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Abstract
The demand for the utilization of agricultural resources for non-food purposes, such as feedstock, has been on a global rise in recent times.¹ This article seeks to examine the underlying reasons behind the boom in agricultural crops for biofuel feedstock. In cognizant of this peak in demand, the article aims to show how the right to adequate food² is being restrained by large-scale land acquisition as well as conversion for agricultural crop production namely for the purpose of agro-fuels.³ In the first part, the rationale that has galvanized the demand for biofuels will be explored. In the second part, the restrictions posed on the right to food will be presented. Some concluding remarks lead the article to an end.

1. Drivers Behind the Peak in Demand
The rise for biofuel production as well as consumption, has primarily to do with the due consideration given to the vital importance of agricultural products as an alternative source of energy, for instance, as agrofuels⁴ and other industrial production processes.⁵ This connotes

¹ Margherita Paola Poto is an associate professor in Administrative Law at the University of Turin. She is the corresponding author for this article while Eden Tafesework Geletu is the main author for this piece.


³ Richard Doornbusch and Ronald Steenblik, "Biofuels: Is the Cure Worse than the Disease?", Background paper for OECD meeting in Paris 11-12 September 2007, SG/SD/RT (3007)3.


⁵ Bals, Harmeling and Windfuhr, Climate Change, Food Security and the Right to Adequate Food, supra note 1, at p. 52 et sqq., at p.55.
that the preoccupation with the production of biofuels is being driven with the conviction that they can be used as a supplement and alternative to fossil fuels, such as gasoline and diesel that have large Green House Gas (GHG) emission. Therefore, it is their use for transport that has generated the pressing demand and investment for their production.

In addition to this, the peak in the demand for agricultural commodities for non-food purposes has also been driven by recent food price crises that culminated after the 2007-2008 price crisis. According to the World Bank (WB), the commodity price hikes experienced between 2002-2008 was caused due to biofuels production, and ensuing consequences related to low grain stocks, large land-use shifts, speculative activity, as well as export bans. As a consequence, cash-rich, but resource-poor countries, inter alia, Asian countries like China, India, Japan, Malaysia, South Korea, and Middle Eastern countries like the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, Jordan, Kuwait, Qatar and Saudi Arabia have engaged in the acquisition or rent of large-scale land abroad - alternatively termed as land grabs - in order to ensure their food security. This is because non-food agricultural production is also being promoted as being a long-term solution to deal with the high price of agricultural commodities and the resulting increase in demand for agroforestry products such as palm oil.

As a response to addressing these challenge, different countries have resorted to the purchase/lease of land abroad for the cultivation of crops so as to support domestic demand. This search for new destinations for the production of biofuels as feedstock, in countries such as Indonesia and Malaysia in relation to palm oil, for instance, has to do with the realization that the EU and U.S., that are large consumers and producers of biofuels, will not be in a position to meet their demands in the future.
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6 Eide Asbjørn, "The Right to Food and the Impact of Liquid Biofuels (Agrofuels)", supra note 4, pp. 9 et sqq., at p.11.
7 Ibid.
10 See for example, Vera Songwe and Klaus Deininger, "Foreign Investment in Agricultural Production: Opportunities and Challenges", (World Bank, 2009); GRAIN, "Seized: The 2008 Land Grab for Food and Financial Security", (2008), 24 October 2008, available on the internet at http://www.grain.org/go/landgrab, (last accessed on 11/10/2018). As being nations built in the desert, the Gulf States – Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates have scarce soil and water with which to grow crops or raise livestock. This has galvanized the urge for land grabs in foreign countries so as to ensure their food security.
11 OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2011-2020, (OECD Publishing and FAO 2011). Among the most active countries owning, leasing or concessioning farmland overseas are China, India, Japan, Saudi Arabia, South Korea and United Arab Emirates while a number of other countries are only starting negotiations for the coming years.
13 For instance, Germany, the leading producer of biodiesel do not have the land available to grow feedstocks in the future, See, Lorenzo Cotula, Nat Dyer & Sonja Vermeulen, "Fuelling Exclusion? The Biofuels Boom and Poor People’s Access to Land", (London, IIED, 2008).
future by relying on their own targets of production. What this connotes is that, in order to meet the growing demand for biofuel production domestically, these countries have resorted to the importation of biofuels alongside the raw materials needed for their production, from the countries that are endowed with abundant land for feedstock cultivation. According to estimates, in this regard, by 2008, the total area of overseas farmland held in different countries was estimated at 5.7 million hectares (ha) or 0.4% of the global cropland area.

As noted above, the demand for biofuels has been on a global rise owing to the expectation that biofuel production has a low CO2 emission and can serve as a good alternative for fossil fuels. Besides their low CO2 emission potential, the growing attention being paid to biofuels, from the point of view of the developing countries that are considered destinations, has to do with the expectation that their production may help in improving food security by empowering farmers ability to buy food on the market. Governments in developing countries have played and continue to play a marked role in this process as they have been giving away land for foreign investors which they often term as having been underutilized or "idle land", for biofuel production. Moreover, biofuel production is also expected to provide better opportunities for improved terms of trade to the host countries by enabling farmers to get a better price for their
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14 See, Eide Asbjørn, "The Right to Food and the Impact of Liquid Biofuels (Agrofuels), supra note 4. Large-scale Land Acquisitions and Leases: A set of core principles and measures to address the human rights challenge, Briefing Note by the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food, (Geneva, UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2009), See also, Gunther Fischer, Harrij van Velthuizen and Mahendra Shah, et al., "Global Agro-Ecological Assessment for Agriculture in the 21st Century", (Rome, FAO), and International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA, 2002), Considering that close to 95 per cent of the cropland in Asia has already been utilized, the demand for cropland for the sake of large-scale production is growingly being geared to Latin American and African countries where most of the demand for increased arable land will concentrate. These are also the regions which according to the Global Agro-ecological Assessment have been identified as being locations where most of the world’s reserve agricultural land (up to 80 per cent) is concentrated. In Sub-Saharan Africa, countries that have been destinations include, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Tanzania, Democratic Republic of Congo, Madagascar, Mali, Somalia, Sudan, and Zambia. In Latin America, targets include, inter alia, Brazil, Argentina, Colombia.

15 See, Peter Thoenes, "Biofuels and Commodity Markets: Palm Oil Focus", (Rome, FAO Commodities and Trade Division, 2006).

16 L. Cotula, N. Dyer, &, S. Vermeulen, "Fueling Exclusion?", supra note, 13, See also, F.O. Licht, "World Ethanol and Biofuels Report", Vol. 6, No. 9, 10, (2008), Ethanol imports destined to the EU as of 2007 rose by 43 per cent in the first three quarters of 2007 up to 650 million litres, mainly from Brazil, the US and Pakistan.


18 FAO, The State of Food and Agriculture, (Rome, FAO, 2008), pp. 14 et sqq., at p. 15. Globally, bioethanol production is mostly concentrated in Brazil and the United States, which together accounted for nearly 90 per cent of the total in 2007. Biodiesel production is geographically concentrated within the European Union, which accounted for 60 per cent of global output in 2007. Moreover, ethanol accounts for 40 per cent of non-diesel fuel in Brazil, which produces nearly 40 per cent of the world’s total production, which stood at 9 billion liters in 2007.

19 Ibid, See also, Namburete, H.E.S., “Mozambique biofuels”, Presentation held at the African Green Revolution Conference, Oslo, Norway, 31 August – 2 September 2006. For instance, the government of Mozambique has stated that only 9 per cent of the country’s 36 million ha of arable land are currently in use and that there is the possibility of bringing into production an additional 41.2 million ha of marginal land currently not being used.
agricultural commodities.\textsuperscript{21} For the countries that possess abundant land, however, lacking other natural endowments, biofuels have been prescribed as new development and investment opportunities.\textsuperscript{22} At a period when hikes in oil price are predicted, biofuel production has also been recommended to poor countries as a sustainable way to ensure energy security.\textsuperscript{23}

More specifically, biofuels, such as biodiesel made from palm oil and ethanol made from sugarcane, corn and soybean, accounted for about 1\% of the total road transport in 2005, while this rate is expected to reach 25\% by 2050, with the EU\textsuperscript{24} having set targets as high as 10\% by 2020.\textsuperscript{25} For developing countries, such as Indonesia and Malaysia\textsuperscript{26}, for instance, the peak in the demand for biofuels has been conceived as a means of investment in rural areas to improve livelihoods and increase export earnings.\textsuperscript{27} Notwithstanding the afore discussions on the drivers as well as anticipated benefits of biofuels/agrofuels especially in terms providing an alternative for dependence on fossil fuel, which is the largest contributor of global GHG emission in energy, as will be seen below, the production process that underlie biofuels/agrofuels has not actually resulted in a sustainable substitute of energy and has as a result caused environmental
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impacts. As a consequence of this, their production process has exhibited restrictions on the realization of the right to food. What have been the reasons behind?

Starting with the concern raised that biofuels as not being a sustainable source of energy, the unease has to do with the fact that in terms of energy efficiency, biofuels only offer a very small gain over petrol, and that at present, their production has resulted in a minimal reduction in GHG emission. In connection to this, some studies have shown that biofuels will actually have a negative effect on GHG emissions. In this regard, when GHG reduction emanating from the use of biofuel is to be compared with that of fossil fuels, which depends upon land use and the source of land for biofuels production, as a result of clearing of new land for biofuels production, large emission of GHGs can be generated which is often referred as “carbon debt”. This elucidates that the cultivation of feedstocks such as agricultural raw materials like maize, palm oil or sugar cane, demand the possession of as well as conversion of large tracts of land into plantations as a result contributing to high rates of GHG. Consequently, this peak in demand has galvanized the increasing incentive for land leases and/or acquisition in the countries, inter alia, Sub-Saharan Africa, where land ownership rights are insufficiently
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35 For instance, ethanol which is produced from sugar cane and corn have been behind deforestation in Brazil as well as a weak energetic balance in the U.S. In a similar vein, the production of biodiesel produced from palm oil has been blamed for causing deforestation in Indonesia. See, Elisabeth Caesens and Maritere Padilla Rodriguez, , "Climate Change and the Right to Food: A Comprehensive Study", Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung (ed), Publication Series on Ecology, Vol. 8, (Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung, Colombia Law School 2009), pp. 31 et sqq., at p. 33.
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protected. In this regard, studies conducted by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the WB, have concurred that massive amount of land has already been acquired for the purpose of biofuel production in developing countries.

2. Ramifications on the Right to Food

Taking the above discussion into consideration, what have been upshots of these developments on the realization of the right to food?

Firstly, the pace with which agrofuel production has increased, has led to hikes in the price of some crops in the international market. This is due to the fact that the food crops being utilized as energy crops, such as ethanol, also represent a major part for the diets of poor people. Energy crops that are on high demand in the international market for biofuel production include, for example, maize, sugar cane, soy, cassava, palm oil and sorghum which constitute close to 30% of mean calorie consumption of people living in chronic hunger. As such, as a consequence of peaks in biofuel production, the process of land conversion from food to the production of energy crops has resulted in the reduction of food supply and as a result, has caused hikes in food prices therefore restraining the affordability of food supply. For instance, according to an internal WB memorandum, change in land use for the production of biofuels has been responsible for 75% of the hikes in food prices. A similar study by FAO/OECD in 2007 has revealed that, due to the production of biofuels, 20-50% of price peak in food commodities was expected to take place in 2016. A 2011 report on food price volatility has
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moreover disclosed that the prices of food commodities are markedly higher than they would have been under a context of no biofuel production.\textsuperscript{45} As a consequence of hikes in food price, the realization of the right to adequate food is significantly strained.\textsuperscript{46} This is so because rising food prices will directly make food inaccessible\textsuperscript{47} for poor households that spend a considerable share of their income on food.\textsuperscript{48} Additionally, the constraint posed by rising food prices will also hinge upon poor small-scale farmers. Even though small-scale farmers may benefit by selling their produce on the market, they are for the most part net-food buyers.\textsuperscript{49} The underlying reason for this is that these farmers rely on a combination of sources of income in order to ensure food provision, especially during lean seasons.\textsuperscript{50} Moreover, even when food prices have gone up in the international market for food, due to their weak bargaining position, small-scale farmers, mainly those living in low-income countries that have minimal means to protect the public against hikes in price, are forced to sell their crops at a low price.\textsuperscript{51}

Secondly, in addition to rising crop prices, the rush for biofuel production impedes on the realization of the right to food because the production of agrofuels, in this regard bioethanol, for instance, which currently constitutes the largest share for biofuel production, relies on land concentration and large-scale agriculture which causes a large percentage of GHG emission.\textsuperscript{52} This is because industrial agriculture for energy crop production relies on the extensive utilization of large amounts of "water, agrochemicals, tractors, transportation, processing, commercialization and trade", which have large amount of GHG generating fossil fuels.\textsuperscript{53} As a case in point, for instance, between 2000-2006, with the view to compensate for the shortage in arable land needed for feedstock so as to produce biofuels and to substitute for rapeseed oil

\textsuperscript{46} General Comment 12, and International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Article 11(1), supra note 2.
\textsuperscript{47} According to General Comment 12, Economic accessibility implies that personal or household financial costs associated with the acquisition of food for an adequate diet should be at a level such that the attainment and satisfaction of other basic needs are not threatened or compromised. See, General Comment 12: Paragraph 13.
\textsuperscript{48} See, Note on the Impacts of the EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, Mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food, 23 April 2013, United Nations Human Rights office of the High Commission., Poor households spend between 70-80 per cent of their earnings on food.
\textsuperscript{49} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{50} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{51} Ibid, Small-scale farmers are unable to reap the benefits of higher commodity prices in the international market because of their lack of, information, storage facilities, and in part because they face a limited number of dominant commodity buyers, who can dictate relatively low prices to the producers.
\textsuperscript{53} Emanuelli Maria, Jonsen Jennie & Suarez Sofia (eds.), Red Sugars, Green Deserts, supra note 41, pp. 49 et sqq., at p.65.
diverted from food to fuel uses, palm oil imports of the EU have doubled.\textsuperscript{54} Such land use change often termed, indirect land-use change\textsuperscript{55}, however, rolls-back the land which is to be used in other countries. This is because a number of developing countries are setting aside land both for energy crops production as well as for the sake of meeting EU food production demands.\textsuperscript{56} This trend nevertheless threatens to take away the land available for domestic food production needed to feed households as well as local communities.\textsuperscript{57} As a consequence, the growing competition for food production inputs, \textit{inter alia}, access to land, water, and other resources, will hinge upon the realization of the right to food in these developing countries, by snatching away needed inputs for food production.

Thirdly, in addition to price hikes, and promotion of large-scale agriculture, the form of production that underlie biofuels, moreover, threatens to seize land available for agricultural production for small-scale farmers as well as that available for indigenous populations.\textsuperscript{58} In this vein, national policy, as well as market incentives that promote the conversion of land to biofuel production, will automatically lead to a rise in the value of land.\textsuperscript{59} In this regard, the EU's Renewable Energy Directive\textsuperscript{60}, besides putting in place mandatory targets and subsidizing biofuels, "... not only creates a heavily distorted biofuel market, but it also encourages an artificial land market, boosting land values and transforming it into a profitable asset for investors".\textsuperscript{61} Hence, due to the opportunity foreseen, small-scale farmers that have made their living on the land being sought will risk being displaced as a result further restraining their food security.\textsuperscript{62} The right to food is restrained in this context because, in order to make way for the production of biofuels, the possibilities of poor farmers to feed themselves either directly from productive land or other natural resources will be taken away.\textsuperscript{63} Moreover, according to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (UNCESCR), this is in violation of the right to adequate food because biofuel production seizes the land available and needed "...either for feeding oneself directly from productive land or other natural resources".\textsuperscript{64}

\textsuperscript{54} Thoenes P., "Biofuels and Commodity Markets: Palm Oil Focus", supra note 15.
\textsuperscript{55} Indirect land use change takes place when land previously used to grow food or animal feed is turned over to grow biofuels thereby displacing the original land use into new areas., See, Note on The Impacts of The EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, supra note 36.
\textsuperscript{56} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{57} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{60} See, European Union Renewable Energy Directive, 2009/28/EC.
\textsuperscript{62} L. Cotula, N. Dyer, & S. Vermeulen, "Fuelling Exclusion?", supra note, 13, Although in some cases, this could give new opportunities to poor farmers.
\textsuperscript{63} General Comment: Paragraph 12., supra note 2.
\textsuperscript{64} Ibid.
Likewise, as a consequence of this, the economic accessibility of adequate food will be slashed in that these farmers that no longer possess productive land, may not have the financial means so as to acquire food for an adequate diet which "...should be at a level such that the attainment and satisfaction of other basic needs are not threatened or compromised".\textsuperscript{65} Moreover, small-scale farmers constitute a small share in the production of energy crops as the production process requires an integrated industrial organization of production, which comprises factory processing, transport, and distribution.\textsuperscript{66} This further ascertains how the production of biofuels does not create economic incentives for farmers to be able to ensure the provision of adequate food for themselves and their families.\textsuperscript{67} This is against the common expectation that biofuels will help ensure rural development and poverty alleviation in the regions that have become common destinations.\textsuperscript{68} Notwithstanding this, as noted above, there is evidence\textsuperscript{69} to contend that the production process for biofuels demands a mode of farming that is capital-intensive and as a result only benefits large agricultural producers - as opposed to small-scale farmers - that have better connections to the markets.\textsuperscript{70} As such, this denotes that the benefits to be garnered from the production process would go towards international investors and local elites, at the expense of the community that is poverty and food insecurity stricken.\textsuperscript{71} Therefore, this development impinges on the right to food of local communities due to the fact that an area where small-scale farming was practiced is replaced by large-scale and heavily-mechanized monocultures. This will give way to a context where many of the former land users end up jobless and landless further restraining their economic as well as physical accessibility to ensure adequate food.\textsuperscript{72}

Fourthly, it is evident that the demand for biofuels is mainly driven by developed countries, while production is concentrated in developing countries that possess the comparative advantage for biofuel production in a more efficient and cost-effective manner.\textsuperscript{73} This trend promotes a form of development focused on cash crop production in developing

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{65} Ibid: Paragraph 13.
  \item \textsuperscript{66} See, Eide Asbjørn, The Right to Food and the Impact of Liquid Biofuels/Agrofuels, supra note 4.
  \item \textsuperscript{67} See, General Comment 12: Paragraph, 12. supra note 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{68} See, Note on the Impacts of the EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, supra note 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{70} See, Note on the Impacts of the EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, supra note 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{71} For example, in a recently leased land in Mali, which could conservatively sustain 112,537 farming families, in the hands of 22 investors who plan to employ a few thousand plantation workers, See, Note on the Impacts of the EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, supra note 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{72} See, General Comment 12, Paragraphs 12 and 13, supra note 2. and Note on the Impacts of the EU Biofuels Policy on The Right to Food, supra note 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{73} Building resilience: A Human Rights Framework for World Food and Nutrition Security, supra note 4.
\end{itemize}
countries so as to satisfy the needs of developed countries. Nevertheless, this may lead to
distorted development benefiting the interest of a minority producing crops for exports against
the interests of other agricultural producers. As high demand for biofuels is bound to lead to
price increases for food, people's access to land for production will be snatched. Nonetheless,
this trend will happen alongside increasing oil prices which means that the effect will
automatically result in an increase of agricultural prices because of its influence on the prices
for agricultural input. In relation to this, the increase in demand for agricultural products will
additionally cause a reduction in the land available for both pasture and grazing.

As the above examples demonstrate, the rising demand for the production of energy
crops, by the EU for instance, when looked at from the angle of climate change and State
responsibility, is in contravention to the State duty to respect the right to adequate food. In this
vein, the UNCESCR has highlighted that States as part of their duty to respect the right to
food, should avoid contributing to practices that harm the environment which as a consequence
constrains access of the public to adequate food. The current rush for biofuel production, by
Annex I countries to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) notably the EU, is as such in violation of the State duty to respect the right to adequate food. In line with this, this development under which developing countries mainly in Sub-Saharan Africa, Asia, and Latin America have given large tracts of land for biofuel
production, shows that the States concerned have acted in contravention of their international
duties. These developments have had a negative effect on the right to adequate food. This is
because, by giving away the land that provides the basic means of livelihood for local
communities, the State Parties to the international human rights instruments (mainly the
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, ICESCR) are acting in
violation of their duty to fulfill the right to adequate food which requires the State concerned
to act proactively to create an enabling environment where people can become self-reliant for
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82 See, General Comment 12: Paragraph 15, see also, UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
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food production. However, in the circumstance that a State knowingly contributes to an environment that will expose the public to become more dependent, as a consequence of losses in land, for instance, the State concerned is acting in violation of its duty to fulfill. In this vein, failure by the State to help the population so affected due to the loss of resources for livelihood, in finding new food alternatives, leads to a violation of the duty to fulfill the right to adequate food.

As such, as can be grasped from this discussion, the peak in the demand for biofuel production as an alternative for fossil fuel, besides providing a questionable alternative to reduce GHGs, has resulted in restrictions on the realization of the right to adequate food.

3. Concluding Reflections on the Ethical Implications of the Use of Agrofuels

The interplay between the need to find environmentally sustainable sources of energy and the States’ obligation to ensure access to adequate food for everyone, has controversial implications, since the former may risk to hinder and obfuscate the latter.

In addition to the externalities that the choice for biofuels risks to generate with regard to food security, data show the increasing trend of small farmers and indigenous peoples displacement from their lands, as well as of gender unbalances in access and control over lands and productive assets.

In order to overcome a Manichean vision of the biofuels as the inadequate cure to climate threats, a comprehensive policy framework is probably the most viable solution, to regulate the balance of interests of environmental and fundamental rights’ protection. In a perspective de jure condendo, a regulatory reform should take into account the environmental benefits of biofuels (which include, among others, the reduction of GHGs and of local pollution; the biodegradability of bio-ethanol; the replacement of current fossil fuels; the production of lower levels of carbon dioxide emissions) on the one side, and the need to guarantee the protection of fundamental rights (to food, water, adequate standard of living and housing, health, self-determination, gender equality and culture) on the other side.
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86 North American 2007 Energy Bill (the Energy Independent and Security Act of 2007, or EISA), that aims to ensure that biofuels policies result in renewable energy that mitigates dangerous climate change without compromising on other rights, such as the unintended consequences on the wildlife, the environment and the food production. Progress has been made towards reconciling different interests also in the EU legislation, in Section 27 of Directive (EU) 2015/15 DIRECTIVE (EU) 2015/1513 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 September 2015 amending Directive 98/70/EC relating to the quality of petrol and diesel.