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The interpretation of the ultrafast photophysics of transition metal complexes following photoabsorption is quite involved as the heavy metal center leads to a complicated and entangled singlet-triplet manifold. This opens up multiple pathways for deactivation, often with competitive rates. As a result, intersystem crossing (ISC) and phosphorescence are commonly observed in transition metal complexes. A detailed understanding of such excited-state structure and dynamics calls for state-of-the-art experimental and theoretical methodologies. In this review, we delve into the inability of non-relativistic quantum theory to describe spin-forbidden transitions, which can be overcome by taking into account spin-orbit coupling and whose importance grows with increasing atomic number. We present the quantum chemical theory of phosphorescence and ISC together with illustrative examples. Finally, a few applications are highlighted, bridging the gap between theoretical studies and experimental applications, such as photofunctional materials.

1 Introduction

The last decade can be unambiguously termed as the era of transition metal complexes. The advent of ultrafast spectroscopy has led to an improved understanding of the photophysics of transition metal complexes. The properties of transition metal complexes are fascinating not only because of their extensive use in opto-electronic materials, molecular electronics, biology, photochemistry and many more, but their photophysical properties also call for revisiting fundamental concepts.

Early studies of transition metal complexes was primarily confined to and dominated by photophysical reactions typical of ligand field (LF) excited-state reactivities of metal-carbonyl complexes. In 1971, Adamson and coworkers opened up a totally new and fascinating area of research in luminescent transition metal complexes and their photochemistry by studying the photoredox reactivities attributed to the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited state of ruthenium (II) bipyridine complexes. This was followed by the emergence of a new branch of supra-molecular photochemistry. This rapidly growing field has been developed with the aid of sophisticated ligand-field theory and rigorous insight into electronic transitions and spectroscopic properties. The field has also benefited significantly from the state-of-the-art discovery of high-precision spectroscopic techniques. This ushered a demand for the advancement of computational tools for unravelling the microscopic origin of experimentally observed phenomena.

Electrically excited states formed by photoabsorption are rather short-lived and decay within a small period of time through a variety of deactivation processes. If the excited molecule goes back to its original initial ground-state electronic configuration, the phenomenon is a photophysical process. In contrast, when a molecule undergoes chemical changes, the corresponding dissipative pathway is termed as a photochemical process. Physical relaxation processes can be broadly categorised as being either intramolecular or intermolecular. In this context, intramolecular deactivation mechanisms can be accompanied with or without the emission of light, called radiative and non-radiative processes, respectively. In addition, intermolecular processes, as the name suggests, occur due to interactions between two or more molecules, leading to vibrational relaxations, energy transfer or electron transfer. All these processes are triggered by modifications of the electronic structure upon excitation and are accompanied by geometrical changes. A schematic representation of the intra-molecular photophysical events after excitation is shown by the modified Jablonski diagram in Fig. 1 named in honor of Aleksander Jablonski, who considered the metastable state as the origin of the delayed emission. This metastable state is now recognized as the triplet state. The electronic ground state of a molecule is typically of singlet character (S0). Upon exposure...
to light, $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ absorption occurs, within a time-scale of a few femtoseconds. Nuclear changes are not feasible at such a short timescale and hence the molecule is usually excited to a higher vibrational level of the excited electronic state. The initially excited state has several possibilities for deactivation. It may undergo vibrational relaxation to the zero-point vibrational level of the excited electronic state. The initially excited state is usually excited to a higher vibrational level and hence the molecule is usually excited to a higher vibrational state. The initially excited state may undergo vibrational relaxation to the zero-point vibrational level of the excited electronic state. The initially excited state may undergo vibrational relaxation to the zero-point vibrational level of the excited electronic state.

Heavy-metal complexes are a special class of compounds which has many different possibilities for excited-state character. These excited states include metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT), ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT), intra-ligand (IL), ligand-to-ligand charge transfer (LLCT), sigma-bond-to-ligand charge transfer (SBLCT), metal-metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MMLCT) and ligand-to-metal-metal charge transfer (LM-MMCT). Notably, these characteristics can be readily perturbed by various metal-ligand combinations and by changing the environment of the complexes.

A clear acumen from theory and computation is necessary for rationalising the origin of experimental observations. Indeed, theoretical studies based on rate constants calculated using perturbation theory have played a decisive role. More recently, simulations combining quantum chemistry with nuclear dynamic studies have been developed. Considerable attention has been to the study of ISC processes using non-adiabatic molecular dynamics involving SOC. Also, ISC dynamics have been studied using wave-packet dynamics in the past couple of years.

In this perspective, we present the theory of molecular spin-forbidden transitions, namely, phosphorescence and ISC, which are the distinguishing characteristic of transition metal complexes. The concepts are further illustrated with specific examples demonstrating its wide-spread applicability.

2 Spin-Orbit Coupling

Spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is a relativistic effect emerging naturally from the full relativistic treatment of an electron and couples the orbital angular momentum of an electron with its spin magnetic moment. In the case of atoms, the coupling strength scales with the fourth power of the nuclear charge, making it more significant for heavy transition metal atoms. Its magnitude typically varies from a few meV for elements of the 2nd period to several eV for the valence shells of 6p elements. However, the nuclear charge is not the only parameter determining the SOC strengths, large SOC can also result when two electronic states of different spin multiplicities are close in energy.

The four-component electronic Dirac–Coulomb–Breit Hamiltonian is divided into a spin-free and a spin-dependent part. The latter accounts for the coupling of the electronic orbitals and spin degrees of freedom and leads to a mixing of states with different spin multiplicities. A fallacy of this separation approach is that the difference between the eigenfunctions of the electronic Hamiltonian based on multiplicity does not hold in the presence of spin-orbit coupling terms. However, for the sake of simplicity, we will in the following discussions assume that it is retained even when the SOC term is included.
The SOC operator is most often expressed in terms of the Breit-Pauli (BP) operator,

$$ \hat{H}_{BP} = \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Z_i}{r_{ij}} (\hat{r}_{ij} \times \hat{p}_j) \cdot \hat{s}_i $$

$$ - \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} (\hat{r}_{ij} \times \hat{p}_i) \cdot (\hat{s}_i + 2\hat{s}_j) $$

where $m_e$ and $c$ are the electron mass and speed of light, respectively, and $Z$ is the nuclear charge. Capital and small letters are used to denote nuclei and electrons, respectively. $\hat{s}_i$ is the spin operator of electron $i$. $(\hat{r}_{ij} \times \hat{p}_j)$ and $(\hat{r}_{ij} \times \hat{p}_i)$ are the angular momenta of electron $i$ with respect to nucleus $I$ and electron $j$, respectively.

The first term in Eq. 1 is the one-electron term describing the interaction of the spin magnetic moment of an electron with the magnetic moment induced by it while orbiting in the nuclear electrostatic field. This involves only spin-same-orbit coupling terms and arises from the electron-nuclear Coulomb attraction. The second term is the two-electron contribution and brings in contributions from both the spin-same-orbit and spin-other-orbit couplings. It relates to the interaction of the spin magnetic moment of the electron with the orbital magnetic moment of another electron. The spin-same-orbit part of the two-electron term originates from the transformation of the two-electron Coulomb interaction, whereas the spin-other-orbit term comes from the Gaunt interaction term. The Breit-Pauli spin-orbit operator has found widespread use, in particular in approaches based on perturbation or response theory. However, it is unbounded from below and can lead to a variational collapse in molecular calculations.

It is evident from Eq. 1 that the one-electron term has a stronger dependence on the nuclear charge, and surpasses the contribution from the two-electron term for high values of $Z$. In the case of $6d$ elements like Pt, the two-electron term reduces the magnitude of the one-electron term by approximately 10%. Thus, the second term can be neglected as an approximation to the BP operator for heavy elements. The two-electron term cannot, however, be neglected for lighter elements, where it leads to a significant screening of the one-electron part.

Various ways to approximate the one electron operator has been devised, the most rigorous being the spin-orbit mean-field (SOMF) Hamiltonian, designed by Hess et al. In this method, the two-electron spin-orbit interaction of partially filled orbitals is averaged for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ spin orientations before spin integration. This can be thought of as an extension of the frozen-core approximation to all-electron calculations. Benchmark calculations have shown that the accuracy of the SOMF operator corresponds closely to that of the full BP operator for heavy atoms. Several flavors of this approximate technique have been developed for use with internally contracted multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) and complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) wavefunctions. A partial two-electron (p2E) operator has also been defined by Fedorov and Gordon. Recently, another mean-field approach for the two-electron contribution has been developed by and in this approach, the elegant use of Wigner-Eckart’s theorem helps overcome the explicit evaluation of all the multiplet components and the formalism is implemented within the equation of motion coupled cluster method. Apart from the computational efficiency, this approach also resolves the phase issue arising upon separation of nuclear and electronic degrees of freedom within Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

If we consider the effect of the two-electron spin-orbit term as a form of screening, an empirical approach for constructing an effective one-electron spin-orbit coupling operator is to emulate the two-electron contributions by an effective nuclear charge ($Z_{\text{eff}}$)

$$ \hat{H}_{SO} = \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}}{r_{ij}^3} \hat{l}_{ij} \hat{s}_i $$

Here $l_{ij}$ denotes an electron occupying an orbital located at center $I$. Blume, Watson and Peierls showed that Eq. 2 only partially accounts for the two-electron contribution. The use of this operator is limited by its dependence on the effective nuclear charge from a particular state, which varies considerably for different configurations. Despite these fundamental deficiencies, $\hat{H}_{SO}$ has been used extensively for studies of transition metal complexes by appropriate parameterization of $Z_{\text{eff}}$ to fit experimental fine-structure splittings of one or more electronic states of an atom.

The concept of an effective spin-orbit coupling operator has been combined with the concept of effective core potential (ECP). Here, $Z_{\text{eff}}$ has no physical meaning and is a purely empirical parameter. In the vicinity of a nuclear center, the amplitudes of pseudo-orbitals are small and the effective charge becomes very large. Most commonly, in the spin-orbit pseudo-potential approach, the $\frac{1}{r^3}$ dependence is dropped and the SOC operator is shifted to the valence region. Teichteil et al. have fit the spin-orbit pseudo-operator such that its action on a pseudo-orbital appropriately reproduces the effect of the true spin-orbit operator of the corresponding all-electron orbital.

An alternative approach of including effects of inner shells on the valence properties is to use the ab initio model potential (AIMP) method. Contrary to the pseudo-orbitals, the AIMP orbitals retain the complete nodal structure of the all-electron operators. A combination of SOMF Hamiltonian alongside ECP has also been used.

SOC matrix elements are available for both quantum chemical methods and semi-empirical procedures. There are two main routes to calculate SOC matrix elements. One is to treat electron correlation and SOC simultaneously in a single step and second, to evaluate the SOC in a basis of correlated molecular wavefunctions (two-step procedure). The utility of the two approaches depends on the system under study and the property to be determined. Variational perturbation theory, response theory and multi-reference spin-orbit configuration interaction (MR-SOCI) are some of the one-step methods. These are beneficial for calculating second-order spin-dependent properties requiring an infinite summation over zero-order states within the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory. MR-SCF wavefunction for the calculation of phosphorescence is especially beneficial. Time-dependent Density functional theory (TD-DFT) coefficients are
also used to calculate SOC matrix elements between singlet- and triplet-excited states. Two-step protocols offer the possibility of computing the diagonal matrix elements corresponding to spin-free excitation energies and the SOC matrix elements at different levels of theory.

A popular method for computing relativistic effects of heavy-metal systems is the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA). The method transforms the four-component Dirac Hamiltonian to a two-component form, by replacing the expansion of \((E - V)/2c^2\) term by \(E/(2c^2 - V)\) for a relativistic particle moving in a Coulomb potential. This variationally stable and self-consistent ZORA method has further been simplified by Wang and Ziegler, where the lowest single-group excited states are evaluated at the scalar relativistic, time-dependent density functional theory level and spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is then included as a perturbation using the reference orbitals, obtained in a sr-TDDFT computation. The beauty of the method lies in the fact that the composition of the double-group excited states can automatically be evaluated from the single-group singlet and triplet excited states even though the relativistic wave functions are characterized by half-integral angular momentum quantum number and, in principle, it should have a point double-group symmetry.

The SOC operator must also be transformed according to the ZORA approximation and then becomes

\[
\hat{H}_{SO} = i\sigma \left( p \times \frac{1}{2c^2 - V} p \right)
\]

where \(\sigma\) and \(p\) are the Pauli spin matrix vectors and moment operator, respectively. The computational cost of this perturbative SOC (p-SOC) approach is significantly lower than self-consistent SOC-TDDFT method and has a negligible effect on the quality of the calculated results. As a consequence, p-SOC method is now widely used to compute the SOC matrix elements of large transition metal complexes. However, this approach should in principle be always used in combination with specially designed ZORA basis, as one might otherwise get significant errors.

There has as of yet not been any systematic studies of phosphorescence at the four-component relativistic level of theory, despite this being computationally more straightforward than when non-relativistic reference wave functions are used. In four-component relativistic theory, transition moments and lifetimes are governed directly by dipole transition matrix elements, as the electronic states are no longer pure spin states. In a similar manner, ISC are governed by transition dipole moments between excited states. The reasons for the lack of use of four-component relativistic methods in the study of transition metal complexes are three-fold: 1) relativistic four-component calculations of molecular properties have a much shorter history than non-relativistic molecular property calculations; 2) four-component relativistic calculations have until recently been significantly more computationally expensive than non-relativistic calculations; and 3) the noncollinear exchange-correlation kernels that have to be used because of the spin-orbit coupling.

However, developments suggest that this picture is changing, suggesting that four-component and approximate two-component relativistic calculations, in which spin-orbit effects are included variationally, may become much more commonplace in future. The first four-component relativistic time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT) implementation was presented by Gao et al., but limited to excitation energies only. Bast, Jensen and Saue later reported a noncollinear implementation of TDDFT in which also transition moments could be obtained. However, all these papers reported results for atoms and fairly small molecular systems. Very recently, an implementation of four-component relativistic TDDFT for both open- and closed-shell systems was presented by Komorovsky, Cherry and Repisky. Although larger systems was studied in this article, the implementation was restricted to excitation energies only.

An alternative approach to address both excitation energies and transition moments is the complex polarization propagator. At the four-component level of theory, the first implementation was presented by Villaume, Saue and Norman, and applied to, for instance, the phosphorescence of transitional metal porphyrins. More recently a similar approach was implemented by Konecny et al. and employed on some transition metal complexes (\([M(phen)_3]^{3+}\) \((M = Fe, Ru, Os)\). These developments suggest that four-component relativistic calculations of phosphorescence and ISC may soon be within reach also for transition metal complexes.

SOC constants for 5d elements are usually of the order of a few thousands cm\(^{-1}\). One might naively assume that SOC is large in all transition metal complexes, but this is not the case. The singlet to triplet transition under study must have a large metal contribution in order to have a substantial amount of SOC. For instance, the \(\langle S_1|\hat{R}_{SO}|T_1 \rangle\) and \(\langle S_1|\hat{R}_{SO}|T_2 \rangle\) values for (acetylacetonato)-(bis(1-methyl-2-phenylimidazol)iridium(III) (aka N966) complex is 93 and 513 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. This stark difference is reasonable as only the latter matrix element involves charge transfer from the 5d orbital of iridium to the acetylacetonato group. This different characteristics of the states are often used to preferentially select one transition pathway over another.

### 3 Phosphorescence

As per the IUPAC definition, phosphorescence can be defined phenomenologically as long-lived luminescence. According to mechanistic photochemistry, the term designates luminescence involving change in spin multiplicity, typically from a triplet to a singlet state, or vice versa.

Historically, the term has been used somewhat uncritically, and was initially used for all long-lived emission processes. The phenomenological definition as a distinction of the time duration of afterglow compared with fluorescence was used until recently and was a source of ambiguity. The term has been used several times to describe luminescence phenomena arising due to completely different photophysical mechanisms. Here, we concentrate on the well established mechanistic definition of phosphorescence and thereby only look at radiative transitions between different spin states.
Theoretical understanding of the phenomenon requires analysis of the characteristics of the excited-state electronic structure. Typically, phosphorescence occurs from the lowest triplet state (T\textsubscript{1}) as a consequence of IC within the triplet manifold. The three triplet sublevels are degenerate within non-relativistic quantum theory, whereas the degeneracy is lifted in the relativistic theory due to zero-field splitting effects.

In the following sections we describe the theoretical methodologies developed for the calculation of phosphorescence within the framework of non-relativistic wavefunction and density-functional theories, focusing on perturbation theory and the quadratic response theory formalism. Finally, we discuss the basis for estimating phosphorescence lifetimes as well as transition moments and intensities.

### 3.1 Perturbation theory approach
SOC leads to a mixing of the pure singlet (S\textsubscript{n}) and triplet (T\textsubscript{n}) states by some contributions from the other spin state. In the limit when the contribution of the singlet state towards the triplet state (and vice-versa) is small, the situation can be described by first-order perturbation theory\cite{125,127}. The total wave function of the triplet state (T\textsubscript{1}) can then be written as,

\[
|T_{1}\rangle = |T_{1}\rangle + \sum_{n} \frac{\langle S_{n}|\hat{H}_{SO}|T_{1}\rangle}{E(T_{1}) - E(S_{n})} |S_{n}\rangle
\]  

(4)

Similarly, the ground state is also perturbed by SOC and has some contributions from the triplet manifold.

\[
|\tilde{S}_{0}\rangle = |S_{0}\rangle + \sum_{n} \frac{\langle T_{n}|\hat{H}_{SO}|S_{0}\rangle}{E(S_{0}) - E(T_{n})} |T_{n}\rangle
\]  

(5)

Smaller singlet-triplet energy separation, and higher SOC between the opposite spin states, increases the mixed nature of the states. In the case of phosphorescence from the lowest energy triplet state (T\textsubscript{1} → S\textsubscript{0}), the rate of population decay is given by the electric transition dipole moment between the two states.

\[
\langle \tilde{S}_{0}|\hat{\mu}|T_{1}\rangle = \left( \langle T_{1}|\hat{\mu}|T_{1}\rangle - \langle S_{0}|\hat{\mu}|S_{0}\rangle \right) + \frac{\langle T_{1}|\hat{H}_{SO}|S_{0}\rangle}{E(T_{1}) - E(S_{0})} + \frac{\langle T_{n}|\hat{H}_{SO}|S_{0}\rangle}{E(S_{0}) - E(T_{n})}
\]  

(6)

Recalling that the SOC matrix elements are imaginary, see Eq. [1], one must also take into account the complex conjugate. The absolute value of the square of the transition moment is the same for both absorption and emission at a given geometry. The energy gap appearing in the denominator of Eq. [6] does not depend on the spin sub-levels as zero-field splitting is much smaller than the singlet–triplet energy gap.

The first term in Eq. [6] is a permanent dipole difference term of the pure spin states, while the second and third terms are guided by the spin-allowed transition moments. The dipole difference term plays a dominant role for heavy-metal complexes where the triplet state often has charge-transfer character. Metal-to-ligand charge-transfer states involve excitations from the heavy-atom center, leading to stronger SOC and thereby enhanced phosphorescence quantum yield\cite{129,130}. The second term in Eq. [6] is important for purely organic molecules.

It is worth noting that the triplet state is comprised of three sub-levels, each having a distinct decay rate with different polarization directions along the three Cartesian coordinate axes. Even though this is true for highly symmetric molecules, it is not so for systems with lower symmetry. For the latter, the quantization axes of the zero-field triplet sub-levels may not be the same as the symmetry axes, leading to emissions of mixed polarization.

### 3.2 Response theory approach
Response theory formalism\cite{129} is an alternative way of formulating time-dependent perturbation theory discussed that allows exact- and approximate-state theories to be formulated in the same way, differing mainly in the explicit parameterization of these states. Specific molecular properties are obtained as response functions, identified in an order expansion with respect to time or frequency of an observable quantity in terms of an applied perturbation. The external time-dependent perturbing field (\(\hat{V}t\)) generates a response on a molecular property (\(\hat{\Omega}\)), which can be defined as the time-dependent expectation value of an observable, such as the electric dipole moment.

\[
\langle \psi(t)|\hat{\Omega}|\psi(t)\rangle = \langle \psi(0)|\hat{\Omega}|\psi(0)\rangle + \langle \psi(1)|\hat{\Omega}|\psi(1)\rangle + \langle \psi(2)|\hat{\Omega}|\psi(2)\rangle + \ldots
\]  

(7)

were \(\psi^{(n)}\) is the n-th order perturbed wavefunction. This can be written in terms of response functions as,

\[
\langle \psi(t)|\hat{\Omega}|\psi(t)\rangle = \langle 0|\hat{\Omega}|0\rangle + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{\Omega}|\hat{V}(\omega)\rangle \langle \hat{V}(\omega)|\psi(0)\rangle e^{-i\omega t} d\omega + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{\Omega}|\hat{V}(\omega_{1})\hat{V}(\omega_{2})\rangle \langle \hat{V}(\omega_{1})\hat{V}(\omega_{2})|\psi(0)\rangle e^{-i(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})t} d\omega_{1} d\omega_{2} + \ldots
\]  

(8)

\(\langle \hat{\Omega}|\hat{V}(\omega)\rangle\), \(\langle \hat{\Omega}|\hat{V}(\omega_{1})\hat{V}(\omega_{2})\rangle\) and \(\langle \hat{\Omega}|\hat{V}(\omega_{1})\hat{V}(\omega_{2})\hat{V}(\omega_{3})\rangle\) are the linear, quadratic and cubic response functions, respectively. These correspond to changes induced on the observable property \(\hat{\Omega}\), upon second-, third- or fourth-order perturbations of light-matter interactions.

For the study of phosphorescence, the SOC operator acts as a perturbation to the electric dipole operator (\(\mu^{*}\)) and hence the observable to be computed is associated with the residue of the quadratic response function:

\[
\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{0}} (\omega - \omega_{f}) \langle \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}^{*} \hat{H}_{SO} \hat{V}(\omega_{0}) \rangle \rangle_{0,\omega}
\]  

(9)
The response function (Eq. 9) corresponding to the transition moment is given by (using the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices),

\[
\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0} (\omega - \omega_0) \langle \langle \hat{\mu}_a; \hat{H}_{SO} \rangle \rangle_{0,\omega} = -N_1^f(\omega_f)H_{SO}^2 X_{af} - \frac{\Delta SO^2}{r_{ja}^2} + N_2^f(\omega_f)X_{af}^2 + N_1^f(\omega_f)E_{f}^3 + E_{f}^3 - \alpha_f - \beta_f X_{af}^3 N_{SO}^f X_{af}
\]

were the linear response vectors \( N \) comes from solutions of the linear response equations:

\[
N^f(\omega_f) = \left[ (E_f^2 - \omega_f S^2) - 1r^2 \right]^+ \text{ and } N_{SO}^f = (E_f^2 - 1H_{SO}^2)
\]

\( E^2 \) and \( S^2 \) arises from the second-order variation with respect to wave function parameters of the Hamiltonian and overlap matrices, respectively. Solving the triplet excitation eigenvalue problem gives the triplet excitation vectors \( (X_f) \) and frequencies \( (\omega_f) \).

\[
(E_f^2 - \omega_f S^2 X_f = 0
\]

We do not elaborate further here on the mathematical complexities of Eqs. 10 and 11 and refer to Ref. 129 for more details. Instead, we would highlight the advantage of quadratic response theory for calculations of phosphorescence. A key element of response theory is that the usual sum-over-excited-state algorithm is replaced by a set of linear equations that can be performed without prior knowledge of the excited states. This renders the method useful for treating large molecular systems described by approximate wave functions, and molecular properties can be calculated analytically. We note that several other strategies are also available for the computation of phosphorescence phenomenon, such as the variational perturbation theory and spin-orbit coupling interaction (SOC-CI) approaches. Some of these become particularly important for systems with nearly degenerate electronic ground states and where the spin-orbit contributions arise largely from within this manifold of nearly degenerate electronic states.

### 3.3 Lifetime

The phosphorescence lifetime \( \tau_k \) from one of the three sublevels \( k \) of the lowest triplet state \( (T_1) \) is given by the inverse of the rate of spontaneous emission \( (A_{k} \to 0) \).

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_k} = A_{k \to 0} = \frac{1}{3\pi \hbar b} \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right)^3 \sum_{a \lambda \xi \zeta} \left| M^k_{a} \right|^2
\]

\( M^k_{a} \) is the electric dipole transition moment between the ground state and the triplet state. The lifetime has a cubic dependence on the radiation frequency \( \omega \). The radiative lifetime \( \tau \) of the triplet state in the high temperature limit is obtained by averaging over the three sublevels, assuming them to be equally populated due to the very small energy differences between the three spin sublevels and the available energy at temperatures normally used in experimental studies.

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{av}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\tau_k}
\]

For transition metal complexes, Eq. 14 is not strictly valid due to the potentially large zero-field splitings. This can be rectified by Boltzmann-weighted averaging over the individual spin sub-levels of the triplet state.

The lifetime of the triplet state is usually a few orders of magnitude larger than that of the singlet states, enabling a more detailed time-resolved study exploiting the triplet characteristics of the molecule. This has been extensively used in designing state-of-the-art molecular materials.

### 3.4 Intensity

Due to the fact that spin is a good quantum number in non-relativistic theory, whereas the spin-orbit interaction leads to an inherent mixing of states of different spin multiplicity in variationally optimized relativistic calculations, the calculation of phosphorescence transition moments and intensities differs between the relativistic and non-relativistic realms. Within the former, there is no difference between fluorescence and phosphorescence and the electric transition dipole transition moments are obtained as residues of the linear response function.

\[
\lim_{\omega \to \omega_0} (\omega - \omega_0) \alpha_{ab} (-\omega; \omega) = \langle 0 | \hat{\mu}_a | f \rangle \langle f | \hat{\mu}_b | 0 \rangle
\]

\( \alpha \) is here the electric dipole polarizability. On contrast, in the non-relativistic realm, invoking a perturbation treatment is necessary even when zero-field splitting is ignored. The first non-zero contribution to the transition moment comes from the first-order corrected wave functions. The sublevels of the triplet state are energetically degenerate, hence the final expression becomes.

\[
M^k_a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle S_0 | \hat{\mu}_a | S_n \rangle \langle S_n | \hat{H}_{SO} | T_1^k \rangle \langle T_1^k | S_0 \rangle \langle T_1^k | S_0 \rangle
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_n | \hat{H}_{SO} | T_n \rangle \langle T_n | \hat{\mu}_a | T_1^k \rangle \langle T_1^k | T_n \rangle \langle T_n | T_n \rangle
\]

The summation over the intermediate triplet states runs over all the three sublevels of the triplet state. The oscillator strength is thus given by,

\[
f_{T \to 0} = \frac{2}{3} (E_T - E_0) \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \left( M^k_a \right)^2
\]

\( M^k_a \) can be identified from the single residue of a quadratic response function, so the final expression reads

\[
M^k_a = \lim_{\omega \to \omega_0} \frac{\hbar (\omega_f - \omega) \langle \langle \hat{\mu}_a; \hat{H}_{SO}^k \rangle \rangle_{0,\omega}}{\langle f | \hat{O} | 0 \rangle}
\]

### 3.5 Some examples

The success of prevalent phosphorescence from transition metal complexes is due to the comparable rate constants for radiative
and non-radiative transitions from $T_1$ state. From Hund’s rule, it follows that the triplet sub-levels are lower in energy than the first singlet state, and phosphorescence therefore occurs at lower energies in comparison to fluorescence. Also, in principle, phosphorescent molecules can achieve 100% [$75\% T_1 \rightarrow S_0 + 25\% S_1 \rightarrow S_0$] quantum efficiency.

Extensive theoretical studies have been performed on Ir(III) complexes with organic ligands, and we refer to Ref. [142] for more details. We instead focus our discussion on Pt complexes, as their phosphorescence properties can be fine-tuned to meet a variety of different requirements. Also, the thermal stability and charge neutrality of cyclometalated Pt(II) complexes render them good candidates as triplet emitters. [143,144] The triplet state population rates of Pt(II) complexes are moderate and thus structure or environment alterations can swing the balance towards purely phosphorescent or dual emissive luminescence behavior.

Purely phosphorescent alkynyl cyclometalated Pt complexes (Fig. 2) have recently been investigated by Lázaro et al. [139] These complexes exhibit a phosphorescence maximum at 625 nm with several vibrational fine structures, corresponding to C-C and C-N stretching modes. DFT and TDDFT calculations show that the transition is mediated by IL states mixed with MLCT character. The phosphorescence quantum efficiency and triplet lifetimes can be further enhanced by making the complex more rigid by ligating with aromatic ligands [145].

On the other hand, BODIPY and thioxanthonyl platinum(II) complexes show dual emissive behavior with fluorescence at 450-490 nm and phosphorescence at 510-650 nm, as shown in Fig. 2. The influence of the ligand is noticeable for the BODIPY ligand complex. The cis isomers have very low phosphorescence intensity in comparison to their trans counterparts. The calculations by Geist et al. [140] shows that frontier molecular orbitals involved, have the majority of the spin density on the BODIPY moiety with only little contribution from the $dx^2$ orbital of Pt. This spin density distribution is prototypical scenario favouring dual emission.

The cases discussed so far may trick one to think that phosphorescent wavelengths of transition metal complexes lie in the red and near infra-red region of the optical spectra. However, more recently a variety of blue phosphorescent Pt(II) complexes have been designed [145,146] Bidentate or tridentate Pt(II) complexes have low quantum efficiency and poor stabilities, and more rigid tetradeptate complexes are therefore the usual choice. The tetradeptate Pt(II) complexes are mainly of two types, metallochelates where the chelating ligands are linked by π-conjugation, and macrocyclic complexes. The second class of complexes are very rigid and thus ensure high quantum efficiency and stability [146,147].

In order to demonstrate the generation of different visible light phosphorescent complexes, based purely on design, we use a series of tetradeptate Pt(II) emitters having fused 5/6/6 metallo-cycles (Fig. 4) [148]. The photoluminescence spectra of these complexes at 77K and room temperature are shown in Fig. 5. In comparison to Pt(2-ptz) complexes, these complexes display red shifts to varying degrees. Photoluminescence spectra at 77K shows a structured profile with a dominant peak followed by two or five fine-structure bands. However, at room temperature, a broad Gaussian type emission is seen. The strong rigidochromic shift of 8-52 nm exhibited by these molecules are a hallmark of significant $1^{\text{MLCT}}/2^{\text{MLCT}}$ characters in the lowest excited states.

4 Intersystem Crossing

Intersystem crossing (ISC) is the near isoenergetic radiationless transition between two electronic states having different spin multiplicities. Even though it is described as a spin-forbidden singlet-triplet transition, like phosphorescence, the physical origin of the two is different. In particular, phosphorescence is guided by the transition dipole moment between the SOC perturbed singlet and triplet states, while ISC is fueled by the strength of the spin-orbit interaction between the initial and the final electronic states. ISC plays a key role in driving phosphorescence as it transfers population from the initially excited singlet state to the triplet manifold. Hence, we present here a detailed account on the developments of theoretical protocols for the calculation of the rate of ISC.

The study of the rate of population transfer between the initial and final states involved in an electronic transition can be studied by two approaches, nuclear dynamics based methods and perturbation theory-based approaches. The former involves considering the explicit nuclear motion over the potential energy surface, thereby following the nuclear dynamics of the molecule. This
involves solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation along multiple degrees of freedom. This becomes extremely expensive for large molecular systems unless strict selection criteria is imposed on the number of active modes to study. Multiconfiguration time-dependent Hartree (MCTDH)\textsuperscript{153} is a popular method where the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is solved by expanding the nuclear functions into a time-dependent basis set, with time-dependent coefficients

\[
\Psi(Q_1, \ldots, Q_f, t) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{j_f=1}^{n_f} A_{j_1, j_f} (t) \prod_{k=1}^{f} \phi^{(k)}_{j_k}(Q_k, t) \tag{19}
\]

where \(Q_i\) are the nuclear coordinates, \(A_{j_1, j_f}\) are the MCTDH expansion coefficients and \(\phi^{(k)}_{j_k}\) are the \(n_k\) expansion functions for each degree of freedom \(k\), known as the single particle functions. This method has the advantage that the time-dependent basis set ensures convergence with fewer basis functions. A more sophisticated version of the MCTDH method is the multi-layer variant, also known as ML-MCTDH,\textsuperscript{154} which has been used to study systems comprising over 1000 degrees of freedom. These approaches combine multiple degrees of freedom of the system under one single particle function, therefore reducing the effective number of degrees of freedom significantly. A more detailed discussion on these approaches are given in Ref.\textsuperscript{155}

In order to overcome the requirement of grid-based nuclear dynamic methods to pre-compute the potential energy surface,
the Duschinsky transformation connects the two as,

\[ Q_f = JQ_i + D \]  

(20)

where \( J \) and \( D \) are the Duschinsky matrix accounting for the rotation of the normal coordinates and the displacement vector between the two potentials, respectively. For transitions preserving symmetry, the Duschinsky matrix is block diagonal and the displacement vector has only non-zero elements for totally symmetric modes. It is also worth mentioning here that Eq. (20) is only an approximate way of looking at the electronic transition and is suitable only for cases with minimal change in geometry during the transition. This can be traced back to Eckart condition, which states that two electronic states with different equilibrium geometries and normal modes gives rise to two distinct sets of internal coordinates. As such, for large-amplitude motion as for instance is the case in low-frequency bending modes, Eq. (20) may not be adequate and the use of different Cartesian normal coordinates or curvilinear/internal coordinates are preferable.

4.1 Qualitative Rules

When the SOC integral between the initial and final states is much smaller than the adiabatic energy gap, a qualitative analysis of the rate of ISC (\( k_{ISC} \)) is possible by starting from the "Fermi’s Golden Rule" approximation.

\[ k_{ISC} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{f} |\langle \Psi_f |\hat{H}_{SO} |\Psi_i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_i - E_f) \]  

(21)

\( \Psi_i \) and \( \Psi_f \) are the molecular wavefunctions of the initial and final states including both electronic and vibrational contributions. \( \hat{H}_{SO} \) is the spin-orbit Hamiltonian describing the coupling between the two states. The conservation of molecular energy is ensured by the \( \delta \) function. Under the approximation that the SOC only depends on the electronic part of the wavefunction, the electronic (\( \psi \)) and vibrational (\( \nu \)) contributions are separable and can be rewritten as:

\[ k_{ISC} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{f} |\langle \Psi_f |\hat{H}_{SO} |\Psi_i \rangle|^2 \sum_{k} |\langle \nu_f |\psi_k \rangle|^2 \delta(E_{ia} - E_{fk}) \]  

(22)

As is evident from Eq. (22) the rate of ISC depends on two contributions: (i) purely electronic SOC and (ii) the vibrational density of states. These terms have enabled the qualitative estimation of the rate of ISC.

4.1.1 El-Sayed’s Rule

El Sayed’s rule states that the rate of ISC is larger when the electronic states involved in the non-radiative transition are of different orbital symmetry with respect to the molecular plane of reflection, i.e. transitions must be accompanied by a change in angular momentum. It thus predicts that the rate of ISC is larger for transitions of \( ^1(\pi, \pi^+) \rightarrow ^3(n, \pi^+) \) type than for \( ^1(\pi, \pi^+) \rightarrow ^3(\pi, \pi^+) \) (and vice versa). This rule is based on the electronic part of Eq. (22) and the subsequent single-electron nature of SOC operator. However, this rule fails when the two electronic states are close in energy.

El-Sayed’s rule was originally discussed in the context of purely
organic chromophores. Angular momentum operators are purely imaginary and do not have diagonal matrix elements in a basis of real functions. As illustrated in Fig. 5 considering a molecule in the yz plane, the \( l_z \) operator couples the out-of-plane \( \pi \) or \( \pi^* \) type \( p_z \) orbitals with the non-bonding in-plane \( p_y \) orbitals while the spin operator \( s_z \) changes the sign of the electron spin function, thus transforming the \( M_S = 0 \) singlet state to a \( M_S = 1 \) triplet state and vice versa.

**Fig. 6** Orbital rotations upon spin-orbit coupling demonstrated using \( p \) orbitals.

The situation is more complicated for transition metal complexes. Naively, one can say that El-Sayed’s rule is generally valid due to the \( Z_{eff}^4 \) dependence of SOC matrix elements. The SOC will be largest for transitions between states with d-electron contributions. Crystal field splitting effects play a crucial role towards the contribution of the d-electron to the MLCT state involved in ISC. For instance, in Cu(I) complexes with metal-to-ligand charge transfer states, crystal field splitting is larger than for analogous Cu(II) complexes, and thus the d electron contribution to the low-lying excited state is smaller for the former, leading to smaller SOC.

Despite the rule being relatively straightforward, it is based solely on electronic states of a single character. Mixing between states of different orbital character leads to a breakdown of the rule. Moreover, vibronic interactions are known to enhance ISC for El-Sayed forbidden transitions.\(^{160}\)

**4.1.2 Energy Gap Law**

The sum-over vibrational states part of Eq. 22 is commonly referred to as vibrational density of states. The energy separation between the two states undergoing a transition guides the rate of ISC under two limiting situations: the weak coupling and strong coupling cases, as outlined by Jortner and Englman.\(^{154}\) In the weak coupling limit, the change in normal mode coordinates are small, and thus the transition probability depends exponentially on the adiabatic energy difference. Therefore, the smaller the energy gap, the larger is the rate of ISC. On the other hand, for the strong coupling limit, there occurs a larger displacement in coordinates so that the potential energy surfaces of the two states intersect. Under such circumstances, a higher energy gap usually corresponds to a lower ISC probability. Moreover, the transition probability follows a Gaussian decay with respect to the energy gap and reorganization energy.

**4.2 Franck–Condon Approximation**

A Taylor expansion of Eq. 22 about a chosen reference point \( (q_0) \) gives a direct SOC term,

\[
k_{\text{ISC}}^{\text{direct/FC}} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \psi_f | H_{SOC} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 \sum_k |\langle \psi_k | v_{ia} \rangle|^2 \delta(E_{ia} - E_{fk}) , \tag{23}
\]

a mixed contribution coming from both Franck-Condon and Hertzberg-Teller terms

\[
k_{\text{ISC}}^{\text{mixed}} = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} \Re \left( \sum_{q_0} \left( \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_f | H_{SOC} | \psi_i \rangle}{\partial q_{0\alpha}} \langle \psi_k | q_0 v_{ia} \rangle \delta(E_{ia} - E_{fk}) \right) \right) \tag{24}
\]

and a pure Hertzberg-Teller expression,

\[
k_{\text{ISC}}^{\text{HT}} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \Re \left( \sum_{\delta} \left( \sum_{q_0} \left( \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial |\psi_f | H_{SOC} | \psi_i \rangle}{\partial q_{0\beta}} \langle \psi_k | q_0 v_{ia} \rangle \delta(E_{ia} - E_{fk}) \right) \right) \right) \tag{25}
\]

The reference point \( q_0 \) is generally chosen to be the equilibrium geometry of the initial state or the crossing point of the two potential energy surfaces. Higher-order terms of the Taylor series expansion are usually negligible. It is further possible to compute the rate of ISC for transition to the individual levels of the triplet states. However, this involves going beyond first-order perturbation theory and requires knowledge about the composition of the triplet sub-states, and no such study has been performed either theoretically or experimentally.

Generally, the rate of ISC is approximated by the direct term (Eq. 23). However, under certain circumstances, it is essential to go beyond the Franck-Condon approximation and include higher order terms as in Eq. 24 and 25. Higher-order terms of the Taylor series expansion accounts for vibronic SOC contributions.\(^{160}\)

SOC matrix elements are complex valued, and only the real parts contribute to ISC, which is an observable quantity.

Focusing only on the direct contribution towards the rate of ISC, the task in hand boils down to approximating the Dirac \( \delta \) function in Eq. 23 by a step function of finite width.\(^{155}\) Their approach in-
volved calculating the Franck–Condon factors and the vibronic couplings within a energy range. Using the Condon approximation, the vibrational part of the rate is thus obtained by summing over the Franck–Condon factors of all states in the energy interval $2\eta$.

$$k_{ISC} = \frac{\pi}{\hbar \eta} \sum_{f} \langle \langle \psi_{f} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \psi_{i} \rangle \rangle_{\eta}^{2} \sum_{(i \eta > E_{n}-E_{i})} \langle \langle \psi_{i} | \psi_{f} \rangle \rangle_{i \eta}^{2}$$ (26)

The applicability of this approach can be extended through the use of efficient pre-screening of the Franck-Condon factors, sum rules and mode selections. The limitations of this method lies in the fact that the computational cost grows significantly with increasing number of normal modes and/or an increase in adiabatic energy separation between the states, both of which control the vibrational density of states (VDOS). Care must also be taken when choosing the finite step width. Moreover, the energy grid integration protocol gives a thorough insight into the vibrational modes contributing to the non-radiative transition.

Another time-independent approach is to consider the high-temperature limit and compute the transition rate as a sum over Boltzmann-weighted rate constants $k_{ISC}^{k}$, using a population distribution multiplier

$$k_{ISC}^{k} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{f} \langle \langle \psi_{f} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \psi_{i} \rangle \rangle_{\eta}^{2} F^{k}(E_{ik})$$ (27)

where the Franck–Condon-weighted density of states ($F^{k}$) at room-temperature can be estimated from Marcus-Levich-Jortner theory as

$$F^{k} = (4\pi \lambda k_{B}T)^{-1/2} \sum_{n} \exp(-S_{k}^{n} \frac{\delta_{n}}{n!}) \frac{(-\lambda_{ij}n + \hbar\omega_{k} + \lambda)^{2}}{4\pi k_{B}T}$$ (28)

$E_{ij}$ is the energy gap between the two states at their equilibrium geometries and $\omega_{k}$ the frequency of an effective normal mode involved in the ISC. The dimensionless Huang-Rhys factor ($S_{k}$) is given by

$$S_{k} = \frac{\omega_{k} \Delta Q_{k}^{2}}{2\hbar}$$ (29)

$\Delta Q_{k}$ is the shift in equilibrium position between the two states. In Marcus theory, the reorganization energy $\lambda$ empirically accounts for solvent-induced relaxations and low-frequency vibration mode contributions. As is apparent from Eq. 28 and 29, the rate of ISC depends strongly on the energy separation and change in geometry. Hence, it is advisable to use accurate methods for geometry optimizations and frequency calculations, while a more approximate method for computing SOC can be used without including much error.

### 4.2.2 Time-dependent methods

In the Heisenberg picture, the need to explicitly calculate the Franck–Condon VDOS can be circumvented by replacing the Dirac $\delta$ function by the corresponding Fourier integral

$$\delta(E_{i} - E_{f}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(E_{i} - E_{f})t} / \hbar dt$$ (30)

Employing properties of conjugation and resolution of identity, the rate of ISC can be expressed as

$$k_{ISC} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \langle \psi_{f} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \psi_{i} \rangle \rangle_{\eta} \hat{H}_{SO}(t) | \psi_{f} \rangle | \psi_{i} \rangle dt$$ (31)

Eq. 31 is exact up to first order in time-dependent perturbation theory, and the spin-orbit coupling operator in the Heisenberg picture is given by

$$\hat{H}_{SO}(t) = e^{i\hat{K}t/\hbar} \hat{H}_{SO} e^{-i\hat{K}t/\hbar}$$ (32)

The calculation of rate constants using this protocol is not restricted to harmonic potentials nor the Condon approximation for the spin-orbit matrix elements. The evaluation of the autocorrelation function nevertheless becomes simpler. The autocorrelation function ($G(t)$) was first established by Kubo and Lax, and has been widely used to compute non-radiative rate constants both analytically and numerically. Etinski et al. employed the correlation function to arrive at an analytical expression for the rate of ISC within the Condon approximation for vibrationally cold molecules as

$$k_{ISC} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \langle \psi_{f} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \psi_{i} \rangle \rangle_{\eta}^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t) e^{i(E_{f} - E_{i} + 0.5\hbar\lambda_{i})t/\hbar} dt$$ (33)

For the precise definition of the different quantities appearing in this equation, we refer to Ref. 174. A carefully chosen Gaussian-type damping factor ($\xi(t) = \exp(-\pi t^{2})$) can be used to accelerate the convergence of the correlation function. This can be seen as accounting for all the additional possible damping that the vibronic states undergo, such as vibrational relaxation, radiative transition and coupling between normal modes. Recently, we modified this approach, incorporating the Boltzmann distribution function to calculate rate of ISC both at finite temperatures and in the low-temperature limit [174].

$$k_{ISC} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\hbar}{E_{f} - E_{i}} \right) \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\left( \frac{U^{2} + V^{2}}{2} \right)} e^{K_{1} \cos(\theta/2 + K_{2})} dt \right\}$$ (34)

In the above simplified expression, we have used the same notation as in Ref. 174. An alternative procedure is to use the first- and second-order cumulant probability distribution for the time-ordered exponential functions.

$$k_{ISC} = \pi \left( \langle \langle \psi_{f} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \psi_{i} \rangle \rangle_{\eta}^{2} e^{-K_{1}^{\eta} n} \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(K_{1} t + K_{2}^{\eta}) e^{-K_{2}^{\eta} t} dt \right)$$ (35)

The same notations are used in Eq. 35 as in Ref. 109. Furthermore, Etinski et al. have derived a short-time expression which avoids numerical integration when calculating the rate of ISC. Both the exact expression and the cumulant expansion perform well and neither of them outperforms the other.

The methods described above are all computed using standard numerical integration procedures. Alternatively, analytical solutions are also possible by appropriately modelling the Hamiltonian by analytic functions, which are then evaluated by recursion formulas. The model Hamiltonian in use is the simple harmonic oscillator. In this case, the calculations are performed in...
the Fourier-transformed frequency space. Pioneering work in this field has been done by Borrelli and Peluso, with most application focusing on energy transfer mechanisms.128,180,181

4.3 Illustrative examples

Calculations of the rate of ISC within the Franck-Condon domain have been successfully used for various transition metal complexes,109,182–186 here we discuss representative 5d transition metal systems, with the aim to generate a better understanding of ISC processes based on the interplay of non-equilibrium excited-state dynamics and structural changes, and also the finer details brought to light by a detailed analysis of the effects of Duschinsky mixing.

Experimentally, the rates of ISC are found to be 0.75 ns for Au(I) (Au-1) and Au(III) (Au-2) complexes (Fig. 8).

![Chemical structure of Au(I) and Au(III) complexes](image)

Figs. 7 Chemical structure of Os(II) complexes. Adapted with permission from Ref. 128. Copyright 2007 Royal Society of Chemistry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>τISC</th>
<th>MLCT in ππ*</th>
<th>Emission wavelength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Os-1</td>
<td>150 fs</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>545 nm (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-2</td>
<td>0.48 ps</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>520 nm (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-3</td>
<td>3.64 ps</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>560 nm (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-4</td>
<td>6.71 ps</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>540 nm (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-5</td>
<td>120 ps</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>550 nm (F) &amp; 690 nm (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-6</td>
<td>2.1 ns</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>575 nm (F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to demonstrate structure-dynamic relationships we consider a set of analogous Os(II) complexes (Fig. 7), which exhibits changes in the luminescence behavior from purely phosphorescent (Os 1-4) to dual emissive (Os-5) to purely fluorescent (Os-6).128,187 These varying features are guided by the rate of ISC. The change in character of the lowest excited state dictates the emission behavior leading to white light emission. N966 shows dual phosphorescence from both the T1 and T2 states. This anomalous behavior is due to a difference in nature of the T1 and T2 states, ensuring efficient S1 → T2 conversion, but a low rate of IC between the two triplet states. The T2 state is of d-π → π* character whereas the S1 and T1 states are of dπ → π* character.

As shown in Fig. 10, the smaller singlet-triplet energy gap and higher SOC for S1 → T1 leads to a more favourable ISC than for S1 → T2. However, the computed rate of ISC using the time-independent Marcus-Levich-Jortner theory predicts a comparably fast rate of ISC for both pathways. The authors attribute this to the Franck–Condon-weighted density of states, which compensates for the smaller SOC. The energy separation thus does not play a significant role as it appears in the decaying exponential term in Eq. 28.

We next consider a pair of Pt(II) complexes (Fig. 11) containing acetylacetonate and extended cyclometalated 2-phenylpyridine (Pt-ppy) and 2-(2-thienyl)pyridine ligands (Pt-thpy).109,189 Meticulous variation of Duschinsky rotation parameters gives great insight into the variation in the rates of ISC computed using the time-dependent correlation function and the cumulative expansion-based approach at both room temperature and 0K.

The rates (see Table 2) of ISC suggests that in Pt-ppy com-
The d-orbitals involved in the $T_2$ of Au-1 and $T_4$ of Au-2 have the same orientations as their respective $S_1$ excited state. F and P refer to fluorescence and phosphorescence, respectively. Adapted with permission from Ref. [188]. Copyright 2017 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Table 2 Photophysical parameters of Pt-ppy and Pt-thpy complexes. Correlation function and cumulant expansion approach based calculations have been performed using 20,000 grid points, damping factor of 2.0 and upper limit of time integration as 20 ps. Data taken from Ref. [109]. Energy separation is in eV, SOC is in cm$^{-1}$ and all rates are in s$^{-1}$. a and b are for rates computed without $J$ and $D$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Temp. (K)</th>
<th>Pt-ppy $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$</th>
<th>Pt-ppy $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$</th>
<th>Pt-thpy $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{\text{ST}}$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>75.27</td>
<td>329.62</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{cum}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.60 $\times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>4.59 $\times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>5.75 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{corr}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1 $\times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>1.07 $\times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>4.50 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{corr}}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.47 $\times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>6.87 $\times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>1.35 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{corr}}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.10 $\times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>5.02 $\times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>6.24 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{corr}}$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8.51 $\times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>2.74 $\times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>4.50 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast, in Pt-thpy, the rate of ISC enables dual emission. It is worth noticing that the rates calculated using the correlation function at 300K is an order of magnitude smaller than that at 0K. At finite temperatures the excess vibrational energy in the $S_1$ state reduced the ISC compared to at 0 K.

We have also calculated the rates without including structural changes, that is, ignoring the $J$ matrix and $D$ vector of Eq. [20]. The dependence of the displacement vector on the rate of ISC in Eq. [34] occurs through the $K_1$ and $K_2$ terms. The dependence on $K_2$ enters in the cosine term, which varies between $\pm 1$. The most prominent feature is that the sign of $K_1$ is negative, suggesting that a larger displacement vector contributes less to the net ISC value. In all cases, exclusion of the displacement vector reduces the rate by one order of magnitude.

The Duschinsky rotation matrix (shown in Fig. 13) couples the normal vibrational modes via the off-diagonal elements, enabling ISC transition. In the case of the $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ transition for the Pt-thpy complex, the off-diagonal elements have comparable values.
to the diagonal elements, and the mixing is confined within a few normal modes. Therefore, neglecting the strong off-diagonal elements leads to a decrease in the rate of ISC by one order of magnitude.

5 Recent Advances

During the last 2-3 years, an enormous surge of research interest in the field of non-radiative decay process has been witnessed both at the experimental and the theoretical level. The experimental observations of the breakdown of Hund's rule or the inversion of the lowest singlet and triplet states vis-a-vis barrier free reverse intersystem crossing due to exciton-polariton interaction is ground breaking and, of course demands the development of new theoretical tools to analyze such phenomenon from both at the experimental and the theoretical level. The experimental observations of the breakdown of Hund's rule or the inversion of the lowest singlet and triplet states vis-a-vis barrier free reverse intersystem crossing due to exciton-polariton interaction is ground breaking and, of course demands the development of new theoretical tools to analyze such phenomenon from both at the experimental and the theoretical level. The experimental observations of the breakdown of Hund's rule or the inversion of the lowest singlet and triplet states vis-a-vis barrier free reverse intersystem crossing due to exciton-polariton interaction is ground breaking and, of course demands the development of new theoretical tools to analyze such phenomenon from both at the experimental and the theoretical level.

During the last 2-3 years, an enormous surge of research interest in the field of non-radiative decay process has been witnessed both at the experimental and the theoretical level. The experimental observations of the breakdown of Hund's rule or the inversion of the lowest singlet and triplet states vis-a-vis barrier free reverse intersystem crossing due to exciton-polariton interaction is ground breaking and, of course demands the development of new theoretical tools to analyze such phenomenon from both at the experimental and the theoretical level. The experimental observations of the breakdown of Hund's rule or the inversion of the lowest singlet and triplet states vis-a-vis barrier free reverse intersystem crossing due to exciton-polariton interaction is ground breaking and, of course demands the development of new theoretical tools to analyze such phenomenon from both at the experimental and the theoretical level.

Simulation of ISC dynamics at finite temperature using the thermal Schrödinger equation is challenging although such a thermo-field method is already available for studying non-radiative process like energy transfer.\[199\] The role of spin-vibronic interaction for the quantitative estimation of the rate of reverse intersystem crossing is shown to be well justified by Kim et al.\[200\] The advances in trajectory-based dynamics is also quite remarkable. In particular, exploring internal conversion and ISC at the same level using exact factorization of the electron-nuclear wave function makes the generalized coupled-trajectory mixed quantum-classical algorithm very reliable.\[201\] The use of machine learning to the study of nonadiabatic excited state dynamics is a recent development which reduces the computational costs without compromising the accuracy of the calculations.\[202\]

6 Practical applications

The reason for the wide-ranging applicability of transition metal complexes is due to their high photostability, minimal self-quenching, wide range of photo-emission energies, and most importantly the fact that their luminescence properties can be readily modified by the use of a wide variety of ligands of different structural, electronic and coordinating properties.\[203\]-[205]

For these reasons, the development of luminescent transition metal complexes as sensors, organic light emitting diodes...
(OLEDs) and dye-sensitized solar cells, has received increasing attention in recent years. Applications of highly phosphorescent transition metal complexes as cellular reagents, such as, biosensors and bioimaging reagents, also serve as a constant source of research. In the following sections, we give some examples of the use of transition metal complexes in OLEDs, light emitting electrochemical cells (LECs) and biological applications.

6.1 OLEDs

OLEDs consist of a cathode and an anode, with the region in between comprising of electron and hole carriers, which combine to form excitons. These excitons undergo de-excitation, thereby emitting light. The excitons may either be singlet or triplet in configuration, in the ratio of 1:3, as predicted by spin statistics. SOC effects play a crucial role in maximizing the use of all singlet and triplet excitons and thus achieving 100% internal quantum efficiency, via provision of intense mixing of spin multiplets. Heavy-metal-containing phosphors such as in Ir and Pt complexes can play a key role in OLEDs by efficiently harvesting all the electron-generated excitons, and hence, procuring high efficiency for the OLEDs. 142,203,204

This approach has been studied since 1998 and it’s first successful commercial application was made in 2003, in the form of phosphorescent red OLEDs. Following this, OLED technology has been under continuous research and improvement, which has led to the extensive use of OLEDs in the development of solid-state lighting (SSL); 207 flat-panel displays and flexible screens. It has also led to red, green and blue (RGB) platforms 208 of certain efficient phosphorescent dyes, which have been commercialized as displays in small hand-held devices and television sets. Also, white OLEDs have been found to be of immense importance with respect to lighting. 208

Iridium (III) is the most commonly used transition metal in the design of OLEDs. Numerous mono-, bis-cyclometallated homo- and hetero-leptic iridium complexes are available, 209–211 which can be used as phosphorescent emitters in OLEDs. 212–215 Polymerics containing Ir(III) complexes are also considered promising since they offer the appealing combination of a phosphor and a phosphorescence, highlighting the beneficial role of the dpendron. 216

More recently, Pt(II), Zn(II), Cu(I) and other transition complexes have been used as materials for OLEDs. 215,221 In the limited scope of this perspective, it is not possible to do justice to the wide range of transition metal complexes which are being explored in the world of OLEDs. Hence, we have restricted our discussion to a general description of design principles and a few specific examples of transition metal complex incorporated in OLEDs.

6.2 LECs

Monolayer electroluminescent devices consisting of a luminescent material formed by recombination of ionic charges, sandwiched between two electrodes are regarded as Light-emitting Electrochemical Cells (LECs). The operational mechanism of LECs can be described by two models: the electrodynamical model (ED) 222 and the electrochemical doping (ECD) model. 223 Though there are certain differences in the assumptions of the two models, both models agree that the accumulation of ions at the two electrodes results in a sharp drop in the applied potential, resulting in charge reconstitution and hence, triggering light emission, from the phosphorescent material clamped between the electrodes. LECs are easily engineered and their biggest advantage lies in their insensitivity towards the work function of the electrodes, unlike the highly sensitive and complexly structured OLEDs. This allows for the use of air-stable metals such as Au or Ag. 206,224

**Fig. 13** Absolute value of Duschinsky matrix for $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ (left) and $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ (middle) of Pt-ppy and $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ (right) of Pt-thpy. Taken from Ref. 109.
first introduced the concept of LECs in 1995, by coalescing an inorganic salt to a blend of a conjugated luminescent polymer and an ionic conductive polymer. Following this pioneering work, proposed an alternative pathway by incorporating a Ru(II) ionic transition metal complex (ITMC) as the only active component in the luminescent layer.

However, there is a constraint in color tunability for the Ru(II) chromophores, whose emission band is situated across the orange-red part of the visible spectrum. This hinders their application in lighting and display technologies, which demands extensive colour tunability. The entire color scale can be accessed by using luminescent ITMCs involving different metal centres. For example, in going from Ru to Ir, enhanced metal-ligand bond strength and ligand field splitting energy promotes better stability of the subsequent complexes, which in turn results in high quantum efficiency and satisfactory photochemical stability.

reported the first example of LECs consisting of a monolayer emitting yellow light and incorporating the Ir ITMC([Ir(ppy)_2(dtbbpy)][PF_6]), which displays a photoluminescence quantum yield of 23.5% in oxygen-free acetonitrile solution.

Several strategies have been adopted to design LECs with emissions across the entire visible spectrum. Ir(III) complexes have commonly used for this purpose, with light-emitting complexes from red [Ir(ppy)_2(qlbb)][PF_6], to yellow [Ir(ppy)_2(dtbbpy)][PF_6] and blue-green [Ir(df-(CF_3)ppy_2(dtbbpy)][PF_6] complexes.

In short, LECs have made notable progress over the past few years, which when combined with it’s advantages over OLEDs, enable us to consider LECs as affordable, versatile and energy-efficient flat light sources.

### 6.3 Biological Applications

The use of phosphorescent transition metal complexes in biology encompasses an extensive area of applications as sensing agents, bioimaging probes, therapeutic agents and theranostic agents.

#### 6.3.1 Role as Labelling Agents

DNA or protein intercalation enables the charged transition metal complexes to bind covalently or noncovalently to the biological substrate. There is usually a difference between the luminescence exhibited by the free substrate and that by the bound molecule, resulting from changes in the rigidity and hydrophobicity of their environment. This facilitates monitoring of bioconjugation reactions and hence, the transition metal complexes can function as labelling agents for luminescence imaging. For example, reported the reduction in cytotoxicity and photobleaching of two cationic Ir(III) complexes into the cytoplasm of cervical cancer cells compared to regular dyes.

#### 6.3.2 Role as Cellular Probes

Treatment of cancer normally involves the embedding of metal complexes into DNA via a host-guest relationship (with DNA acting as the host), resulting in inhibition of DNA replication, which in turn facilitates cell death.

Extensive studies of the photophysically enriched Ru(II)-diimine complexes have revealed that, although, [Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+} did not show any enhancement in luminescence in the presence of duplex DNA, the more extended [Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+} and [Ru(phen)_2(dppz)]^{2+} complexes displayed intensely amplified luminescence. This amplified luminescence can be attributed to the protection of the dppz moiety from solvent quenching upon intercalation into DNA, which ultimately triggered an effective emission from the MLCT excited state of the complex. Application of fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) to the A and Λ isomers of Ru(II)-dppz complexes in live and fixed cells revealed that these complexes can serve as potential probes for biomolecular binding and cellular microenvironments.

#### 6.3.3 Role as Oxygen Sensors

Molecules with triplet ground state, like oxygen, can productively quench the phosphorescence of Ir(III) polypyridine complexes, thereby making them excellent oxygen sensors. They fulfill the necessary requirements of high emission quantum yields, long emission lifetimes, high sensitivity, high reversibility and fast response time, to be ideal oxygen sensors. produced two luminescent Ir(III) complexes [Ir(ppy)_2(CN)_2] and [Ir(ppy)_3] as oxygen sensors in poly(n-butylamino)thiophosphazene (nBuPTP) matrices. A reduction in emission intensity and lifetimes in the presence of oxygen, with a linear Stern-Volmer relationship, was displayed by nBuPTP polymer films containing these complexes.

Owing to these multiple roles successfully played by luminescent transition metal complexes, of which only a few have been discussed above, phosphorescent transition metal complexes have a wide use as dual-function metal complexes (possessing both diagnostic and therapeutic qualities in a single entity) in cancer theranostics.

### 7 Summary

We have in this perspective focused on the intersection of photophysical processes and transition metal complexes. Transition metal complexes are an interesting group of compounds with unique structure-bonding and luminescence properties. The metal centers are capable of bonding to a wide range of ligands and with varying coordination numbers. The formation and substitution of metal-ligand bonds are easily accomplished. This allows their photophysical properties to be changed by altering the ligand numbers and types. The photophysics is mostly controlled by the characteristic large nuclear charge of the metal atom, which in turn gives rise to SOC between the singlet and triplet manifolds. The loss of pure spin states opens up new deactivation pathways, with ISC and phosphorescence being the two most important one. We have in this perspective discussed the theoretical foundation as well as given examples of three central quantities determining the photophysics of transition metal complexes, namely, SOC, ISC and phosphorescence.

The demand for computational techniques to unravel the complexities behind photoluminescence spectroscopies has led to efficient implementations of these properties in quantum-chemical softwares packages such as DALTON program, Gaussian, DFT/MRCI, ADF, SPOCK and QChem softwares.
A number of programs can be used to perform frequency calculations and Franck-Condon analysis. However, the choice for computing rates of ISC are limited and are more often stand-alone codes developed by individual groups. Even though we have not discussed quantum dynamic methods explicitly, we note that SHARC and MCTDH are the two dominant programs in use for this purpose. In order to appropriately utilize these computational tools, an overall understanding of the theory is essential, and hopefully this contributed to it.

The novelty of transition metal complexes are far and wide. A variety of applications in the fields of opto-electronics and photobiology have been discussed. Ir(III) complexes are among the most popular candidates for this purpose. The field is growing rapidly and remains a hot research topic.
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