

Table S7*Study level results from selection models*

Study	<i>k</i>	Meta-analysis	Vevea & Woods (2005), selection models			
			Reanalyzed effect size	Low probability (w = .8)	Moderate probability (w = .5)	High probability (w =.2)
				Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Acarturk et al, 2009	47	0.71 [0.56, 0.85]	0.6662	0.5841	0.4324	
Braun et al, 2013 right	41	0.003 [-0.10, 0.08]	1	1	1	
Braun et al, 2013 left	41	0.003 [-0.10, 0.08]	1	1	1	
Chen et al, 2015	11	-0.43 [-0.85, -0,016]	-0.3776	-0.2693	-0.0796	
Cuijpers, Ciharova et al, 2021	37	0.40 [0.28, 0.52]	0.2216	0.2981	0.3589	
Cuijpers, Clignet et al, 2011	15	0.29 [0.13, 0.44]	0.2702	0.234	0.1573	
Cuijpers, Cristea et al, 2015	22	0.90 [0.66, 1.13]	0.8559	0.7654	0.6009	
Cuijpers, Dekker et al, 2009	25	0.31 [0.19, 0.42]	0.294	0.2522	0.1684	
Cuijpers, Donker et al, 2010, left side	24	-0.014 [-0.18, 0.15]	-0.0189	-0.0302	-0.0554	
Cuijpers, Donker et al, 2010, right side	24	-0.014 [-0.18, 0.15]	-0.0027	0.0191	0.0591	
Cuijpers, Driessen et al, 2012	18	0,57 [0.44, 0.71]	0.5561	0.51	0.4157	
Cuijpers, Geraedts et al, 2011	16	0.63 [0.40, 0.87]	0.5942	0.5075	0.3234	
Cuijpers, Karyotaki et al, 2018	35	1.13 [0.83, 1.43]	1.0669	0.9218	0.5916	
Cuijpers, Koole et al, 2014	14	0.35 [0.23, 0.46]	0.3319	0.2971	0.225	
Cuijpers, Marks et al, 2009	21	1.07 [0.84, 1.30]	1.042	0.9709	0.792	
Cuijpers, van Straten et al, 2007	13	0.89 [0.43, 1.36]	0.8246	0.6794	0.4092	
Cuijpers, van Straten, Hollon et al, 2010	16	0.25 [0.03, 0,46]	0.2192	0.1663	0.086	

Cuijpers, van Straten, Schuurmans et al, 2010 right	10	-0.30 [-0.52, -0.09]	1	1	1
Cuijpers, Turner et al, 2013	12	0.25 [0.14, 0.36]	0.2369	0.2083	0.1509
Cuijpers, Quero et al, 2021	158	0.60 [0.52, 0.69]	0.5575	0.465	0.2794
Cuijpers, de Wit et al, 2018	27	0.82 [0.55, 1.09]	0.7694	0.654	0.4151
Ekers et al, 2008	16	-0.71 [-1.01, -0.40]	-0.6561	-0.5579	-0.3828
Guzick et al, 2018	25	0.29 [0.084, 0.50]	0.2562	0.1807	0.0454
Huang et al, 2020	10	-0.25 [-0.41, -0.09]	-0.2352	-0.2039	-0.1389
Kamenov et al, 2017	52	0.44 [0.33, 0.55]	0.4073	0.3401	0.2069
Karatzias et al, 2019 right side	27	-0.89 [-1.11, -0.66]	-0.8482	-0.7537	-0.5479
Keefe et al, 2014 left side	13	0.017 [-0.19, 0.22]	1	1	1
Keefe et al, 2014 right side	13	0.017 [-0.19, 0.22]	1	1	1
Kolovos et al, 2016	31	0.33 [0.24, 0.42]	0.3104	0.2698	0.1906
Milling et al, 2018	13	0.71 [0.50, 0.92]	0.6738	0.6062	0.4725
Osenbach et al, 2013	14	0.13 [0.00, 0.29]	1	1	1
Park et al, 2014	15	0.38 [0.28, 0.47]	0.3741	0.3205	0.2219
Renner et al, 2014	39	0.46 [0.30, 0.61]	0.4258	0.3462	0.2075
Schefft et al, 2019	19	0.24 [0.11, 0.36]	1,2	1,2	1,2
Seekles et al, 2013	12	0.57 [0.29, 0.85]	0.5268	0.44	0.2763
Stephens et al, 2016	14	-0.38 [-0.49, -0.27]	-0.3635	-0.3046	-0.1924
van Bronswijk et al, 2018	22	0.41 [0.29, 0.53]	0.3917	0.3459	0.2536
Wampold et al, 2011	14	0.46 [0.28, 0.63]	1	1	1

Wei & Chen, 2021 right	18	-0.58 [-0.89, -0.26]	-0.5258	-0.4073	-0.2232
Yunitri et al, 2020 right	14	-0.71 [-0.95, -0.47]	2	2	2

Note: w = weight for the $p > .025$ interval. ¹= Warning message in RStudio: At least one of the p-value intervals contains three or fewer effect sizes, which may lead to estimation problems. Consider re-specifying the cutpoints. ²= Error message in RStudio: “In sqrt(diag(solve(output_unadj\$hessian))) : NaNs produced”.

