, after clever calculation, automatically inject the needed dose of insulin. In comparison, one could foresee similar initiatives of DIY solutions supporting SCD self-care practices. In particular, as a reminder, anemia and oxygen desaturation are common complications among people with SCD. As oxygen delivery by hemoglobin increases when the number of red blood cells and the hemoglobin level increases, one could imagine creating a closed-loop system using a wearable hemoglobin meter or a blood oxygen meter. This could subsequently be combined with an autoinjector filled with ultrashort-term anemia-reducing treatments [88] (eg, erythropoietin alpha, vitamin B₁₂, oral folic acid, and voxelotor [<mark>89</mark>]).

Nonetheless, it is important to consider that a significant amount of work is required before such a system could be created and made accurate or safe. Even if bypassing any approval from a health authority (eg, Federal Drugs Administration), implantable autoinjectors and specific biomonitoring devices would have to be engineered. Then appropriate software would have to be created to be able to analyze significant quantity of patient-generated data. Indeed, to be accurate and effective, such algorithm should be trained on a high quantity of data. Afterward, the data-driven algorithm should be able to actuate the injection of individualized doses of the adequate substances. All of this requires a tremendous amount of skilled bioengineering work. Another point to consider is the lower socioeconomic status of members of the SCD community [90] and the low awareness of SCD among the general population, especially in high-income, low-prevalence countries. This mechanically leads to a limited number of patient innovators and interested independent researchers. In consequence, few people would be skilled to build such a specialized system.

Therefore, the SCD community could start with less invasive, less complex, but also less cognitively unloading solutions. A simpler system could be proposed to prompt patients to ingest relevant drugs or dietary supplements (eg, anti-inflammatory drugs and antioxidants), after a biosensor [91] (eg, blood oxygen and inflammation) detects a threshold. In addition, an mHealth

http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/

app could send an alert. On the one hand, medication adherence would then become an issue to overcome, on the other, if the medication is taken, the effect of the substance would be delayed compared with a direct subcutaneous injection.

To summarize, the most effective solution using today's technology would be a closed-loop system with an autoinjector and smart algorithm, functioning without any patient intervention, but there is still a long and challenging way to go before such a system could be built.

Increasing Physical Capability: Stop Pain Fast

Quick pain relief was a very important concern for most interviewees. In the current VOC self-management, pain crises are treated at home with oral painkillers [92,93]. When oral drugs are no longer sufficient or when complications surge, patients need to visit emergency departments to receive acute care [38]. However, despite being aware of the several challenges they would face in emergency departments (ie, long waiting times, recurrent stigma, unrelieved pain, and prolonged hospitalizations), many patients choose to postpone the admission until pain becomes totally unbearable [94,95].

These challenges could partly explain why interviewees were highly motivated by mHealth interventions that could help them relieve their pain as fast as possible. To this end, novel sensors and software measuring pain levels through physiological signs or electrical signals [96] could be combined with implantable pumps for intrathecal opioid therapy or subcutaneous injections [97]. However, to decrease cognitive load and avoid patients to calculate themselves how much they should inject, smart, accurate, safe, and individualized algorithms would have to be developed.

In the United States, one mHealth app helps children and adolescents with SCD to inform family, physicians, or friends about their health status [98]. Recently, innovative digital health interventions have been deployed to facilitate emergency care process [99]. We could also imagine apps that allow sufferers to support and motivate each other or to come together to share their experience of treatment efficacies [100,101]. Existing mHealth apps for pain management could be adapted to SCD [102]. Finally, virtual reality could be used as a new option for pain relief through patient distraction [103].

Increasing Psychological Capability: Quality Feedback on Self-Care Practices

The complexity of SCD self-care tasks demands various skills (eg, high cognitive capabilities and good disease-specific knowledge) [59]. The literature has shown that only 1% of SCD patients were able to master them [104]. Therefore, it is easy to understand why most interviewees desired to receive feedback on their self-care practices.

For instance, a release of the cognitive load could be partially decreased by using a natural user interface (eg, text, voice, mixed reality, and augmented reality), gamification items [105], and simple data visualization [106-109] when providing patients with targeted information. The technology exists but needs to be integrated, adapted, bundled, and improved [110-112].

XSL•FO

Inspiration could be taken from already existing systems for people with diabetes [113,114].

Furthermore, studies have shown that behavior change techniques for self-management such as health coaching could improve the outcomes important for patients, such as self-efficacy, self-management, or medication adherence, by 80% [115-118]. For instance, collecting physiological and behavioral data, such as eating behaviors and oxygen levels, and then automatically reporting them to an SCD expert could allow the latter to provide advice directly in the mHealth app. This would not require overcoming many barriers as data could already be collected through fitness and wellness apps and smartwatches. However, the biggest challenge lies in the development of smart algorithms and methods to allow the automated interpretation of such individualized and heterogenous data [119]. In the meantime, coaching and high-quality electronic patient decision aids could be created to structure information and help patients make informed decisions [120-122].

Regarding disease-specific knowledge, studies have reported that many patients did not follow medical recommendations [45] but may still search for health information on the Web. When the quality of information is poor, disparities in health information accessibility are created [123,124]. Frost et al [125] have already discussed the promises of Web-based health information for people with SCD, and Breakey et al [126] argued that information was not always adequate and of good quality.

Consequently, the use of artificial intelligence [127] with evaluation criteria, such as Health On the Net code [128] or the DISCERN [129] framework, could facilitate the provision of quality material to patients. Finally, content constructed with the help of patients with SCD and using consumer health vocabulary, simple patient language, and thesauri could improve the communication of health information and adequacy [130,131].

Increasing Physical Opportunity: Receive Alerts When Reaching Own Physical Limits

Already struggling with normal life challenges, several interviewees strongly desired help to manage the potential triggers of VOC. However, objective laboratory, clinical, hematological, biochemical, and rheological data are not easy to self-monitor [41-43,132-134]. Existing noninvasive sport-related wearable device technologies could help monitor some markers of hematological parameters [96]. For instance, sport watches with pulse oximeters [135], connected bottles, oxidative stress monitor, and pH meters [136,137] could be used. To this end, smart algorithms could be developed to provide patients with individualized feedback.

Studies have shown that poor physical functioning was frequent among people with SCD, making their participation in sports difficult [138,139]. mHealth interventions specifically tailored for physical activity support could be developed to assist people with SCD. Accurate sensors could be integrated during physical activity (ie, exhaustion) or after exercise (ie, recovery) [140,141].

Increasing Social Opportunity: Learn What Other Patients Do

One of the most reported motivational factors was the desire to learn from other patients. This consideration is coherent with the stigma and isolation faced by patients with SCD living in low endemic areas [142]. This concern is also consistent with the general lack of educational interventions [143] and the limited availability of specialized health care providers [144]. It is well known that patients with a chronic disease hold nonnegligible experiential knowledge (eg, effective dietary supplements and where to find them, tips) and often share it on social networks [145-147]. However, the knowledge available on these platforms is difficult to extract, the quality is difficult to guarantee, and the information is often unstructured, hence difficult to mine [148].

Well-funded and organized social networks such as Patients Like Me or Diabetes online support groups (eg, TuDiabetes, glu, and Diabetes Daily) could serve as inspiration to structure patients' experiential knowledge [149]. However, in comparison to the total SCD population, few patients with SCD use online support groups. A study by Ragnedda et al [150] has demonstrated that socially disadvantaged groups (eg, gender, ethnicity, and disability) tended to use the internet less than more advantaged groups. Conversely, a study by Issom et al [151] suggests that patients with SCD would be willing to use such online support groups if the quality of information is ensured and if it is specifically tailored for people with SCD.

Consequently, SCD-specific online support groups such as OneSCDVoice [152] could be turned into persuasive social networks with questions and answers, ensuring the medical accuracy of patients' shared experiences [153]. In addition, artificial intelligence techniques could be used to help detect low-quality information [154]. Another issue is the multitude of SCD online support groups (eg, hundreds of Facebook groups and various websites). This spreads the information, fragments messages, and complicates access to experiential knowledge. In addition, as people with SCD and their caregivers have low educational levels [61], their organizational skills and digital literacy are reduced, subsequently hindering their access to online health information.

Main Motivations: Live a Normal Life and Learn to Avoid Symptoms

A majority of participants stated that their highest motivation would be to be asymptomatic. When bone marrow transplant is not possible, or when hydroxyurea does not significantly reduce symptom frequency, alternative treatment options such as self-management interventions, chronic transfusions, or red blood cells exchange could be proposed [155]. Transfusions have shown to significantly reduce the frequency of VOCs but require high quantities of rare phenotype blood. In addition, phenotype matching is difficult in high-income, low-prevalence areas. Self-management interventions require a high level of self-efficacy. Electronic patient decisions aids (ePtDAs) could be helpful. Indeed, ePtDAs have proven effective in engaging patients in self-care processes and helping patients choose alternative treatment options [156]. To date, there are no such

http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/

XSL•FO

tools for SCD. However, a study by Kulandaivelu et al [157] showed that people with SCD asked for such help.

To push for the development of such systems, patients would require to be more aware of novel treatment options, innovative solutions, or self-management support possibilities. As a result, they could better organize to create awareness among potential payers (eg, philanthropists and pharmaceutical companies) who could help finance the development of such advanced solutions. However, this remains a challenge for the socioeconomically disadvantaged majority of people with SCD [61].

Nonfunctional Requirements

This section discusses the most frequently reported NFRs by the interviewees. NFRs important for the patients are crucial when developing software [158]. A mismatch between them and the final product could lead to low adoption rates and discourage app usage.

Automatability

Participants clearly preferred automatic health data acquisition rather than manual data entry. To date, there is no SCD-specific mHealth intervention using automated data capture. However, data from electronic health records, smartphone sensors, or wearable devices [159] could be automatically collected in future mHealth interventions for people with SCD [160].

Invisibility

Invisibility or inconspicuousness is the ability of a system not to attract attention. As many interviewees reported and consistent with existing literature [161], mHealth interventions are more likely to be adopted if they clearly reduce the inconvenience and burden of self-management tasks, while being discreet. Indeed, bulky and inelegant wearable devices could indicate to other people that wearer has a disease. Implantable devices, discreet patches, and wearables with subtle design or integrable into daily life objects (eg, contact lenses, implants, and bottles) could be preferred [162-165].

Similarity With Messaging Apps

More than half of the respondents called for an mHealth intervention that provides a user experience similar to that provided by the apps they are using the most (ie, messaging apps). Only few studies have explored conversational designs for mHealth interventions. However, some conversational user interfaces have been successfully used to reduce obesity or as support for mental health interventions [166,167]. Such systems have been already shown to encourage behavior changes and have high levels of acceptability. This could encourage similar designs in future studies for patients with SCD.

Shareability

In the context of Web-based health information, shareability [168] is the capacity of patient-generated health data to be shared with third parties. By allowing patients to share their knowledge, mHealth apps could highlight valuable information that clinicians cannot offer [169] and ease social support [170]. As for people with rare diseases, question and answer social networks [171] could be leveraged [172].

Privacy

In the mHealth context, privacy is the ability of a patient to protect information about their medical condition [173]. Being aware of the rarity of SCD in their countries, interviewees were particularly attentive to this issue. Participants emphasized that control should be given on what they share and to whom. Studies for other chronic diseases showed that privacy is a very important aspect [174]. Answering this concern by taking into account regulations (ie, General Data Protection Regulation and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act) when designing mHealth interventions would be key to reduce poor adoption [175]. Here, existing compliant data management platforms with dynamic consent management [176] or personal data cooperatives [177] could be used. Furthermore, novel deidentification approaches could help implement this NFR important for the patients [178].

Customizability

To maximize acceptability and inconspicuousness, most participants proposed the customization of the timing of delivery of push notifications. This finding is aligned with a study by Morrison et al [179] suggesting that notifications with tailored timing could enhance exposure to mHealth interventions. However, several patients stressed their dislike of recurrent notifications (ie, water intake), saying it would remind them of their disease. This is aligned with a study by Bidargaddi et al [180] suggesting that notifications should be sent at midday or on weekends. The truth probably lies in between, where a system could allow patients to choose from a range of predefined settings.

Finally, as the interviews demonstrated, patients did not think that existing health apps where adapted to their needs. As a result, any new digital health solution for people with SCD should include patients in every phase of the development and focus on SCD particularities. Finally, the developed system should be strongly marketed as a patient-centered solution.

Lessons Learned

Our findings highlighted the participants' very clear expectations toward mHealth apps. Respondents seemed undeniably motivated to use *an invisible technology* that would accompany their self-care practices (ie, personalized feedback). Participants were very critical toward privacy issues and information quality. Given the numerous and complex day-to-day self-care management tasks that patients with SCD face and taking into account the isolation of living in low-prevalence, high-income countries, it appears that, in order to get long-term engagement and adoption, mHealth apps must add clear value and be particularly tailored to patients' needs.

From Requirements to Successful Implementation

For such an mHealth solution to have an impact once implemented, quality and perceived value must be distinguished. However, the digital health world still lacks a standardized mechanism for health app quality evaluation and certification [181]. There is no consensus for guidelines, nor clear criteria to help recognize what a quality mHealth app is. For instance, people with diabetes, with more than 300 apps on the Google Play Store, can easily struggle to find what apps they should

```
http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/
```

download. Some app curation websites [182] help end users to find health apps ranked by quality. However, the analytic methods vary for each website. For instance, in the United Kingdom, the National Health Service Digital and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence has chosen clinical effectiveness, regulatory approval, clinical safety, privacy and confidentiality, security, usability and accessibility, interoperability, technical stability, and change management as criteria. Other websites could use other criteria, for instance apps for which there is published medical evidence.

As a result, app developers should make an effort to meet requirements important for the patients and thrive to meet as many quality indicators as possible. This can be summarized with the following steps:

- Take a patient-centered approach: make sure the app improves patient outcomes; and the app is validated in terms of clinical outcomes.
- Offer a real solution to self-management problems faced by patients: the app should meet patients' requirements and do better than any alternative and should be cheaper.
- Be compatible with the existing health care information system infrastructure: implement interoperability standards by design; and answer privacy and safety requirements.

Key Points

Nevertheless, it is clear that there is no *invisible technology* yet to support the self-care practices of patients with SCD. However, the various technological pieces needed to build such a ubiquitous system are largely available today. Nonetheless, they are scattered, not bundled nor adapted to the specificity of SCD. The following steps summarize what needs to be done before implementing the key requirements:

- Disease-modifying functionalities (ie, pain relief and regulation of hematological variables) to reduce poor adoption rates by providing patients with continuous blood oxygen meters, specific autoinjectors, and smart software that includes algorithms that are able to manage and make sense of the big datasets generated.
- Targeted information (eg, alerts when approaching limits and access to other patients' self-care practices) could influence the long-term engagement by providing patients with knowledge adapted to their health literacy levels, user interface matching their digital literacy levels, and information adapted to their disease-specific knowledge, and if necessary, offer assistance to interpret the information.
- Controlling data flows (eg, shareability, privacy, and quality information) could influence patients' motivation to start using apps by storing data on personal data cooperatives, implementing existing interoperable standards, using algorithms, and hiring content moderators (eg, physicians and expert patients) to monitor content creation and the quality of information.

Future Plans

Given the complexity of SCD self-management, supporting self-care needs important for the patients using mHealth interventions will be challenging. However, such systems will

```
http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/
```

be key to fill the gaps in health care delivery service. Further work is needed to implement patient requirements. Prioritization could be done using participatory approaches. Tools such as the APEASE (Affordability, Practicability, Effectiveness/cost-effectiveness, Acceptability, Side-effects/safety, Equity) criteria, a set of benchmarks from the BCW framework, can be helpful to decide what content should be included and what intervention delivery strategies should be used. In addition to proven added value with the effective implementation of requirements important for the patients, other NFRs include affordability, practicability, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, acceptability, side effects, safety, and equity.

In addition, Delphi surveys [183], a technique consisting of seeking experts' views to obtain a level of agreement by transforming opinion into group consensus, could be sent to expert patients [121,184].

Finally, motivational factors will have to be assessed in the long run to maintain high user engagement levels. This could be done using tailored frameworks for mHealth engagement analysis such as the Analyzing and Measuring Usage and Engagement Data framework [185].

Strengths and Limitations

The study has a number of strengths and limitations. Using the COM-B model to identify motivational factors is a relatively recent approach in the field of mHealth. However, in this example, the lens offered by the model was helpful to gain a full picture of patients' motivations. In addition, this model allowed us to classify patient requirements into explicit categories and helped us to discuss potentially useful technologies to meet patients' unmet needs.

The age range of the sample was large; consequently, younger patients may feel more comfortable using digital health interventions than older ones. In addition, our sample size approximates 10% of the adults with SCD living in the selected low-prevalence, high-income countries. Also, because of the notorious difficulty in enrolling patients with SCD in studies, we were surprised by this relatively high response rate. The fact that the main interviewer was an expert patient may have eased enrollment and facilitated trust building but also added a bias.

Finally, the study results could be affected by the recruitment criteria. As participants were selected inside an active SCD community, it is possible that those who volunteered to be interviewed were more in search of new coping solutions and had more positive views about the disease than those who declined or did not replied.

Conclusions

As interviewees were particularly explicit in what could benefit them, this study provides initial insights on how to build mHealth apps that could engage particularly isolated SCD populations. The use of qualitative methods enabled in-depth exploration of interviewees' responses. Furthermore, the BCW and, its hub, the COM-B model could be used as a robust framework to inform the development of future persuasive technologies for people with SCD. As patients highlighted,

future research should focus on supporting their self-care decisions. Exploring the integration, adaption, or improvement

of highly adopted mHealth interventions for other chronic diseases could be helpful.

Acknowledgments

This study would not have been possible without the support of the Swiss Sickle Cell Association and the Norwegian Sickle Cell Association. The authors thank Henna Martilla, Gerit Pfühl, Martin Mikalsen, and Keiichi Sato for their input in the earlier phases.

Authors' Contributions

DI, AH, AZW, CL, and GH conceived the qualitative study. DI conducted the interviews with the support from JR. DI wrote the manuscript and subsequent revisions were undertaken with the support and input from all authors. DI, AH, and AZW created the interview guide with the support from all authors. DI coded the data and coanalyzed them with the support from AZW and AH. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Themes extracted from interviews. [XLSX File (Microsoft Excel File), 14 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

- Pohl M. Research2Guidance. 325,000 Mobile Health Apps Available in 2017 Android Now the Leading mHealth Platform URL: <u>https://research2guidance.com/325000-mobile-health-apps-available-in-2017/</u> [accessed 2018-07-06] [WebCite Cache ID 76VKcY09e]
- Wu Y, Yao X, Vespasiani G, Nicolucci A, Dong Y, Kwong J, et al. Mobile app-based interventions to support diabetes self-management: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials to identify functions associated with glycemic efficacy. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2017 Mar 14;5(3):e35 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.6522] [Medline: 28292740]
- Kitsiou S, Paré G, Jaana M, Gerber B. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions for patients with diabetes: an overview of systematic reviews. PLoS One 2017;12(3):e0173160 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173160] [Medline: 28249025]
- 4. Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, Watson L, Felix L, Edwards P, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-health technology-based health behaviour change or disease management interventions for health care consumers: a systematic review. PLoS Med 2013;10(1):e1001362 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001362] [Medline: 23349621]
- 5. Asimakopoulos S, Asimakopoulos G, Spillers F. Motivation and user engagement in fitness tracking: heuristics for mobile healthcare wearables. Inform 2017;4(1):5. [doi: 10.3390/informatics4010005]
- 6. Thies K, Anderson D, Cramer B. Lack of adoption of a mobile app to support patient self-management of diabetes and hypertension in a federally qualified health center: interview analysis of staff and patients in a failed randomized trial. JMIR Hum Factors 2017 Oct 3;4(4):e24 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/humanfactors.7709] [Medline: 28974481]
- Robbins R, Krebs P, Jagannathan R, Jean-Louis G, Duncan DT. Health app use among US mobile phone users: analysis of trends by chronic disease status. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2017 Dec 19;5(12):e197 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.7832] [Medline: 29258981]
- 8. Lewis C. Irresistible Apps: Motivational Design Patterns for Apps, Games, and Web-based Communities. New York: Apress; 2014.
- 9. Madden TJ, Ellen PS, Ajzen I. A comparison of the theory of planned behavior and the theory of reasoned action. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 1992;18(1):3-9. [doi: 10.1177/0146167292181001]
- 10. Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K. Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research, and Practice. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons; 2008.
- Coa K, Patrick H. Baseline motivation type as a predictor of dropout in a healthy eating text messaging program. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2016 Sep 29;4(3):e114 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.5992] [Medline: 27688034]
- 12. Fogg BJ. Persuasive Technology: Using Computers to Change What We Think and Do. London: Ubiquity; 2002.
- 13. Hamari J, Koivisto J, Pakkanen T. Do persuasive technologies persuade? a review of empirical studies. In: Spagnolli A, Chittaro L, Gamberini L, editors. Persuasive Technology. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2014:118-136.
- 14. Orji R, Moffatt K. Persuasive technology for health and wellness: state-of-the-art and emerging trends. Health Informatics J 2018 Mar;24(1):66-91. [doi: 10.1177/1460458216650979] [Medline: 27245673]
- Eyles H, Jull A, Dobson R, Firestone R, Whittaker R, Te Morenga L, et al. Co-design of mHealth delivered interventions: a systematic review to assess key methods and processes. Curr Nutr Rep 2016;5(3):160-167. [doi: 10.1007/s13668-016-0165-7]

- 16. Sanders EB, Stappers PJ. Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. CoDesign 2008 Mar;4(1):5-18. [doi: 10.1080/15710880701875068]
- Schnall R, Bakken S, Rojas M, Travers J, Carballo-Dieguez A. mHealth technology as a persuasive tool for treatment, care and management of persons living with HIV. AIDS Behav 2015 Jun;19(Suppl 2):81-89 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-014-0984-8] [Medline: 25572830]
- Schnall R, Rojas M, Bakken S, Brown W, Carballo-Dieguez A, Carry M, et al. A user-centered model for designing consumer mobile health (mHealth) applications (apps). J Biomed Inform 2016 Apr;60:243-251 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2016.02.002] [Medline: 26903153]
- 19. Aygun B, Odame I. A global perspective on sickle cell disease. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2012 Aug;59(2):386-390. [doi: 10.1002/pbc.24175] [Medline: 22535620]
- 20. Lobitz S, Telfer P, Cela E, Allaf B, Angastiniotis M, Johansson CB, with the endorsement of EuroBloodNet, the European Reference Network in Rare Haematological Diseases. Newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Europe: recommendations from a Pan-European Consensus Conference. Br J Haematol 2018 Nov;183(4):648-660. [doi: 10.1111/bjh.15600] [Medline: 30334577]
- Saraf SL, Molokie RE, Nouraie M, Sable CA, Luchtman-Jones L, Ensing GJ, et al. Differences in the clinical and genotypic presentation of sickle cell disease around the world. Paediatr Respir Rev 2014 Mar;15(1):4-12 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.prrv.2013.11.003] [Medline: 24361300]
- 22. United Nations. 2008. Adopting Consensus Text, General Assembly Urges Member States, United Nations System to Raise Awareness of Sickle–cell Anaemia on 19 June Each Year URL: <u>https://www.un.org/press/en/2008/ga10803.doc.htm</u> [accessed 2019-02-26] [WebCite Cache ID 76VKrj7nL]
- 23. Brousse V, Makani J, Rees DC. Management of sickle cell disease in the community. Br Med J 2014 Mar 10;348:g1765 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.g1765] [Medline: 24613806]
- 24. Vichinksy EP, DeBaun MR. UpToDate. Acute Vaso-Occlusive Pain Management in Sickle Cell Disease URL: <u>https://www.uptodate.com/contents/vaso-occlusive-pain-management-in-sickle-cell-disease</u> [accessed 2019-02-19] [WebCite Cache ID 76Iqk3Tpt]
- 25. Rice L, Teruya M. Sickle cell patients face death in the ICU*. Crit Care Med 2014 Jul;42(7):1730-1731. [doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000387] [Medline: 24933050]
- 26. Kanter J, Kruse-Jarres R. Management of sickle cell disease from childhood through adulthood. Blood Rev 2013 Nov;27(6):279-287 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2013.09.001] [Medline: 24094945]
- 27. Meier ER, Johnson T, Pinkney K, Velez MC, Kamani N, Odame I. Access to hematopoietic stem cell transplant for patients with sickle cell anemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018 Sep;65(9):e27105. [doi: 10.1002/pbc.27105] [Medline: 29693782]
- Lanzkron S, Carroll CP, Haywood C. Mortality rates and age at death from sickle cell disease: US, 1979-2005. Public Health Rep 2013;128(2):110-116 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/003335491312800206] [Medline: 23450875]
- 29. Rahimy MC, Gangbo A, Ahouignan G, Adjou R, Deguenon C, Goussanou S, et al. Effect of a comprehensive clinical care program on disease course in severely ill children with sickle cell anemia in a sub-Saharan African setting. Blood 2003 Aug 1;102(3):834-838. [doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-05-1453] [Medline: 12702514]
- Kuznik A, Habib AG, Munube D, Lamorde M. Newborn screening and prophylactic interventions for sickle cell disease in 47 countries in sub-Saharan Africa: a cost-effectiveness analysis. BMC Health Serv Res 2016 Jul 26;16:304 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12913-016-1572-6] [Medline: 27461265]
- 31. Ware RE. Is sickle cell anemia a neglected tropical disease? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013;7(5):e2120 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002120] [Medline: 23750287]
- 32. Scott RB. Health care priority and sickle cell anemia. J Am Med Assoc 1970 Oct 26;214(4):731-734. [doi: 10.1001/jama.1970.03180040039008] [Medline: 5536114]
- 33. Evensen CT, Treadwell MJ, Keller S, Levine R, Hassell KL, Werner EM, et al. Quality of care in sickle cell disease: Cross-sectional study and development of a measure for adults reporting on ambulatory and emergency department care. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016 Aug;95(35):e4528 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000004528] [Medline: 27583862]
- Keane B, Defoe L. Supported or stigmatised? The impact of sickle cell disease on families. Community Pract 2016 Jun;89(6):44-47. [Medline: <u>27443031</u>]
- Smith LA, Oyeku SO, Homer C, Zuckerman B. Sickle cell disease: a question of equity and quality. Pediatrics 2006 May;117(5):1763-1770. [doi: <u>10.1542/peds.2005-1611</u>] [Medline: <u>16651336</u>]
- Stevens EM, Patterson CA, Li YB, Smith-Whitley K, Barakat LP. Mistrust of pediatric sickle cell disease clinical trials research. Am J Prev Med 2016 Jul;51(1 Suppl 1):S78-S86 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2016.01.024] [Medline: 27320470]
- 37. Tsyvkin E, Riessman C, Mathew P. Distrust and conflict in sickle cell disease: intersecting narratives of patients and physicians. Blood 2015;126(23):4472 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1182/blood.V126.23.4472.4472]
- Campos J, Lobo C, Queiroz AM, do Nascimento EM, Lima CB, Cardoso G, et al. Treatment of the acute sickle cell vaso-occlusive crisis in the Emergency Department: a Brazilian method of switching from intravenous to oral morphine. Eur J Haematol 2014 Jul;93(1):34-40. [doi: 10.1111/ejh.12293] [Medline: 24571671]

- Almuqamam M, Diaz Frias J, Malik M, Mohamed A, Sedrak A. Emergency management of SCD pain crises: current practices and playing variables. Pediatr Hematol Oncol J 2018;3(2):37-41. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.phoj.2018.06.002</u>]
- 40. Matthie N, Jenerette C. Sickle cell disease in adults: developing an appropriate care plan. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2015 Oct;19(5):562-567 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1188/15.CJON.562-567] [Medline: 26688919]
- 41. Ballas SK. The sickle cell painful crisis in adults: phases and objective signs. Hemoglobin 1995;19(6):323-333. [doi: 10.3109/03630269509005824] [Medline: 8718691]
- 42. Ballas SK, Kesen MR, Goldberg MF, Lutty GA, Dampier C, Osunkwo I, et al. Beyond the definitions of the phenotypic complications of sickle cell disease: an update on management. ScientificWorldJournal 2012;2012:949535 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1100/2012/949535] [Medline: 22924029]
- 43. Ballas SK. More definitions in sickle cell disease: steady state v base line data. Am J Hematol 2012 Mar;87(3):338 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/ajh.22259] [Medline: 22190068]
- 44. Sant'Ana PG, Araujo AM, Pimenta CT, Bezerra ML, Junior SP, Neto VM, et al. Clinical and laboratory profile of patients with sickle cell anemia. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter 2017;39(1):40-45 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.bjhh.2016.09.007] [Medline: 28270345]
- 45. Haywood C, Lanzkron S, Bediako S, Strouse JJ, Haythornthwaite J, Carroll CP, IMPORT Investigators. Perceived discrimination, patient trust, and adherence to medical recommendations among persons with sickle cell disease. J Gen Intern Med 2014 Dec;29(12):1657-1662 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11606-014-2986-7] [Medline: 25205621]
- 46. Haywood C, Lanzkron S, Diener-West M, Haythornthwaite J, Strouse JJ, Bediako S, IMPORT Investigators. Attitudes toward clinical trials among patients with sickle cell disease. Clin Trials 2014 Jun;11(3):275-283 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1740774513519876] [Medline: 24532686]
- 47. Inoue S, Kodjebacheva G, Scherrer T, Rice G, Grigorian M, Blankenship J, et al. Adherence to hydroxyurea medication by children with sickle cell disease (SCD) using an electronic device: a feasibility study. Int J Hematol 2016 Aug;104(2):200-207. [doi: 10.1007/s12185-016-2027-x] [Medline: 27225236]
- Haywood C, Bediako S, Lanzkron S, Diener-West M, Strouse J, Haythornthwaite J, IMPORT Investigators. An unequal burden: poor patient-provider communication and sickle cell disease. Patient Educ Couns 2014 Aug;96(2):159-164 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2014.05.013] [Medline: 24935607]
- 49. Adeyemo TA, Ojewunmi OO, Diaku-Akinwumi IN, Ayinde OC, Akanmu AS. Health related quality of life and perception of stigmatisation in adolescents living with sickle cell disease in Nigeria: a cross sectional study. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2015 Jul;62(7):1245-1251. [doi: 10.1002/pbc.25503] [Medline: 25810358]
- 50. Ahmadi M, Jahani S, Poormansouri S, Shariati A, Tabesh H. The Effectiveness of self management program on quality of life in patients with sickle cell disease. Iran J Ped Hematol Oncol 2015;5(1):18-26 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 25914799]
- 51. Blakemore S. Self-care is key in sickle-cell disease. Emerg Nurse 2016 May;24(2):9. [doi: 10.7748/en.24.2.9.s9] [Medline: 27165379]
- 52. Ahmadi M, Shariati A, Jahani S, Tabesh H, Keikhaei B. The effectiveness of self-management programs on self-efficacy in patients with sickle cell disease. Jundishapur J Chronic Dis Care 2014;3(3). [doi: 10.17795/jjcdc-21702]
- 53. Adegbola M. Spirituality, self-efficacy, and quality of life among adults with sickle cell disease. South Online J Nurs Res 2011 Apr;11(1) [FREE Full text] [Medline: 21769284]
- 54. Molter BL, Abrahamson K. Self-efficacy, transition, and patient outcomes in the sickle cell disease population. Pain Manag Nurs 2015 Jun;16(3):418-424. [doi: 10.1016/j.pmn.2014.06.001] [Medline: 25047808]
- 55. Treadwell M, Johnson S, Sisler I, Bitsko M, Gildengorin G, Medina R, et al. Self-efficacy and readiness for transition from pediatric to adult care in sickle cell disease. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2016 Nov 1;28(4):381-388. [doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2015-0014] [Medline: 26226116]
- 56. Khan SA, Damanhouri G, Ali A, Khan SA, Khan A, Bakillah A, et al. Precipitating factors and targeted therapies in combating the perils of sickle cell disease--- A special nutritional consideration. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2016;13:50 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12986-016-0109-7] [Medline: 27508000]
- 57. Norris SL, Engelgau MM, Narayan KM. Effectiveness of self-management training in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Care 2001 Mar;24(3):561-587. [doi: 10.2337/diacare.24.3.561] [Medline: 11289485]
- 58. Jenerette CM, Leak AN, Sandelowski M. Life stories of older adults with sickle cell disease. Assoc Black Nurs Fac J 2011;22(3):58-63. [Medline: 21901994]
- 59. Jenerette CM, Lauderdale G. Successful aging with sickle cell disease: using qualitative methods to inform theory. J Theory Constr Test 2008 Apr 1;12(1):16-24 [FREE Full text] [Medline: <u>19838320</u>]
- 60. Schroeder SA. Shattuck Lecture. We can do better--improving the health of the American people. N Engl J Med 2007 Sep 20;357(12):1221-1228. [doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa073350] [Medline: 17881753]
- 61. Jesus AC, Konstantyner T, Lôbo IK, Braga JA. Socioeconomic and nutritional characteristics of children and adolescents with sickle cell anemia: a systematic review. Rev Paul Pediatr 2018;36(4):491-499 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1590/1984-0462/;2018;36;4;00010] [Medline: 30540112]
- 62. Anderson K, Burford O, Emmerton L. Mobile health apps to facilitate self-care: a qualitative study of user experiences. PLoS One 2016;11(5):e0156164 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156164] [Medline: 27214203]

- Crosby LE, Ware RE, Goldstein A, Walton A, Joffe NE, Vogel C, et al. Development and evaluation of iManage: a self-management app co-designed by adolescents with sickle cell disease. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2017 Jan;64(1):139-145. [doi: <u>10.1002/pbc.26177</u>] [Medline: <u>27574031</u>]
- 64. Issom DZ, Zosso A, Wipfli R, Ehrler F, Lovis C, Hartvigsen G, et al. Meeting Sickle Cell Patients' Unmet Needs with eHealth Tools: A Preliminary Study. In: Proceedings from The 13th Scandinavien Conference on Health Informatics. Linköping: Linköping University Electronic Press; 2015 Presented at: SHI'15; June 15-17, 2015; Tromsø, Norway p. 66-77 URL: <u>http://www.ep.liu.se/ecp/article.asp?issue=115&article=011#</u>
- 65. Badawy SM, Cronin RM, Hankins J, Crosby L, DeBaun M, Thompson AA, et al. Patient-centered eHealth interventions for children, adolescents, and adults with sickle cell disease: systematic review. J Med Internet Res 2018 Jul 19;20(7):e10940 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10940] [Medline: 30026178]
- 66. Shah N, Jonassaint J, de Castro L. Patients welcome the Sickle Cell Disease Mobile Application to Record Symptoms via Technology (SMART). Hemoglobin 2014;38(2):99-103. [doi: 10.3109/03630269.2014.880716] [Medline: 24512633]
- 67. Woldaregay AZ, Issom D, Henriksen A, Marttila H, Mikalsen M, Pfuhl G, et al. Motivational factors for user engagement with mHealth apps. Stud Health Technol Inform 2018;249:151-157. [Medline: <u>29866972</u>]
- 68. European Medicines Agency. Perma. EU/3/10/832 URL: <u>https://perma.cc/F9DE-WL8Q</u> [accessed 2019-11-05]
- Graesdal JS, Gundersen K, Holm B, Waage A. [Thalassemia and sickle-cell disease in Norway]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2001 Feb 28;121(6):678-680 [FREE Full text] [Medline: <u>11293347</u>]
- Schmugge M, Speer O, Ozsahin A, Martin G. La drépanocytose en Suisse.2e partie: Mesures thérapeutiques et prophylactiques. Forum Med Suisse 2008;8(34):606-608. [doi: <u>10.4414/fms.2008.06563</u>]
- Schmugge M, Speer O, Hulya Ozsahin A, Martin G. La drépanocytose en Suisse. 1re partie: Physiopathologie, Clinique. Forum Med Suisse 2008;8(33):582-586. [doi: <u>10.4414/fms.2008.06560</u>]
- 72. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006 Jan;3(2):77-101. [doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa]
- 73. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. Implement Sci 2011 Apr 23;6:42 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-6-42] [Medline: 21513547]
- 74. Curtis KE, Lahiri S, Brown KE. Targeting parents for childhood weight management: development of a theory-driven and user-centered healthy eating app. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2015 Jun 18;3(2):e69 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.3857] [Medline: 26088692]
- 75. Litterbach EK, Russell CG, Taki S, Denney-Wilson E, Campbell KJ, Laws RA. Factors influencing engagement and behavioral determinants of infant feeding in an mHealth program: qualitative evaluation of the growing healthy program. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2017 Dec 18;5(12):e196 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mhealth.8515] [Medline: 29254908]
- 76. Korpershoek YJ, Vervoort SC, Trappenburg JC, Schuurmans MJ. Perceptions of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and their health care providers towards using mHealth for self-management of exacerbations: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res 2018 Oct 4;18(1):757 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3545-4] [Medline: 30286761]
- 77. Robertson J, Robertson S. Research Gate. Volere Requirements Specification Template URL: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/</u> <u>publication/243771804_Volere_Requirements_Specification_Template</u> [accessed 2019-02-27] [WebCite Cache ID <u>76VLGpcyD]</u>
- Chen L, Ali Babar M, Nuseibeh B. Characterizing architecturally significant requirements. IEEE Softw 2013 Mar;30(2):38-45. [doi: 10.1109/ms.2012.174]
- 79. Akinbami A, Dosunmu A, Adediran A, Oshinaike O, Adebola P, Arogundade O. Haematological values in homozygous sickle cell disease in steady state and haemoglobin phenotypes AA controls in Lagos, Nigeria. BMC Res Notes 2012 Aug 1;5:396 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-396] [Medline: 22849350]
- 80. Mader LB, Harris T, Kläger S, Wilkinson IB, Hiemstra TF. Inverting the patient involvement paradigm: defining patient led research. Res Involv Engagem 2018;4:21 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s40900-018-0104-4] [Medline: 30002875]
- Manwani D, Frenette PS. Vaso-occlusion in sickle cell disease: pathophysiology and novel targeted therapies. Blood 2013 Dec 5;122(24):3892-3898 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1182/blood-2013-05-498311] [Medline: 24052549]
- Ataga KI, Kutlar A, Kanter J, Liles D, Cancado R, Friedrisch J, et al. Crizanlizumab for the prevention of pain crises in sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med 2017 Feb 2;376(5):429-439 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611770] [Medline: 27959701]
- 83. Skrøvseth SO, Årsand E, Godtliebsen F, Joakimsen RM. Data-driven personalized feedback to patients with type 1 diabetes: a randomized trial. Diabetes Technol Ther 2015 Jul;17(7):482-489 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1089/dia.2014.0276] [Medline: 25751133]
- 84. Fontana JM, Farooq M, Sazonov E. Automatic ingestion monitor: a novel wearable device for monitoring of ingestive behavior. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2014 Jun;61(6):1772-1779 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1109/TBME.2014.2306773] [Medline: 24845288]
- 85. Andreu-Perez J, Leff DR, Ip HM, Yang G. From wearable sensors to smart implants--toward pervasive and personalized healthcare. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2015 Dec;62(12):2750-2762. [doi: 10.1109/TBME.2015.2422751] [Medline: 25879838]

- 86. Kim J, Kim M, Lee M, Kim K, Ji S, Kim Y, et al. Wearable smart sensor systems integrated on soft contact lenses for wireless ocular diagnostics. Nat Commun 2017 Apr 27;8:14997 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/ncomms14997] [Medline: 28447604]
- 87. Perma. DIYPS.org URL: https://perma.cc/CUD4-9H2F [accessed 2019-12-26]
- 88. Dimkovi N. [Erythropoietin-beta in the treatment of anemia in patients with chronic renal insufficiency]. Med Pregl 2001;54(5-6):235-240. [Medline: <u>11759218</u>]
- Vichinsky E, Hoppe CC, Ataga KI, Ware RE, Nduba V, El-Beshlawy A, HOPE Trial Investigators. A phase 3 randomized trial of Voxelotor in sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med 2019 Aug 8;381(6):509-519. [doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa1903212</u>] [Medline: <u>31199090</u>]
- 90. Bird Y, Lemstra M, Rogers M, Moraros J. The relationship between socioeconomic status/income and prevalence of diabetes and associated conditions: A cross-sectional population-based study in Saskatchewan, Canada. Int J Equity Health 2015 Oct 12;14:93 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12939-015-0237-0] [Medline: 26458543]
- 91. Salvo P, Dini V, Kirchhain A, Janowska A, Oranges T, Chiricozzi A, et al. Sensors and biosensors for c-reactive protein, temperature and pH, and their applications for monitoring wound healing: a review. Sensors (Basel) 2017 Dec 19;17(12) [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/s17122952] [Medline: 29257113]
- 92. Adams-Graves P, Bronte-Jordan L. Recent treatment guidelines for managing adult patients with sickle cell disease: challenges in access to care, social issues, and adherence. Expert Rev Hematol 2016 Jun;9(6):541-552. [doi: 10.1080/17474086.2016.1180242] [Medline: 27098013]
- Amid A, Odame I. Improving outcomes in children with sickle cell disease: treatment considerations and strategies. Paediatr Drugs 2014 Aug;16(4):255-266. [doi: 10.1007/s40272-014-0074-4] [Medline: 24797542]
- 94. Benjamin LJ, Swinson GI, Nagel RL. Sickle cell anemia day hospital: an approach for the management of uncomplicated painful crises. Blood 2000 Feb 15;95(4):1130-1136. [Medline: <u>10666181</u>]
- 95. Haywood C, Naik R, Beach M, Lanzkron S. Do sickle cell patients wait longer to see physicians in the emergency department? Blood 2011;118(21):2070 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1182/blood.v118.21.2070.2070]
- 96. Chu Y, Zhao X, Han J, Su Y. Physiological signal-based method for measurement of pain intensity. Front Neurosci 2017;11:279 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00279] [Medline: 28603478]
- 97. Paiz JA, Rosa CP, Risso R, Monaco B, Duarte KP, Lopez WO, et al. Intrathecal morphine therapy for chronic non-malignant pain using a constant flow infusion system. J Pain Relief 2015;4(1):168. [doi: 10.4172/2167-0846.1000168]
- 98. Apple App Store. Voice Crisis Alert V2 URL: <u>https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/voice-crisis-alert-v2/id1271708147?mt=8</u> [accessed 2019-02-18] [WebCite Cache ID 76HKd49mM]
- 99. Ehrler F, Lovis C, Rochat J, Schneider F, Gervaix A, Galetto-Lacour A, et al. [InfoKids: changing the patients' journey paradigm in an Emergency Department]. Rev Med Suisse 2018 Sep 5;14(617):1538-1542. [Medline: <u>30226668</u>]
- 100. Markotic F, Vrdoljak D, Puljiz M, Puljak L. Risk perception about medication sharing among patients: a focus group qualitative study on borrowing and lending of prescription analgesics. J Pain Res 2017;10:365-374 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2147/JPR.S123554] [Medline: 28243140]
- Beyene KA, Sheridan J, Aspden T. Prescription medication sharing: a systematic review of the literature. Am J Public Health 2014 Apr;104(4):e15-e26. [doi: <u>10.2105/AJPH.2013.301823</u>] [Medline: <u>24524496</u>]
- 102. Thurnheer SE, Gravestock I, Pichierri G, Steurer J, Burgstaller JM. Benefits of mobile apps in pain management: systematic review. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2018 Oct 22;6(10):e11231 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/11231] [Medline: 30348633]
- 103. Pourmand A, Davis S, Marchak A, Whiteside T, Sikka N. Virtual reality as a clinical tool for pain management. Curr Pain Headache Rep 2018 Jun 15;22(8):53. [doi: <u>10.1007/s11916-018-0708-2</u>] [Medline: <u>29904806</u>]
- 104. Ballas SK. Self-management of sickle cell disease: a new frontier. J Natl Med Assoc 2010 Nov;102(11):1042-1043. [doi: 10.1016/s0027-9684(15)30722-7] [Medline: 21141292]
- 105. Miller AS, Cafazzo JA, Seto E. A game plan: gamification design principles in mHealth applications for chronic disease management. Health Informatics J 2016 Jun;22(2):184-193. [doi: <u>10.1177/1460458214537511</u>] [Medline: <u>24986104</u>]
- 106. Medhi I, Patnaik S, Brunskill E, Gautama SN, Thies W, Toyama K. Designing mobile interfaces for novice and low-literacy users. ACM Trans Comput-Hum Interact 2011;18(1):1-28. [doi: <u>10.1145/1959022.1959024</u>]
- 107. Fu LP, Landay J, Nebeling M, Xu Y, Zhao C. Redefining Natural User Interface. In: Proceedings of the Extended Abstracts of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. USA: ACM; 2018 Presented at: CHI EA'18; April 21 - 26, 2018; Montréal, Canada p. 1-3. [doi: 10.1145/3170427.3190649]
- Mclaughlin AC, Matalenas LA, Coleman MG. Design of human centered augmented reality for managing chronic health conditions. In: Pak R, McLaughlin AC, editors. Aging, Technology And Health. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press; 2018:261-296.
- 109. Gutiérrez F, Verbert K, Htun N. PHARA: An Augmented Reality Grocery Store Assistant. In: Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services Adjunct. USA: ACM; 2018 Presented at: MobileHCI'18; September 3 - 6, 2018; Barcelona, Spain p. 339-345. [doi: 10.1145/3236112.3236161]
- 110. Talbot TB. Virtual reality and interactive gaming technology for obese and diabetic children: is military medical technology applicable? J Diabetes Sci Technol 2011 Mar 1;5(2):234-238 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/193229681100500205] [Medline: 21527087]

- 111. Elsweiler D, Hors-Fraile S, Ludwig B, Said A, Schäfer H, Trattner C, et al. Second Workshop on Health Recommender Systems: (HealthRecSys 2017). In: Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. New York: ACM; 2017 Presented at: RecSys'17; August 27 - 31, 2017; Como, Italy p. 374-375. [doi: 10.1145/3109859.3109955]
- Oing T, Prescott J. Implementations of virtual reality for anxiety-related disorders: systematic review. JMIR Serious Games 2018 Nov 7;6(4):e10965 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10965] [Medline: 30404770]
- 113. Izahar S, Lean QY, Hameed MA, Murugiah MK, Patel RP, Al-Worafi YM, et al. Content analysis of mobile health applications on diabetes mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2017;8:318 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fendo.2017.00318] [Medline: 29230195]
- 114. Rossi MG, Bigi S. mHealth for diabetes support: a systematic review of apps available on the Italian market. Mhealth 2017;3:16 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.21037/mhealth.2017.04.06] [Medline: 28567412]
- 115. Lagger G, Pataky Z, Golay A. Efficacy of therapeutic patient education in chronic diseases and obesity. Patient Educ Couns 2010 Jun;79(3):283-286. [doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2010.03.015] [Medline: 20413242]
- 116. Assal J, Golay A. Patient education in Switzerland: from diabetes to chronic diseases. Patient Educ Couns 2001 Jul;44(1):65-69. [doi: 10.1016/s0738-3991(01)00105-7] [Medline: 11390160]
- 117. Boehmer KR, Barakat S, Ahn S, Prokop LJ, Erwin PJ, Murad MH. Health coaching interventions for persons with chronic conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. Syst Rev 2016 Sep 1;5(1):146 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0316-3] [Medline: 27585627]
- 118. Ghorob A. Health coaching: teaching patients how to fish. Fam Pract Manag 2013;20(3):40-42 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 23939739]
- 119. Davenport T, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthc J 2019 Jun;6(2):94-98 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94] [Medline: 31363513]
- 120. Gheondea-Eladi A. Patient decision aids: a content analysis based on a decision tree structure. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2019 Jul 19;19(1):137 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12911-019-0840-x] [Medline: 31324237]
- 121. Elwyn G, O'Connor A, Stacey D, Volk R, Edwards A, Coulter A, International Patient Decision Aids Standards (IPDAS) Collaboration. Developing a quality criteria framework for patient decision aids: online international Delphi consensus process. Br Med J 2006 Aug 26;333(7565):417 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.38926.629329.AE] [Medline: 16908462]
- 122. Stacey D, Kryworuchko J, Belkora J, Davison BJ, Durand M, Eden KB, et al. Coaching and guidance with patient decision aids: A review of theoretical and empirical evidence. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2013;13(Suppl 2):S11 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-13-S2-S11] [Medline: 24624995]
- 123. Jacobs W, Amuta AO, Jeon KC. Health information seeking in the digital age: an analysis of health information seeking behavior among US adults. Cogent Soc Sci 2017;3(1):1302785. [doi: 10.1080/23311886.2017.1302785]
- 124. Tan SS, Goonawardene N. Internet health information seeking and the patient-physician relationship: a systematic review. J Med Internet Res 2017 Jan 19;19(1):e9 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.5729] [Medline: 28104579]
- 125. Frost JR, Cherry RK, Oyeku SO, Faro EZ, Crosby LE, Britto M, et al. Improving sickle cell transitions of care through health information technology. Am J Prev Med 2016 Jul;51(1 Suppl 1):S17-S23 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2016.02.004] [Medline: 27320460]
- 126. Breakey VR, Harris L, Davis O, Agarwal A, Ouellette C, Akinnawo E, et al. The quality of information about sickle cell disease on the Internet for youth. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2017 Apr;64(4). [doi: <u>10.1002/pbc.26309</u>] [Medline: <u>27786409</u>]
- 127. Zhang Y, Cui H, Burkell J, Mercer RE. A Machine Learning Approach for Rating the Quality of Depression Treatment Web Pages. In: iConference 2014 Proceedings. 2014 Presented at: iConference 2014; March 4-7, 2014; Berlin, Germany p. 192-212 URL: <u>https://doi.org/10.9776/14065</u> [doi: 10.9776/14065]
- 128. Boyer C, Dolamic L. Automated detection of HONcode website conformity compared to manual detection: an evaluation. J Med Internet Res 2015 Jun 2;17(6):e135 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.3831] [Medline: 26036669]
- 129. Charnock D, Shepperd S, Needham G, Gann R. DISCERN: an instrument for judging the quality of written consumer health information on treatment choices. J Epidemiol Community Health 1999 Feb;53(2):105-111 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/jech.53.2.105] [Medline: 10396471]
- Qenam B, Kim TY, Carroll MJ, Hogarth M. Text simplification using consumer health vocabulary to generate patient-centered radiology reporting: translation and evaluation. J Med Internet Res 2017 Dec 18;19(12):e417. [doi: <u>10.2196/jmir.8536</u>] [Medline: <u>29254915</u>]
- 131. Smith CA. Consumer language, patient language, and thesauri: a review of the literature. J Med Libr Assoc 2011 Apr;99(2):135-144 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3163/1536-5050.99.2.005] [Medline: 21464851]
- 132. Hosseinzadeh VA, Brugnara C, Holt RG. Shape oscillations of single blood drops: applications to human blood and sickle cell disease. Sci Rep 2018 Nov 14;8(1):16794 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34600-7] [Medline: 30429489]
- 133. Li X, Du E, Lei H, Tang Y, Dao M, Suresh S, et al. Patient-specific blood rheology in sickle-cell anaemia. Interface Focus 2016 Feb 6;6(1):20150065 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2015.0065] [Medline: 26855752]
- 134. Jacob E, Beyer JE, Miaskowski C, Savedra M, Treadwell M, Styles L. Are there phases to the vaso-occlusive painful episode in sickle cell disease? J Pain Symptom Manage 2005 Apr;29(4):392-400 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2004.07.006] [Medline: 15857743]

- 135. Wang G, Zhang S, Dong S, Lou D, Ma L, Pei X, et al. Stretchable optical sensing patch system integrated heart rate, pulse oxygen saturation, and sweat pH detection. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2019 Apr;66(4):1000-1005. [doi: 10.1109/TBME.2018.2866151] [Medline: 30130170]
- 136. Jin W, Wu L, Song Y, Jiang J, Zhu X, Yang D, et al. Continuous intra-arterial blood pH monitoring by a fiber-optic fluorosensor. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2011;58(5):1232-1238. [doi: 10.1109/tbme.2011.2107514]
- 137. Anastasova S, Crewther B, Bembnowicz P, Curto V, Ip HM, Rosa B, et al. A wearable multisensing patch for continuous sweat monitoring. Biosens Bioelectron 2017 Jul 15;93:139-145 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2016.09.038] [Medline: 27743863]
- 138. Diaw M, samb A, Diop S, Sall ND, Ba A, Cissé F, et al. Effects of hydration and water deprivation on blood viscosity during a soccer game in sickle cell trait carriers. Br J Sports Med 2014 Feb;48(4):326-331. [doi: <u>10.1136/bjsports-2012-091038</u>] [Medline: <u>22685122</u>]
- Omwanghe OA, Muntz DS, Kwon S, Montgomery S, Kemiki O, Hsu LL, et al. Self-reported physical activity and exercise patterns in children with sickle cell disease. Pediatr Exerc Sci 2017 Aug;29(3):388-395. [doi: <u>10.1123/pes.2016-0276</u>] [Medline: <u>28530510</u>]
- 140. Morita AA, Silva LK, Bisca GW, Oliveira JM, Hernandes NA, Pitta F, et al. Heart rate recovery, physical activity level, and functional status in subjects with COPD. Respir Care 2018 Aug;63(8):1002-1008 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.4187/respcare.05918] [Medline: 29765005]
- 141. Thompson D, Batterham AM, Peacock OJ, Western MJ, Booso R. Feedback from physical activity monitors is not compatible with current recommendations: A recalibration study. Prev Med 2016 Oct;91:389-394 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.06.017] [Medline: 27330025]
- 142. Zempsky WT, Loiselle KA, McKay K, Lee BH, Hagstrom JN, Schechter NL. Do children with sickle cell disease receive disparate care for pain in the emergency department? J Emerg Med 2010 Nov;39(5):691-695. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.jemermed.2009.06.003</u>] [Medline: <u>19703740</u>]
- 143. Ballas SK. Current issues in sickle cell pain and its management. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2007;2007:97-105. [doi: 10.1182/asheducation-2007.1.97] [Medline: 18024616]
- 144. Ogu UO, Billett HH. Comorbidities in sickle cell disease: Adult providers needed!. Indian J Med Res 2018 Jun;147(6):527-529 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1019_18] [Medline: 30168482]
- 145. Bender JL, Jimenez-Marroquin M, Jadad AR. Seeking support on Facebook: a content analysis of breast cancer groups. J Med Internet Res 2011 Feb 4;13(1):e16 [FREE Full text] [doi: <u>10.2196/jmir.1560</u>] [Medline: <u>21371990</u>]
- 146. Rosa SD, Sen F. Health topics on Facebook groups: content analysis of posts in multiple sclerosis communities. Interact J Med Res 2019 Feb 11;8(1):e10146 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10146] [Medline: 30741640]
- 147. Harpel T. Pregnant women sharing pregnancy-related information on Facebook: web-based survey study. J Med Internet Res 2018 Mar 22;20(3):e115 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.7753] [Medline: 29567636]
- 148. Troncone A, Cascella C, Chianese A, Iafusco D. Using computerized text analysis to assess communication within an Italian type 1 diabetes Facebook group. Health Psychol Open 2015 Jul;2(2):2055102915615338 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/2055102915615338] [Medline: 28070379]
- 149. Okun S, Goodwin K. Building a learning health community: By the people, for the people. Learn Health Syst 2017 Jul;1(3):e10028 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/lrh2.10028] [Medline: 31245561]
- 150. Ragnedda M. The Digital Divide. New York: Routledge; 2015.
- Issom DZ, Hartvigsen G, Bonacina S, Koch S, Lovis C. User-centric eHealth tool to address the psychosocial effects of sickle cell disease. Stud Health Technol Inform 2016;225:627-628. [Medline: <u>27332283</u>]
- 152. oneSCDvoice. URL: https://www.onescdvoice.com/ [accessed 2019-02-27] [WebCite Cache ID 76VMO9bsi]
- 153. Adaji I, Vassileva J. Persuasive Patterns in Q&A Social Networks. In: Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Persuasive Technology. Cham: Springer; 2016 Presented at: PERSUASIVE'16; April 5-7, 2016; Salzburg, Austria p. 189-196. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-31510-2_16]
- 154. Hu Z, Zhang Z, Yang H, Chen Q, Zuo D. A deep learning approach for predicting the quality of online health expert question-answering services. J Biomed Inform 2017 Jul;71:241-253 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2017.06.012] [Medline: 28606870]
- 155. Mize L, Burgett S, Xu J, Rothman J, Shah N. The use of chronic transfusions in sickle cell disease for non-stroke related indications. Blood 2014;124(21):4934 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1182/blood.v124.21.4934.4934]
- 156. Scalia P, Durand MA, Kremer J, Faber M, Elwyn G. Online, interactive option grid patient decision aids and their effect on user preferences. Med Decis Making 2018 Jan;38(1):56-68. [doi: 10.1177/0272989X17734538] [Medline: 29078054]
- 157. Kulandaivelu Y, Lalloo C, Ward R, Zempsky WT, Kirby-Allen M, Breakey VR, et al. Exploring the needs of adolescents with sickle cell disease to inform a digital self-management and transitional care program: qualitative study. JMIR Pediatr Parent 2018 Sep 25;1(2):e11058 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/11058] [Medline: 31518307]
- 158. Yusop N, Zowghi D, Lowe D. The impacts of non-functional requirements in web system projects. Int J Value Chain Manag 2008;2(1):18. [doi: 10.1504/ijvcm.2008.016116]
- 159. Hamilton JJ, Hopkins RS. Perma.: Center for Disease Control and Prevention Using Technologies for Data Collection and Management URL: <u>https://perma.cc/8J62-RPGE</u> [accessed 2019-02-19]

- 160. Li X, Dunn J, Salins D, Zhou G, Zhou W, Rose SM, et al. Digital Health: tracking physiomes and activity using wearable biosensors reveals useful health-related information. PLoS Biol 2017 Jan;15(1):e2001402 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2001402] [Medline: 28081144]
- 161. Ancker JS, Witteman HO, Hafeez B, Provencher T, van de Graaf M, Wei E. 'You Get Reminded You're a Sick Person': personal data tracking and patients with multiple chronic conditions. J Med Internet Res 2015 Aug 19;17(8):e202 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.4209] [Medline: 26290186]
- 162. Halko S, Kientz JA. Personality and persuasive technology: an exploratory study on health-promoting mobile applications. In: Ploug T, Hasle P, Oinas-Kukkonen H, editors. Persuasive Technology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2010:150-161.
- 163. Chiu MC, Chang SP, Chang YC, Chu HH, Chen CH, Hsiao FH, et al. Playful Bottle: A Mobile Social Persuasion System to Motivate Healthy Water Intake. In: Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Ubiquitous computing. USA: ACM; 2009 Presented at: Ubicomp'09; September 30 - October 3, 2009; Orlando, Florida p. 185-194. [doi: 10.1145/1620545.1620574]
- 164. Elsherif M, Hassan MU, Yetisen AK, Butt H. Wearable contact lens biosensors for continuous glucose monitoring using smartphones. ACS Nano 2018 Jun 26;12(6):5452-5462 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b00829] [Medline: 29750502]
- 165. Motiv Ring. URL: <u>https://mymotiv.com/</u> [accessed 2019-02-20]
- 166. Stein N, Brooks K. A fully automated conversational artificial intelligence for weight loss: longitudinal observational study among overweight and obese adults. JMIR Diabetes 2017 Nov 1;2(2):e28 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/diabetes.8590] [Medline: 30291087]
- 167. Fulmer R, Joerin A, Gentile B, Lakerink L, Rauws M. Using psychological artificial intelligence (Tess) to relieve symptoms of depression and anxiety: randomized controlled trial. JMIR Ment Health 2018 Dec 13;5(4):e64 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mental.9782] [Medline: 30545815]
- 168. Macmillan Dictionary. Shareable (adjective): Definition and Synonyms URL: <u>https://www.macmillandictionary.com/</u> <u>dictionary/british/shareable</u> [accessed 2019-02-27] [<u>WebCite Cache ID 76VLwj70x</u>]
- 169. Hartzler A, Pratt W. Managing the personal side of health: how patient expertise differs from the expertise of clinicians. J Med Internet Res 2011 Aug 16;13(3):e62 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.1728] [Medline: 21846635]
- 170. Elaheebocus SM, Weal M, Morrison L, Yardley L. Peer-based social media features in behavior change interventions: systematic review. J Med Internet Res 2018 Feb 22;20(2):e20 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.8342] [Medline: 29472174]
- 171. Lochmüller H, Badowska DM, Thompson R, Knoers NV, Aartsma-Rus A, Gut I, RD-Connect consortium, NeurOmics consortium, EURenOmics consortium. RD-Connect, NeurOmics and EURenOmics: collaborative European initiative for rare diseases. Eur J Hum Genet 2018 Jun;26(6):778-785 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41431-018-0115-5] [Medline: 29487416]
- 172. Vasilescu B, Serebrenik A, Devanbu P, Filkov V. How Social Q&A Sites Are Changing Knowledge Sharing in Open Source Software Communities. In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. New York, NY: ACM; 2014 Presented at: CSCW'14; February 15 - 19, 2014; Baltimore, Maryland, USA p. 342-354 URL: <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/2531602.2531659</u> [doi: <u>10.1145/2531602.2531659</u>]
- 173. Arora S, Yttri J, Nilse W. Privacy and Security in Mobile Health (mHealth) Research. Alcohol Res 2014;36(1):143-151 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 26259009]
- 174. Zhao J, Freeman B, Li M. Can mobile phone apps influence people's health behavior change? An evidence review. J Med Internet Res 2016 Oct 31;18(11):e287 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.5692] [Medline: 27806926]
- 175. Cohen IG, Mello MM. HIPAA and Protecting Health Information in the 21st Century. J Am Med Assoc 2018 Jul 17;320(3):231-232. [doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.5630] [Medline: 29800120]
- 176. Pryv. Pryv Enables Businesses to Manage Privacy & Personal Data. URL: https://pryv.com/ [accessed 2019-12-26]
- 177. Hafen E. Personal data cooperatives a new data governance framework for data donations and precision health. In: Krutzinna J, Floridi L, editors. The Ethics of Medical Data Donation. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019:141-149.
- 178. Gaudet-Blavignac C, Foufi V, Wehrli E, Lovis C. De-identification of French medical narratives. Swiss Med Informatics 2018;34:00417. [doi: 10.4414/smi.34.00417]
- 179. Morrison LG, Hargood C, Pejovic V, Geraghty AW, Lloyd S, Goodman N, et al. The effect of timing and frequency of push notifications on usage of a smartphone-based stress management intervention: an exploratory trial. PLoS One 2017;12(1):e0169162 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169162] [Medline: 28046034]
- 180. Bidargaddi N, Almirall D, Murphy S, Nahum-Shani I, Kovalcik M, Pituch T, et al. To Prompt or Not to Prompt? A microrandomized trial of time-varying push notifications to increase proximal engagement with a mobile health app. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2018 Nov 29;6(11):e10123 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10123] [Medline: 30497999]
- 181. Mathews SC, McShea MJ, Hanley CL, Ravitz A, Labrique AB, Cohen AB. Digital health: a path to validation. NPJ Digit Med 2019;2:38 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0111-3] [Medline: 31304384]
- 182. Boudreaux ED, Waring ME, Hayes RB, Sadasivam RS, Mullen S, Pagoto S. Evaluating and selecting mobile health apps: strategies for healthcare providers and healthcare organizations. Transl Behav Med 2014 Dec;4(4):363-371 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s13142-014-0293-9] [Medline: 25584085]

- Hasson F, Keeney S, McKenna H. Research guidelines for the Delphi survey technique. J Adv Nurs 2000 Oct;32(4):1008-1015. [Medline: <u>11095242</u>]
- 184. Garvelink MM, Ter Kuile MM, Louwé LA, Hilders CG, Stiggelbout AM. A Delphi consensus study among patients and clinicians in the Netherlands on the procedure of informing young breast cancer patients about Fertility Preservation. Acta Oncol 2012 Nov;51(8):1062-1069. [doi: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.702927] [Medline: 23050612]
- 185. Miller S, Ainsworth B, Yardley L, Milton A, Weal M, Smith P, et al. A Framework for Analyzing and Measuring Usage and Engagement Data (AMUsED) in Digital Interventions: Viewpoint. J Med Internet Res 2019 Feb 15;21(2):e10966 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10966] [Medline: 30767905]

Abbreviations

BCW: behavior change wheel
COM-B: capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior
DIY: Do It Yourself
ePtDA: electronic patient decision aid
FR: functional requirement
mHealth: mobile health
NFR: nonfunctional requirement
SCD: sickle cell disease
VOC: vaso-occlusive pain crisis

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 04.05.19; peer-reviewed by N Shah, C Fincham, C Duignan; comments to author 28.05.19; revised version received 29.12.19; accepted 24.01.20; published 24.03.20

Please cite as:

Issue Circus. Issue DZ, Henriksen A, Woldaregay AZ, Rochat J, Lovis C, Hartvigsen G Factors Influencing Motivation and Engagement in Mobile Health Among Patients With Sickle Cell Disease in Low-Prevalence, High-Income Countries: Qualitative Exploration of Patient Requirements JMIR Hum Factors 2020;7(1):e14599 URL: <u>http://humanfactors.jmir.org/2020/1/e14599/</u> doi: <u>10.2196/14599</u> PMID:

©David-Zacharie Issom, André Henriksen, Ashenafi Zebene Woldaregay, Jessica Rochat, Christian Lovis, Gunnar Hartvigsen. Originally published in JMIR Human Factors (http://humanfactors.jmir.org), 24.03.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Human Factors, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://humanfactors.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

