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ABSTRACT: The development of green Buchwald–Hartwig aminations has long been considered challenging, due to the high sensitivity of the reaction to the environment. Here we show that food-grade and waste vegetable oils, triglycerides originating from animals, and natural waxes can serve as excellent green solvents for Buchwald–Hartwig amination. We further demonstrate that amphiphiles and trace ingredients present in triglycerides as additives have a decisive effect on the yields of Buchwald–Hartwig aminations.

INTRODUCTION

C–N bonds are omnipresent in natural products and pharmaceuticals. According to a recent study, over 62% of bioactive molecules described in the medicinal chemistry literature possess a C–N bond in the form of primary, secondary, or tertiary amines.1 While different variations of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–N bond forming reactions were invented over a century ago, C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–N bond construction was quite challenging until the late 1990s but was effectively resolved by the invention of the Buchwald–Hartwig amination.2,3 The significance of the Buchwald–Hartwig amination was demonstrated by Brown et al. in their study on past and present synthetic methodologies used in medicinal chemistry, where the Buchwald–Hartwig amination was found to be among the top 20 most frequently used reactions.4 Similar surveys by Schneider et al.5 on the methodologies used in pharmaceutical patents and Gillet et al.6 on an analysis of Electronic Lab Notebooks of a major pharmaceutical company further evidenced the importance of Buchwald–Hartwig amination for the pharmaceutical industry.

Among Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, the Buchwald–Hartwig amination was invented and established most recently (Figure 1A). One reason for the slow development may be that the Buchwald–Hartwig amination is very sensitive to the reaction conditions, including the Pd precatalyst, the ligand, the additives, and the solvent. In fact, the reproducibility of previously developed methodologies can vary drastically depending on the origin and quality of the reagents used, the Pd source, and solvents.2a,7 This was well illustrated by Richardson et al., who performed a model Buchwald–Hartwig amination in the presence of a range of chemicals containing different functional groups to establish how these would interfere.7c The results of over 3000 experiments indicated that the majority of functional additives have a negative influence on the outcome of Buchwald–Hartwig aminations, whereas some completely terminate the reaction. For functional additives inhibiting the reaction, the authors had to conduct a full set of optimizations in order to find new productive conditions.

In a recent study, we showed that vegetable oils and related lipids are excellent, sustainable, and safe solvents for Pd-catalyzed C–C bond forming cross-coupling reactions.8a In the present study, we developed a protocol for the more challenging Buchwald–Hartwig amination in vegetable oils and related lipids (Figure 1B; for the description of used lipids see Figures S1–S19 in the Supporting Information).9 We also found that trace ingredients, originating from and present in triglycerides, are valuable additives to improve the yields of Buchwald–Hartwig amination performed in a wide range of solvents, including lipids, traditional and green solvents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, we were interested in the development of conditions suitable for Buchwald–Hartwig amination in vegetable oils (Table 1; for a detailed description of the setup of experiments...
changing the source of Pd to possible to improve the yield from 77% to quantitative by 12, whereas other bulky phosphines (Ad2BuPHI, Bu3PHBF4, and DavePhos (10)) were not effective (entries 9).

The yields of the amination product results were unsatisfactory only for JohnPhos (31%, Table 1, entry 10).

In addition to rapeseed oils we examined the performance of nine vegetable oils (orange columns), two triglycerides originating from animals (pink columns), semisynthetic triacetin and tributyrin (green columns), and three natural waxes (blue columns) (Chart 1). When other types of lipids were tested as solvents, similar varying yields were observed. Low yields were found for sunflower oils (18–34%), soybean oils (26–44%), corn oil (51%), avocado oil (43%), a mixture of oils (63%), and fish oil (14%), while good to quantitative yields were obtained in triacetin (92%), tributyrin (100%), olive oil (100%), sesame oil (88%), rice bran oil (81%), coconut oil (99%), butter (99%), fish oil from Sigma-Aldrich (82%), and waxes (95–100%) (Chart 1). Changing the catalyst or catalyst loading did not improve the low yields (Table S3 in the Supporting Information), which prompted us to examine the existence and effects of various ingredients present in natural lipids.

A HRMS analysis of rapeseed oil from Askim showed the presence of glycerol, free fatty acids, monoglycerides, and diglycerides. These compounds are amphiphiles that can act as surfactants, thus improving the solubility of the base and other ingredients of the reaction in oils.11 Fatty acids can react with the base (K2CO3), generating corresponding potassium salts, which have improved solubility in fats and can act as shuttle bases or phase transfer catalysts. Amphiphiles can form reversed micelles that have been shown to act as micro-reactors for Pd-catalyzed transformations.13 Accordingly, we...

Table 1. Optimization of Buchwald–Hartwig Amination in Rapeseed Oil from Askim

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>Pd catalyst (mol %)</th>
<th>ligand (mol %)</th>
<th>yield of 3a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>tBuXPhos (10)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>XPhos (10)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (0.5)</td>
<td>XPhos (2)</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>DavePhos (10)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>SPhos (10)</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>BrettPhos (10)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>RuPhos (10)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>JohnPhos (31)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>XPhos Pd G3 (2)</td>
<td>XPhos (2)</td>
<td>100/99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>tBuXPhos Pd G3 (2)</td>
<td>tBuXPhos (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>XantPhos (6)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>Ad3BuPHI (10)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>tBu3PHBF4 (10)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pd2(dba)3 (2.5)</td>
<td>QPhos (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>[PdCl(allyl)]2 (2.5)</td>
<td>tBuHCl (6)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pd(PPh3)4 (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yields were determined by 1H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Isolated yield.

see Figures S20–S29 in the Supporting Information). The reaction was initially examined in rapeseed oil from the brand Askim, using reference substrates 4-methoxyaniline (1a) and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)borobenzene (2a) (for complete optimization tables see Tables S1–S5 in the Supporting Information). A number of sterically constrained strong σ-donor phosphine ligands were combined with Pd2(dba)3 as the catalyst precursor. Among the tested Buchwald ligands, the results were unsatisfactory only for JohnPhos (31%, Table 1, entry 8). The yields of the amination product 3a were good for tBuXPhos (77%) and DavePhos (84%) (entries 1 and 4), while XPhos, SPhos, BrettPhos, and RuPhos resulted in quantitative yields (entries 2 and 5–7). Moreover, the chelating ligand XantPhos gave excellent yields (98%, entry 11), whereas other bulky phosphines (Ad3BuPHI, tBu3PHBF4, QPhos) and NHC ligands (IPrHCl) were not effective (entries 12–15). It is worth noting that in the case of tBuXPhos it was possible to improve the yield from 77% to quantitative by changing the source of Pd to tBuXPhos Pd G3 (entry 10). Similarly, in the case of XPhos it was possible to significantly reduce the catalyst loading by switching to XPhos Pd G3 (entry 9).

Encouraged by the good performance of various catalytic systems in rapeseed oil from Askim, we examined a range of lipids as solvents for Buchwald–Hartwig amination with XPhos Pd G3/XPhos as the catalytic system (Chart 1). Initially, we compared reactions performed in rapeseed oils from six different producers (orange columns). In our previous studies on C–C bond forming cross-couplings, we found that the origin of the rapeseed oil has little influence on the efficiency of the reactions.a However, for Buchwald–Hartwig amination, an initial screening of rapeseed oils showed that the results were significantly dependent on the choice of supplier (Chart 1, orange columns). Quantitative yields were only maintained in rapeseed oils from Odelia (97%) and Anglamark (99%). For all the other rapeseed oils, the yields went down significantly (Coop (23%), Rema (43%), Sigma-Aldrich (26%)).
set a series of control experiments to investigate the effect of various commercially available natural amphiphiles (50 mg) on the reaction in rapeseed oil (2 mL) from Sigma-Aldrich (Chart 2). Our focus was on amphiphiles found in lipids and originating from vegetable oils, such as phospholipids, the products of hydrolysis of triglycerides, and fat-soluble vitamins (for a detailed description of the additives used, see general considerations in the Supporting Information).11

Initial trials with three different sets of phospholipids (soy phospholipids, soy PC (20%), and soy PC (95%)) used as additives showed notable improvements in yields (from 26% to 77%). A considerable increase in yields of Buchwald–Hartwig amination reaction was observed when glycerol (92%), fatty acids such as palmitic acid (92%) and behenic acid (91%), and monoglycerides such as monopalmitin (95%), and monolaurin (98%) were used. On the other hand, (+)-δ-tocopherol (29%), cholesterol (5%), and cholecalciferol (23%) were not effective, and the same was observed with diglycerides, such as 1,2-distearin (53%) and 1,2-dipalmitin (20%). Addition of water...
slightly improved the yield (37%), probably due to partial hydrolysis generating amphiphiles.

Eventually, we found that a combination of the best additives, including soy phospholipids (5 mg), soy PC (20%) (5 mg), glycerol (1 drop), palmitic acid (5 mg), behenic acid (5 mg), monopalmitin (5 mg), and monolaurin (5 mg) (Magic Mix) in rapeseed oil (2 mL) from Sigma-Aldrich, increases the yield of the reaction from 26% to quantitative. The combination of additives (Magic Mix) was also effective in increasing the yields in other lipids such as sunflower oil and soybean oil from 34% and 44%, respectively, to quantitative (Chart 3, orange columns).

Intrigued by the good effect of the Magic Mix on the yield of Buchwald–Hartwig amination in lipids, we tested the Magic Mix as an additive for reactions in traditional and green solvents, which gave unsatisfactory results. We were pleased to find that the low yields of Buchwald–Hartwig aminations in acetal (1,1-diethoxyethane, from 75% to 100% yield), toluene (from 48% to 100% yield), and nonane (from 82% to 100% yield) can be significantly improved (Chart 3).

“See Chart 2. Yields were determined by 1H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

Scheme 1. Influence of the Magic Mix on Hiyama (A) and Heck (B) Cross-Couplings

“Yields were determined by 1H NMR.
The influence of the Magic Mix on the outcome of other low-yielding cross-couplings in lipids, such as Hiyama and a Heck cross-coupling reactions, was briefly examined (Scheme 1). For these transformations, the improvement in yield due to the addition of the Magic Mix was between 10% and 20%. The yield of the Hiyama cross-coupling of phenyltriethoxysilane (4a) and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)bromobenzene (2a) in sunflower oil from Sigma-Aldrich was increased from 72% yield (no additive) to 80% (with the Magic Mix) (Scheme 1A). The yield of the Heck coupling of p-methylstyrene (6a) performed in tributyrin or toluene was improved from 65% to 73% and from 65% to 84% using the Magic Mix, respectively (Scheme 1B). A near 20% increase in the yield of stilbene derivative (7a), observed in toluene, illuminates the potential of the Magic Mix for other reactions and traditional solvents.

The majority of examined vegetable oils described above were food grade. To avoid a competition between the need for food and chemicals, we examined waste rapeseed oils, along with inedible castor and jojoba oils (Chart 4). Waste rapeseed oils were obtained by frying potatoes at 130 °C for 2, 4, 6, and 8 h, respectively, in rapeseed oil of the brand Coop (for details see general considerations in the Supporting Information). The yields of Buchwald–Hartwig amination in waste rapeseed oils used for frying for 2, 4, and 6 h were close to the yield observed for the corresponding virgin rapeseed oil (23−38%). However, the yield of the reaction in waste rapeseed oil used for frying for 8 h was increased to 72%, probably due to the formation of amphiphiles by partial oxidation and hydrolysis during frying. Addition of the Magic Mix to the reactions in waste rapeseed oils increased the yields to quantitative (Chart 4, orange columns). In the case of inedible oils, the yield of Buchwald–Hartwig amination performed in jojoba oil was 92%, while the 45% yield obtained in castor oil could be increased to quantitative by application of the Magic Mix.

Eventually, we analyzed the scope and limitations of the Buchwald–Hartwig amination in lipids as solvents. First, we identified the most efficient catalytic systems for unactivated aryl halides and sulfonates (Table S5 in the Supporting Information). Here, the best yields were reached when a BrettPhos Pd G3/BrettPhos- or tBuXPhos Pd G3/tBuXPhos-based catalytic system was used. Using these catalysts, good yields were obtained for various nucleophiles, such as primary anilines (3a−c; 94−99%) and heterocycles like indole and pyrrole (3g,h; 65−91%) (Scheme 2). Satisfactory yields were observed for secondary anilines (3d,e; 55−62%), primary amines (3f, 50%) and phenols (3i; 56%). Attempts to improve the yields for a tertiary aniline (3e; 51%) and an ether (3j; 57%) by the use of the Magic Mix were not successful. Screening of aryl halides and sulfonates showed that the developed methodology can be an excellent tool for the production of secondary anilines in quantitative yields (Scheme 2). Both electron-rich (3j−m; 96−99%) and electron-deficient (3n,o; 97−99%) aryl bromides and chlorides as well as aryl triflates gave excellent results. The yields of Buchwald–Hartwig amination were moderate only in the case of electron-rich and labile heterocycles (3p,q; 49−71%), such as 3-bromothiophene and 2-bromobenzothiophene. For the last two systems, the amination products are highly unstable and must be stored in the freezer.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown that Buchwald–Hartwig aminations can be successfully realized in vegetable oils, triglycerides originating from animals and natural waxes as solvents. The presented results highlight the excellent performance of safe and cheap
lipids as replacements for traditional solvents. Unlike other cross-couplings, the Buchwald−Hartwig amination is very sensitive to the nature of the used solvents. Our studies indicate that small quantities of hydrolysis products from triglycerides as well as phospholipids can have a decisive effect on the efficiency of Buchwald−Hartwig amination. On the basis of this observation, we introduced a mixture of amphiphiles, originating from triglycerides, which can be used to improve the performance of Buchwald−Hartwig aminations and other cross-couplings in both lipids and classical organic solvents.

Scheme 2. Scope of Buchwald−Hartwig Amination in Rapeseed Oil from Askim

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. Commercially available starting materials, reagents, catalysts, and anhydrous and degassed solvents were used without further purification. Flash column chromatography was performed with Merck silica gel 60 (230−400 mesh). The solvents for column chromatography were distilled before use (in the case of technical solvents). Thin-layer chromatography was carried out using Merck TLC silica gel 60 F254 and visualized by short-wavelength ultraviolet light or by treatment with potassium permanganate (KMnO4) staining. 1H, 13C, and 19F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer at 20 °C. All 1H NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield of TMS and were measured relative to the signal for CHCl3 (7.26 ppm). All 13C NMR spectra are reported in ppm relative to residual CDCl3 (77.20 ppm).
ppm) and were obtained with $^1$H decoupling. Coupling constants, $J$, are reported in Hertz (Hz). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded from methanol solutions on an LTQ Orbitrap XL (Thermo Scientific) in either negative or positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode.

**General Experimental Procedure for Buchwald–Hartwig Amination.** Method A. Inside of an Ar-filled glovebox an oven-dried 10 mL flask was sequentially charged with XPhos Pd G3 (2 mol %), XPhos (2 mol %), K$_2$CO$_3$ (2 equiv), and the appropriate nucleophile (1.5 equiv). The reaction vial was sealed with a rubber septum, removed from the glovebox, and equipped with an Ar balloon. Next, rapeseed oil from Askim (2 mL) and the corresponding aryl halide (1 equiv, 0.869 mmol) were obtained with $^1$H decoupling. Coupling constants, $J$, are reported in Hertz (Hz). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) Article (m/z): [M + H]$^+$ calc'd for C$_{13}$H$_{24}$F$_3$N$_2$ 374.0858, found 374.0583. 

**N-(p-Toly)-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (3e).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 97% (0.211 g, method A). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 2.40 (s, 3H, Me), 5.89 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.09 (d, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.23 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.32 (3H, 3H-Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 112.5, 114.0 (hept, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 113.3 (q, $J$ = 33 Hz), 133.0, 133.3 (q, $J$ = 33 Hz), 140.2. HRMS-EI (m/z): [M + H]$^+$ calc'd for C$_{14}$H$_{14}$F$_3$N$_2$ 377.0583, found 377.0583.

**N-Methyl-N-phenyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (3d).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 62% (0.134 g, method A). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.37 (s, 3H, NMe), 7.14 (2H, Ar), 7.18−7.20 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.40−7.46 (m, 2H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 114.2 (p, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 120.5 (p, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 123.5 (q, $J$ = 271 Hz), 125.7, 126.0, 130.4, 132.4 (q, $J$ = 32.7 Hz), 147.2, 150.0.

**N-Benzyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (3f).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 55% (0.142 g, method A), 0% (0 g, method B). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.38−4.46 (m, 3H, CH$_2$/NH), 6.99 (s, 2H, Ar), 7.19 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.32−7.42 (m, 5H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 48.2, 110.5 (p, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 112.1 (q, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 123.3 (q, $J$ = 271 Hz), 127.8, 128.1, 129.1, 123.6 (q, $J$ = 32.8 Hz), 138.7, 148.8.

**1-(2,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-indole (3g).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 91% (0.204 g, method A). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 6.80 (d, $J$ = 3.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.25−7.29 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.30−7.38 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.58 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.74 (d, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.90 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.02 (s, 2H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 106.1, 109.9, 119.8 (p, $J$ = 3.8 Hz), 121.7, 121.9, 123.3 (q, $J$ = 271 Hz), 123.7, 124.0 (q, $J$ = 3.7 Hz), 127.3, 130.0, 133.5 (q, $J$ = 33.8 Hz), 135.6, 141.5.

**1-(3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole (3b).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 65% (0.123 g, method A). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 6.44−6.45 (m, 2H, pyrrole), 7.15−7.16 (m, 2H, pyrrole), 7.76 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.82 (s, 2H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 112.5, 119.0 (p, $J$ = 3.8 Hz), 119.3, 120.2 (q, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 123.2 (q, $J$ = 271 Hz), 133.4 (q, $J$ = 33.7 Hz), 142.0.

**1-(p-Tolyloxy)-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene (3i).** Starting from 0.683 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a colorless oil: yield 28% (0.062 g, method A), 56% (0.122 g, method B). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 2.40 (s, 3H, Me), 6.96−7.00 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.23−7.26 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.38 (2H, Ar), 7.56 (s, 1H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 21.0, 116.0 (hept, $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 117.6 (q, $J$ = 3.8 Hz), 120.2, 123.2 (q, $J$ = 271 Hz), 131.1, 133.3 (q, $J$ = 33.6 Hz), 135.3, 152.8, 159.5.

**2-Methyl-N-(p-toly)-aniline (3j).** Starting from 0.877 mmol of the corresponding aryl halide, the product was obtained as a colorless oil: yield 28% (0.062 g, method A), 56% (0.122 g, method B). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 2.34 (s, 3H, Me), 2.40 (s, 3H, Me), 5.38 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.96−7.02 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.16−7.23 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.26−7.28 (m, 2H, Ar). $^1$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 18.0, 20.8, 117.4, 118.8, 121.2, 126.9, 127.2, 130.0, 130.5, 131.0, 141.2, 142.2.
**Di-p-tolyamine (3k).** Starting from 0.877 mmol of the corresponding aryl bromide and 0.948 mmol of the corresponding aryl chloride, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 99% (0.172 g, X = Br, method B). H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 2.43 (s, 6H, 2xMe), 5.54 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.06–7.09 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.18–7.21 (m, 4H, Ar). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 20.7, 118.0, 129.9, 130.2, 141.3.

4-Methyl-N,N,N-trifluoromethylphenylamine (3l). Starting from 0.889 mmol of the corresponding aryl chloride and 0.884 mmol of the corresponding aryl triflate, the product was obtained as a white solid: yield 98% (0.160 g, X = Br, method B). H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 2.45 (s, 3H, Me), 5.66 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.00–7.04 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.10–7.14 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.32 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.35–7.39 (m, 2H, Ar). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 20.8, 117.0, 119.0, 120.4, 123.3, 126.5, 126.7, 129.0, 129.2, 130.1, 130.5, 134.8, 140.2, 141.3.
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