# Blob shapes the scrape-off layer: Comparison of measurements to simulations R. Kube<sup>1</sup>, O.E. Garcia<sup>1</sup>, A. Theodorsen<sup>1</sup>, M. Wiesenberger<sup>2</sup>, M. Held<sup>3</sup>, D. Brunner<sup>4</sup>, B. LaBombard<sup>4</sup>, J.L. Terry<sup>4</sup>

1) Department of Physics and Technology, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway 2) Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark 3) Institute for Ion Physics and Applied Physics, Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria 4) MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

## Introduction

• Data time series in scrape-off layer plasmas feature intermittent, large amplitude bursts. [1] • Large amplitude bursts are due to blob propagating through the SOL towards the vessel wall [2]







• Time series normalized to vanishing mean and unity root mean square  $\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{\Phi - \Phi}{\Phi}$ 

•Time series analysis: Conditional average gives the average wave form of the pulses:  $\langle \Phi(\tau) | \Phi(\tau=0) > 2.5 \times \Phi_{\rm rms} \rangle$ 

### **Stochastic model describes these time series as** superposition of uncorrelated pulses:

• Pulse arrival time  $0 < t_{k} < T$ 

• Pulses arrive uncorrelated  $P_{t}(t) = T^{-1}$ 

• Pulse amplitudes  $A_{k}$  exponentially distributed

•Pulse shape  $\phi( au)$ 

 $\phi(\theta) = \Theta(-\theta) \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{\lambda}\right) + \Theta(\theta) \exp\left(-\frac{\theta}{1-\lambda}\right)$ 

 $\Phi_K(t) = \sum A_k \phi(t - t_k)$ 

•Two-sided exponential pulse shape

- •Pulse asymmetry parameter: $\lambda$
- •Normalized time $heta= au/ au_{
  m d}$ •Rise / Fall time:  $\tau_{\rm r} = \lambda \tau_{\rm d}, \ \tau_{\rm f} = (1 - \lambda) \tau_{\rm d},$
- Autocorrelation function of the pulse shape gives the signals autocorrelation function: $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\tau) = 
  ho_{\phi}(\tau/ au_{\mathrm{d}})$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{1-2\lambda} \left[ (1-\lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{|\tau|}{(1-\lambda)\tau_{d}}\right) - \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{|\tau|}{\lambda\tau_{d}}\right) \right]$$
•Pulse asymmetry parameter  $\lambda$  governs autocorrelation funct

tion •Exponential decay for  $\lambda = 0$ •Parabolic shape at au=0 for  $\lambda=1/2$ 

How do conditionally averaged wave forms vary across different diagnostics? How do blob pulses look like in simulations? Which physics governs the blob shape?

0.0 0

Conditionally averaged waveforms of the plasma density and temperature, as sampled by the Mirror Langmuir Probes in ohmically heated L-mode plasmas, are well approximated by symmetric, twosided exponential functions. Auto-correlation functions the low- and medium density discharge agree well with the auto-correlation function of a two-sided exponential pulse shape, but suggest a vanishing pulse asymmetry. A similar analysis on GPI data on the other hand suggests that the conditionally averaged wave form presents a faster rise and a slower decay. This agrees with parameters estimated from a fit on the auto-correlation function. Numerical simulations of seeded plasma blobs in the ideal interchange regime suggest however an almost symmetric pulse shape. Autocorrelation functions of single point data time series confirm this. Least squares fit on the data time series yield lambda = 1/2. Future work will focus on identifying additional functions to describe the pulse shape observed in seeded blob simulations, as well as in SOL turbulence simulations. This may elucidate the observed similarity in auto-correlation functions for pulse shapes which are not well approximated by two-sided exponential functions.











[4] A. Theodorsen et al. Nucl. Fusion **57** 114004 (2017) [5] R. Kube et al. Phys. Plasmas **23** 122302 (2016); O.E. Garcia et al. Phys. Plasmas **12** 090701 (2005); [6] M. Held et al. Nucl. Fusion **56** 126005 (2016)