Outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) using a continuous ambulatory delivery device (CADD) allowing treatment with multiple daily doses: a brief report of a Norwegian experience

Vegard Skogen^{1,2}*, Rita Helleren³, Marianne Giske Jacobsen³, Anne Opsal^{4,5} and Frode Gallefoss^{5,6}

¹Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, PO Box 6050 Langnes, Tromsø N-9037, Norway; ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Division of Internal Medicine, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway; ³Department of Internal Medicine, Sørlandet Hospital, Kristiansand, Norway; ⁴Department of Health and Nursing Science, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway; ⁵Department of Clinical Research, Sørlandet Hospital, Kristiansand, Norway; ⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

*Corresponding author. E-mail: vegard.skogen@unn.no

Received 12 March 2024; accepted 19 September 2024

Background: Outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) is safe, effective and increasingly available. While OPAT in Norwegian healthcare has been rare, a new continuous ambulatory delivery device (CADD) allowing multiple daily dosing treatments has been innovated making OPAT more accessible.

Objectives: To describe the clinical outcome and safety using CADD in an OPAT setting.

Methods: Adult patients in need of parenteral antibiotic treatment were offered OPAT and discharged with a programmable digital infusion pump allowing multiple daily dosings.

Results: Altogether, 170 patients were included in the study, among which 21% of all patients (36 of 170) were readmitted to hospital while receiving OPAT or within 30 days after end of intravenous antibiotics. None of the 170 patients died due to OPAT and allergies were not noticeable as a problem.

Conclusions: We have developed a safe and clinically effective programme offering OPAT in accordance with Norwegian antibiotic treatment guidelines.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has emerged as a major threat and burden to human health.¹ Thus, it is of great importance to prevent and combat any further spread and development of AMR that is associated with the overuse and misuse of antibiotics. To prevent this spread, antibiotic stewardship programmes have been developed to improve and measure the appropriate use of antibiotics by promoting selection of the optimal drug regimen, dosage and duration of treatment, and route of administration.²

Since it was first introduced in the early 1970s, outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) has become a wellestablished therapy. OPAT has been shown to be safe and effective against a wide range of infections. Further, it is cost-effective and associated with a high level of patient satisfaction.³ Three basic models of OPAT delivery exist: (i) home-based, (ii) infusion centrebased and (iii) skilled nursing facility-based.⁴ Home-based OPAT programmes generally favour agents with long half-lives and once-daily dosing regimens such as ceftriaxone, ertapenem, teicoplanin and daptomycin.^{3,5} Norwegian national treatment guidelines, however, promote use of narrow-spectrum drugs that often require multiple daily doses. Multiple daily dosings for OPAT have been difficult and impractical due to shortcomings of the different devices and pumps often used.^{3,4} Thus, OPAT has rarely been used in the Norwegian healthcare system.

Technical developments have made it possible to use a continuous ambulatory delivery device (CADD) for home-based intensive chemotherapy in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia and lymphoma.^{6,7} This experience inspired us to develop an OPAT programme allowing multiple daily doses for parenteral antibiotic treatment with this new device. A feasibility and patient satisfaction study has recently been published in Norwegian.⁸ We

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. here aim to describe the clinical outcome and safety using CADD in an OPAT setting.

Methods

Patients

Since November 2016, clinically stable and suitable adult patients at the Hospital of Southern Norway (SSHF Kristiansand) in need of parenteral antibiotic treatment have been offered OPAT through a venous catheter, i.e. either a peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC), midline catheter (MC) or a short peripheral catheter. Individuals using antimicrobial agents as a once-daily regimen were not offered a CADD and were therefore excluded from this group. The Regional Committees for Medical research Ethics South-East Norway defined the project as not part of their mandate. The data protection services for research (Sikt) in Norway approved the study (ID number 60290/EPA/LR) and SSHF Kristiansand approved the study locally. All included participants gave a written consent.

CADD

Patients were discharged with a programmable digital infusion pump (CADD Solis VIP infusion pump, Smith Medical UK) connected to the venous catheter. Because of the functionality of the device, the CADDs were pre-programmed with correct dosage and timing of the antimicrobial agents. The antimicrobial agents were administered through the CADD and the venous catheter as two to six doses per day, depending on the type of antimicrobial agent, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic principles and type of infection. To meet the challenge of instability with some beta-lactam antibiotics, two batches of the infusion solution of benzylpenicillin and ampicillin were prepared daily. One batch of infusion solution was given immediately, and the other was kept cold for 12 hours until use with the CADD. Other beta-lactams, such as cloxacillin, cefotaxime. ceftazidime and piperacillin/tazobactam, were prepared as one batch once daily. However, we did not offer the use of extended or continuous infusion of antimicrobials. Despite multiple daily doses, patients did not need to see a healthcare provider more than once daily to prepare the CADD.

The OPAT team in primary and specialist healthcare

The OPAT team was led by a nurse in close collaboration with a medical specialist in infectious disease. Smooth cooperation was maintained with the hospital wards and an infusion centre at the outpatient clinic. The team at the hospital also collaborated with the patient and the primary healthcare staff to organize and prepare the digital device with the appropriate antimicrobial agent on a daily basis. Preparation of the antibiotics could be carried out in the hospital or the primary healthcare facility, depending on which was most suitable. The daily workload associated with preparing the antibiotics for the CADD was minimal because it was performed once daily, regardless of the number of daily doses or type of antibiotics. The OPAT cohort was monitored with laboratory tests at least twice a week at the hospital along with catheter control and observation of their general condition. The primary healthcare with homenurses supplied the antibiotics, and performed clinical check-up and line control daily the rest of the week. The hospital physician had the responsibility for the OPAT treatment.

Results

Two hundred and twenty-one OPAT patients discharged from SSHF were screened for eligibility. Of these, 51 were excluded (no written consent n = 37; incorrectly registered n = 14) and a total of 170 patients (median age 64 and age range from 19 to

93 years) were included. The median number of OPAT days in the cohort was 13 days and the treatment range in days from 1 to 76.

Table 1 shows the results from 170 patients treated with OPAT from November 2016 to July 2021 by type of infection, aetiology, antimicrobial agents and complications as determined by mortality, readmission while receiving OPAT and allergic reactions.

A total of 10 patients did an antibiotic switch for different reasons during the OPAT time. Three patients did an early switch to a PO regimen due to complications; one switched due to a possible allergic reaction to vancomycin and two patients had a line problem that initiated the switch. Six patients did a change in IV antibiotic; one due to an allergic reaction, three patients changed due to complicated cases and two due to either neutropenia during long term use of cephalosporins and the other for practical reasons. One patient stopped the antibiotic treatment due to an allergic reaction 2 days ahead of planned schedule.

A total of 36 patients (36 of 170, 21%) were readmitted to hospital while receiving OPAT or within 30 days after finishing antibiotic treatment. Of those, 15 patients (15 of 36, 42%) were readmitted to hospital during OPAT due to complications such as recurrence or progression of infection (9 of 15, 60%), lineassociated issues (3 of 15, 20%), vacuum assisted closure therapy issue (1 of 15, 7%) or not CADD related (2 of 15, 13%). The line-associated issues included leakage and deep venous thrombosis. The latter was treated with anticoagulants and no patients needed thrombolysis.

Further, 21 patients (21 of 36, 58%) were readmitted within 30 days after completing the antibiotic treatment. Of those, three (3 of 21, 14%) were readmitted due to progression of the initial infection, while 18 (18 of 21, 86%) were readmitted due to other causes, such as other infections (6 of 18, 33%), elective surgery (5 of 18, 28%) or other medical conditions (7 of 18, 39%) (data not shown).

No immediate allergic reactions were experienced among our patients. However, three patients experienced a non-immediate allergic reaction interpreted as a reaction to antibiotics (Table 1). Twelve patients received beta-lactam antibiotic treatment after OPAT at some time, independently of the OPAT treatment; for other conditions, however, none of them developed an allergic reaction to the antibiotic treatment (data not shown).

Discussion

The programmable CADD (CADD Solis) used in our hospital for OPAT was suitable for different infections and antibiotics, allowing multiple daily dosing treatment regimens.

Many relatively common infections require only short-term intravenous antibiotics and are not suitable for OPAT because of the rapid transition from intravenous to oral administration. However, serious, less-common diseases such as endocarditis, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, skin and soft tissue infections are often amenable to OPAT.⁹ OPAT is also useful for patients who require parenteral therapy for moderate to severe infection and are otherwise well enough to start or continue therapy without a hospital stay.¹⁰

OPAT is considered safe⁴ and our findings are in line with the literature in regards of complication and readmission rates. We experienced deep vein thrombosis in three individuals using a

Diagnosis	Microbiology	Antibiotics	Death during treatment	Hospital readmission during treatment	Allergic reaction during treatment
Endocarditis n=28	Streptococcus spp. n=11	Penicillin <i>n</i> =11	0	1	0
	Enterococcus spp. $n=6$	Ampicillin n=6	0	0	0
	Staphylococcus spp. $n = 6$	Cloxacillin $n = 6$	0	0	0
	Other ^a $n=3$	Penicillin $n=2$	0	0	0
		Ampicillin n=1	0	0	0
	No microbe found $n=2$	Penicillin $n=1$	0	1	0
		Cloxacillin n=1	0	0	0
Bone and joint infections n=53	Streptococcus spp. $n = 11$	Penicillin <i>n</i> =11	0	1	1
	Enterococcus spp. $n=2$	Ampicillin n=2	0	1	0
	Staphylococcus spp. $n = 19$	Cloxacillin n=17	0	1	0
		Clindamycin <i>n</i> =1	0	1	0
		Vancomycin $n=1$	0	0	0
	Other ^b $n=8$	Penicillin $n=8$	0	1	0
	Polymicrobial $n=2$	Penicillin $n=2$	0	0	0
	No microbe found $n=11$	Cloxacillin n=10	0	0	0
		2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =1	0	1	0
Postoperative	Streptococcus spp. $n = 4$	Penicillin <i>n</i> =4	0	1	0
infections n=46	Staphylococcus spp. $n = 24$	Penicillin <i>n</i> =1	0	0	0
		Cloxacillin n=19	0	2	0
		Vancomycin <i>n</i> =4	0	0	0
	Gram negative $n=2$	2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =2	0	0	1
	Other ^c $n = 7$	Penicillin n=5	0	0	0
		Cloxacillin n=1	0	0	0
		Ampicillin n=1	0	1	0
	Polymicrobial <i>n</i> =4	2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> = 1	0	1	0
		Clindamycin $n=2$	0	0	0
		Vancomycin <i>n</i> = 1	0	0	0
	No microbe found $n=5$	Cloxacillin $n=2$	0	0	0
		2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =1	0	0	0
		Clindamycin $n = 1$	0	0	0
		Vancomycin <i>n</i> =1	0	0	1
Other infections ^e n=43	Streptococcus spp. $n=3$	Penicillin n=3	0	0	0
	Enterococcus spp. $n=2$	Ampicillin $n=2$	0	0	0
	Staphylococcus spp. $n = 6$	Cloxacillin n=5	0	0	0
		2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =1	0	0	0
	Gram negative <i>n</i> =9	Ampicillin n=1	0	0	0
		2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =8	0	0	0
	Other ^a $n=4$	Penicillin n=4	0	0	0
	Polymicrobial $n=2$	Penicillin $n=2$	0	0	0
	No microbe found $n = 17$	Penicillin $n=7$	0	0	0
		Cloxacillin n = 2	0	0	0
		2nd and 3rd gen cef or TZP <i>n</i> =8	0	2	0

Table 1. Principal diagnosis, microbiology, antibiotics and various outcomes among 170 patients undergoing OPAT using a CADD

OPAT, outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy; gen, generation; cef, cefalosporin; TZP, tazobactam and piperacillin.

^aCutibacterium (n=2) and Lactobacillus (n=1).

^bCutibacterium (n=5), Finegoldia magna (n=1), Fusobacterium (n=1) and Aggregatibacter (n=1).

^cCutibacterium (n=5), Aggregatibacter (n=1), Peptinophilus harei (n=1).

^dPasturella (n=1), Listeria (n=1), Treponema pallidum (n=1), Actinomyces (n=1).

^eOther infections include skin and soft tissue infections, respiratory tract infections, urinary tract infections, central nervous system infections, and obstetrics and gynaecology infections.

MC when first establishing OPAT. Thus, the use of an MC for OPAT was avoided regardless of the length of treatment. We did this for safety reasons, despite the very low quality of evidence for an increased risk of complications with MCs versus PICCs during OPAT.⁴ One of these three patients is included in our brief report. However, we reported two other included patients having a lineassociated issue. This is in line with other studies looking into complications among adults.³

When considering OPAT for a patient, the choice of an appropriate antibiotic should remain guided by the usual criteria for anti-infective therapy rather than the availability of some antibiotic that is easier to use.¹¹ Although various devices have been used in OPAT care, elastomeric infusion pumps appear to be the most commonly used, often resulting in the selection of long half-life antibiotics that can be administered only once daily. However, such treatment protocols are often in conflict with national guidelines and Norwegian recommendations. Unlike elastomeric infusion pumps, programmable digital infusion pumps have made more narrow-spectrum antibiotics easier to use because they allow multiple daily doses. Currently, once it has been prepared, the stability of an antimicrobial agent is an important consideration in OPAT. Some narrow-spectrum betalactam drugs may be unstable with OPAT because of significant temperature-dependent degradation, which may result in a loss of efficacy.¹² The breakdown products of beta-lactams in the prepared solution may also increase the risk of hypersensitivity reactions.¹³ Because of this perceived risk, there has been some scepticism regarding the use of some multiple daily dosing antibiotics with OPAT, since freshly prepared solutions may avoid these effects.¹⁴ Penicillin and other narrow-spectrum betalactam antibiotics were widely used in our OPAT cohort because, together with aminoglycosides, narrow-spectrum beta-lactam antibiotics are still the cornerstone in the Norwegian guidelines for antibiotic treatment.

There are several physicochemical strategies that tend to meet the challenge of the instability of beta-lactam antibiotics in aqueous solution, such as the use of an appropriate buffer in the infusion solution together with a stable temperature.¹³ However, we did not use a special buffer in the infusion solution for narrowspectrum beta-lactam antibiotics. We did not experience any complications with penicillin or ampicillin as depicted in our cohort, despite the concerns stated in the literature. In addition, we have not observed any increase in allergic reactions among patients with OPAT, as previous literature may have warned.

The doses and intervals of the beta-lactam antibiotics given were individually adjusted based on the microbe and the patient's clinical conditions. The latest updated Norwegian antibiotic guidelines from 2022 recommend in general shorter antibiotic courses, and a further narrow-spectrum beta-lactam dose optimization, often with lower antibiotic doses administrated 4–6 times daily.⁵ We did not experience any differences in the treatment outcomes among patients treated according to the old treatment regime versus the latest treatment guidelines.

The programmable CADD used for OPAT is beneficial for both patients and healthcare providers, as also shown for other devices. The main advantage with this programmable digital infusion pump is the functionality of the device, allowing the use of more narrow-spectrum antibiotics in accordance with the Norwegian guidelines for antibiotic treatment. Our data indicate a safe and clinical effective OPAT programme using CADD and should further support that OPAT programmes with modern devices now can be leveraged to promote antimicrobial stewardship. Further studies of the stability of antimicrobial agents such as narrow-spectrum beta-lactams are needed when using a CADD, as well as cost-effectiveness studies from Nordic countries using this multiple daily dosing device.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the frontline healthcare providers and support staff at SSHF along with the included patients.

Funding

The funding for this Brief Report was provided by Sørlandet Hospital Kristiansand (SSHF) and UiT The Arctic University of Norway.

Transparency declarations

The authors: No reported conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of SSHF.

References

1 Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2022; **399**: 629–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

2 Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM *et al.* Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **62**: e51–77. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw118

3 Chapman ALN, Patel S, Horner C *et al.* Updated good practice recommendations for outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) in adults and children in the UK. *JAC Antimicrob Resist* 2019; **1**: dlz026. https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlz026

4 Norris AH, Shrestha NK, Allison GM *et al.* 2018 Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guideline for the management of outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; **68**: e1–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy867

5 The Directorate of Health. Antibiotika i sykehus. https://www.helsedirek toratet.no/retningslinjer/antibiotika-i-sykehus.

6 Fridthjof KS, Kampmann P, Dünweber A *et al.* Systematic patient involvement for homebased outpatient administration of complex chemotherapy in acute leukemia and lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* 2018; **181**: 637–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15249

7 Nørskov KH, Fridthjof K, Kampmann P *et al.* A national Danish proof of concept on feasibility and safety of home-based intensive chemotherapy in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Res* 2022; **112**: 106756. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2021.106756

8 Jacobsen M, Helleren R, Gallefoss F *et al.* Hjemmebehandling med intravenøs antibiotika. Gjennomførbarhet og pasienters erfaringer. *Nordisk Tidsskrift for Helseforskning* 2023; **19**: 1–17. https://doi.org/10.7557/14.6742

9 Chapman AL. Outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. *BMJ* 2013;346: f1518. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f1585

10 Akahane M, Enoki Y, Saiki R *et al.* Stability of antimicrobial agents in an elastomeric infusion pump used for outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. *Int J Infect Dis* 2021; **103**: 464–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid. 2020.11.176

11 Voumard R, Van Neyghem N, Cochet C *et al.* Antibiotic stability related to temperature variations in elastomeric pumps used for outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT). *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 1462–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw582

12 Vella-Brincat JW, Begg EJ, Gallagher K *et al.* Stability of benzylpenicillin during continuous home intravenous therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; **53**: 675–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh146

13 Fjeld H, Stylianou E. Hypersensitivity reactions with prolonged infusions of β -lactams? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019; **25**: 121–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.08.021

14 Neftel KA, Wälti M, Spengler H *et al.* Effect of storage of penicillin-G solutions on sensitisation to penicillin-G after intravenous administration. *Lancet* 1982; **1**: 986–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(82) 91991-2