

Theoretical Photoelectron Spectroscopy of Metal–Metal Quintuple Bonds: Relativity-Driven Reordering of Frontier Orbitals

Abhik Ghosh* and Jeanet Conradie*



OLYP/STO-TZ2P calculations indicated significant shifts in valence orbital energies among the three metals, which translate to lower first ionization potentials, higher electron affinities, and lower HOMO-LUMO gaps for the W complexes relative to their Cr and Mo counterparts. These differences are largely attributable to substantially larger relativistic effects in the case of tungsten relative to those of its lighter congeners.



KEYWORDS: quintuple bond, quadruple bond, photoelectron spectroscopy, density functional theory, amidinate

mong the physical techniques used to study metal-metal A quadruple bonds,¹ gas-phase photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) was undoubtedly one of the most insightful. PES studies of Group 6 complexes with a variety of supporting ligands provided direct measures of the ionization potentials (IPs) of the σ , π , and δ bonds constituting the quadruple bonds.^{2,3} A fascinating finding to emerge from these studies is that the tungsten complex $W_2(Hpp)_4$ (Hpp = hexahydropyrimidinopyrimidine) is easier to ionize than atomic cesium!⁴ We recently revisited the PES data with scalar-relativistic density functional theory (DFT) calculations and found that common exchangecorrelation functionals reproduce the lowest ionization potentials of such quadruple-bonded systems with semiquantitative accuracy.5 Together, the PES and DFT data provided a wealth of insights into periodic trends and relativistic effects,^{6,7} significantly deepening our appreciation of relativistic effects in coordination chemistry.⁸⁻¹²

During the first decade of the new millennium, Group 6 elements were also shown to sustain quintuple bonds in complexes such as RMMR, with R being a univalent group such as a sterically hindered aryl group.^{13,14} Curiously, the first such compound to be reported, ArCrCrAr (Ar being a sterically hindered terphenyl substituent), was found to exhibit a transbent geometry.¹⁵ Quantum chemical studies showed that the unexpected geometry corresponds to one of a number of local minima and that the deviation from a linear geometry does not significantly impact the integrity of the quintuple bond.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Understandably, such structural ambiguities do not arise for bridged Group 6 complexes such as M₂[HC(NR)₂]₂ (Scheme $^{-22}$ The high, idealized D_{2h} local symmetry of the quintuple $1).^{1}$

Scheme 1. Amidinate-Bridged, Quintuple-Bonded Group 6 Metal(I) Complexes Studied in This Work



bonds in a midinate-bridged complexes allowed us to calculate four of their lowest IPs with conventional $\rm DFT^{23-25}$ calculations and simple group-theoretical manipulations (involving specification of the expected numbers of electrons under different irreducible representations). Throughout, we used a scalarrelativistic ZORA (Zeroth Order Regular Approximation to the Dirac equation)²⁶ Hamiltonian, the well-tested OLYP^{27,28}

Received: January 7, 2024 **Revised:** February 22, 2024 Accepted: February 27, 2024 Published: March 1, 2024





exchange-correlation functional, augmented with D3^{29,30} dispersion corrections, all-electron ZORA-STO-TZ2P basis sets, fine integration grids, and tight criteria for SCF and geometry optimization cycles (which we carefully tested), as implemented in the ADF program system.³¹

Figure 1 presents scalar-relativistic comparative energy level diagrams of three of the compounds studied, with M = Cr, Mo,



Figure 1. Comparative scalar-relativistic OLYP-D3/ZORA-STO-TZ2P MO energy level diagram for $M_2[HC(NR)_2]$ (R = 2,6*i*Pr₂C₆H₃).

W, and R = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃, with key molecular orbitals (MOs) visually depicted in Figure 2. Table 1 presents calculated ionization potentials (IP1–IP4 for R = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃), electron affinities (EAs), and singlet—triplet gaps for two different excited states of the quintuple bond, with all energies determined via a Δ SCF procedure, i.e., as differences in electronic energy between the two states of interest. In general, we found only small differences between vertical and adiabatic energies; the handful of cases where the energy difference exceeds 0.1 eV reflect small differences in ligand character in the open-shell orbital between the vertically and adiabatically ionized/excited states. The results lead to a fascinating set of predictions on periodic trends and relativistic effects, which may well justify an experimental PES study of the complexes.

The Cr and Mo complexes exhibit very similar energy levels (to within a couple of tenths of an eV) and HOMO–LUMO gaps. The MO energy levels of the W complex, in contrast, are significantly different (Figure 1). These differences are also reflected in the IPs, EAs, and triplet energies listed in Tables 1 and 2. Based on a large body of earlier studies,^{8–12} the majority of these difference may be ascribed to greater relativistic destabilization of the W(5d)-based energy levels relative to analogous Cr(3d)- and Mo(4d)-based energy levels. Indeed, switching off relativity in our calculations (while maintaining the same basis sets) resulted in very similar MO energy levels for all three metals.

A notable twist is found for the highest occupied metal–metal σ -bonding MO, which is lower in energy for the W complex. Visually, the MO appears to originate from sideways overlap of two metal d_{z2} orbitals (the M–M vector being identified as the *x*-axis and the mean molecular plane as the *xy* plane). An examination of the atomic orbital composition of this MO, however, shows that it includes about 30–40% metal s character, consistent with the fully symmetric nature (a_g) of the MO. Relativistic stabilization of the W(6s) orbital then provides a straightforward explanation of the low energy of this MO in the tungsten case.

A similar effect is also observed for the LUMOs, with the W complex exhibiting a lower energy LUMO (which translates to a higher electron affinity) relative to the corresponding Cr and Mo complexes. For all three metals, the LUMO, at first glance, appears to involve an antibonding $d_{z2}-d_{z2}$ combination but actually also involves substantial metal s character. In the case of tungsten, the LUMO has approximately 53% s character and relativistic stabilization of the W(6s) orbital wins out over relativistic destabilization of the W(4d) orbitals.

Thus, there is substantial reordering of quintuple bond orbitals between Mo and W (as depicted in Figure 1), which translates to significant variations in the calculated valence ionization potentials among the compounds (Tables 1 and 2). Relativistic stabilization and destabilization of key orbitals also explain why the W complexes should exhibit both the highest electron affinity and the lowest singlet—triplet gaps for the three metals considered.

A technical point worth addressing is the accuracy of the data presented in Tables 1 and 2. In our laboratory, we have long known that DFT-based Δ SCF calculations do an excellent job of reproducing the lower IPs of organic compounds;³²⁻³⁵ there is less information available, however, for transition metal complexes.^{36,37} A comparison of calculated IPs with gas-phase PES data for Group 6 quadruple-bonded complexes suggests that the present values are likely to be slight underestimates relative to experimental values, by a margin of a few tenths of an eV (<0.5 eV).⁵ On the other hand, differences in calculated IPs among the different compounds studied should be almost quantitatively accurate, i.e., agree to within ~0.1 eV with experimental values.^{38,39} We have less experience with DFT calculations of EAs,⁴⁰⁻⁴² but given the large basis sets employed here, we may a priori expect a similar level of accuracy for EAs as well.

A final observation concerns the influence of the *N*-aryl groups on the formamidinate nitrogens. Without the aryl groups, the first adiabatic IPs are about a half an eV higher, while the EAs are about half an eV lower (see Tables 1 and 2). Such ligand substituent effects are expected and have been documented for Group 6 quadruple-bonded complexes^{2–5} as well as, in our own laboratory, for porphyrins and related macrocycles.^{38,39}

In summary, scalar-relativistic DFT calculations predict substantial differences in the valence energy levels of quintuple-bonded Group 6 metal complexes, with significant relativity-driven orbital reordering between Mo and W. We remain intrigued by the possibility of experimental verification of the above results by means of gas-phase photoelectron spectroscopy.



Figure 2. Visual depictions of key Kohn–Sham MOs included in Figure 1. The irrep, bonding character, and orbital energy (eV) of each MO are indicated; L = ligand.

Table 1. OLYP/ZORA-STO-TZ2P Ionization Potentials (IP1-	P4), Electron Affinities (EA), and Triplet Energies (T1 and T2)
for M ₂ Complexes with the Experimentally Used HC(N-2,6-iP	$({}_{2}C_{6}H_{3})_{2}$ Ligand ^a

М	IP1	IP2	IP3	IP4	T1	T2	EA
$Cr_{2}[HC(N-2,6-iPr_{2}C_{6}H_{3})_{2}]_{2}$	5.47/5.41 (a _g)	5.67/5.54 (b _{2g})	6.78/6.71 (b _{1g})	6.84/6.78 (b _{3g})	1.17 $(\sigma\sigma^{*})^{a}$	1.29 $(\delta \sigma^*)$	0.01/0.09 (b _{2u})
$Mo_2[HC(N-2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3)_2]_2$	5.43/5.40 (a _g)	5.67/5.58 (b _{2g})	6.78/6.70 (b _{3u})	6.86/6.81 (b _{3g})	1.07 $(\sigma\sigma^*)$	1.37 $(\delta \sigma^*)^a$	0.29/0.39 (b _{2u})
$W_2[HC(N-2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3)_2]_2$	5.17/5.11 (b _{2g})	5.79/5.71 (a _g)	6.56/6.30 (b _{3u})	6.84/6.78 (b _{1u})	$0.80~(\delta\sigma^*)$	$0.86 (\sigma \sigma^*)$	0.58/0.68 (b _{2u})

^{*a*}All values are in eV and were obtained via a Δ SCF procedure. Vertical and adiabatic values are indicated in italics and normal script, respectively. The irreps refer to the D_{2h} point group and a given irrep refers to the MO from which an electron has been removed or to which an electron has been added.

Table 2. Selected OLYP/ZORA-STO-TZ2P Ionization Potentials, Electron Affinities (EA), and Triplet Energies (T1, $\sigma\sigma^*$) for the Molecules Studied with Simplified Amidinato Ligands^a

R	М	IP1	T1	EA
Ph	Cr	5.63/5.63	1.18	0.09
	Mo	5.56/5.53	1.12	0.22
	W	5.18/5.13	0.78	0.46
Н	Cr	5.95/5.96	1.17	-0.68
	Mo	5.86/5.85	1.09	-0.18
	W	5.43/5.40	0.65	0.08

^{*a*}The comments in footnote *a* of Table 1 also apply here.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

The data underlying this study are available in the published

article and its Supporting Information.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsorginorgau.4c00002.

Optimized DFT coordinates and sample input file (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Abhik Ghosh Department of Chemistry, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway;
 orcid.org/0000-0003-1161-6364; Email: abhik.ghosh@ uit.no
- Jeanet Conradie Department of Chemistry, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway; Department of Chemistry, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa; ◎ orcid.org/0000-0002-8120-6830; Email: conradj@ufs.ac.za

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsorginorgau.4c00002

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grant no. 324139 of the Research Council of Norway (A.G.) and grant nos. 129270 and 132504 of South African National Research Foundation (J.C.).

REFERENCES

(1) Multiple bonds between metal atoms; Cotton, F. A., Murillo, C. A., Walton, R. A., Eds.; Springer: New York, 2005; 818 pp.

(2) Cotton, F. A.; Hubbard, J. L.; Lichtenberger, D. L.; Shim, I. Comparative studies of molybdenum-molybdenum and tungstentungsten quadruple bonds by SCF-Xa-SW calculations and photoelectron spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 679–686.

(3) Lichtenberger, D. L.; Lynn, M. A.; Chisholm, M. H. Quadruple Metal– Metal Bonds with Strong Donor Ligands. Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy of M_2 (form)₄ (M= Cr, Mo, W; form = N,\hat{N} -diphenylformamidinate). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 12167–12176.

(4) Cotton, F. A.; Gruhn, N. E.; Gu, J.; Huang, P.; Lichtenberger, D. L.; Murillo, C. A.; Van Dorn, L. O.; Wilkinson, C. C. Closed-Shell Molecules That Ionize More Readily Than Cesium. *Science* **2002**, *298*, 1971–1974.

(5) Ghosh, A.; Conradie, J. Theoretical Photoelectron Spectroscopy of Quadruple-Bonded Dimolybdenum(II) and Ditungsten(II) Paddlewheel Complexes: Performance of Common Density Functional Theory Methods. *ChemRxiv*, January 5, 2023, ver 1. DOI: 10.26434/ chemrxiv-2024-ntnd2. Submitted to *ACS Omega*.

(6) Pyykkö, P. Relativistic effects in chemistry: more common than you thought. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63*, 45–64.

(7) For a popular account of relativistic effects in chemistry, see: Ghosh, A.; Ruud, K. Relativity and the World of Molecules. *Am. Sci.* **2023**, *111*, 160–167.

(8) Alemayehu, A. B.; Vazquez-Lima, H.; Gagnon, K. J.; Ghosh, A. Stepwise Deoxygenation of Nitrite as a Route to Two Families of Ruthenium Corroles: Group 8 Periodic Trends and Relativistic Effects. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 5285–5294.

(9) Alemayehu, A. B.; McCormick, L. J.; Vazquez-Lima, H.; Ghosh, A. Relativistic Effects on a Metal–Metal Bond: Osmium Corrole Dimers. *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 2798–2806.

(10) Alemayehu, A. B.; Vazquez-Lima, H.; McCormick, L. J.; Ghosh, A. Relativistic effects in metallocorroles: comparison of molybdenum and tungsten biscorroles. *Chem. Commun.* **201**7, *53*, 5830–5833.

(11) Demissie, T. B.; Conradie, J.; Vazquez-Lima, H.; Ruud, K.; Ghosh, A. Rare and Nonexistent Nitrosyls: Periodic Trends and Relativistic Effects in Ruthenium and Osmium Porphyrin-Based {MNO}⁷ Complexes. *ACS Omega* **2018**, *3*, 10513–10516.

(12) Braband, H.; Benz, M.; Spingler, B.; Conradie, J.; Alberto, R.; Ghosh, A. Relativity as a Synthesis Design Principle: A Comparative Study of [3+2] Cycloaddition of Technetium(VII) and Rhenium(VII) Trioxo Complexes with Olefins. *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 11090–11097. (13) Hua, S. A.; Tsai, Y. C.; Peng, S. M. A Journey of Metal-Metal

(13) Hua, S. A.; Isai, Y. C.; Peng, S. M. A Journey of Metal-Metal Bonding Beyond Cotton's Quadruple Bonds. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2014, 61, 9–26.

(14) Noor, A.; Kempe, R. M5M–Key compounds of the research field metal–metal quintuple bonding. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2015**, *424*, 75–82.

(15) Nguyen, T.; Sutton, A. D.; Brynda, M.; Fettinger, J. C.; Long, G. J.; Power, P. P. Synthesis of a stable compound with fivefold bonding between two chromium (I) centers. *Science* **2005**, *310*, 844–847.

(16) Merino, G.; Donald, K. J.; D'Acchioli, J. S.; Hoffmann, R. The many ways to have a quintuple bond. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15295–15302.

(17) Brynda, M.; Gagliardi, L.; Widmark, P. O.; Power, P. P.; Roos, B. O. A Quantum Chemical Study of the Quintuple Bond between Two Chromium Centers in [PhCrCrPh]: *trans*-Bent versus Linear Geometry. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 3804–3807.

(18) Xu, B.; Li, Q. S.; Xie, Y.; King, R. B.; Schaefer, H. F., III Metal– Metal Quintuple and Sextuple Bonding in Bent Dimetallocenes of the Third Row Transition Metals. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, *6*, 735– 746.

(19) Tsai, Y. C.; Chen, H. Z.; Chang, C. C.; Yu, J. S. K.; Lee, G. H.; Wang, Y.; Kuo, T. S. Journey from Mo–Mo quadruple bonds to quintuple bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 12534–12535.

(20) Wu, L. C.; Hsu, C. W.; Chuang, Y. C.; Lee, G. H.; Tsai, Y. C.; Wang, Y. Bond characterization on a Cr–Cr quintuple bond: A combined experimental and theoretical study. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2011, *115*, 12602–12615.

(21) Falceto, A.; Theopold, K. H.; Alvarez, S. Cr–Cr quintuple bonds: ligand topology and interplay between metal–metal and metal–ligand bonding. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 10966–10977.

(22) Nair, A. K.; Harisomayajula, N. S.; Tsai, Y. C. Theory, synthesis and reactivity of quintuple bonded complexes. *Dalton Trans* **2014**, *43*, 5618–5638.

(23) The calculations were carried out with a spin-unrestricted formalism, a scalar-relativistic ZORA (Zeroth Order Regular Approximation²⁴ to the Dirac equation) Hamiltonian, all-electron ZORA STO-TZ2P basis sets, fine integration grids and tight criteria for SCF and geometry optimization cycles, and appropriate point group symmetry, all as implemented in the ADF²⁵ program system.

(24) Van Lenthe, E. V.; Snijders, J. G.; Baerends, E. J. The zero-order regular approximation for relativistic effects: The effect of spin-orbit coupling in closed shell molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 6505-6516.

(25) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T. Chemistry with ADF. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 931–967.

(26) Van Lenthe, E. V.; Snijders, J. G.; Baerends, E. J. The zero-order regular approximation for relativistic effects: The effect of spin-orbit coupling in closed shell molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 6505-6516.

(27) Handy, N. C.; Cohen, A. Left-Right Correlation Energy. J. Mol. Phys. 2001, 99, 403-412.

(28) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron-Density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.

(29) Grimme, S. Density Functional Theory with London Dispersion Corrections. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* 2011, *1*, 211–228.
(30) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A Consistent and Accurate Ab Initio Parametrization of Density Functional Dispersion Correction (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *132*, No. 154104.

(31) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T. Chemistry with ADF. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 931–967.

(32) Ghosh, A.; Almlöf, J. The ultraviolet photoelectron spectrum of free-base porphyrin revisited. The performance of local density functional theory. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, *213*, 519–521.

(33) Ghosh, A.; Vangberg, T. Valence ionization potentials and cation radicals of prototype porphyrins. The remarkable performance of nonlocal density functional theory. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1997**, *97*, 143–149.

(34) Ghosh, A.; Conradie, J. Twist-Bent Bonds Revisited: Adiabatic Ionization Potentials Demystify Enhanced Reactivity. *ACS Omega* **2022**, *7*, 37917–37921.

(35) Ghosh, A.; Conradie, J. Theoretical Photoelectron Spectroscopy of Low-Valent Carbon Species: $A \sim 6$ eV Range of Ionization Potentials among Carbenes, Ylides, and Carbodiphosphoranes. *ACS Organic & Inorganic Au* **2023**, *3*, 92–95.

(36) Riley, K. E.; Merz, K. M. Assessment of density functional theory methods for the computation of heats of formation and ionization potentials of systems containing third row transition metals. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2007**, *111*, 6044–6053.

(37) Shil, S.; Bhattacharya, D.; Sarkar, S.; Misra, A. Performance of the widely used Minnesota density functionals for the prediction of heat of formations, ionization potentials of some benchmarked first row transition metal complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2013**, *117*, 4945–4955.

(38) Ghosh, A. *Ab Initio* Hartree-Fock and Local Density Functional Calculations on Prototype Halogenated Porphyrins. Do Electrochemically Measured Substituent Effects Reflect Gas-Phase Trends? *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11004–11006.

(39) Ghosh, A. Substituent effects on valence ionization potentials of free base porphyrins: A local density functional study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4691–4699.

(40) Ghosh, A.; Conradie, J. Porphyryne. ACS Omega 2022, 7, 40275-40278.

(41) Ghosh, A.; Conradie, J. The Perfluoro Cage Effect: A Search for Electron-Encapsulating Molecules. *ACS Omega* **2023**, *8*, 4972–4975.

(42) Torstensen, K.; Ghosh, A. From Diaminosilylenes to Silapyramidanes: Making Sense of the Stability of Divalent Silicon Compounds. *ACS Org. Inorg. Au* **2024**, *4*, 102–105.