Finite Element Analysis to determine the impact of Infill density on Mechanical Properties of 3D Printed Materials
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ABSTRACT
Additive manufacturing (AM) is the process in which objects are created through the layer-by-layer deposition of material that is controlled by a computer. The infill is the internal structure of the 3D printed model. It determines the strength, weight, cost, time, and overall quality of the part. Ranging from simple lines to more complex geometric shapes, infill patterns can affect a part’s performance. This study aims to conduct a Numerical Analysis for cross pattern infills with various infill densities of 0%, 10%, 19, 28%, 64%, and 100%. CAD models were developed, and FEA Analysis was performed to compare the deformation and Von Mises stresses produced by a cuboid structure under 1 MPa compressional load. Linear Isotropic Material with Young’s Modulus of 70 GPA and the Poisson ratio of 0.3 was used, and quarter symmetry was applied to reduce the mesh size. The results revealed that the increasing infill percentage decreases the deformation and Von Mises stresses produced in a body under compression loading. This study helps to determine optimal infill density for maximizing strength and minimizing the weight of the 3D printed part.

1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Additive Manufacturing – Continuous Fiber Fabrication (CFF)
The Additive Manufacturing process completely deviates from the conventional manufacturing methods by producing parts in layers using chopped or continuous carbon fibers. The Continuous Fiber Fabrication (CFF) 3D printing is a two steps per layer process [1]. First, a thermoplastic is extruded to form the infill and shells of the part; this serves as the matrix of the composite. Next, the continuous fiber is ironed into that matrix, fusing with the thermoplastic by use of a compatible resin coating, as shown in Figure 1. This process repeats layer by layer, forming the fibers into the backbone of the 3D printed part, while the thermoplastic acts as a skin [2].
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1.2 Finite Element Analysis
Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulates the physical phenomena using numerical mathematical techniques by discretizing them into small elements [4-9]. The FEM material models are based on Continuum Mechanics; therefore, displacement and stress fields are assumed to be continuous within each discrete element [10-13]. The common problem with Continuous Fiber Fabrication (CFF) 3D printing method is the interfacial gaps between layers that do not conform with conventional continuum-based Finite Element Method (FEM) material models. Infill patterns that are a common weight reduction feature of 3D printing further adds complexity to numerical Modeling of 3D printed parts [14].

1.3 Infill patterns in 3D printing
Infill pattern is the material's structure and shape inside a 3D printed part. The ratio of plastic to space is defined as a print's infill density, close to 0% means the part is mostly hollow, while close to 100% means it is mostly solid [15,16]. Ranging from simple lines to more complex geometric shapes, infill patterns can affect a part's strength, weight, print time, and even flexibility, as shown in Figure 2. Across different slicer programs, there are many different infill patterns.
2. METHODOLOGY

In this study, CAD Models were developed for five configurations, representing the percentage of infill density as calculated by Equation (1),

\[
\text{Infill} \text{ (\%)} = \frac{V - V_{\text{hollow}}}{V_{\text{solid}} - V_{\text{hollow}}}
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of cuboid (\( mm^3 \)), \( V_{\text{hollow}} \) is the volume of hollow cuboid (\( mm^3 \)), and \( V_{\text{solid}} \) is the volume of solid cuboid (\( mm^3 \)).

The infill densities under investigation were 0\%, 10\%, 19\%, 28\%, 64\%, and 100\% for deformation and Von-Mises stresses [8,18] under compressional load.

2.1. FEA (ANSYS® Explicit Dynamic)

The numerical analyses were performed in ANSYS® Workbench Explicit Dynamics [19]-[21] module to simulate the infill ratio under the same compressional load. The material assigned was Linear Isotropic Material with Young's Modulus of 70 GPA and Poisson ratio is 0.3. Quarter symmetry was applied to reduce mesh size, and mesh sensitivity analysis was performed to optimize the mesh. Quarter symmetry was applied to reduce mesh size. Dimension of the cuboid under investigation was 10 mm x 10 mm x 20 mm. Table 1 below shows five configurations based on different infill percentages chosen for this study. The problem is setup by applying quarter symmetry reducing mesh size as shown in Figure 3. Boundary conditions of compressive load of 1 MPa is applied to the top surface and fixed support in the bottom surface of as shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. CAD Model and FEA Mesh are also illustrated for the various configurations in Figures 3-8. Mesh sensitivity analysis was performed to ensure the correctness of results.
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Table 1: Volume of various Infills (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Infill (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>192.824 (hollow)</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>369.45</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>538.02</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>693.79</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1348.4</td>
<td>64 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2000 (solid)</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$Infill \, (\%) = \frac{V - V_{hollow}}{V_{solid} - V_{hollow}}$

Figure 3: Quarter symmetry for mesh size reduction
Figure 4: Boundary condition of compressive load of 1 MPa on the top surface

Figure 5: Fixed support in the bottom surface
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Figure 6: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 0 (volume: 192.824 mm³), infill ratio of 0%
Figure 7: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 1 (volume: 369.45 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 10%
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Figure 8: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 2 (volume: 538.02 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 19%
Figure 9: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 3 (volume: 693.79 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 28%
Figure 10: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 4 (volume: 1348.4 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 64%
Figure 1: CAD Model and FEA Mesh of Configuration 5 (volume: 2000 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 100%

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results reveal that the increasing infill percentage decreases the deformation and Von Mises stresses produced in a structure under compression loading. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Deformation and Von-Mises Stress of various Infills (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Infill ratio</th>
<th>Max. Deformation (mm)</th>
<th>Max. VM Stress (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>192.824</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>0.36815</td>
<td>432.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>369.45</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>0.010921</td>
<td>79.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>538.02</td>
<td>19 %</td>
<td>0.0047711</td>
<td>24.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>693.79</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>0.0038717</td>
<td>24.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1348.4</td>
<td>64 %</td>
<td>0.00091986</td>
<td>5.1365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>0.0002831</td>
<td>3.1881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12: Max. Deformation (mm) and Von-Mises stress (MPa) of Configuration 0 (volume: 192.824 mm³), infill ratio of 0%
Figure 13: Max. Deformation (mm) and Von-Mises stress (MPa) of Configuration 1 (volume: 369.45 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 10%
Figure 14: Max. Deformation (mm) and Von-Mises stress (MPa) of Configuration 2 (volume: 538.02 mm$^3$), infill ratio of 19%
Figure 2: Max. Deformation (mm) and Von-Mises stress (MPa) of Configuration 3 (volume: 693.79 mm³), infill ratio of 28%
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Figure 16: Max. Deformation (mm) and Von-Mises stress (MPa) of Configuration 4 (volume: 1348.4 mm³), infill ratio of 64%
The change in maximum deformation in mm and maximum Von-Mises stress in MPa with respect to infill ratio are given in Figure 18 and Figure 19. It is shown that the maximum deformation and maximum Von-Mises stress values are reducing with the increasing infill ratio. It is interesting to note that changes in maximum values of deformation and Von-Mises stress values are non-linear with respect to infill ratio.
4. CONCLUSIONS
This study conducted the Finite Element Analysis of various infill densities of 0%, 10%, 19%, 28%, 64%, and 100% for deformation and Von-Mises stresses of a cuboid under compressional load. Mesh optimization was done by performing the mesh sensitivity analysis, and quarter symmetry was applied to reduce the computational load and time. Results revealed reduced Von-Mises stresses and deformation with increasing infill density percentage. These results are important for further infill optimization studies [22] to maximize the strength and minimize the weight of 3D printed parts. The presented study used a linear isotropic material property and set the basis for further studies with complex materials, i.e., non-linear and anisotropic material properties.
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