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Abstract

In order to improve the understanding of how the high northern latitudes responded to the escalating warming which led to the middle Cretaceous super greenhouse climate, more temperature proxy records from the High Arctic are needed. One of the current obstacles in obtaining such records is poor age control on the Lower Cretaceous strata in the Boreal and Pan-Boreal regions.

Here, we provide a biostratigraphic framework for the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations representing the lower part of the Lower Cretaceous succession on Spitsbergen. We also attempt to date the boundary between the Agardhfjellet and the Rurikfjellet formations. This study is based on dinoflagellate cysts (dinocysts) from three onshore cores (DH1, DH2, and DH5R), and three outcrop sections (Bohemanflya, Myklegardfjellet, and Ullaberget). Relatively abundant and well-preserved dinocyst assemblages from the Rurikfjellet Formation date this unit as early Valanginian–early Barremian. The dinocyst assemblages from the Helvetiafjellet Formation are significantly impoverished and are characterised by reworking, but collectively indicate a Barremian–Aptian age for this formation.
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1. Introduction

The Early Cretaceous (~145–100.5 Ma; Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016) was characterised by major tectonic activity, climatic changes, and global perturbations in
the carbon cycle (e.g. Huber et al., 2018). The breakup of the supercontinent Pangaea which terminated around 175 Ma (e.g. Holden, 1970; Rogers & Santosh, 2004), led to the formation of two minor supercontinents: Laurasia to the north, and Gondwana to the south separated by the newly formed Tethys Ocean. The Barents Sea Shelf including Svalbard (paleolatitude 60°N at 140 Ma; calculated after Van Hinsbergen et al., 2015), Arctic Canada, Greenland and northern Russia were located at the northern flank of Laurasia as part of the large circum-Arctic, relatively cold Boreal Basin (Scotese, 2014). In the Tethys Ocean to the south, warm to tropical water conditions prevailed, leading to a bloom of calcareous nannoplankton and foraminifera. The Tethys and Boreal seas were connected by a shallow, narrow seaway between Greenland and Baltica. The seaway formed in response to rifting during the initial stage of the formation of the North Atlantic Ocean at that time (e.g. Gradstein, Kaminski & Agterberg, 1999). The palaeogeographical configuration in the Early Cretaceous favoured a diversification of marine organisms and diachroniety of ammonite bio-events, which traditionally constitute the primary tool for Cretaceous biostratigraphy (e.g. Lehmann, 2015). This has led to the creation of two separate biozonation schemes; one for the Boreal and one for the Tethyan Realm. Both are still applicable across the Jurassic–Cretaceous transition (Ogg, Hinnov & Huang, 2012).

Temperature proxy data from Early Cretaceous high latitudes are limited (Ditchfield, 1997; Littler et al., 2011; Jenkyns et al., 2012; Price and Passey, 2013), but it is assumed that the global climate was generally warm and humid with low latitudinal temperature gradients (e.g. O’Brien et al., 2017). In contrast, some studies suggest that the polar regions during the Early Cretaceous were rather cold (e.g. De Lurio and
Increased volcanic activity (including oceanic crust formation, formation of large igneous provinces, and subduction-related arc volcanism) (e.g. Johnston, Turchyn & Edmonds, 2011; Koopmann et al., 2014; Polteau et al., 2016) forced an increased concentration of atmospheric greenhouse gases (methane and CO$_2$), and led to a gradual global warming (e.g. Huber et al., 2018). A climatic maximum of this extreme global warmth, the so-called Cretaceous Hot Greenhouse climate, was reached between 95 and 80 Ma (Huber et al., 2018). During the Cretaceous Period a number of oceanic anoxic events (OAEs) led to the deposition of organic carbon-rich sediments (Leckie, Bralower & Cashman, 2002; Trabucho Alexandre et al., 2010). At least four of these events took place during the Early Cretaceous: The OAE1a, OAE1b, OAE1c, and OAE1d (Erbacher, Thurow and Littke, 1996). The most widely recognised is the OAE1a which occurred during the earliest Aptian (Leckie, Bralower and Cashman, 2002; Jenkyns, 2010; Herrle et al., 2015; Midtkandal et al., 2016). The characteristic stable carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}$C) excursions related to OAEs can be used for the correlation of carbon isotope records (Herrle et al., 2015; Midtkandal et al., 2016; Vickers et al., 2016). However, while the climatic history of the Tethys (e.g. Hochuli et al., 1999; Bottini et al., 2015; Bottini and Erba, 2018) and the European Boreal Realm (e.g. Mutterlose, Pauly & Steuber, 2009) are relatively well-studied, the climate of the Early Cretaceous Arctic is relatively less understood. Many of the published paleotemperature records contradict evidence for both warm and cool periods (e.g. Galloway et al., 2015; Hurum, Druckenmiller, et al., 2016; discussion in Vickers et al., 2016). Some of the contradictions may be due to limited temperature data from the high
Arctic and the lack of a concise biostratigraphic framework for the Cretaceous strata in this region.

On Spitsbergen (Svalbard, Arctic Norway) the Lower Cretaceous succession is divided into three formations: the Rurikfjellet, Helvetiafjellet and Carolinefjellet formations. The first biostratigraphic study of the Rurikfjellet Formation was based on macrofossils (bivalves and ammonites), and dated the formation as Berriasian – upper Hauterivian (for references see Grøsfjeld 1991). The first dinocyst-based study of the Lower Cretaceous succession on Spitsbergen was provided by Bjærke and Thusu (1976). The first comprehensive study of Lower Cretaceous dinocysts on Spitsbergen was carried out by Bjærke (1978), who observed that the dinocyst assemblages of the Berriasian, Valanginian and Hauterivian are similar to assemblages from NW Europe and Arctic Canada.

The aim of this paper is to provide a concise age model for the Lower Cretaceous Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations on Spitsbergen. The study is primarily based on dinocysts from six onshore outcrop and sediment core sections. The new data are discussed in the context of existing literature dealing with the palynology of the Arctic and the European Boreal Province.

2. Regional setting

Spitsbergen is the largest island in the Svalbard archipelago, and is located today at ca. 76–80°N. The Svalbard archipelago represents the uplifted and exposed northwestern corner of the Barents Sea Shelf. The Barents Sea Shelf is bounded to the west by the
Western Barents Sea Margin, and to the south and east by the Baltic Shield and Novaya Zemlya archipelago (e.g. Henriksen et al., 2011). During the Early Cretaceous, the Svalbard platform was part of a shallow, epicontinental sag basin (e.g. Henriksen et al., 2011) on the northern margin of Pangea (Torsvik et al., 2002). The Lower Cretaceous succession in Svalbard is over 1000 m thick and exhibits a large-scale regressive–transgressive stacking pattern. This depositional cycle was controlled by regional thermo-tectonic uplift in the north, followed by subsequent quiescence and subsidence (Gjelberg & Steel, 1995; Midtkandal, Nystuen & Nagy, 2007; Midtkandal & Nystuen, 2009). The magmatic activity in Svalbard and the surrounding areas related to the emplacement of the High Arctic Large Igneous Province (HALIP) peaked in the Barremian to early Aptian (Corfu et al., 2013; Senger et al., 2014; Polteau et al., 2016). An early Barremian uplift and associated southward tilting of the shelf caused the formation of a regionally extensive subaerial unconformity, which now forms the boundary between the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations (e.g. Gjelberg & Steel, 1995; Midtkandal & Nystuen, 2009; Grundvåg et al., 2017). This event was followed by a transgression related to a long-term relative global sea-level rise (Gjelberg and Steel, 1995; Midtkandal and Nystuen, 2009). In the Late Cretaceous, subaerial exposure of Svalbard resulted in a major hiatus spanning the entire Upper Cretaceous (Harland, 1997; Dörr et al., 2012).

3. Lower Cretaceous lithostratigraphy of Spitsbergen
The Lower Cretaceous succession on Spitsbergen is subdivided into the Rurikfjellet, Helvetiafjellet and Carolinefjellet formations. The succession forms the upper part of the Adventdalen Group (which also includes the Upper Jurassic Agardhfjellet Formation; Parker, 1967), and is primarily exposed along the margins of the Central Tertiary Basin.

The Rurikfjellet Formation consists of a lower offshore shale-dominated succession (the Wimanfjellet Member) which is overlain by a storm-dominated shallow marine succession (the Kikutodden Member) of interbedded shale, siltstone, and sandstone (Fig. 1). The Rurikfjellet Formation unconformably overlies the Upper Jurassic – lowermost Cretaceous Agardhfjellet Formation (Dypvik et al., 1991), and its base is marked either by i) a condensed glauconitic clay unit (the Myklegardfjellet Bed; Dypvik et al., 1991; Dypvik, Nagy & Krinsley, 1992); ii) a highly tectonised decollement zone; or iii) an abrupt change in the macrofossil fauna. In the central part of Spitsbergen, the Wimanfjellet Member is intersected by a thick succession of gravity flow deposits informally defined as the Adventpynten member (Grundvåg et al., 2017). The Kikutodden Member represents prodeltaic to shallow marine deposits which were sourced from the NW and exhibit progradation towards the SE (Fig. 1; Dypvik et al., 1991). The overall changes in the lithologies of the Rurikfjellet Formation reflect the shallowing development of the basin as a response to uplift in the north.

The boundary between the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations is marked by a regionally extensive subaerial unconformity (e.g. Midtkandal & Nystuen, 2009; Grundvåg et al., 2017). The Helvetiafjellet Formation represents a fluvio-deltaic to paralic depositional system reflecting long-term relative sea-level rise (Gjelberg and Steel, 1995; Midtkandal and Nystuen, 2009). The Helvetiafjellet Formation represents
the most proximally deposited strata within the Lower Cretaceous succession on Spitsbergen. The Helvetiafjellet Formation is overlain by storm-dominated open marine shelf deposits of the Carolinefjellet Formation (Gjelberg and Steel, 1995; Grundvåg et al., 2017); Fig. 1.

4. Previous studies of Lower Cretaceous Boreal dinocyst assemblages

Dinocyst studies of Arctic Lower Cretaceous successions are relatively rare and scattered across the Canadian Arctic (Pocock, 1976; Brideaux, 1977; McIntyre & Brideaux, 1980; Davies, 1983; Nøhr-Hansen & McIntyre, 1998), Greenland (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Pedersen & Nøhr-Hansen, 2014; Piasecki, Nøhr-Hansen & Dalhoff, 2018; Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen, this issue), the Barents Sea (Århus et al., 1990; Smelror et al., 1998; Smelror and Dypvik, 2005b, 2005a; Kairanov et al., 2018), Arctic Norway (Løfaldi and Thusu, 1976; Thusu, 1978; Bjærke, 1978; Århus, Verdenius and Birkelund, 1986; Århus et al., 1990; Århus, 1991; Grøsfjeld, 1991; Smelror and Larssen, 2016; Hurum, Roberts, et al., 2016; Smelror et al., 2018; Hammer et al., 2018; Rakociński et al., 2018; Grundvåg et al., 2019), and Arctic Russia (Smelror, 1986; Lebedeva and Nikitenko, 1999; Riding, 1999; Pestchevskaya, 2007; Nikitenko et al., 2008; Pestchevskaya, Lebedeva and Ryabokon, 2011). Some early Canadian studies provided dinocyst zonations (e.g. Pocock, 1976; Davey, 1982; Davies, 1983), but the diversity of the studied material was limited, and ranges of specific taxa were poorly constrained compared to the more recent and robust dinocyst zonation established for North-East Greenland (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen, this issue). A number of dinocyst studies from the North Sea Basin and
northwest Europe, often referred to as the European Boreal Province, provide well-constrained zonation schemes (Davey, 1979a, 1982; Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Costa & Davey, 1992; Duxbury, 2001; Bailey, 2019).

The first chronostratigraphic framework for the Rurikfjellet Formation (at that time known as the Rurikfjellet Member) was based on ammonites and bivalves (for references see Grøsfjeld, 1991). An informally defined Lower Cretaceous palynological zonation of Spitsbergen was introduced in a confidential report by Århus (1988). Low dinocyst abundances and low diversities have been reported from studies of the Lower Cretaceous succession on Spitsbergen and in the Barents Sea (e.g. Århus et al., 1990; Århus, 1992). The dinocysts of the Rurikfjellet Formation have been investigated in less than a dozen peer-reviewed publications. Notable works include Bjærke & Thusu (1974), Bjærke (1978), Århus et al. (1990), Arhus (1991; 1992), Grøsfjeld (1991), and more recently Midtkandal et al. (2016) and Grundvåg et al. (2017). The palynology of the Helvetiafjellet Formation has been studied to an even lesser extent (Grøsfjeld, 1991; Midtkandal et al., 2016). A number of recent studies on the seismic stratigraphy of the Lower Cretaceous succession in the southwestern Barents Sea provide an updated preliminary age model based on dinocysts (Marín et al., 2017; Kairanov et al., 2018; Marín, Escalona, Grundvåg, Nøhr-Hansen, et al., 2018; Marín, Escalona, Grundvåg, Olaussen, et al., 2018).

5. Studied sections

5.a. The Bohemanflya outcrop section
The Bohemaflya outcrop section (N78°24’32.6”/E14°41’18.9”) is the northernmost locality investigated in this study, exposing Lower Cretaceous strata in central Spitsbergen (Fig. 2). At this locality, the Wimanfjellet Member constitutes a measurable thickness of c. 45 m and consists of generally black shale with scattered siderite concretions and nodules or stratabound siderite layers. In certain intervals, the Wimanfjellet Member is tectonically disturbed. The overlying Kikutodden Member (Fig. 1) is c. 83 m thick, and is siltstone- and sandstone-dominated. The upper part of the succession exhibits gravel-rich hummocky cross-stratified sandstone, which is occasionally truncated by the subaerial unconformity constituting the base of the overlying Festningen Member of the Helvetiafjellet Formation. In this study, we collected samples from across the entire exposed length of the Rurikfjellet Formation (~130 m; Fig. S1).

5.b. The Myklegardfjellet outcrop section

The Myklegardfjellet outcrop section (N78°03’18.8”/E18°42’15.4”) is the easternmost locality investigated in this study, exposing Upper Jurassic – Lower Cretaceous strata at the northeastern side of Agardhbukta, east coast of Spitsbergen (Fig. 2). At this locality, the Rurikfjellet Formation is entirely comprised of homogeneous shale of the Wimanfjellet Member (Fig. 1), reaching a thickness of 166 m. The shale is characterised by absent to low degrees of bioturbation as well as scattered siderite concretions, nodules and fossiliferous stratabound siderite layers with abundant bivalves. The Kikutodden Member is either not preserved in this locality, or it is covered by scree. This outcrop section is the type locality of the Myklegardfjellet Bed (Birkenmajer,
Pugaczewska & Wierzbowski, 1979; Dypvik, Nagy & Krinsley, 1992), demarcating the base of the Rurikfjellet Formation by a well-exposed c. 3 m thick unit of glauconitic, plastic clays. The Rurikfjellet Formation is unconformably overlain by sandstones of the Festningen Member of the overlying Helvetiafjellet Formation. In this study we investigate ca. 130 m of deposits from the Wimanfjellet Member (Fig. S2).

5.c. The Ullaberget outcrop section

The Ullaberget outcrop section (N77°37'04.2"/E15°11'17.9") is the southernmost locality investigated in this study, exposing Lower Cretaceous strata at the northwestern side of Van Keulenfjorden. At this locality, the Rurikfjellet Formation is ca. 200 m thick (the base is not exposed) and dominated by homogeneous shale of the Wimanfjellet Member. For the purpose of this study, only three samples from the uppermost 2 metres of the Rurikfjellet Formation were collected (Fig. S3). The shale is characterised by a lack of or low degrees of bioturbation. Siderite concretions, nodules, and fossiliferous stratabound layers occur. Thin- and lenticular-bedded sandstone occurs sporadically in the upper part of the unit, representing the distal part of the Kikutodden Member. The Rurikfjellet Formation is unconformably overlain by sandstones of the Louiseberget Bed of the Helvetiafjellet Formation (Midtkanal et al., 2008). The remaining part of the Helvetiafjellet Formation displays a transgressive development, comprising various paralic deposits, including tidal channel fills, and coarsening-upwards bay fill sequences (Gjelberg & Steel, 1995; Midtkandal & Nystuen, 2009) which lithostratigraphically belong to the Glitrefjellet Member. At this locality, the Helvetiafjellet Formation is conformably overlain by a 20–30 m thick shale unit of the Carolinefjellet Formation.
5.d. The DH1 and DH2 cores

The DH1 (N78°23'60.8/E15°54'57.6) and DH2 (N78°23'59.9/E15°54'68.4) cores were drilled c. 3 km to the NW of Longyearbyen close to the airport, in relation to CO$_2$ sequestration studies (Braathen et al., 2012). The cores span the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations, and the lower part of the Carolinefjellet Formation (Fig. 1). In these wells, the Rurikfjellet Formation is ca. 225 m thick (~440–215 m) and conformably overlies shale of the Agardhfjellet Formation (e.g. Grundvåg et al., 2017). The boundary between the two units is tectonically disturbed, representing a decollement zone that formed during the Palaeogene shortening (Dietmar Müller & Spielhagen, 1990). The lower part of the Rurikfjellet Formation consists of a ~140 m thick succession of gravity flow deposits of the Adventpynten member. The upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation consists of a 30–40 m thick mudstone-dominated unit which grades upwards into the sandstone-dominated Kikutodden Member. The Rurikfjellet Formation is unconformably overlain by a 12 m thick sandstone unit representing the Festningen Member of the Helvetiafjellet Formation (Grundvåg et al., 2017). The upper c. 60 m of the Helvetiafjellet Formation consists of interbedded sandstone, shale, and thin coal layers of the Glitrefjellet Member, representing various alluvial to paralic depositional environments. The thicknesses of all lithostratigraphic units across the investigated interval in the two cores are shown on Fig. S4 (DH1) and Fig. S5 (DH2). The Helvetiafjellet Formation is unconformably overlain by a ~10 m thick shale unit of the overlying Dalkjegla Member of the Carolinefjellet Formation.
5.e. The DH5R core

The DH5R core (N78°12′13.1″/E15°49′08.6″) was drilled c. 4 km to the SE of Longyearbyen in central Spitsbergen, also in relation to CO₂ sequestration studies (Braathen et al., 2012). The studied part of the core spans from the uppermost Agardhfjellet Formation to the Carolinefjellet Formation. The Rurikfjellet Formation is c. 230 m thick (410–180 m) and overlies shale of the Agardhfjellet Formation (Koevoets et al., 2018). The lithology of the Rurikfjellet Formation differs from that observed in the DH1 and DH2 cores. In the DH5R core, the formation displays homogeneous to sparsely bioturbated shale with scattered siderite concretions and bivalves of the Wimanfjellet Member which coarsen into silty shale, heavily bioturbated siltstone and hummocky cross-stratified sandstone of the overlying Kikutodden Member. The Helvetiafjellet (180–120 m) and Carolinefjellet formations display the same stratigraphic development as in the DH1, and DH2 cores.

6. Analytical methods

Sediment samples for palynological analysis were collected during fieldwork and core logging campaigns in 2013–2016. 82 samples were collected, with 40 samples from Bohemanflya, Myklegardfjellet and Ullaberget, and 42 samples from the DH1, DH2, and DH5R cores. The majority of samples were collected from the Rurikfjellet Formation, including 8 samples from DH1, 14 samples from DH2, 15 samples from DH5R, 12 samples from Bohemanflya, 13 samples from Myklegardfjellet and 3 samples from Ullaberget. The Helvetiafjellet Formation was sampled only in the DH2 core (3 samples) and Ullaberget outcrop section (12 samples). Furthermore, in order to improve the age
of the base of the Lower Cretaceous succession in our study area, we have analysed three samples from the upper part of the Agardhfjellet Formation from the DH5R core (at 458.0, 440.0, and 410.0 m).

Preparation of palynological slides was performed at the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS). Between 20 and 45 g of sediment were dried in an oven for 24 hours at 30°C and manually ground. Hydrochloric (HCl; 3.5% and 18%) and hydrofluoric (HF; 40%) acids were used for dissolving carbonates and silicates, respectively. After each step, samples were neutralized with 0.5% citric acid ($C_6H_8O_7$) at 70°C. The organic residuum from each sample was filtered using an 11 μm nylon mesh and a first (kerogen) slide was prepared. Subsequently, the residua were oxidized with HNO$_3$ for 8 min in order to remove amorphous kerogen particles. Samples with high concentrations of amorphous kerogen particles were oxidized for additional 1 to 5 min. After each oxidation step, residua were washed with a weak solution (5%) of potassium hydroxide (KOH), and a fraction of the residue was taken for palynological slide preparation. Some of the residua were additionally briefly submerged in a boiling mixture of HNO$_3$:KOH (1:1), and filtered using a 21 μm nylon mesh. The high concentration of coal and wood particles present in some of the samples was removed by swirling, and minerals were removed by heavy liquid separation (ZnBr; density 2.3 g/mL). After each of these steps, organic residua were filtered using a 21 μm nylon mesh. To concentrate palynomorphs, organic residua from some of the samples were filtered using a 30 μm nylon mesh. All palynological slides and (if available) organic residua are stored at GEUS.
The palynological slides were analysed using a transmitted light microscope. When possible, a minimum of 300 dinocysts were counted in a single slide. In a few cases, when a single slide contained less than 300 dinocysts, it was necessary to count one or two additional slides. The dinocyst taxonomy follows Williams, Fensome & MacRae (2017). All dinocysts recorded in this study are listed in Table 1. Selected dinocysts are presented on Figs. 3-6. Coordinates of the photographed specimens are given following the method described by Śliwińska (2019).

7. Results and discussion

Two out of three samples from the Agardhfjellet Formation were barren with respect to dinocysts. Virtually all analysed samples from the Rurikfjellet Formation and the Helvetiafjellet Formation yielded dinocysts. The diversity, abundance and preservation are highly variable spatially and temporally. In samples where dinocysts were rare or absent, the assemblages are dominated by black and dark brown wood particles, as well as pollen grains.

In some levels, despite counting more than one palynological slide, there were less than 300 dinocysts in total (e.g. in the uppermost samples of the DH5R core). The dinocyst assemblages were particularly impoverished in the Ullaberget outcrop section,
and in the DH1 and DH2 cores. In comparison, the dinocyst assemblages of the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section show the highest richness of species (Fig. S2).

Within the Rurikfjellet Formation we distinguish several age-diagnostic dinocysts: 

*Endoscrinium hauterivianum* (Figs 3o,p; Section 8.a), *Gochteodinia villosa* subsp. *villosa* (Fig. 4b; Section 8.b), *Muderongia australis* (Fig. 4e; Section 8.c), *Muderongia tetracanta* (Fig. 4d; Section 8.d), *Nelchinopsis kostromiensis* (Figs 4m,n; Section 8.e), *Oligosphaeridium complex* (Fig. 5h; Section 8.f), *Palaecysta palmula* (Fig. 5k; Section 8.i), *Subtilisphaera perlucida* (Fig. 6g; Section 8.l), and *Tubotuberella apatela* (Figs 6i-k; Section 8.m). Other typical dinocysts observed within the formation include *Cyclonephelium cuculliforme* sensu Århus 1990 (Fig. 5l), *Discorsia nannus* (Fig. 3m), *Dissiliodinium acmeum* (Figs 3k), *Nyktericysta? pannosa* (Figs 4op), *Oligosphaeridium abaculum* (Fig. 5f; Section 8.g), *Phoberocysta neocomica* (Fig. 5c), *Pseudoceratium pelliferum* (Fig. 5j), *Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana* (Fig. 5d,g), *Stanfordella fastigiata* (Fig 6a), *Stanfordella ordocava* (Figs 6b,c), and *Wrevittia perforobtusa* (Figs 6n-p). Notably, some of the well-known Lower Cretaceous markers, such as e.g. *Batioladinium longicornutum*, were not observed in the studied material.

The age-diagnostic taxa within the Helvetiafjellet Formation include *Odontochitina nuda* (Fig. 5e; Section 8.f), *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum* (Figs 5m-o; Section 8.j), *Sirmiodinium grossii* (Figs 6e,f; Section 8.k), and *Subtilisphaera perlucida* (Fig. 6g; Section 8.l). The Helvetiafjellet Formation is also characterised by low species richness, low relative abundance of dinocysts and a moderate reworking of Valanginian to Barremian dinocysts.
The age of the first (FOs) and last occurrences (LOs) as well as ranges of the key dinocysts in the context of existing literature are discussed in Section 8.

**Fig. 7**

### 7.a. Palynological framework for the Agardhfjellet Formation

The two lowermost samples from the DH5R core collected from the upper part of the Agardhfjellet Formation (at 458.0 and 440.0 m) are barren of dinocysts (Figure S6). The sample at 410 m yields only few, poorly preserved dinocysts (Figure S6). In this sample, the co-occurrence of *Sirmiodinium grossii* and *Tubotuberella apatela* suggests a very broad Bathonian – early Valanginian age (e.g. Costa and Davey, 1992). Our dinocyst-derived age constrain is therefore not as good as the age based e.g. on macrofossils, which dates this part of the Agardhfjellet Formation as Ryazanian (Wierzbowski et al., 2011).

### 7.b. Palynological framework for the Rurikfjellet Formation

The distribution of dinocysts in the Rurikfjellet Formation (except the Myklegardfjellet Bed; Fig. 1) from the studied sites suggests that this formation is of early Valanginian to possibly earliest Barremian age (Fig. 8).

The dinocyst assemblages in the DH1 and DH2 cores are characterised by poor preservation, low diversity and low dinocyst abundance. Both cores penetrate the ca.150 m thick gravity flow deposits of the Adventpynten member (Grundvåg et al., 2017) that yield a number of reworked taxa. In the DH2 core, the lowermost samples from the Rurikfjellet Formation yield only a single highly corroded *Oligosphaeridium*
specimen (possibly *O. complex* or *O. asterigerum*). Thus, this interval is tentatively dated as Valanginian or younger (Fig. S5). The two lowermost samples from the DH1 well (corresponding to the base of the Rurikfjellet Formation according to Grundvåg *et al.*, 2017) also yield *O. complex* (Fig. S4). Furthermore, the sample at 414.0 m yields *Gochteodinia villosa* subsp. *multifurcata* while the sample at 410.2 m yields *Muderongia tetracantha* (Fig. S4). Thus, this interval is of Valanginian – Hauterivian age. The presence of *Endoscrinium hauterivianum* between 270.0 and 221.0 m implies that this interval is of early Hauterivian to earliest late Hauterivian age (see below). In summary, in the DH1 core (i.e. 414.0 to 221.0 m depth) the Rurikfjellet Formation is dated as Valanginian – earliest late Hauterivian (Fig. S4).

We find the best-constrained age for the basal part of the Rurikfjellet Formation (early Valanginian) to be represented by the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section (the interval from the base of the section up to level 60.0 m; Fig. S2). This notion is based on the co-occurrence of *Palaecysta palmula* and *O. complex* in the lowermost sample at 0.05 m. The early Valanginian age for the base of the Rurikfjellet Formation confirms previous observations (Bjærke, 1978; Århus, 1992).

The LO of the stratigraphically persistent *T. apatela* at 60.0 m in the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section is used here as a marker for the top of the early Valanginian, since most records agree that this bio-event is close to the early–late Valanginian boundary (see below; Fig. 7). This age assignment is in agreement with the presence of a *Tollia* (*Neocraspedites*) aff. *subtilis* ammonite of middle early Valanginian age found at 47.30 m (unpublished data of P. Alsen and M. E. Jelby).
In the DH5R core, the top of the stratigraphically persistent *Gochtioedia villosa* subsp. *villosa* is at 320.0 m, and it co-occurs with *O. complex* in the interval from 380.0 to 320.0 m. Based on these occurrences, we date this interval as earliest Valanginian. Placing the early–late Valanginian boundary close to the top of the persistent occurrence of these two taxa is in agreement with the observations by Århus (cf. Fig. 2 in Århus, 1992, and Enclosure 2 in Århus, 1988).

We place the base of the Hauterivian at the FO of *E. hauterivianum* (Fig. 8). The FO of *E. hauterivianum* is followed by the FO of *Muderongia tetracantha*, another important marker for the Hauterivian (e.g. Costa and Davey, 1992), Fig. 7. The stratigraphic range of *E. hauterivianum* observed in five sites (DH1, DH2, DH5R, Bohemanflya and Myklegardfjellet) in the middle to upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation dates this part of the unit to the early Hauterivian – earliest late Hauterivian (Figs S4, S5, and 4). Grøsfjeld (1991) noted that *E. hauterivianum* (as *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke, 1978; Section 9.a.) is also present in several other outcrop sections of the Rurikfjellet Formation including Janusfjellet, Forkastningsfjellet and Helvetiafjellet.

Many samples from the uppermost part of the Rurikfjellet Formation in the DH1, DH2 and DH5R cores are characterised by low dinocyst abundance and relatively low species richness. The best age constrain for the top of the formation is therefore based on outcrop sections. The upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation is dated to the late Hauterivian – earliest Barremian. The youngest part of the formation dated to the early Barremian is observed at Ullaberget and Bohemanflya.

In the Ullaberget outcrop section, two samples at 0.0 and 2.0 m, collected from the top of the Rurikfjellet Formation, yield *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum* and
Subtilisphaera perlucida. The sample at 0.0 m additionally yields Nelchinopsis kostromiensis, P. anaphrissum, and S. perlucida, which have their FOs close to the Hauterivian – Barremian boundary (Fig. S3). In the North Sea Basin, the LO of N. kostromiensis and the FO of P. anaphrissum are two important bio-events for recognition of the Hauterivian–Barremian boundary. Typically, the LO of N. kostromiensis marks the top of the Hauterivian, while the FO of P. anaphrissum marks the base of the Barremian (e.g. Costa & Davey, 1992). However, in some studies both bio-events are reported from the earliest Barremian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Århus et al., 1990; Smelror et al., 1998; Bailey, 2019) or the uppermost Hauterivian (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen, this issue). In the North Sea, the ranges of these two species either overlap (Costa & Davey, 1992) or don’t (Bailey, 2019). Overlapping ranges of the two taxa have been observed in North-East Greenland (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen, this issue). An overlap of the stratigraphic ranges of the two species was previously reported from the Barents Sea (well 7245/9-U-1) (Fig. 5 in Århus et al. 1990). Based primarily on the foraminifera assemblage, the overlap interval was dated as early Barremian (Århus et al., 1990). However, these authors recognised that the presence of Buchia sublaevis bivalves within the same interval was problematic (p. 173 in Århus et al. 1990), because Buchia extends only into the Hauterivian (Zakharov, 1987). In summary, these observations give three possibilities for assigning an age to the LO of N. kostromiensis and the FO of P. anaphrissum: (i) In Spitsbergen, the Barents Sea and North-East Greenland, P. anaphrissum appears in latest Hauterivian; (ii) in Spitsbergen and the Barents Sea region, N. kostromiensis has a longer range reaching the earliest
Barremian; or (iii) *N. kostromiensis* occurring in the lower Barremian strata is reworked. We consider the first possibility to be the most plausible, since this is in agreement with other studies from the Arctic region (North-East Greenland, Barents Sea and Arctic Canada; cf. Fig. 7).

In the three uppermost samples from the Bohemanflya outcrop section (99.29 m to 132.63 m), we found a common to abundant dinocyst taxon previously recorded as *Nyktericysta? pannosa* by Grøsfjeld (1991). However, we observe that *N.? pannosa* from Bohemanflya (Figs 7o,p) with its generally less pronounced lateral horns differs from the holotype, which was described from “middle Barremian” strata from the Speeton Clay in England (Duxbury, 1980). Nevertheless, Grøsfjeld (1991), and this study show the only records of this taxon outside the type area. The restricted occurrence of *N.? pannosa* limited to the Bohemanflya section on Spitsbergen (Grøsfjeld 1991; this study) and to the Speeton Clay in England (Duxbury, 1980), could suggest that the distribution of the taxon is controlled by some environmental factors.

Based on the LO of *N. kostromensis* at 127.58 m and the presence of *N.? pannosa* between 99.29 m and 132.63 m, the interval is dated as latest Hauterivian – early Barremian.

In the topmost sample of the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section at 150.0 m, we observed an acme of *M. australis*. We consider this acme to be time-equivalent to the *M. australis* acme observed in the Barents Sea by Århus et al. (1990). Thus, we date this level as late Hauterivian – early Barremian.

Our new age framework for the Rurikfjellet Formation based on the dinocyst stratigraphy is in agreement with previous studies from the study area (e.g. Bjærke,
1978; Thusu, 1978; Århus, 1992; Midtkandal et al., 2016), which dated the majority of
the Rurikfjellet Formation as Valanginian – Hauterivian. Specifically, the Rurikfjellet
Formation at the Janusfjellet outcrop section was previously dated as early Valanginian
– late Hauterivian (Århus, 1992). We observe that our dinocyst distribution of the
Myklegardfjellet outcrop section (Fig. S2) resembles the distribution of dinocysts from
Janusfjellet (Enclosure 2 in Århus, 1988). Furthermore, our results confirm the
observation by Grøsfjeld (1991) that the topmost part of the Rurikfjellet Formation is
most likely of early Barremian age. Some reworking is present which is minor compared
to the reworking in the Helvetiafjellet Formation (Figs. S1-S6).

7.c. Palynological framework for the Helvetiafjellet Formation

We observe that the dinocyst assemblages of the Helvetiafjellet Formation are highly
impoverished and yield a number of taxa reworked from the Rurikfjellet Formation. The
reworking of Pliensbachian to Early Oxfordian dinoflagellate cysts within the
Helvetiafjellet Formation was observed previously on Kong Karls Land (Smelror et al.,
2018). Redeposition is, however, not surprising, considering that the study area was
uplifted and subaerially exposed in the Barremian with large parts of the Svalbard
platform being subjected to erosion (Fig. 2).

Based on the presence of Odontochitina nuda, Pseudoceratium anaphrissum,
Sirmiodinium grossii and Subtilisphaera perlucida, the Helvetiafjellet Formation is dated
here as Barremian to possibly early Aptian (Figs S3, S5 and 9). The boundary between
the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations is dated as early Barremian. Due to the low
diversity of the assemblages and rarity of dinocysts, it is not possible to precisely place
the Barremian–Aptian boundary.

Our age assignment of the Helvetiafjellet Formation is in agreement with a recent
stable carbon-isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) stratigraphic study of the Festningen outcrop section
(Vickers et al., 2016). These authors interpreted that the Helvetiafjellet Formation is of
Barremian to earliest Aptian age. Another study, based on the U–Pb dating of a
bentonite in the DH3 core (at 156.89 m in the middle part of the Helvetiafjellet
Formation) suggested an age of 123.3 ± 0.2 Ma for this particular bed (Corfu et al.,
2013), corresponding to the late early Aptian (Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016). However,
the biostratigraphic framework of this study & Midtkandal et al. (2016) suggests that this
part of the succession is of Barremian age. Nevertheless, the existing studies (e.g.
Corfu et al., 2013; Midtkandal et al., 2016; Vickers et al., 2016) collectively agree that
the Helvetiafjellet Formation is of Barremian – early Aptian age.

8. Conclusions

The Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations on Spitsbergen, Svalbard, have been
studied in the DH1, DH2 and DH5R onshore cores as well as in the Bohemanflya,
Myklegardfjellet and Ullaberget outcrop sections. Our study suggests an early
Valanginian – early Barremian age for the Rurikfjellet Formation and a Barremian –
Aptian age for the overlying Helvetiafjellet Formation. We provide a number of age
diagnostic dinocyst bio-events for age determination of the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet
formations. The preservation of dinocysts is better and the diversity of assemblages is significantly higher in the offshore to shallow-marine Rurikfjellet Formation than in the fluvio-deltaic to paralic Helvetiafjellet Formation.

We observe some reworked dinocysts within the Helvetiafjellet Formation, possibly from the Rurikfjellet Formation. The presence of reworked dinocysts implies that any proxy records performed on bulk sediments (e.g. δ¹³C, biomarkers) across the Barremian – Aptian transition on Spitsbergen should be interpreted with care, since the signal may be biased.

We further observe that the distribution of *N.? pannosa* and *O. abaculum* is most likely controlled by local paleoenvironmental variations. For a better understanding of these records, further paleoenvironmental proxy data from the area are required.

The dinocyst assemblages in the three samples collected from the Agardhfjellet Formation are too impoverished to provide a reliable age constraint on the boundary between the Rurikfjellet and Agardhfjellet formations.

Our age model is in agreement with the existing stratigraphic studies carried out in the study area. Notably, our study provides the first comprehensive, semi-quantitative dataset of the distribution of dinocysts within the Lower Cretaceous (Valanginian–Aptian) succession on Spitsbergen.

9. Appendix A: Taxonomic notes on characteristic dinocyst taxa of the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations

Figs 3o,p

1978 *Apteodinium* sp. A (Bjærke, 1978)

1980? *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke (1978) Bjærke plate X figs 1,2

1991 *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke (1978) Grøsfjeld plate 4 figs D-F

2001 *Scriniodinium hauterivianum* Duxbury, 2001


The holotype of *E. hauterivianum* was described from the UK sector of the North Sea Basin (Duxbury, 2001). The taxon was described as restricted to the Hauterivian with the LO within the lowermost upper Hauterivian (Duxbury, 2001). We here suggest that *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke (1978), which was recorded in the Valanginian to Hauterivian succession of the Rurikfjellet Formation (Bjærke, 1980), is a synonym of *E. hauterivianum*. Grøsfjeld (1991) noted that the species was present in numerous locations on Spitsbergen and can be used as a Hauterivian marker in the region.

However, she also pointed out that at Janusfjellet the LO of *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke (1978) postdates the LO of *N. kostromiensis* (for the stratigraphic range of *N. kostromiensis* see Section 9.e.) and thus it may range into the Barremian. Grøsfjeld (1991) did not observe *N. kostromiensis* in the Bohemanflya outcrop section (see Fig 6 in Grøsfjeld 1991), only *N?. pannosa* (see below) and *Apteodinium* sp. A of Bjærke (1978).
Spitsbergen - this study. In the present study *E. hauterivianum* is recorded in all studied sections. We apply the FO of *E. hauterivianum* as a marker for the base Hauterivian and the LO as the marker for the earliest late Hauterivian. In the two sections with the highest dinocyst diversity and the greatest abundance assemblage (Bohemanflya Fig. S1 and Myklegardfjellet, Fig. 5) the LO of *E. hauterivianum* predates the LO of *N. kostromiensis*. This is in contrast to the observations by Grøsfjeld (1991) from the Bohemanflya outcrop section. We speculate that the longer range of *N. kostromiensis* observed by us may be an effect of different sampling strategies carried in both studies. In the studied material the taxon is rare to abundant (i.e. <1% or >50% of the total dinocyst assemblage).


Figs 4a-c

*G. villosa* is divided into two subspecies, *G. villosa* subsp. *villosa* (Vozzhennikova, 1967) and *G. villosa* subsp. *multifurcata* (Davey, 1982). The stratigraphic ranges of these subspecies are different (Fig. 7). The FO of *G. villosa multifurcata* postdates the FO of *G. villosa villosa* and thus distinguishing the two subspecies is very useful for increasing the resolution of the age framework. In the North Sea Basin *G. villosa multifurcata* ranges from the lower Valanginian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987) to the lowermost Hauterivian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Costa & Davey, 1992) or to the Valanginian–Hauterivian boundary (Davey, 1982; Bailey, 2019). The youngest LOs of...
G. *villosa* are reported at the Ryazanian–Valanginian boundary (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987) or in the earliest Valanginian (Costa and Davey, 1992; Bailey, 2019). Davey (1982) and Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen (this issue) reported the youngest occurrence of the taxon in the late Ryazanian–late Berriasian from Denmark and North-East Greenland, respectively. In the Sverdrup Basin, Arctic Canada, *G. villosa* (not differentiated into subspecies, and possibly *G. villosa multifurcata*) was found in the Valanginian (Davies, 1983). In the Barents Sea (possibly reworked) specimens of *G. villosa* were reported in the assemblages referred to the Hauterivian – lower Barremian (Århus *et al.*, 1990). Århus (1991) shows that on Central Spitsbergen *G. villosa* occurs in the Valanginian and Hauterivian strata, while *G. villosa multifurcata* has a slightly shorter range: Valanginian to lowermost Hauterivian. In the Valanginian part of the succession both taxa are present consistently. In post-

Valanginian strata both taxa occur only sporadically (Fig. 13 in Århus, 1988) and thus their presence may be an effect of reworking.

*Spitsbergen – this study.* Specimens referred to *G. villosa villosa* and *G. villosa multifurcata* are slightly more elongate than the type material (cf. e.g. Davey, 1982). The poor preservation of some of the specimens encountered in the present study sometimes precludes an unambiguous separation of the two subspecies. We distinguish subspecies only if the determination is possible. In few samples *G. villosa* makes up 2–4 % of the total dinocysts assemblage. Otherwise, the species occurs persistently in the lower part of the Rurikfjellet Formation (Myklegardfjellet, DH5R), but is rather rare.
9.c. Stratigraphic range and abundance interval of *Muderongia australis* Helby, 1987

**Fig. 4e**

The youngest record on North-East Greenland of the taxon is from the upper Hauterivian (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993, Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen this issue). In the other few existing studies *M. australis* is reported either from the Hauterivian (Århus et al., 1990; Prössl, 1990) or from the Barremian (Helby, 1987; Davey, 1988). In Spitsbergen *M. australis* is restricted to the upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation (Århus et al., 1990). Århus et al. (1990) also noted an acme of *M. australis* in the interval referred to the Hauterivian – early Barremian and mentioned that the *M. australis* acme may be related to the early Barremian flooding event.

*Spitsbergen – this study.* We observe the persistent occurrence of *M. australis* in the upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation within all the studied sites. Thus, we confirm the observations of Århus et al. (1990). In the topmost sample from the Rurikfjellet Formation at the Myklegardfjellet outcrop *M. australis* occurs as a local acme, which we interpret to be synchronous with the acme observed in the Barents Sea (Århus et al. 1990) and North-East Greenland (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993).

8.d. *Muderongia extensiva* and *Muderongia tetracantha*

**Figs 4d,g,h**
In the North Sea Basin the LO of *M. extensiva* is a well established earliest Hauterivian marker (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Costa & Davey, 1992; Duxbury, 2001). *M.tetracantha* has a slightly younger range from the Hauterivian to the earliest Barremian (Costa and Davey, 1992; Duxbury, 2001) or even Aptian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Nøhr-Hansen and McIntyre, 1998). Notably, some authors merge *M. tetracantha* with *Muderongia crucis* (Costa and Davey, 1992; Bailey, 2019) or consider *M. crucis* as a junior synonym (e.g. Helby, 1987). Nevertheless, *M. tetracantha* is considered the most typical taxon for Hauterivian – lower Barremian strata (see discussion in Heilmann-Clausen, 1987). More details concerning the stratigraphic ranges of these two taxa in the Boreal and the European Boreal realm is shown on Fig. 7.

The morphologies of the two taxa are distinctive. The lateral horns of *M. extensiva* are long and extend almost at right angles from the tests (Duxbury, 1977), while in *M. tetracantha* the horns bend downwards (Gocht, 1957). Furthermore, *M. extensiva* in contrast to *M. tetracantha* show a distinct plate differentiation at the lateral edge (Helby, 1987).

*Spitsbergen-this study.* In the material encountered in the present study we observe transitional forms between *M. extensiva* and *M. tetracantha*. Some of these forms resemble *M. tetracantha* in their general outline, but on one or both lateral horns, we observe a distinct plate differentiation, a feature typical for *M. extensiva* (Fig. 7g).

We observe the earliest record of *M. tetracantha* below the FO of *E. hauterivianum* (Fig. S1) but in sections with high dinocyst diversity and high relative abundance, the FO of *M. tetracantha* is observed within the range of *E. hauterivianum* (Figs S1, S2, S6, and 4).
9.e. Stratigraphic range of *Nelchinopsis kostromiensis* (Vozzhennikova, 1967)

Wiggins, 1972

Figs 4m,n

In the majority of existing studies of the North Sea Basin (Fig. 7) the range of this species is limited to the upper lower Valanginian–upper Hauterivian (Costa & Davey, 1992; Duxbury, 2001) or to the Hauterivian (Davey, 1982; Heilmann-Clausen, 1987). In North-East Greenland the taxon first occurring in the middle late Valanginian and is not observed above the early to late Hauterivian *N. kostromiensis* Subzone (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen this issue). Some studies, reports the FO of *N. kostromiensis* as early as at the early–late Valanginian boundary (Bailey, 2019) and its LO in the earliest Barremian (Bailey, 2019). However, the Hauterivian–Barremian boundary in Bailey (2019) is dated as 130 Ma so it is slightly younger than in the Geological Time Scale 2016 where it is dated as 130.8 Ma (Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016). In the Svedrup Basin, Arctic Canada *N. kostromiensis* was observed together with *Gochteodinia villosa* in the middle-late late Valanginian succession (Davies, 1983). In some older studies *N. kostromiensis* was reported from the earliest Barremian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Smelror *et al.*, 1998), from the *Simbirskites variabilis* ammonite zone. Today the zone is considered to be Hauterivian (Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016).
Proof For Review

670     Spitsbergen – this study. The FO and LO of *N. kostromiensis* are important
671     stratigraphic events within the Rurikfjellet Formation. The range of *N. kostromiensis*
672     virtually spans the entire unit at the three outcrops and in the DH5R core. Applying the
673     age constraint based on the range of *E. hauterivianum*, the FO of *N. kostromiensis* in
674     Spitsbergen is an early Valanginian event, observed in the lower part of the Rurikfjellet
675     Formation. The LO of *N. kostromensis* is observed in the upper part of the Rurikfjellet
676     Formation and is probably of latest Hauterivian – earliest Barremian age.

677

678     9.f. FO of *Odontochitina nuda* (Gocht, 1957) Dörhöfer & Davies, 1980

679     Fig. 5e

680

681     The holotype of *O. nuda* was described from the upper Hauterivian (Gocht, 1957). Other
682     studies from Europe and Canada also suggest a Hauterivian to Barremian stratigraphic
683     range for the taxon (see discussion in Nøhr-Hansen, 1993), Fig. 7. In North-East
684     Greenland *O. nuda* is restricted to the uppermost lower Barremian to lower Aptian
685     (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993). In the Barents Sea the taxon was reported from early Barremian
686     strata by Århus (in Århus *et al.* 1990), but notably this study was carried out only on a
687     Berriasian to lower Barremian succession. Therefore, the youngest occurrence of the
688     taxon in the Barents Sea is unknown.

689     Spitsbergen – this study. *O. nuda* is restricted to the Helvetiafjellet Formation.

690     The FO is observed within the middle (the DH2 core) or the upper (the Ullaberget
outcrop section) part of the formation. The most probable time span for the taxon in Spitsbergen is Barremian to early Aptian.

9.g. FO of *Oligosphaeridium abaculum* Davey, 1979

*Fig. 5f*

The holotype of *O. abaculum* was described by Davey (1979) from a Barremian succession from the northern North Sea. In his study, Davey mentioned that abundant *O. abaculum* was found in the same sample as *Odontochitina operculata*, which has its first stratigraphic occurrence in the Barremian e.g. (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Bailey, 2019). The common occurrence of *O. abaculum* in the upper Hauterivian was reported in the UK and the Norwegian sectors of the North Sea Basin by Bailey (2019). Notably, Costa & Davey (1992) reported that in the UK sector of the North Sea Basin *O. abaculum* has a stratigraphic range from the upper Hauterivian to lower Barremian. However, the post-Hauterivian–Barremian? age was suggested by these authors because they considered the *Simbirskites variabilis* ammonite zone as Barremian. Recently the FO of *O. abaculum* was recorded from the uppermost lower Barremian in North-East Greenland by Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen (this issue).

Spitsbergen – *this study*. Rare to common (<1% and 1-30% of the total dinocyst assemblage) occurrences of *O. abaculum* are observed from all sites spanning the Rurikfjellet Formation. However, in contrast to the North Sea and North-East Greenland, in Spitsbergen the taxon appears in the Valanginian, i.e. much earlier than in the two
other regions (Fig. 7). We consider the FO of *O. abaculum* as an intra-late Valanginian event. The diachroneity in the event (Fig. 7) would suggest that the appearance of *O. abaculum* is dependent on the local environmental changes.

9.h. FO of *Oligosphaeridium* complex (White, 1842) Davey & Williams, 1966b

**Fig. 5h**

The FO of *O. complex* is an important marker for the base Valanginian in the North Sea Basin and the Svedrup Basin, Arctic Canada (Davies, 1983; Costa & Davey, 1992; Duxbury, 2001; Bailey, 2019). From North-East Greenland, Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen (this issue) recently recorded the FO of *O. complex* from the *Peregrinus albidum* ammomite zone, which is uppermost Berriasian in age [or lower Valanginian according to Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein (2016)]. On Andøya (Arctic Norway), the oldest record of *O. complex* is observed within beds assigned to the *Buchia inflata-Buchia keyserlingi* zones dated as Early Valanginian (Arhus et. 1986). Spitsbergen – *this study*. In our material the taxon is present in virtually all samples. In the oldest part of the record, the taxon is often characterised by a small central body size and very tilted, long processes. The processes terminations often have a “palm-like” appearance (Fig. 5j). We consider the FO of *O. complex* as a marker for the base of the Valanginian. However, considering the recent study from North-East Greenland it is possible that this event is slightly older (Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen this issue).
9.i. LO of *Palaecysta palmula* (Davey, 1982b) Williams & Fensome, 2016

**Fig. 5k**

In the UK sector of the Central North Sea Basin the LO of *P. palmula* is observed in the middle lower Valanginian (Duxbury, 2001; Bailey, 2019) while in the Danish sector the LO is probably slightly younger, within the lower upper Valanginian (Davey, 1982; Heilmann-Clausen, 1987), Fig. 7.

Spitsbergen – this study. In the present study *P. palmula* is observed in the basal part of the Rurikfjellet Formation in the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section.

9.j. Stratigraphic range of *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum* (Sarjeant, 1966c) Bint, 1986

**Figs 5m–o**

The taxon has a remarkably short range, limited to the Barremian, primarily to the lower Barremian (Fig. 7). In the high Arctic the taxon has also been observed in the Hauterivian (Fig. 7). The Barremian record of *P. anaphrissum* is very well known from the Barents Sea (Århus *et al.*, 1990), Arctic Norway (Thusu, 1978), offshore south Norway (Costa, 1981), North-East Greenland (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993), England (e.g. Sarjeant 1966, Duxbury 1980), Germany (Prössl, 1990) and the North Sea Basin (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Costa & Davey, 1992; Bailey, 2019). Notably, in Arctic
A common occurrence of *P. anaphrissum* was found in a sample referred to upper Hauterivian – lower Barremian (Århus, Verdenius and Birkelund, 1986). In North-East Greenland, and possibly also in the UK and the Norwegian sector of the North Sea Basin, the species is abundant in a narrow interval in the middle part of its range (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993; Bailey, 2018), see also summary Fig. 7.

**Spitsbergen – this study.** In the present study *P. anaphrissum* is present in the uppermost part of the Rurikfjellet Formation (Ullaberget) and the Helvetiafjellet Formation (DH2 and Ullaberget). The taxon is rare (< 1%), badly preserved and incomplete (Figs 5m-o). All observed specimens have clearly visible antapical lobes and lateral buldges, and with no operculum. Specimens observed in DH2 and Ullaberget are covered by short spines and processes (Figs 5n-o). Due to a poor preservational state, the ornamentation of the specimen observed in the topmost sample from the Bohemanflya outcrop section (Fig. 8m) is difficult to establish and therefore the specimen is referred to *P. anaphrissum* questionably.

In the middle and upper part of the Rurikfjellet Formation we found the common occurrence of dinocysts which we referred to *Cleistosphaeridium diversispinosum* (Figs 6g, 6i). The ornamentation may resemble *P. anaphrissum*, but the outline is more typical for the genus *Circulodinium*.

9.k. **LO of Sirmiodinium grossii** Alberi, 1961

**Figs 6e,f**
The LO of *S. grossii* marks the top of the Barremian (e.g. Bailey, 2019). In North-East Greenland the youngest record of the taxon is observed within the lowermost Aptian (Nøhr-Hansen, 1993). More details concerning the distribution of the taxon in the Boreal and the European Boreal Realm is shown on Fig. 7.

*Spitsbergen – this study.* We observe *S. grossii* in both the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations. The taxon is present in virtually all samples analysed in this study.


Fig. 6g

The majority of existing records from the Boreal and European Boreal Realm suggest that *S. perlucida* appeared in the early Barremian (Heilmann-Clausen, 1987; Nøhr-Hansen, 1993). In the DH1 core the FO of *S. perlucida* was observed within the Helvetiafjellet Formation and dated as Barremian – Aptian (Midtkandal et al., 2016). Some records suggest however that the taxon appeared in the late Hauterivian (Fig. 7).

*Spitsbergen – this study.* The taxon is observed in the uppermost part of the Rurikfjellet Formation (Ullaberget) and occurs consistently in the Helvetiafjellet Formation (Ullaberget and the DH2 core).

9.m. LO of *Tubotuberella apatela* (Cookson & Eisenack, 1960b) Ioannides et al., 1977
In the majority of studies on the North Sea the LO of *T. apatela* occurs approximately within the middle lower Valanginian (Fig. 7) and is considered synchronous with (Bailey, 2019) or slightly younger than (Duxbury, 2001) the LO of *P. palmula*. In the Barents Sea *T. apatela* was not observed in the post-Ryazanian strata, but this may be biased by the fact that the Valanginian succession is devoid of palynomorphs (Århus et al., 1990).

Numerous studies report *T. apatela* from the upper Valanginian (Davies, 1983; Århus, 1988) or even Hauterivian (Piasecki, 1979; Davey, 1982; Heilmann-Clausen, 1987) deposits. These studies report that the last persistent occurrence of *T. apatela* occurs within the early Valanginian. In Spitsbergen and North-East Greenland the post-Valanginian occurrence of the taxon is considered as reworked (Århus, 1988; Nøhr-Hansen, 1993).

**Spitsbergen – this study.** In the present study *T. apatela* is present within the lower to middle part of the Rurikfjellet Formation. We observe that the LO on Spitsbergen is diachronous. In the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section we apply the LO of persistent *T. apatela* as the marker for the top of early Valanginian (Fig. 8). *T. apatela*, in contrast to *Tubotuberella rhombiformis*, has a distinctive apical horn (on both epitheca, and hypotheca), and lacks tabulation. These two features are clearly visible in virtually all specimens observed in this study.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Stratigraphic cross-section showing the regional development of the Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous Adventdalen Group on Spitsbergen. Modified after Alsen et al. (this issue). White bars show the time span for each of the studied sites: three onshore cores DH1, DH2, DH5R, and three outcrop sections: Bohemanflya (BOH), Ullaberget (UL), and Myklegardfjellet (MYK).

Figure 2. (A). Black dots mark the positions of the studied sites: Bo – Bohemanflya, Ul – Ullaberget, My – Myklegardfjellet outcrop sections. Paleogeography of Spitsbergen from the Valanginian to early Aptian (after Grundvåg & Olaussen, 2017; Grundvåg et
al., 2017) (B) Palaeogeography during the deposition of the Wimanfjellet Member; (C) Palaeogeography during the deposition of the Kikutodden Member; (D) Paleogeography during the earliest Barremian - deposition of the Festningangen Member.

Figure 3. Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1 × YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).

(a) a dinocyst, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7, MC 102 x 42.2

(b) Apteodinium spongiosum, high focus, (c) low focus, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 25.00 m, sample 28450-9, MC 25 x 108.7

(d) Apteodinium spongiosum very dark, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6, MC 32 x 109.1

(e) Apteodinium spongiosum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9, MC 51.4 x 105.5

(f) Atopodinium haromense; Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9, MC 42.7 x 102.3

(g) Circulodinium distinctum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6, MC 29.3 x 91.6

(h) Circulodinium distinctum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9, MC 21 x 104.5
(i) *Circulodinium distinctum*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 17.5 x 105.5

(j) *Circulodinium distinctum*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 28.00 m, sample 28449-8; MC 44.7 x 96.7

(k) *Dissiliodinium acmeum*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 7.00 m, sample 27005-4; MC 37.2 x 99.4

(l) *Endoscrinium* sp.1 Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 25.00 m, sample 28450-9; MC 25.5 x 110

(m) *Discorsia nannus*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 49 x 103.3

(n) *Dingodinium cerviculum*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 75.00 m, sample 27010-4; MC 47 x 108.5

(o) *Endoscrinium hauterivianum*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36.00 m, sample 28448-7, MC 33.8 x 102.2

(p) *Endoscrinium hauterivianum*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 42 x 102.4

---

**Figure 4.** Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of
this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1 × YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).

(a) *Gochtodinia villosa* subsp. *multifurcata*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5, MC 36.3 x 114

(b) *Gochtodinia villosa*, DH5R core, depth 350.00 m, sample 26197-6; MC 22.5 x 96.2

(c) *Gochtodinia villosa* subsp. *multifurcata*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5; MC 36 x 107

(d) *Muderongia tetracanta*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 127.50 m, sample 26290-8; MC 44 x 110.6

(e) *Muderongia australis*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 31.4 x 108.5

(f) *Muderongia simplex*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6; MC 33 x 105.8

(g) a transitional form between *Muderongia tetracanta* and *Muderongia extensiva*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 46.00 m, sample 26293-5, MC 24.5 x 111

(h) *Muderongia extensiva*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 46.00 m, sample 26293-7; MC 18.5 x 108.4

(i) *Isthmocystis distincta*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 7.00 m, sample 27005-4; MC 28 x 102.3

(j) *Kleithriasphaeridium eoinodes*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 90.00 m, sample 27011-8; MC 25.2 x 103
(k) *Kiokansium unituberculatum*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 127.50, sample 26290-6; MC 31x107.3

(l) *Meiourogonyaulax stoveri*, DH5R core, depth 258.00 m, sample 26194-5; MC 24x107.8

(m) poorly preserved *Nelchinopsis kostromiensis*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7; MC 52.4 x 109

(n) *Nelchinopsis kostromiensis*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 55.25 m, sample 26292-7; MC 51.5 x 95.5

(o) *Nyktericysta? pannosa*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7; MC 43 x 102.7

(p) *Nyktericysta? pannosa*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 127.50 m, sample 26290-8; MC 50.2 x 100

**Figure 5.** Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1 × YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).

(a) *Oligosphaeridium poculum*, DH5R core, depth 320.00 m, sample 26196-7; MC 58.2 x 97.1

(b) questionable *Escharisphaeridia rudis*, DH5R core, depth 288.00 m, sample 26195-7; MC 36.7 x 112.5
(c) *Phoberocysta neocomica*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 90.00 m, sample 27009-6; MC 24.3 x 113.8

(d) *Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 5.00 m, sample 28453-7, MC 31.7 x 101

(e) *Odontochitina nuda*, DH2 core, depth 141.80 m, sample 26510-9; MC 42 x 105.2

(f) *Oligosphaeridium abaculum*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 75.00 m, sample 27010-5; MC 48.5 x 105.5

(g) *Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6; MC 20.3 x 107.5

(h) *Oligosphaeridium complex* with “palm-like” terminations of processes, DH1, depth 258.90 m, sample 26285-7; MC 32.8 x 97.1

(i) *Oligosphaeridium asterigerum*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7, MC 44.7 x 94.3

(j) *Pseudoceratium pelliferum*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36.00 m, sample 28448-7, MC 37 x 106.5

(k) *Palaecysta palmula*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 0.05 m, sample 27004-8; MC 53 x 107.5

(l) *Cyclonephelium cuculliforme* sensu Århus 1990, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00, sample 27006-3; MC 30.2 x 101
(m) questionable *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum* Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 132.63 m, sample 26289-8; MC 37.8 x 95.5. Shown also in Figure 15.P in Grundvåg *et al.*, (2019).

(n) *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum*, Ullaberget outcrop section, level 104.00m, sample 28482-7; MC 40.6 x 111.8

(o) questionable, poorly preserved *Pseudoceratium anaphrissum*, DH2 core, depth 149.50 m, sample 26511-11; MC 34.5 x 110.5. Shown also in Figure 15.H in Grundvåg *et al.*, (2019).

**Figure 6.** Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1 × YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).

(a) *Stanfordella fastigiata*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 50.4 x 103.5

(b) *Stanfordella ordocava*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5; MC 31.6 x 111

(c) *Stanfordella ordocava*, DH5R core, depth 380.00 m, sample 26198-6; MC 55.5 x 102.4

(d) Spiniferites sp. 1, DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 36.9 x 102
(e) *Sirmiodinium grossii*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 30.3 x 104.2

(f) *Sirmiodinium grossii*, DH1, depth 258.90 m, sample 26285-7; MC 29.4 x 109

(g) *Subtilisphaera perlucida*, DH2 core, depth 186.55 m, sample 26513-9; MC 36.7 x 92.6. Shown also in Figure 15.G in Grundvåg *et al.*, (2019).

(h) *Spiniferites?* DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 50.8 x 101.2

(i) *Tubotuberella apatela*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 30.6 x 105

(j) *Tubotuberella apatela*, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 0.05 m, sample 27004-8; MC 34.5 x 109.4

(k) *Tubotuberella apatela*, DH2 core, depth 232.00 m, sample 26516-9; MC 19.4 x 93.3

(l) *Tubotuberella* sp. DH5R core, depth 380.0 m, sample 26198-6; MC 48 x 98.7

(m) *Wallodinium luna*, DH5R core, depth 350.0 m, sample 26197-6; MC 36.5 x 102.4

(n) *Wrevittia perforobtusa*, DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 29.9 x 102.6

(o) *Wrevittia perforobtusa*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 55.25 m, sample 26292-8, MC 50.3 x 101.7

(p) *Wrevittia perforobtusa*, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36 m, sample 28448-7, MC 40.5 x 98.6

**Figure 7.** The stratigraphic ranges and/or first and last occurrences of the age diagnostic dinoflagellate cysts (dinocysts) from the Boreal and European Boreal Realm,
and the key events recognised in this study (to the right). Key dinocyst events in Spitsbergen: primary markers (black), secondary markers (grey).

The figure shows a compilation of the most characteristic dinocysts from the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations discussed in the present study. Heilmann-Clausen (1987), Costa & Davey (1992), and Duxbury (2001) plotted the dinocysts ranges against the ammonite zonation (marked with asterix). All these authors considered the Simbirskites variabilis ammonite zone as earliest Barremian, whilst today it is considered to be Hauterivian (Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016). Nøhr-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen (this issue) updated the zonation proposed previously by Nøhr-Hansen (1993), and provided ages in GTS2016. Note that the study by Davey (1982) does not cover sediments younger than early-?late Hauterivian, while the study by Davies (1983) does not cover sediments younger than late Valanginian.

**Figure 8.** The spatial distribution of the age diagnostic (colour code) and secondary dinocyst events (black) within the Rurikfjellet Formation. The correlation between the Bohemanflya, the DH5R core, and the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, i.e. from NW to SE.

**Figure 9.** The spatial distribution of the age diagnostic dinocyst events within the Helvetiafjellet Formation. The correlation between the Ullaberget outcrop section and the DH2 core.

online Supplementary Material at http://journals.cambridge.org/geo
This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph

Figure S1. Distribution of dinocysts in the Bohemanflya outcrop section

Figure S2. Distribution of dinocysts in the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section

Figure S3. Distribution of dinocysts in the Ullaberget outcrop section

Figure S4. Distribution of dinocysts in the DH1 well

Figure S5. Distribution of dinocysts in the DH2 well

Figure S6. Distribution of dinocysts in the DH5R well

References


Confidential report No. 88.084’, p. 139.


Bjærke, T. and Thusu, B. (1976) 'Cretaceous Palynomorphs from Spitsbergenbanken
Bottini, C. et al. (2015) ‘Climate variability and ocean fertility during the Aptian Stage’, 

10.5194/cp-14-1147-2018.

assessment of the geological conditions for CO2 sequestration’, *Norsk Geologisk 

from the Richardson Mountains, District of Mackenzie, Canada’, *Geological Survey of 

Corfu, F. et al. (2013) ‘U-Pb geochronology of cretaceous magmatism on Svalbard and 
Franz Josef Land, Barents Sea large igneous province’, *Geological Magazine*, 150(6), 
pp. 1127–1135. doi: 10.1017/S0016756813000162.

Pap., pp. 1–34.

Jurassic) to Barremian (early Cretaceous) of northwest Europe’, *AASP Contributions Series*, 5B, pp. 49–81.


Dörr, N. et al. (2012) ‘Late Mesozoic-Cenozoic exhumation history of northern Svalbard
1097 and its regional significance: Constraints from apatite fission track analysis',
1102 *Palaeontographica Abteilung B*, 173(4–6), pp. 107–146.
1104 Kingdom sector, central North Sea’, *Neues Jahrbuch fuer Geologie und Palaeontologie
1105 Abhandlungen*, 219(1–2), pp. 95–137.
1109 basal Cretaceous marker on Spitsbergen’, *Polar Research*, 11(1), pp. 21–31. doi:
1112 organic matter variations: A new approach to identify sea-level changes in mid-
1114 7613(1996)024<0499:EPORAO>2.3.CO;2.
1116 latitude: Palynological evidence from Isachsen Formation, Arctic Canada’, *Cretaceous
1118 Gjelberg, J. and Steel, R. J. (1995) ‘Helvetiafjellet formation (Barremian-Aptian),


1126 Hammer, Ø. *et al.* (2018) 'Comment on “Redox conditions, productivity, and volcanic input during deposition of uppermost Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous organic-rich siltstones in Spitsbergen, Norway” by Rakocinski et al. (2018)’, *Cretaceous Research*,


Global and Planetary Change. Elsevier, 167, pp. 1–23. doi:
10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.04.004.

Albian strata of Spitsbergen’, Palaeontologia Polonica, 67, pp. 137–147. doi:
10.4202/pp.2016.67_137.

10.1144/sp434.10.


Jenkyns, H. C. et al. (2012) ‘Warm Middle Jurassic-Early Cretaceous high-latitude sea-


10.1016/j.jog.2018.02.009.

Koevoets, M. J. et al. (2018) ‘Integrating subsurface and outcrop data of the middle jurassic to lower cretaceous agardhfjellet formation in central spitsbergen’, Norsk


### Table 1. List of palynomorphs recorded in this study including a reference for photographs (Figs. 6-9) and range charts (Figs S1-S6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Palynomorph name in alphabetical order according to genus then species</th>
<th>Photo</th>
<th>Fig. S1</th>
<th>Fig. S2</th>
<th>Fig. S3</th>
<th>Fig. S4</th>
<th>Fig. S5</th>
<th>Fig. S6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apteodinium spongiosum</td>
<td>9b-e</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apteodinium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athigmatocysta glabra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopodinium haromense</td>
<td>9f</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourkidinium granulatum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourkidinium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canningia reticulata</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassiculospaeridia magna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydomphorea nyei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydomphorea spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulodinium aff. attadalicum sensu Nør-Hansen 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulodinium distinctum</td>
<td>6g-j</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulodinium sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulodinium sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleistospaeridia spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribroperidinium sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribroperidinium spp.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclonephelium cuculliforme sensu Århus 1990</td>
<td>8l</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinocyst sp. A</td>
<td>9d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dingodinium cerviculum</td>
<td>6n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discorsia nannus</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissiliodinium acmeum</td>
<td>6k</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downiesphaeridia? aciculare</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscrinium hauterivianum</td>
<td>6o,p</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13,38</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscrinium sp. 1</td>
<td>6l</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscrinium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>? Escharisphaeridia rudis</td>
<td>8b</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florentinia spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardodinium trabeculosum</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gochteodinia judilentinae</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gochteodinia villosa subsp. multifurcata</td>
<td>7a,c</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gochteodinia villosa</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonyaulacysta sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonyaulacysta spp.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heslertonia heslertonensis</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hystrichodinium voigtii</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hystrichospaeridia arborispinum</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmocystis distincta</td>
<td>7l</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiokansium unituberculatum</td>
<td>7k</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleithriaspheeridium eoinodes</td>
<td>7j</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagenorhytis delicata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptodinium spp.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meiourogonyaulax stoveri</td>
<td>7l</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia asymmetrica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia australis</td>
<td>7e</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia extensiva</td>
<td>7h</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia pariata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia simplex</td>
<td>7f</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia simplex subsp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microperforata sensu Nøhr-Hansen 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia spp.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia tetracantha</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muderongia tetracantha/extensiva</td>
<td>7g</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelchinae kostromiensis</td>
<td>7m,n</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4,41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyktericysta? aff. pannosa</td>
<td>7o,p</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyktericysta vitrea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyktericysta spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontochitina nuda</td>
<td>8e</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontochitina spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium albertense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium abaculum</td>
<td>8f</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium asterigerum</td>
<td>8i</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium complex</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium complex var. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium poculum</td>
<td>8a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium aff. pulcherrimum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium ssp.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligosphaeridium with broken processes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dinocysts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeocysta palmula</td>
<td>8k</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeoperidinium cretaceum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palynomorph A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palynomorph B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragonyaulacysta capillosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragonyaulacysta ssp.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pareodinia ssp.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoberocysta neocomica</td>
<td>8c</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolixosphaeridiopsis spissa (acritarch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoceratium anaphrissum</td>
<td>8m-o</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoceratium pelliferum</td>
<td>8j</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoceratium cf. retusum sensu Nøhr-Hansen 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoceratium ssp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana</td>
<td>8d,g</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhynchodiniopsis</em> spp.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sepispinula? huguonirot</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scriniodinium campanula</em></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sirmiodinium grossii</em></td>
<td>9e,f</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spiniferites</em> sp. 1</td>
<td>9d</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spiniferites</em> spp.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stanfordella fastigiata</em></td>
<td>9a</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stanfordella ordocava</em></td>
<td>9b,c</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stiphrosphaeridium anthophorum</em></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Subtilisphaera perlucida</em></td>
<td>9g</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tanyosphaeridium boletus</em></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tanyosphaeridium salpinx</em></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tanyosphaeridium</em> spp.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tubotuberella apatela</em></td>
<td>9i-k</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tubotuberella uncinata</em></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tubotuberella</em> spp.</td>
<td>9l</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Wallodinium luna</em></td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Wrevittia helicoidea</em></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf. <em>Wrevittia perforobtusa</em></td>
<td>9n-p</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentifiable dinocysts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Stratigraphic cross-section showing the regional development of the Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous Adventdalen Group on Spitsbergen. Modified after Alsen et al. (this issue). White bars show the time span for each of the studied sites: three onshore cores DH1, DH2, DHSR, and three outcrop sections: Bohemanflya (BOH), Ullaberget (UL), and Myklegardfjellet (MYK). The figure is available in colour on the web version of this paper.
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Figure 2. (A). Black dots mark the positions of the studied sites: Bo – Bohemanflya, Ul – Ullaberget, My – Myklegardfjellet outcrop sections. Paleogeography of Spitsbergen from the Valanginian to early Aptian (after Grundvåg & Olaussen, 2017; Grundvåg et al., 2017) (B) Palaeogeography during the deposition of the Wimanfjellet Member; (C) Palaeogeography during the deposition of the Kikutodden Member; (D) Paleogeography during the earliest Barremian - deposition of the Festningen Member.
Figure 3. Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure is available in colour on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4x0.3 (XM1 x YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).(a) a dinocyst, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7, MC 102 x 42.2 (b) Apteodinium spongiosum, high focus, (c) low focus, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 25.00 m, sample 28450-9, MC 25 x 108.7 (d) Apteodinium spongiosum very dark, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6, MC 32 x 109.1 (e) Apteodinium spongiosum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 51.4 x 105.5 (f) Apteodinium haromense; Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 42.7 x 102.3 (g) Circulodinium distinctum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6; MC 29.3 x 91.6 (h) Circulodinium distinctum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 21 x 104.5 (i) Circulodinium distinctum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 17.5 x 105.5 (j) Circulodinium distinctum, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 28.00 m, sample 28449-8; MC 44.7 x 96.7 (k) Distiolidinium acmeum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 7.00 m, sample 27005-4; MC 37.2 x 99.4 (l) Endoscrinium sp.1 Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 25.00 m, sample 28450-9; MC 25.5 x 110 (m) Discorsia nannus, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 49 x 103.3 (n) Dingodinium cerviculum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 75.00 m, sample 27010-4; MC 47 x 108.5 (o) Endoscrinium hauerivianum, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36.00 m, sample 28448-7, MC 33.8 x 102.2 (p) Endoscrinium hauerivianum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 42 x 102.4
Figure 4. Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1 × YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).
(a) Gochteodinia villosa subsp. multifurcata, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5; MC 36.3 × 114
(b) Gochteodinia villosa, DH5R core, depth 350.00 m, sample 26197-6; MC 22.5 × 96.2
(c) Gochteodinia villosa subsp. multifurcata, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5; MC 36 × 107
(d) Muderongia tetracanta, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 127.50 m, sample 26290-8; MC 44 × 110.6
(e) Muderongia australis, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 120.00 m, sample 27013-9; MC 31.4 × 108.5
(f) Muderongia simplex, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6; MC 33 × 105.8
(g) a transitional form between Muderongia tetracanta and Muderongia extensiva, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 46.00 m, sample 26293-5, MC 24.5 × 111
(h) Muderongia extensiva, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 46.00 m, sample 26293-7; MC 18.5 × 108.4
(i) Isthmocystis distincta, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 7.00 m, sample 27005-4; MC 28 × 102.3
(j) Kleithriasphaeridium eoinodes, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 90.00 m, sample 27011-8; MC 25.2 × 103
(k) Kiokansium unituberculatum, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 127.50, sample 26290-6; MC 31×107.3
(l) Meiourogonyaulax stoveri, DH5R core, depth 258.00 m, sample 26194-5; MC 24 × 107.8
(m) poorly preserved Nelchinopsis kostromiensis, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample
(n) Nelchinopsis kostromiensis, Bohemaflya outcrop section, level 55.25 m, sample 26292-7; MC 51.5 x 95.5
(o) Nyktericysta? pannosa, Bohemaflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7; MC 43 x 102.7
(p) Nyktericysta? pannosa, Bohemaflya outcrop section, level 127.50 m, sample 26290-8; MC 50.2 x 100
Figure 5. Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1×YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).

(a) Oligosphaeridium poculum, DH5R core, depth 320.00 m, sample 26196-7; MC 58.2 x 97.1
(b) questionable Escharisphaeridia rudis, DH5R core, depth 288.00 m, sample 26195-7; MC 36.7 x 112.5
(c) Phoberocysta neocomica, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 90.00 m, sample 27009-6; MC 24.3 x 113.8
(d) Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 5.00 m, sample 28453-7, MC 31.7 x 101
(e) Odontochitina nuda, DH2 core, depth 141.80 m, sample 26510-9; MC 42 x 105.2
(f) Oligosphaeridium abaculum, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 75.00 m, sample 27010-5, MC 48.5 x 105.5
(g) Rhynchodiniopsis aptiana, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 105.00 m, sample 27012-6; MC 20.3 x 107.5
(h) Oligosphaeridium complex with "palm-like" terminations of processes, DH1, depth 258.90 m, sample 26285-7; MC 32.8 x 97.1
(i) Oligosphaeridium asterigerum, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 99.29 m, sample 26291-7, MC 44.7 x 94.3
(j) Pseudoceratium pelliferum, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36.00 m, sample 28448-7, MC 37 x 106.5
(k) Palaecysta palmula, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 0.05 m, sample 27004-8, MC 53 x 107.5
(l) Cyclonephelium cuculliforme sensu Århus 1990, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00, sample 27006-3; MC 30.2 x 101
(m) questionable Pseudoceratium anaphrissum Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 132.63 m, sample 26289-8; MC 37.8 x 95.5. Shown also in Figure 15.P in Grundvåg et al., (2019).
(n) Pseudoceratium anaphrissum, Ullaberget outcrop section, level 104.00 m, sample 28482-7; MC 40.6 x 111.8
(o) questionable, poorly preserved Pseudoceratium anaphrissum, DH2 core, depth 149.50 m, sample 26511-11; MC 34.5 x 110.5. Shown also in Figure 15.H in Grundvåg et al., (2019).
Figure 6. Photographs of the most characteristic dinoflagellate cysts observed in the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations in the present study. Scale bars on all photographs represent 20 μm. The figure in colour is available on the web version of this paper. MC – microscope coordinates with the A-point of 0.4×90.3 (XM1×YM1). For details, see Śliwińska (2019).
(a) Stanfordella fastigiata, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 50.4 x 103.5
(b) Stanfordella ordocava, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 15.00 m, sample 27006-5; MC 31.6 x 111
(c) Stanfordella ordocava, DH5R core, depth 380.00 m, sample 26198-6; MC 55.5 x 102.4
(d) Spiniferites sp. 1, DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 36.9 x 102
(e) Sirmiodinium grossii, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 30.3 x 104.2
(f) Sirmiodinium grossii, DH1, depth 258.90 m, sample 26285-7; MC 29.4 x 109
(g) Subtilisphaera perlucida, DH2 core, depth 186.55 m, sample 26513-9; MC 36.7 x 92.6. Shown also in Figure 15.G in Grundvåg et al., (2019).
(h) Spiniferites? DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 50.8 x 101.2
(i) Tubotuberella apatela, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 30.00 m, sample 27007-6; MC 30.6 x 105
(j) Tubotuberella apatela, Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, level 0.05 m, sample 27004-8; MC 34.5 x 109.4
(k) Tubotuberella apatela, DH2 core, depth 232.00 m, sample 26516-9; MC 19.4 x 93.3
(l) Tubotuberella sp. DH5R core, depth 380.0 m, sample 26198-6; MC 48 x 98.7
(m) Wallodinium luna, DH5R core, depth 350.0 m, sample 26197-6; MC 36.5 x 102.4
(n) Wrevittia perforobtusa, DH5R core, depth 194.00 m, sample 26192-7; MC 29.9 x 102.6
(o) Wrevittia perforobtusa, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 55.25 m, sample 26292-8, MC 50.3 x 101.7
(p) Wrevittia perforobtusa, Bohemanflya outcrop section, level 36 m, sample 28448-7, MC 40.5 x 98.6
Figure 7. The stratigraphic ranges and/or first and last occurrences of the age diagnostic dinoflagellate cysts (dinocysts) from the Boreal and European Boreal Realm, and the key events recognised in this study (to the right). Key dinocyst events in Spitsbergen: primary markers (black), secondary markers (grey).

The figure shows a compilation of the most characteristic dinocysts from the Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations discussed in the present study. Heilmann-Clausen (1987), Costa & Davey (1992), and Duxbury (2001) plotted the dinocysts ranges against the ammonite zonation (marked with asterix). All these authors considered the Simbirkites variabilis ammonite zone as earliest Barremian, whilst today it is considered to be Hauterivian (Ogg, Ogg & Gradstein, 2016). Nørh-Hansen, Piasecki & Alsen (this issue) updated the zonation proposed previously by Nørh-Hansen (1993), and provided ages in GTS2016. Note that the study by Davey (1982) does not cover sediments younger than early-?late Hauterivian, while the study by Davies (1983) does not cover sediments younger than late Valanginian.
Figure 8. The spatial distribution of the age diagnostic (colour code) and secondary dinocyst events (black) within the Rurikfjellet Formation. The correlation between the Bohemanflya, the DH5R core, and the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section, i.e. from NW to SE.
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Figure 9. The spatial distribution of the age diagnostic dinocyst events within the Helvetiafjellet Formation. The correlation between the Ullaberget outcrop section and the DH2 core.
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Figure S1: Bohemanflya

### Lithostratigraphy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Measured level (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rurikfjellet</td>
<td>25-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wimanfjellet</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikutodden</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chronostratigraphy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period/Epoch</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Hauterivian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Hauterivian – E. to earliest L. Hauterivian</td>
<td>0.00-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. to earliest L. Hauterivian</td>
<td>0.25-0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Hauterivian</td>
<td>0.50-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Hauterivian – Barremian</td>
<td>0.75-1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Barremian</td>
<td>1.00-1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Barremian – Aptian</td>
<td>1.25-1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Valanginian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Valanginian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Palynology

- **Bo5**: Dinocyst stratigraphy of the Valanginian–Aptian Rurikfjellet and Helvetiafjellet formations on Spitsbergen, Arctic Norway
- **Bo4**: Kikutodden Member
- **Bo3**: Rurikfjellet Formation
- **Bo2**: Wimanfjellet Member
- **Bo1**: Rurikfjellet Formation

### Figure S1: Distribution of dinocysts in the Bohemanflya outcrop section. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, , “+” – present outside counting, “?” – questionable.
Figure S2: Myklegardfjellet
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Figure S2. Distribution of dinocysts in the Myklegardfjellet outcrop section. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, '+' – present outside counting, '?' – questionable.
Figure S3. Distribution of dinocysts in the Ullaberget outcrop section. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO). R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, + – present outside counting.

### Palynology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (m)</th>
<th>Palynomorphs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC Aptedochnium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DC Chlamyphorella spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DC Pirotentia spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DC Nelchina palicostomellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DC Pseudoceratium pelliferum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DC Spiriferites spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium curtisium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DC Subtiliophora percutia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium aff. puichertium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DC Muderongia tetracantha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MP Palynomorph B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DC Circulodium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium asterigerum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium poculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DC Other dinocysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>DC Pseudoceratium anaphrissum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium with broken processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>DC Simiodinium grossii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>DC Unidentifiable dinocysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>DC Oligosphaeridium albertense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>DC Caninia reticulata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>DC Muderongia spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>DC Pareodinia spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>DC Odontochilina spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>DC Chlamyphorella naii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>DC Circulodium aff. atalaticum sensu Nahr-Hansen 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>DC Stanfordella fastigata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>DC Gonyauliacysta spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>DC Obioporinidium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>DC Bourkidiina spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>DC Pragonyauliacysta spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>DC Atopodinium haromense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>DC Circulodium distinctum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>DC Odontochilina nuda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>DC Smociodinium campanula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>DC Endosporidium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>DC Endosporidium hautefrerei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>DC Muderongia austalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>DC Neichningia costromellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>DC Tubulobellera apatelia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>DC Tubulobellera spp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Palynomorphs Counted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shannon Diversity</th>
<th>Species Richness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lithostratigraphy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured level (m)</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10.00  Ulla 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>10.00  Ulla 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chronostratigraphy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period/Epoch</th>
<th>Formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barremian</td>
<td>Rurikfjellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barremian - early Aptian</td>
<td>Helvetiafjellet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S4: DH1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period/Ethos</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Measured depth (m)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Samples (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Cretaceous</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rurikfjellet</td>
<td>Kikutodden</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Endoscrinium hauterivianum</td>
<td>121.00 Dh-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wimanfjellet</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Endoscrinium hauterivianum</td>
<td>229.00 Dh-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Muderongia australis</td>
<td>238.00 Dh-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Gochteodinia villosa multifurcata</td>
<td>248.00 Dh-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Muderongia tetracantha</td>
<td>258.90 Dh-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>270.00 Dh-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td>410.20 Dh-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td>414.00 Dh-1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Palynology

- In situ, quantitative abundance (scale tick = 10 counts)

- Events
  - **Endoscrinium hauterivianum**
  - **Muderongia australis**
  - **Gochteodinia villosa multifurcata**

- **Val. - Haut. Wimanfj.**
- Early to earliest Lt. Hauterivian

**Figure S4.** Distribution of dinocysts in the DH1 well. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, "+" – present outside counting, “?” – questionable.
Figure S5: Distribution of dinocysts in the DH2 well. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study. The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, “+” – present outside counting, “?” – questionable.
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Figure S6: DH5R

Figure S6: Distribution of dinocysts in the DH5R well. This file contains range charts with the quantitative data of the palynomorphs recognised in this study.

The palynomorphs are arranged after the first occurrence (FO), R – reworked, DC – dinocyst, AC – acritarch, MP – palynomorph, “+” – present outside counting, “?” – questionable