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Contemporary Sámi Art in the Making of Sámi Art History: The Work of Geir Tore Holm, Outi Pieski and Lena Stenberg

By Monica Grini

This essay is an attempt to answer the following questions: How do contemporary artists like Geir Tore Holm, Outi Pieski and Lena Stenberg contribute to Sámi art history? And does their work have a reflexive effect on this history? I was initially asked to address these topics as part of the public defence of my doctoral dissertation entitled Samisk kunst i norsk kunsthistorie: Historiografiske riss (Sámi art in Norwegian art history: A historiographical study; Grini 2016). In a broader sense, the issue is how contemporary artists contribute to Sámi art history, if they do so at all. This is a fundamental question, and one that is also applicable to the discipline of art history in general. How do contemporary artists contribute to art history? If history is understood as something that has to do with the past, then the question is about the various relationships between the past and the present. This question suggests that the past, explained as history, is not a static entity, but is, instead, something that must constantly be interpreted from a contemporary perspective. Since history also has to do with narratives — with stories — the question is also about how contemporary artists contribute to our narratives about art.

Following from this point, we may well ask to exactly which narrative about Sámi art the introductory question refers, as there are several such narratives. Some might point out that the history of Sámi art has only been written in a fragmentary or episodic form and not as a lengthy, diachronic, written history in keeping with the discipline’s predominant tropes and narrative structures. But does ‘art history’ refer only to a written narrative? How do artists and artworks influence such narratives? And what are the relationships between artist, work, written narrative, and historian? In what ways can art and artists of the present change the stories of the past?

In 1940 the celebrated art historian Harry Fett (1875–1962) published the article ‘Finnmarksviddens kunst’ (The art of the Finnmark Plateau), where he described, among other things, the history of what he called ‘the art of the Sámi’. I would argue here that had Fett not become aware of the artist John Savio (1902–1938) and certain aspects of the latter’s work and self-representa-
tion, he would not have attempted to write such an outline of the art of the Sámi. Savio’s emphasis on Sámi features, both in his work and in the way he presented himself, led Fett to view and synthesise it as Sámi art as a whole. In other words, Savio’s art and his self-presentation can be said to function reflexively on previous works, practices, and artists, all of which became parts of Fett’s more cohesive narrative.

Performing Sámi art history

Holm (b. 1966), Pieski (b. 1973), and Stenberg (b. 1961) are contemporary artists, or contemporaneous artists, in the sense that they are living at the same time, they produce art at the same time, they are from roughly the same generation, and they are all alive today. Arguably, they are also contemporary artists in the sense that their works relate in various ways to the prevailing trends in what is often defined as contemporary art. For example, they incorporate a variety of media and practices in their works.³

How, then, do these contemporary artists contribute to Sámi art history? I will take a look at some practices and themes put forward by Holm, Pieski, and Stenberg’s works and actions in order to posit possible answers to this question. Fruitful approaches are found in theories of performativity, and I have already implied such an approach in the brief description of Savio and Fett above.⁴ Theories of performativity attempt to shift the focus away from what someone (or something, such as a work of art) is, to what he or she (or it) does. From such a perspective, an artist’s biography, for example, becomes more than a matter of passive aspects, of self-explanatory, a priori facts that the art historian merely has to ‘dig out’. Rather, such biographies become a question of what artists do, how they actively make their decisions, and how they present and situate themselves and their works within a range of both explicit and implicit contexts.

It may be rewarding to view the artist Geir Tore Holm from such a performative angle. His artistic career will be a primary focus of this chapter. In an interview with Hanna H. Hansen (2007), Holm presents himself as follows:

I grew up in Manndalen, in the municipality of Kåfjord in Troms, and I have always seen myself as a Sámi. My parents don’t consider themselves to be Sámi. For them, being Sámi meant something else — it meant something that wasn’t good to be. They were manndalinger, but they weren’t Sámi. My father spoke Sámi. My mother knew Sámi when she had to.⁵

Holm is an artist who assumes multiple positions, and his life and art cannot be neatly divided into two distinct components. He currently lives on the farm
Fig. 1: John Savio, *The Morning After/Beavvi mannil*, undated, often referred to as a self-portrait. Hand coloured linocut on paper. Photo: The National Museum of Art, Architecture and Design.

Øvre Ringstad in the south of Norway, along with his partner Søssa Jørgensen (b. 1968) who is also an artist. The couple have collaborated on several projects, and it is not always possible to distinguish their artistic careers from each other. Holm often refers to himself as a farmer, and, in recent years, the Øvre Ringstad estate has played a key role in the works of both Holm and Jørgensen. Holm once explained in an interview that moving out into the countryside and becoming a farmer was meant as an artistic and political statement.6
Holm graduated from the Trondheim Academy of Fine Art in 1995, and he is also a trained landscape gardener. He has worked as a lecturer at the Bergen Academy of Fine Art, and he fronted the preparatory work that led to the Academy of Contemporary Art and Creative Writing in Romsa. Recently, he has been working as a research fellow at the Oslo National Academy of the Arts with the project Poetics for Changing Aesthetics. The topics he explores in this project include how ‘language and textual articulation work […] within identity politics in the visual arts’. As I see it, Holm himself seems to take a performative approach to his material, as he focuses on what language does rather than simply viewing language as a passive reflection of reality. Such a perspective is in line with J.L. Austin’s speech act theory.

As for his own, multifaceted practice, Holm has been lauded as an ‘inspirational figure and an organising force within the Sámi art scene’. He has worked as the leader of the Sámi Art Festival, served as an acquisition consultant for the Sámi Arts Council and chaired the artistic committee of the Sámi Artist Council. He has also been actively engaged in organisations that do not specifically concern the Sámi art world, for example, by serving as the chair of the artist-run membership organisation and exhibition space The Young Artists’ Society (UKS) in Oslo.

Holm has also curated a number of exhibitions, such as River Deep, Mountain High, which was shown at the Sámi Centre for Contemporary Art in Kárásjohka as part of the Sámi Art Festival in 2002. The exhibition later went on tour under the auspices of the Norwegian Touring Exhibitions programme, along with works by the artists Jenny-Marie Johnsen (b. 1960), Svein Flygari Johansen (b. 1959), Snorre Ytterstad (b. 1969), Mattias Härenstam (b. 1971), Vanessa Baird (b. 1963), Minna Saastamoinen (b. 1967), Elinor Ström (b. 1968), and Jorma Puranen (b. 1951), none of whom were members of the Sámi Artists’ Union at the time. Holm wanted, thereby, to query established identity markers and reflect on the optics and contexts provided by the term ‘Sámi art’. A catalogue was also produced to accompany the exhibition, which included the essay ‘Hva er samisk kunst?’ (What is Sámi art?) by Synnøve Persen (b. 1950), who is a visual artist, poet, and — like Holm — an influential figure in the Sámi art world. Similarly, Holm writes his own texts, both poetry and prose. Several of these texts may even be seen as central works both in his artistic career and in Sámi art history. Moreover, the genre-transcending practices of Holm and Persen can be seen as typical tendencies in Sámi art history, a point to which I will return.

In July 2016, Holm curated the Obsidian Gaze exhibition, which reportedly featured ‘the most prominent indigenous artists from all over the world’ at the Center of Northern Peoples in Olmmáivággi (Nor. Manndalen), as part of the annual Riddu Riddu festival. Outi Pieski contributed with a specially com-
missioned piece. Other artists included Iver Jåks (1932–2007), Per Enoksson (b. 1965), Jeffrey Gibson (b. 1972), and Jimmie Durham (b. 1940), just to name a few. It is also interesting that Holm included a historical object from the Department of Ethnography at the Museum of Cultural History in Oslo: a pole from the Ainu people of Japan from the 1890s, which was described long-ago by an observer as ‘a model of the carved and decorated pole to which the Ainu, during the Bear Festival, bind the bear before it is killed. It has been crafted in a rough style’. The pole was reportedly acquired from the German merchant Adolf Traugott Dattan (1854–1924), who travelled between Shanghai, Nagasaki, and Vladivostok around the turn of the previous century.

In the present context we may well ask, how does the reception of the pole change when the context switches from an ethnographical museum to a contemporary art exhibition? What reflexive effects does Holm’s inclusion of the historical pole have on this context and on the pole itself? And also, what reflexive effects does Holm’s curatorial work have on, for example, Jåks’ work of...
art and on his artistic representation as a whole? A tentative answer is that, at the very least, it cements Jåks’ position as a significant artist on the international contemporary art scene as well as reaffirming his position as one of the key-figures in Sámi art history, at the same time that the century-old pole of the Ainu people is given renewed currency as art. Or, to use a term coined by Dan Karlholm, who has written extensively on questions of contemporaneity and historical representation, it is actualised as art, no matter its age, its meaning or its use in Ainu society.14

Geir Tore Holm may thus be seen as a key participant in, and indeed an instigator of, various networks that incorporate places, objects, actors, practices,
institutions, concepts, texts, and images in multifaceted and overlapping ways. His practice revivifies artistic careers and objects from both the past and the present by placing them in new contexts, thereby creating various narratives of Sámi art or of international indigenous art. Holm not only emerges as a central contributor to Sámi art history, but also as a contributor to other stories about art. By producing catalogues and exhibitions, he consequently helps shape Sámi art history in an entirely concrete way, regardless of whether such a history has yet been written as a continuous narrative by an art historian.

Obviously, Holm is also a major contributor to histories of Sámi art through his own works. One of his pieces, which crops up repeatedly in exhibitions, catalogues, and texts that present Sámi art, is New Flag (2004), often interpreted as referring to the Sámi flag and its use of the primary colours yellow, red, and blue, here represented as berries in jam jars. With its focus on colours and flags, Holm’s piece can be contextualised within a debate on the place of colours in
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Fig. 5: Geir Tore Holm, New Flag (cloudberries, lingonberries, bilberries)/Oðða leavga (luomi, jokna, sarrit), 2004. Photography/C-print.

Fig. 6: Synnøve Persen, Sámi flag, 1978. Fabric. Photo: Anne-Lise Reinsfeldt/Norsk Folkemuseum.

Fig. 7: Anders Sunna, 4 Nation Army, 2013. Spray-paint on flag.
national art. When art history became a separate discipline in Norway in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries and efforts were made to develop the institution and concept of ‘Norwegian art’, art historians Lorentz Dietrichson (1834–1917) and Andreas Aubert (1851–1913) debated whether there was a particularly Norwegian outlook on colour. If such an outlook could be identified, some argued, then one could speak of a genuinely national art. As Synnøve Persen and others have pointed out, this topic has also been debated in Sámi contexts.

When the reception of Holm’s New Flag relates it to the Sámi flag, both the work itself and its title may be said to reactivate other, pre-existing works found in histories of Sámi art. The connections to the Sámi flag harken back to a number of histories about participants, textiles, politics, patterns, and colour schemes. The earliest known use of the Sámi flag was reportedly in Kárásjohka in the 1960s. Also the history of Synnøve Persen’s flag is important. The flag was shown at Sámi Ál’bmut (1978), the first exhibition featuring what gradually became the Sámi Artist Group, also known as the Masi Group, which served as a foundation for the later institutionalisation of Sámi art. Leif Pareli, a conservator at Norsk Folkemuseum in Oslo, has pointed out what a stir it created that a distinctly Sámi flag was launched at such an institution. The official Sámi flag was adopted at a conference in Ååren in 1986, when the artist Astrid Båhl’s design was chosen among 27 proposals. This dimension may also be extended to the younger artist Anders Sunna (b. 1985) and his more recent work 4 Nation Army (2013), which makes use of spray-paint on the official Sámi flag.

Holm’s New Flag may be said to raise questions as to how our surroundings shape us through what we take in, both literally and figuratively. It discusses this by linking nutrition, our surroundings, and nature to the symbolic, represented by the flag, as both cause and effect of various identity formations. Such identity formations, as both cause and effect and as part of both internal and external processes, are also explored and problematised in works such as Give Blood! (2006). The work explores, among other things, blood as a metaphor for kinship and identity, as well as notions of belonging to different peoples, and how such notions have been linked to narratives about the place of certain peoples in certain surroundings, or places, or soils.

Such themes are also seen in Omasum (2010), a door handle cast in brass and bronze for the main entrance of the Eastern Sámi Museum in Njauddâm. The handle’s shape is inspired by the shape of the reindeer’s omasum, that is the third of a ruminant’s four stomachs, which helps such animals absorb and use the nutrients in the food they eat. The omasum is also related to human meals, for example being used for blood sausages in traditional Sámi cuisine. On a symbolic level, Holm’s handle may allude to the reindeer’s importance in Sámi culture and identity.
Omasum may also be related to another famous piece in Sámi art history: Iver Jåks’s iconic bronze handle on the door of another Sámi museum, the Sámiid Vuorká-Dávvirat in Kárásjohka, from 1972. Both handles are characterised by their organic and symbolic qualities. Jåks’s handle may be said to have a phallic, organic form, while at the same time evoking the handle on the backside of the Sámi bowl drum. In Sámi tradition, the noáidi could use such a drum to travel to ‘other worlds’ in time and space. It was also used as a tool of orientation, for example, in order to acquire information on where it was best to let the reindeer graze.

The handle can symbolise an important tradition of material and knowledge in Sámi culture, and both Holm’s and Jåks’s handles may be related to the importance of museums as conveyors of tradition and knowledge. The Sámi drum can be seen as a door-opener to both other worlds and new insights, while the omasum can be interpreted as a metaphor for the intake of nutrients in the form of knowledge. When visitors open the door to the respective museums, these possibilities open up as well.

Key works by Outi Pieski and Lena Stenberg may also be said to activate such long-term perspectives on symbols, epistemic traditions, and material and visual culture within Sámi contexts. Outi Pieski graduated from the De-
partment of Painting at the Academy of Fine Arts in Helsinki in 2000. She grew up in Helsinki and is based there, but also lives and works in Ohcejohka in Northern Finland, where her father’s family originates. The northern landscape seems to greatly inspire her, as many of her paintings allude to specific places and landscapes in their titles. One example is the picture whose title, *Teno River by Night* (2013), refers to the river that serves as the border between Norway and Finland but that is also part of a pan-Sámi, transnational landscape on both sides of the river. By framing her pictures with silk threads similar to the colourful, veil-like threads hanging down from the silk shawls seen in certain Sámi garments, Pieski calls attention to the fact that these landscapes are indeed populated, despite their desolate appearance in her pictures and in contradiction to certain conceptions about the area as so called *terra nullius* or ‘no man’s land’.23
The landscape has shaped human life in the area, and human activity has shaped the landscape. Even though her landscape paintings are usually devoid of human life or infrastructure, vestiges of human activity and creativity are nevertheless ever-present in Pieski’s works, often by way of textile elements that allude to what has traditionally been seen as women’s clothing and activities. Pieski’s paintings may thus be said to counteract established dichotomies between nature and culture. In her pictures, these distinctions merge as two sides of the same coin.24

Fig. 12: Outi Pieski, Teno River by Night/Deatnu ijabealte, 2015. Acrylic on canvas, thread. Photo: Jussi Tiainen.
Like Holm and Jåks, Pieski has collaborated with major representative institutions in the Sámi heartland. But while the above-referenced pieces by Holm and Jåks were for museums, Pieski has created such works for political bodies, including installations for the Sámi Parliaments in both Finland and Norway.

These installations may be linked to elements in some of the traditional garments worn by Sámi women. In her installation at the Norwegian Sámi Parliament Building in Kárásjohka, for example, patterns alluding silk shawls are arranged in repetitive V-shapes formed by multi-coloured silk fringes. Consisting,
thereby, of both presence and absence, the installation creates both opaque and transparent patterns and colours that change according to where the spectator is located. Similarly, the installation at the Finnish Sámi Parliament Building in Aanaar uses effects such as stylised and magnified elements from the silver jewellery used in the Sámi costumes.

Pieski’s installation Golden Coat (2006) consists of, among other things, a Northern Sámi garment, a gâkti, made from coffee bags and a shawl made from candy wrappings; however, the traditional fringe technique has been retained for the silk threads. The installation also includes a photograph of the coffee-bag outfit in use. The installation alludes to certain aspects of Sámi duodji, an aesthetic and material practice and epistemic tradition that, in certain understandings, emphasises that no material should be wasted and that artisans should use what is on hand in their surroundings.

The discussion of domestic aesthetics and material practices is also at play in many of Holm’s works. The piece Handrail (2009) directly refers to the use of the resources at hand, represented by a photograph of a broken rail repaired with a mustard bottle and a plastic tube.

Fig. 14: Outi Pieski, Golden coat/Gollegákti, 2006. Wrapping paper, reindeer fur, fabric, thread and photo. Installation in 3 parts.

Fig. 15: Outi Pieski, Golden coat/Gollegákti, 2006. Detail of installation. Photo: Monica Grini
While Pieski’s works embody a meticulous aesthetics, Holm seems to explore a different aesthetical typology in this example, perhaps an ‘aesthetics of necessity’, to borrow a concept from Joar Nango (b. 1979). Nango is another artist operating in this field. He uses this concept to describe his documentation of what he calls the ‘do-it-yourself construction within Northern architecture’, a type of building activity that requires local knowledge and, often, pragmatic solutions in order to satisfy immediate, urgent needs. In such architecture, the building’s structure and placement often change several times over the course of a year, in line with the shifting challenges of the various seasons and ensuing relocations. Holm’s handrail photograph is part of a project in which he documents his childhood upbringing at home in Olmmáivággí, examining how his parents, despite living at a time when Norwegian petroleum wealth had greatly increased purchasing power, continued a particular practice of reuse and repair.

By using everyday waste from her contemporary surroundings in the time-consuming work required to create a lavish outfit like a gákti, Pieski emphasises that it is not only wood, bone, wool, and other natural materials that are present in Sámi aesthetic practices. Countering established stereotypes in this manner, she relates the gákti to coffee, sugar, and other global products that stem from various places around the world, far away from Sápmi, that are used in different ways — and that acquire different meanings — in different local prac-
tices. By using products that hail from a global, centuries-old exchange of trade, Pieski also calls attention to the fact that exchanges between Sápmi and other regions throughout the world are nothing new. She has retained the shawl’s silk threads, which may also be viewed in relation to such long-term cross-cultural exchanges.

Additionally, Pieski’s art can be compared to art historical concepts such as appropriation, assemblage, and collage, in that she either uses or quotes preexisting materials and reassembles these materials in new ways.

Lena Stenberg graduated from the Konstfack University College of Arts, Crafts and Design in Stockholm in 1990. Stenberg grew up in a family of reindeer herders in Northern Sweden. She has recounted how her family moved to a village fifty kilometers from Giron so that she would be closer to school and thus be able to travel the long way by school bus, rather than have to move to a boarding school for Sámi children as her parents had done when they were young.26 She often deals with themes such as having a home or creating a new home in a new place. In several works, she has experimented with the lávvu form, for example, in the work Mobility (2014), which she created for the exhibition Beauty and Truth.27

Mobility may be explained by the concept of assemblage. The piece consists of several utility items made from wood and assembled together. The work may call to mind Kurt Schwitters (1887–1948) and his Merzbau, a pioneering project in avant-garde practices and assemblage methods.28 Stenberg, in Mobility, created a symbolic and transportable home, whereas Schwitters’s Merzbau was an actual home he was forced to flee and reconstruct anew each time he relocated. Both artists thematise the notion of creating a home and what is needed to feel at home there. Additionally Stenberg, like Pieski and Holm, also re-activates and plays upon already established Sámi identity markers.

At the Beauty and Truth exhibition that featured Stenberg’s piece, the artists were asked to pick a work from art history with which their own contribution was to enter into a dialogue. Stenberg chose a poem by Nils-Aslak Valkeapää (1943–2001) from his anthology Ruoktu váimmus (Trekways of the Wind). It is interesting to note that several of the other artists also chose to relate their pieces to literary works.29 Holm, who likewise participated in the exhibition, chose the poem ’Min eallin’ by Paulus Utsi (1918–1975). Kristin Tårnesvik (b. 1964), another artist who has placed herself in the field of Sámi art, picked the novel I ñyreskind (In Reindeer Hide) by Matti Aikio (1872–1929).30 Aikio’s novel was first published in 1906 and was illustrated in a later edition by the aforementioned Jáks. Both Aikio and Valkeapää also practised fine arts and may thus be seen as artists who transcended genres. Similarly, as mentioned above, poetry and prose play a key role in both Holm’s and Persen’s art, while Jáks is known for his
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poetic titles. Perhaps it is these transcendent practices that the Beauty and Truth artists wanted to call attention to when they chose to enter into a dialogue with literary works and thereby activate genre-transcending artists and their works as important actors within the history of Sámi art.

Stenberg’s painting Anne Marja, What Do You See (1994) juxtaposes four painted versions of a detail from a family photograph of her mother as a young girl. Stenberg has also used this photograph in conjunction with explorations of other photographs from her own family, for example, in the group exhibition Áibbas Rabas at the Sámi Centre for Contemporary Art in 2015. Many of the artists engaged in what the Sámi Art Research Project labels as ‘Sámi art’ have thematised their own family background in their art. As already shown, Holm is one such artist who actively uses his family background. More generally, this may also be regarded as a feature of much of today’s contemporary art. Such a tendency coincides with a similar trend in contemporary literature, one that the literary scholar Jon Helt Haarder (2007) has referred to as ‘performative biographism’. Still, if the question is how Holm, Pieski and Stenberg contribute to Sámi art

Fig. 17: Lena Stenberg, Mobility, 2014. Wood. Installation view from Beauty and Truth, Romssa Dáiddasiida, 2014. Photo: Lena Stenberg.
Fig. 18: Lena Stenberg, Anne Marja, What Do You See, 1994. Oil on canvas. Photo: Elin Haugdal.

Fig. 19: Lena Stenberg, Sisters, 1990. Screen print on rubber. From Áibbas Rabas, Sámi Centre for Contemporary Art, 2015. Photo: Liv Engholm.

Fig. 20: Lena Stenberg, Family, 1990. Screen print on rubber. From Áibbas Rabas, Sámi Centre for Contemporary Art, 2015. Photo: Liv Engholm.
history, it seems relevant to regard such identity discussions as vital features of such a story.

Anne Marja, What Do You See has been mounted in different ways at different exhibitions, for example, during the 40 years of Sámi art exhibition, in a horizontal way. This mounting accentuates the serial aspect of the portrayal, in that the image remains the same but is multiplied in various colours in a formal exploration. The repetition, the colouring, and the stylistic exploration add a sense of distance to the personal image and serve to generalise it, so that it is no longer seen primarily as a portrait of an individual but perhaps more as a universal representation.

Seen in this way, the depiction may for example be related to John Savio’s well-known portrait of a male.

Many writers have ascribed a symbolic quality to this picture by Savio, for example, as representative of what the well-known Sámi politician and intellectual Per Fokstad (1890–1973) in the 1950s called ‘the new type of Sámi’, one he predicted would face the future without apprehension, secure in his own
Where Savio’s portrait has been titled Sami in Finest Clothing, Stenberg reasserts the subjective position in her work through the title Anne Marja, What Do You See. She thereby evades the type of representation so commonly found in ethnographical works that use generalised typologies to identify people in photographs, such as ‘Sámi woman in folk costume’. Stenberg’s title may also be said to allude to one of the aspects of photography that grounding in Sámi culture.
so fascinated Roland Barthes: These eyes that belong to the past and that we see from our contemporary perspective, but that were once part of their own present day when the photograph was taken, and that continue to be present in our day and age — what do (or did) they see?22

This leads me back to the main questions I referred to in the introduction to this chapter concerning the relationship between past and present: How do contemporary artists such as Geir Tore Holm, Outi Pieski, and Lena Stenberg, contribute to Sámi art history? And do their artistic works and careers have a reflexive effect on this history? Given the examples in this text, I would argue that both questions, which are closely interlinked, may be answered in the affirmative. As I pointed out in the introduction, all history is written during the present. When artists such as Holm, Pieski, and Stenberg, actively situate their works in relation to certain things and themes, certain fields and practices, and certain concepts and oeuvres from both the past and the present, they bring to light potential ways of framing and presenting long-term developments in art history, specifically in a history of Sámi art.

A reciprocal relationship
Perhaps the questions presented in the introduction can be related to the classical question of the relationship between artist, work, and viewer and the various spheres that surround such positions and practices.

The philosopher Kjell S. Johannessen illustrated this relationship in his analysis of what he refers to as ‘aesthetic practice’.33 One of Johannessen’s main points is that none of the elements in such practice can be isolated as an individual phenomenon. Artist, work, and viewer all find themselves in relations that shape their actions and understandings, but these actions also work reflexively on these relations and understandings. The communication triangle points out that artworks are not created out of thin air, but will always stand in relation to something else as an intertextuality of which the artist is not necessarily conscious. The arrow in the opposite direction in the scheme between ‘artwork’ and ‘aesthetic practice’ emphasises that the work of art may also have a reflexive effect on the very practice within which it was created.

Thus, by focusing on duodji in her works, Pieski activates such an aesthetic practice as an important tradition in Sámi art history, even as her own practice may have a reflexive effect on this tradition. Certain perceptions of duodji may change as a result of Pieski’s art, for example, when she asserts that duodji is just as much an attitude concerning the use of materials and its ecology as it is a practice regulating the use of a specific type of material. Likewise, when Holm and Stenberg, in their respective dialogues with art history, bring leading Sámi authors and their literary works to the fore, it serves to highlight genre-tran-
scending practices in Sámi art history. Such references may in turn have a reflexive effect on, and thus expand, interpretations of Sámi art history as exclusively a history of visual arts.

The metaphor of networks can be useful in grasping the multitude of contexts or alliances between the different objects, practices, and actors that work together in various ways to create certain understandings. All of the aforementioned artists are members of a variety of networks featuring a variety of actors. Such networks may be overlapping, multifaceted, and complex. Affiliation with one network does not exclude affiliation with other networks or with other traditions, ‘worlds’, or aesthetic practices. Different aspects of the various actors, practices, and objects may manifest themselves in different ways in different contexts and cannot be pinned down to a single, unambiguous meaning. As I have sought to demonstrate, Geir Tore Holm is not only an important actor in the world of Sámi art, he is an important actor in a wide range of fields and networks which constantly communicate between themselves and which resist being split into different, separate ‘worlds’ without a good deal of simplification. Perhaps Johannessen’s diagram could be expanded by several repetitive diagrams with bidirectional arrows between them in order to encapsulate such overlaps.

All the oeuvres cited here refer to a diversity of practices and traditions, and it is vital that art historians keep our eyes open for such diversity in the works we study, even if the question is how the oeuvres contributes to a specific art history. From an analytical perspective it may be beneficial — and as a rule en-
tirely necessary — to pursue certain angles and downplay others. At the same time, however, we must be aware of this inescapable simplification encapsulated in our practice, when we try to translate a multifaceted artistic output into text by way of the inscription practices that characterise our field. It is therefore imperative that we clearly reflect on the choices we make and why we make them. Taking a ‘backwards’ approach, wherein we examine how history is constructed in the present, may further such reflection.

As with all art histories, Sámi art histories take part in networks of cross-fertilising historical and contemporary processes that both shape and work reflexively on such histories. Perhaps it might also be useful to explore how Holm’s, Pieski’s, and Stenberg’s respective artistic careers work reflexively also on other art histories. There is ample room to further examine how the actors of the present shape the various art histories of the past.
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There are as many modes of modern Indigenous art as there are Indigenous ways of living in modernity. Yet numerous confusions have led to a general lack of recognition for these arts within mainstream art worlds. Must a work be produced in Western fine art media and formats, or should we include all forms of art made by Native people during the modern period, including those designated as ‘craft’? If artists adopt Western media, must they deal with current issues, or should works which address traditional heritage be included? Are professional art school training and familiarity with contemporary avant-garde idioms prerequisites, or are apprenticeship and the use of historical styles sufficient? Colonial impositions of identity further complicate the issue. To be judged ‘Indigenous’, must the work be made by an artist whose status is legally recognized by national governments in light of arbitrary definitions of mixed, mestizo, ‘half-breed’, or Métis identity? Many postcolonial scholars and critics today reject bureaucratic and legislated assignations of identity and argue that the artist’s subjectivity, formed by extended identification with an Indigenous community, should be the primary criterion.

I would also urge that in arguing for a more inclusive narrative of global modern art it is critical that we distinguish between ‘modern’ and ‘modernist’ Indigenous arts. To do this, we need first to clarify the meanings of three interrelated terms: ‘modernity’, ‘modernization’, and ‘modernism’. Modernity is a period defined by social, political and economic changes whose origins extend back to the sixteenth century. Modernization refers to the processes, systems and ideologies that produced modernity, primary among which are capitalism, colonialism, industrialization, urbanization, nationalism and secularism. Modernism describes a range of cultural inventions through which people express their experiences of living in modernity. Marshall Berman, one of the most influential theorists of modernity, has urged such distinctions in an evocative and compelling way. ‘To be modern’, he writes,
is to experience personal and social life as a maelstrom, to find one’s world and oneself in perpetual disintegration and renewal, trouble and anguish, ambiguity and contradiction: to be part of a universe in which all that is solid melts into air. To be a modernist is to make oneself somehow at home in the maelstrom, to make its rhythms one’s own, to move within its currents in search of the forms of reality, of beauty, of freedom, of justice, that its fervid and perilous flow allows.2

Berman also strongly affirms the global nature of modernity: ‘In the twentieth century’, he writes, ‘the process of modernization expands to take in virtually the whole world, and the developing world culture of modernism achieves spectacular triumphs in art and thought’.3 Indigenous peoples and other colonized peoples have lived in modernity and actively participated in modernization from its earliest phases. Indeed, if the ‘maelstrom’ of ‘disintegration and renewal, trouble and anguish, ambiguity and contradiction’ are its markers, many Indigenous peoples have experienced modernity in particularly intense and precocious forms for hundreds of years. In most places, however, colonial policies prevented artists defined as Indigenous from engaging with artistic modernism until the middle decades of the twentieth century or later.4 Yet, despite these impediments, they have expressed their experiences of modernity in a wide range of styles and genres which can be defined as modern. ‘Modernist’ arts which respond directly to specific innovations developed by avant-gardist artists in Europe during the first decades of the twentieth century form, then, a sub-set within this large and varied corpus. This more inclusive approach allows art historians and critics to recognize the authenticity of global art forms in relation to their individual contexts of production wherever and whenever they were invented.

In relation to aesthetic practices, I propose that we identify as ‘modern’ those works made by artists who depart from inherited traditions of visual expressive culture to produce autonomous art forms which self-consciously communicate with diverse audiences through selective adoptions of Western conventions of representation. To cite some of the examples I know best, when Inuit artists in the Canadian north or Pueblo artists in the American southwest made their modern paintings, prints, carvings and photographs, they addressed both Native and non-Native viewers by drawing on Western pictorial and sculptural genres to reflect on inherited world views, historical memories, contemporary changes, and the new economies of art specific to colonized peoples living in modernity. In contrast, the modernist arts of artists like Anishinaabe painters Daphne Odjig and George Morrison engage directly with cubism, abstraction, and other conceptual and formal inventions of twentieth-century Western avant-gardes to address many of the same issues. Both modern and modernist engagements
with Western media, styles, and genres are negotiations which reinvent, modify and diverge from Western traditions in order to express uniquely Indigenous perspectives and cultural dispositions.

Indigenous modern arts are also distinguished by the need to negotiate the primitivist imaginary which lies at the heart of Western modernism. As numerous critics have shown, the idea of primitive art was invented by Europeans to comfort themselves for the cultural losses produced by modernization. In rejecting illusionistic space in favor of flatness of surface, and in radically simplifying figuration or abandoning it entirely in favor of abstraction, they sought inspiration in the arts of Indigenous peoples they believed to have remained closer to basic, primordial human truths. Because Western modernism required Indigenous cultural expressions to remain frozen in place as sources of artistic inspiration, its associated version of primitivism had a suffocating effect on the Indigenous modern. Primitive art lovers tended either to misidentify Indigenous modern arts and embrace them as the last traces of ancient ways of life or to dismiss them out of hand as inauthentic. Most museums, if they collected modern works at all, followed suit and valued twentieth-century Aboriginal paintings and sculptures as ethnographic documentation of traditional beliefs and lifestyles. Yet at the same time, modernism’s universalist ideology and promotion of individual freedom and self-expression could be liberating for Indigenous artists, providing a portal into wider art worlds in the form of a shared visual language which could be comprehended across cultural boundaries.

The time lag between the initial period of modernist innovation in continental Europe and Indigenous artists’ engagements with modernist styles and concepts made non-Western modernisms seem derivative and provincial and has continued to challenge the progressivist narrative of Western art history. This lag was directly engineered by colonial policies which fostered geographic isolation and extreme inequities of wealth -- erecting barriers to the entry of Indigenous artists into professional art schools. The disjunctive temporalities which have resulted have largely excluded Indigenous modern and modernist arts from art history surveys and museum installations of twentieth-century art. In the twenty-first century, however, accounts of modernism’s history have begun to change. The modern period in art is increasingly understood not as a unitary Euro-North American history, but as comprised of histories which have unfolded around the world at different moments in the course of the twentieth century. It is becoming possible to recognize twentieth-century Indigenous arts as new, original, authentic, and reflexive on their own terms. Such an approach not only demonstrates modernism’s fertility and availability for reinvention but also helps to realize a ‘world art history’ capable of representing multiple cultural histories and aesthetic systems.
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