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Abstract

Diagnosis and treatment of the caries disease and post-treatment follow-up are interconnected concepts. The aim of the study was to map out the diagnostic methods available for early caries lesions, the existing non-invasive caries treatment, and in what way the follow-ups of these treatments are conducted.

The selection of articles was based on a primary search using the PubMed search engine, including search words associated with the topic. The papers which the primary search yielded were put through several exclusion rounds to limit the number and heighten the relevance of the studies to be included. 13 studies were ultimately chosen: 10 clinical studies, 2 systematic reviews and 1 literature review.

The results showed that most of the major diagnostics methods used today, when combined, have high sensitivity and specificity for identifying dentin caries. However, they do not have as high specificity and sensitivity for identifying enamel caries.

The treatment modalities examined in this study showed promising results, but most of the treatments investigated are in need of more (gap filling) research and long-term follow-ups. The visual tactile method is still the clinician’s most valuable diagnostic tool for identifying caries in general.

Introduction

Dental caries, hereby referred to as caries, is one of the most widespread infectious diseases in the world¹. The caries process causes a destruction of tooth substance. The hard tissues of the tooth, which includes the enamel, dentine and cementum, will slowly break down due to the acidic metabolic products produced by specific bacteria in the dental biofilm when
metabolizing carbohydrates. The disease manifests itself in several different ways: subclinical lesions; sub surface, initial lesions; and manifest caries in cases of a cavity formation. Depending on the type of the lesion, a suitable treatment should be chosen².

The cause of caries is multi-factorial, but caries cannot develop in the absence of fermentable carbohydrates. A causal approach to caries treatment, by risk assessment including diet and oral hygiene alteration using education and empowerment, could yield a true reduction of the individual caries prevalence. Yet, vast amounts of resources are spent on symptomatic treatment of caries. Traditional caries treatment consists of surgical removal of infected enamel and dentin. The lost tooth-substance is replaced with a biomaterial. In the process of removing infected tissue, healthy tooth-substance will be lost. Further loss of substance can, in the lifetime of a tooth or a filling, be expected due to micro leakage, fracture, and/or poor anatomy and secondary caries. A replacement of the filling will in these cases be necessary³.

In recent years, non-invasive caries treatments have gotten more attention from the scientific community. This seems to be a result of the drawbacks and limitations of traditional caries treatment, the advancements in technology and knowledge, and finally, a lower prevalence of manifest caries lesions.

Today, a spectre of non-invasive caries treatments is available. Many are new, but some have been available, and tested, for quite some time. Among the non-invasive caries treatments, there is a large difference in time consumption, costs, proven clinical effect, operator sensitivity, equipment requirements and the acceptance of the different non-invasive treatments.
In general non-invasive caries treatments are mostly suitable for small carious lesions. Cavitation is, in most cases, a contra-indication for a non-invasive treatment. In regard to this, is it possible to diagnose non-cavitated caries lesions with a high enough sensitivity and specificity? The visual-tactile examination can assess cavitation of smooth-surfaces, but has limitations in the diagnosis of caries on proximal surfaces. Radiographs are superior to the visual-tactile examination in detection of proximal caries, and have therefore been the diagnostic supplement of choice in the 20th century. There are however limitations and this is especially true for the diagnosis of non-cavitated caries lesions. Several new diagnostic tools have therefore been developed for the improved diagnosis of caries e.g. DIAGNOdent and FOTI. Can these tools give a precise diagnosis of non-cavitated caries lesions?

When a treatment is performed, there should be a follow-up to assess the outcome. In the case of fillings, a visual-tactile examination and radiographs are used to check for secondary and/or residual caries. In case of non-invasive caries treatments, follow-ups should assess the outcome of these treatments. Has there been a progression of the lesions? Are the diagnosed caries lesions in an arrested or still in an active phase? It has become apparent that it is very challenging for the individual practitioner to differentiate between active and non-active lesions. This is especially true for non-cavitated lesions. Is it safe to implement a whole array of new treatment modalities of initial caries lesions, if the means of evaluating the outcome are questionable or unsatisfactory?

**Aim of master thesis and hypothesis**

In this literature review different diagnostic tools which are available for caries diagnosis will be presented. The role of diagnosis in non-invasive caries treatment will be assessed.
In addition, non-invasive chairside caries treatments will be reviewed in regards to:

- The treatment: which treatments exist, and are there any scientific evidences for their efficacy?
- The follow-up: how is the follow-up performed in regards to these treatments?

**Hypothesis:** Clinical effect, demands for a correct diagnosis and follow-up, varies between different non-invasive caries treatments.

**Material and methods**

This paper will be a literature review focused on non-invasive chairside caries treatments, diagnostic tools, and follow-ups. The diagnostic tools which were selected for this paper were chosen based on availability and the simplicity of the diagnostic method, as these attributes are essential for the methods to be useful in a clinical setting. The diagnostic methods which will be reviewed are:

- Visual-tactile examination
- Dental radiography
- Laser-diagnostics (DIAGNOdent)
- Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination

The treatments which will be reviewed are:

- Remineralisation products:
  - Fluoride products
  - Calcium-phosphate remineralisation products.
- Resin based products
  - Fissure sealant
Studies to be considered for inclusion in this literature review were obtained by searching PubMed, a free database accessing the MEDLINE database. Manual searches were conducted on PubMeds on-site search engine. No limits were applied to the first search. The aim of the search was to identify all papers which mentioned the diagnostic methods, treatment modalities and their follow-up.

It soon became evident that the nomenclature concerning early caries lesions are not standardized in the scientific literature. Early caries lesion, initial caries lesion, incipient caries lesion and non-cavitated caries lesion, are all different terms to describe the same diagnosis. The different search phrases attempted were all listed for future reference. The searches included the most common terms used to describe non-cavitated caries lesions. In total 93 search phrases were used.

The first literature search, using PubMed and the selected search phrases, yielded 6549 papers. 1610 papers on diagnosis, 4512 papers on treatment and 427 papers on follow-up. Not all of the search phrases gave results related to dentistry, especially the searches concerning diagnostics. Search limits were then applied to all the 93 search phrases, by using the advanced search engine available at PubMed. The limits included were the following:

- Humans
- Clinical trails
- Meta-analysis
- RCT
- Review
- All adults: 19+ years

By applying these limits, the number of papers was reduced to 508. 116 papers concerned diagnostics, 314 concerned treatment and 78 concerned follow-up.

Exclusion criterias were designed in advance of the selection process.

These were as follows:
- Non-chairside treatment
- Medical compromised patients

The list of papers the search process yielded was then manually assessed of relevance by considering their titles. This was done due to the vast amount of results in spite of the search limits. In total, 148 papers were found to be relevant based on their titles, but the actual number was lower due to the fact that some papers appeared several times using different search phrases.

In the further process of identifying papers to be included in the result section, both authors read the abstracts and considered their relevance and quality. In cases of disagreement between the authors in this process, discussions leading to consensus were conducted.
The studies which were left after the process mentioned above, were categorized according to whether the study concerned diagnosis, treatment or follow-up. The list of studies was then reviewed by a third-party (C.W.) to assess quality and relevance.

Table 1 was made based on the abstracts of the remaining 36 papers, describing study type, age range, type of caries, and diagnostic/treatment modalities used in the papers. The table was used to assess which studies were most suitable for this review. A priority list was made; if there were studies describing the same subject, the paper highest on the priority list would be used:

- In vivo > In situ > In vitro
- Meta-analysis > RCT > clinical study (/w control) > clinical study (unspecified) > review
- Non-cavitated caries lesions > root caries
- Follow-up (more time > less time) > no follow-up
- Published after 2000 > older studies

Based on these priorities, 13 papers were selected to be included in the result section.

**Results**

**Diagnostics of non-cavitated caries lesions**

Diagnosis of caries was up until recently concerned with the macroscopic detection of caries. This was due to a higher prevalence of manifest caries worldwide and the lack of treatment options other than surgical removal of affected tooth-substance. In modern dentistry, non-
invasive treatments of early caries lesions exist, thus making the diagnosis of such lesions important\textsuperscript{2}.

Non-invasive treatments have a small treatment window in regard to diagnosis; Cavity formation is in most cases a contraindication for non-invasive treatment. This is a problem, as the state of carious lesions often is underestimated\textsuperscript{2}.

Detection of caries has changed during the last 30 years, partly due to increased use of fluoride in different vehicle products. This is especially true for the diagnosis of occlusal caries. Fluoride inhibits the breakdown of tooth substance. This makes it possible to have an intact tooth surface, even though it can be completely undermined by caries. This kind of occlusal caries lesion is most commonly not diagnosed by the visual tactile method, and is often only discovered in late stages of the disease\textsuperscript{6}.

**The visual-tactile examination**

The visual-tactile method is the oldest and most commonly used diagnostic method for the detection of caries. The modern systematic approach to this method is: dry teeth, enough operating light, assessment of the gingiva, and a careful use of the probe.

The visual-tactile examination is a cheap and available method due to the low equipment demands. The method has however several limitations: it is difficult to learn and years of experience are needed to achieve a reliable sensitivity and specificity, which can vary considerably among clinicians\textsuperscript{7}.

Proximal caries has in particular proven to be very difficult to diagnose using the visual-tactile method, as 83% of cavitated proximal caries lesions were not detected using this method alone\textsuperscript{7}. In regards to this, the site-specific gingival scores seem to correlate with the
presence of cavitated proximal lesions. This might be due to the fact that cavitated lesions retain plaque and food debris, which can cause inflammation of the gingiva. Gingival inflammation could thus be used as an additional diagnostic indicator for the presence or absence of cavitation.

Caries diagnosis based on a visual-tactile examination alone is difficult, and misclassifications occur to a greater extent among non-cavitated lesions than cavitated ones.

Concerning occlusal caries, it seems that the probe is not a reliable method for the detection of caries. Even worse, the probe may sometimes actually cause cavities in the search for caries. The probe is, very carefully used, still a suitable tool for the assessment of root caries, as the texture of the root surface is the main diagnostic criteria for caries, not the color.

Dental radiography

Dental radiography is electromagnetic radiation, which has wavelengths between 0.01 to 10 nanometers. It is a useful diagnostic method which utilizes the fact that the absorption of the x-rays differs depending on what type of tissue they penetrate. The difference can be visualized by the use of different kind of detectors, analog, as well as digital. X-ray is especially useful for hard tissue diagnostics. Unlike the visual-tactile method, X-rays are potentially harmful, and should only be used when indicated.

In the context of caries detection, X-ray is a useful tool, but should not be used as the sole diagnostic tool. This is especially true for the detection of occlusal caries, which is the most prevalent form of caries in the population. Proximal dentin lesions are, however, more easily detected with X-ray compared to the visual-tactile method. Combining the visual-tactile method with bitewing radiographs seems to yield higher sensitivity and specificity, compared to either method alone.
Caries lesions situated only in the enamel may not be apparent on radiographs until there is a loss of mineral of 30 – 40 %. Therefore, the extent of a lesion is often greater than what is apparent or visible on the radiographs. X-ray is therefore more useful to detect caries lesions in the dentin compared to the enamel. This is unfortunate, since one study has shown that in 85 % of cases where an outer dentin lesion can be seen on the radiograph, there is a macroscopic cavitation.

The diagnostic values of radiography are dependent on correct handling of the equipment and the X-rays. Correct angulation of the X-ray tube is necessary to get a correct projection; an axial-eccentric angulation of only 10° is sufficient to impair diagnosis of cervical root caries. Phenomena like cervical burn-outs and Mach-band effect will also affect the caries diagnostics. The Mach-band effect is an optical illusion, which causes an increased perceived contrast between two surfaces with different luminance. In the clinic this might affect caries diagnostics, by increasing the number of false-positive results. Correct processing of the images and viewing conditions are essential for good diagnostics.

**Laser diagnostics**

Dental laser diagnostic is a non-ionizing diagnostic method based on the measurement of the fluorescence of the enamel and dentin. Demineralized enamel has different optical attributes compared to the healthy enamel. Demineralized enamel can visually be seen as a white spot lesion. The optical change is caused by increased pore volume in the demineralized enamel. This change of the optical values can be quantified by a laser fluorescence device and theoretically it can be used to identify early caries lesions.

An example of such a device is the KaVo DIAGNOdent. It is a small chairside battery-powered laser fluorescence device. It is used by scanning the area of interest and noting the
peak value that the device shows. The value can then be interpreted to decide whether treatment is needed. The values will vary depending on age, tooth color, staining, and location of lesions. The recommended cut-off value that yields the highest sensitivity and specificity can be found in scientific literature.

The KaVo DIAGNOdent method shows a very high sensitivity for low cut-off values. Cut-off values are the baseline for which higher values will be interpreted as the presence of caries lesions. Unfortunately the specificity is poor for low values. This may be caused by calculus, fillings and/or stain that will give an artificial high value, which can be interpreted as caries. Studies do however report excellent intra-examiner reliability and good to excellent inter-examiner reliability by using the KaVo DIAGNOdent, i.e. getting a correct value does not seem to be affected by experience. However, the type of carious lesions (proximal/occlusal) and different kinds of tooth surfaces will also affect the values.

KaVo DIAGNOdent is a viable tool for the diagnosis of occlusal dentin caries, and studies even suggest that the KaVo DIAGNOdent combined with the visual-tactile method is a superior diagnostic option for the diagnosis of fissure caries, compared to the visual-tactile method supplemented with radiographs. The KaVo DIAGNOdent is also a useful diagnostic tool, in combination with the visual-tactile method, for detection of proximal lesions. Accurate results have however been difficult to obtain in cases of tight contact points, due to the large tip of the tool. A smaller tip is suggested to help aid better diagnostics.

When it comes to the detection of early caries lesions, the reports are more mixed. According to one study, the KaVo DIAGNOdent could differentiate between caries up to the EDJ and dentin caries in proximal lesions by a sensitivity of 0.6 and a specificity of 0.84 given a cut-off value of 16. This implies that there is no significant difference between dental radiographs
and the KaVo DIAGNOdent when it comes to the diagnosis of early proximal caries lesions in permanent teeth.

Fiber-optic trans illumination

Fiber-optic trans illumination (FOTI) is a diagnostic tool for the detection of caries, which utilizes the fact that caries can change the optical properties of the tooth substance. These optical changes can be seen as shadows, which can be interpreted as caries. No studies solely concerned with FOTI were found, but a paper evaluating FOTI was mentioned by Huth KC et al. (2010). In this study, FOTI was described as the least reliable method for caries detection considered in that specific paper. Elective temporary tooth separation detected 41.4 % more lesions compared to FOTI, and revealed that 17.5 % of the lesions diagnosed by FOTI were actually sound enamel when clinically assessed.

Non-operative treatment in general

Non-cavitated lesions even into the first third and middle part of the dentine can be arrested, both in the case of occlusal as well as proximal lesions. A non-surgical treatment approach will possibly decrease further development of a caries lesion and thus surgical intervention can be either postponed or unnecessary. There are two major non-surgical approaches. The caries lesion can either be given a remineralizing treatment or, it can be arrested by the application of a resin based solution.

The clinically visible tooth substance is in a constant alternating state of demineralization and remineralization. Remineralization products, mainly fluoride containing vehicles, have been manufactured in order to treat non-cavitated lesions before surgical intervention is indicated by disrupting the imbalance between demineralization and remineralization. The caries
development will thereby be slowed down or arrested. These products include both professionally applied substances and products meant for home treatment. Home treatment products include dentifrices, rinses, chewing gums and lozenges.

Remineralizing treatment of non-cavitated carious lesions is today being acknowledged by the profession as an appropriate interventional method being able of arresting lesions and thereby preventing surgical intervention.

Other treatment options are for example resin based solutions, which can be used in order to seal early non-cavitated lesions from the oral cavity environment, or to infiltrate these lesions, resulting in an impediment of further progression of caries.\textsuperscript{12}

In addition there are non-invasive treatment methods other than remineralizing or resin based ones. Ozone treatment is one of these which will be discussed later in this paper.

Remineralization products

Fluorides

Fluoride has a well proven caries preventive and cariostatic effect. The preventive effect is through inhibition of the demineralization, and the cariostatic action is through enhancement of remineralization processes, the latter being the stronger effect.\textsuperscript{5} Fluoride used in the clinic is delivered to the tooth surface by site specific administration. It has also been added to a whole array of different products in order to aid the remineralization of tooth substance and for the prevention of both caries lesion formation and progression. These products range from home-treatment products like toothpaste, chewing gum, lozenges and rinsing solutions, to products such as varnishes, professionally applied, and fluoride added to drinking water by the public health systems.
There are only a few clinical studies on fluoride treatment of adults regarding its effect on primary crown caries\(^5\). The same source claims that studies can be biased because the widespread use of fluorides in the population gives rise to non-effective control groups. In addition, older studies operated with a DMFT-index not taking into account non-cavitated lesions. This could cause divergent results regarding the evidence of the efficacy of fluoride treatment, and thus give an underestimation of caries in both experimental and control groups\(^5\).

There are only a low number of studies on the treatment of non-cavitated lesions in adults\(^1\). Only seven studies were found on this topic, all of which concerned permanent teeth in children. And, most of the studies were on treatments other than those used in-clinic or a combination of professionally applied materials and home products. The conclusion was that the evidence for efficacy of any given method when it comes to arresting or reversing the progression of non-cavitated lesions was insufficient due to limitations in the evidence base. Among the limitations mentioned were too few studies, low evidence levels and disagreement on the diagnostic criteria for non-cavitated lesions. Recommendations for improvement included further studies with higher quality and evidence level through a gap-filling strategy, and a consensus regarding diagnostic criteria\(^1\).

Comparing Colgate Palmolive Duraphat\(^\text{TM}\) 2, 23 % fluoride varnish with proximal sealing using a fissure sealant has shown no significant difference in effect. The number of treated surfaces without progression in the two experimental groups on a 2 year follow-up was almost identical\(^3\).

In one study a Colgate Palmolive Duraphat\(^\text{TM}\) 2, 23 % fluoride varnish group and an 8 %-stannous fluoride solution (SnF\(_2\)) group were assessed regarding their ability to arrest root caries lesions. No obvious differences regarding the efficacy were found between the two
groups on the 3, 6, 12 and 18 months follow-up. It was believed that a small number of subjects could be the reason for this. In this study it was concluded that frequent topical application of fluoride might be a successful treatment for incipient root caries lesions, irrespective of the type of fluoride treatment used\textsuperscript{13}. Higher concentrations of fluoride seem to be needed in order to arrest a root caries lesion compared to an enamel lesion\textsuperscript{5}.

**Calcium-phosphate remineralisation products**

This is a rather new way of remineralizing tooth surfaces by keeping high levels of calcium and phosphorus ions in the proximity of the enamel. A chemical gradient favoring net remineralization of the demineralized tooth substance is created\textsuperscript{5}. For the formation and incorporation of fluoride apatite to take place, sufficient levels of calcium and phosphate ions are needed in the saliva and dental plaque, the levels of which will be a limiting factor for the remineralization. However calcium and phosphorus ions form complexes of low solubility, which will give a lower degree of remineralization. Hence products were developed to solve this problem\textsuperscript{5}.

One of the solutions to this problem is to stabilize the complexes through casein phosphopeptides that bind the calcium and phosphate ions forming CPP-ACP-complexes (casein phosphopeptide stabilized amorphous calcium phosphate) which release calcium and phosphorus ions at pH values below 7\textsuperscript{5}. The mechanisms of this anticariogenicity have been thought to be caused by the ACP acting as a buffer on the actions of free calcium and phosphate, thereby promoting remineralization\textsuperscript{14}. Casein has also been shown to have an anticariogenic capacity in itself through its ability of being incorporated into plaque thus preventing subsurface demineralization of the enamel\textsuperscript{15}. The CPP-ACP technology has been used both in professionally applicated products and products meant for home use.
Through the search for calcium-phosphate remineralization products only one single chair side study was found. It concluded that further evidence is needed in order to decide if the use of such substances has a clinical effect on carious lesions\textsuperscript{5}.

**Resin based products**

**Fissure sealant**

Fissure sealing is a treatment modality for non-cavitated occlusal lesions used on erupting teeth in young patients and adolescents. Fissure sealants create a mechanical obstacle to prevent undisturbed plaque formation in the occlusal fissures. The occlusal tooth surfaces are the most susceptible sites, probably due to their anatomical shapes, which make plaque removal through tooth-brushing more difficult and besides that, occlusal lesions extending into dentin are difficult to diagnose\textsuperscript{16}.

Both clinical and radiographic progression of lesions has been confirmed to be significantly lower in fissure sealed teeth compared to non-sealed teeth. Clinical alterations and an increase in radiolucency were found in almost 50\% of the cases for non-sealed teeth, while clinical alterations were found in 0\% of the sealed teeth on the one year follow-up\textsuperscript{11}. All subjects in this particular study presented with a moderate to high risk of developing caries. Their age was not specified other than that the treatment of some of the subjects needed parental consent. An increase in the occlusal radiolucency was found in about one out of ten subjects with sealed teeth. In all the lesions showing radiographic progression in the experiment group, the sealing had been partially or totally lost during the study. The one year retention level of the sealings with total retention was said to reflect those of similar studies. The importance of the use of rubber dam was stressed as being of fundamental importance for the longevity of a fissure sealing. Fissure sealing was found to be an excellent treatment modality for non-
cavitated occlusal lesions, and to significantly arrest lesions. It may replace the surgical
treatment for these lesions.\(^\text{11}\)

**Resin sealing**

Proximal sealing is the use of resin based light curing material for sealing an approximal tooth
surface in case of a non-cavitated lesion in order to prevent further progression. Bonding is
often used as the material of choice in this kind of treatment. Resin tags of up to 6µm into the
enamel have been demonstrated.\(^\text{17}\)

The method of fissure sealing has proved to be a successful and an effective way for treating
non-cavitated lesions in several clinical studies\(^3\) and this principle has also been tested for
proximal surfaces.

The potential of sealants to non-invasively treat early proximal lesions has also been tested.
Ninety-three percent of treated surfaces showed no progression of the baseline radiolucency
in the enamel on a 2 year follow-up.\(^3\) Only 1.3 % of the lesions progressed to a state which
indicated the need for a restoration. However, no significant difference was found in the effect
of this treatment compared with the control group which received Colgate Palmolive
Duraphat\(^\text{TM}\) 5 % sodium fluoride varnish applications.\(^3\)

**Resin infiltration**

Resin infiltration is a new way of treating non-cavitated lesions in which the goal is to fill a
sub surface lesion with low-viscosity resins. Demineralized non-cavitated lesions have
widened enamel pores where resins can infiltrate and subsequently be hardened by the use of
light curing.\(^5\) It is claimed that this technique will block the diffusion pathways for cariogenic
acids. The method is somewhat in the middle of a non-operative and operative treatment, and
might be able to fill this gap, thus avoiding the need of a restoration. The difference between resin infiltration and the use of a fissure sealant is the formation of a barrier inside the hard tissue of the tooth and not on the surface. Infiltrated lesions cannot be distinguished radiographically from non-infiltrated lesions due to the fact that the infiltrants are not radiopaque.12

A clinical study assessing the efficacy of resin infiltration in case of a lesion radiographically extending into the inner half of enamel or the outer third of dentin, has shown that the use of resin infiltration can stop the lesion progression in 93% of the cases still after 18 months. Thirty-seven percent of the lesions in the placebo control group showed a progression. This difference was significant.12 Digital subtraction radiography was used when receiving these values. This is a method in which two radiographs can be digitally superimposed so that a follow-up image can be subtracted from the baseline image to monitor eventual progression of a carious lesion.18 When baseline and follow-up radiographs were assessed manually and pairwise, there was a borderline significance between the experimental and the placebo groups. No unwanted side effects were observed during the 18 months, which indicates that the method is safe to use. Resin infiltration was regarded as being a clinically feasible and efficacious way of reducing the progression of interproximal lesions. The method was said to be able to serve as an alternative to the non-operative and operative treatments when indicated.12

**Ozone treatment**

In this method ozone gas is directed towards a caries lesion and the surrounding tooth surface. Ozone has a well-documented antibacterial effect and is claimed to be able to stop the
microorganisms responsible for the development of caries, thus arresting a lesion or slowing down its progression.

However, the effect in the clinical setting has been questioned. There is no conclusive evidence proving that the degree of effect seen in vitro can be transferred to in vivo conditions\textsuperscript{19}.

It has been concluded through an in vitro study that ozone treatment may be effective in the treatment of root caries lesions\textsuperscript{20}. More clinical studies with high evidence levels are however needed\textsuperscript{20}. A combined treatment of remineralizing products and ozone has been shown to be able to arrest non-cavitated primary root caries\textsuperscript{21}.

The general quality of studies regarding KaVo HealOzone has been judged to be modest\textsuperscript{8}. The reasons for this were that many important methodological aspects were not reported. The conclusion was, that not enough evidence is present from the published RCTs, and therefore an evaluation of the effectiveness becomes difficult. A conclusion was also made that there is not enough evidence present to decide whether HealOzone treatment is a cost-effective additive to the management of occlusal and root caries. Further research based on RCTs is needed in order to prove this\textsuperscript{8}.

**Discussion**

Our results uncovered that our method and material section may have some flaws. The scientific nomenclatures which are used concerning caries lesions are not standardized. This implies that even though we had this in mind when we attempted our searches, some search words may have been left out.
The list of search words used to find relevant papers might also be missing some words to completely map out all the diagnostic methods and treatment modalities available. Search words regarding electrical caries detection and elective tooth separation were for example not included, although they eventually should have been. In reality, this means that this literature review does not include every single treatment and diagnostic method, even though a major part of them are included.

It also seems as if the limits which were applied did not filter out all the studies it should have filtered out, such as studies concerning children, even though one of the limits applied was the age of above 18. It is unfortunate that some of these studies even made it through the manual filtration, as the abstract was the only thing taken into consideration when the decision about inclusion of papers were made. The assessment of which studies to include in this study might also be faulty, as the authors have little experience judging the quality of scientific papers.

Concerning the results, it is interesting to note that no diagnostic modality alone seems to be sufficient when attempting a caries diagnose. This is especially true for the diagnosis of early caries lesions. None of the diagnostic methods described in this review seem to be 100% accurate in diagnosing early caries lesions. This gives rise to problems because the prognosis of non-invasive treatment seems to depend on a correct diagnosis.

It was surprising that none of the papers concerning the use of radiographs dealt with the use of digital subtraction radiography as a diagnostic tool for early detection of caries lesions, as it can be used for both proximal and occlusal lesions.22 However, radiographs with nearly identical projections are needed. This x-ray technique has proved to be a suitable complementary method of surveying the progression of caries lesions.23 So far, digital subtraction radiography is not commonly used in clinics. The reasons for this might be that it is difficult to use, the need for expensive equipment and finally that the method still is not
well proven for clinical use. Most of the papers did not mention whether digital or conventional radiography was used for the diagnosis of caries.

The studies concerned with the use of KaVo DIAGNOdent were abundant. However it can be questioned if it is such a viable tool as some studies indicate, due to the fact that most studies have exclusion criteria that remove factors which would negatively influence the diagnostic results of the KaVo DIAGNOdent, for example fillings, stains, hypoplasia etc. This means, that the method has mostly been tested on smooth surfaces, which in any case would be easy to diagnose by the visual tactile method.

Little or no results were found on other diagnostic methods, such as electrical caries detection, DIFOTI (by Electro-Optical Sciences, Inc.), and QLF (Quantitative Light-induced Fluorescence by Inspektor Research Systems). This might be due to the design of the material and method section, but most likely also due to lack of quality studies. This is at least true for DIFOTI and FOTI, where 13 search words were attempted.

Diagnostic methods/indications which could have been explored further, by being included in the initial search process, is the association between gingival health and cavitation and elective tooth separation. Gingival inflammation could be used as an additional diagnostic indicator on the presence or absence of cavitation. Elective tooth separation has shown promising results.

Regarding remineralizing treatments, few papers on the topic were found and most of them did not have placebo control groups. Instead they compared in-chair treatment modalities with home treatment products or tested a combination of these. In one study it might be that the fluoride solution used (8 % stannous fluoride) was effective and that the fluoride varnish (Colgate Palmolive Duraphat™ 2, 23 % fluoride) was not, but this can of course not be
clarified because of the particular study model used\(^1\). Only one study, a review of different managements of non-cavitated lesions, compared professionally applied 8 % stannous fluoride solution with a placebo control group\(^1\). In this study the results in the experimental group showed statistically significant reductions of the number of lesions that progressed. But, the evidence for efficacy was judged to be low and insufficient for both professionally applied and home treatment products regarding the management on non-cavitated lesions\(^1\). More studies would be needed in order to increase the evidence base for remineralizing treatment as a whole. Optimally, the different treatment methods should be compared with placebo control groups, but due to ethical concerns this would not be achievable. However, it is worth noticing that a control group not already influenced by fluoride is impossible to achieve today due to the daily use of fluoride dentifrices and fluoridation of water supplies at a public health level in many countries. The studies from the reviews concerning fluoride treatment were mostly conducted on children and adolescents, not adults. This is interesting, due to the fact that the enamel is not completely mature before the age of mid-twenties. A more local effect may therefore be expected in adults.

Studies comparing different fluoride treatments used for coronal caries lesions were not found in the search for papers. Thus the difference in efficacy of these methods could not be evaluated on the basis of combined therapies. However, as previously described, a clinical study assessing the different fluoride treatments of initial root caries lesions was included\(^13\).

Limitations in evidence base are especially evident concerning the use of casein phosphopeptides (CPP). No papers reviewing CPP’s effect in clinical trials were found. It seems that most of the studies on this topic are in situ studies, and more clinical trials are needed in order to judge the efficacy of treatment of early caries lesions and clinical relevance.
The use of resin sealing and resin infiltration shows very promising results. The fissure sealing technique has, since its arrival in the 1960’s, proved to be a successful method for arresting and controlling non-cavitated occlusal lesions, in addition of being an efficient preventive measure regarding the same kind of lesions. Studies have also shown that professional cleaning of the tooth substance and ensuring effective moisture control seem to have a huge impact on the outcome of the treatment\textsuperscript{11}.

A study concerning proximal sealing, not infiltration, was conducted before a proximal resin infiltration agent was available\textsuperscript{24}. Even though proximal sealing showed good results in arresting caries lesions the method used in that particular study required two successive visits within 1-2 days, which seems very time demanding and technique sensitive\textsuperscript{3}. Proximal sealing might be concerned as a pioneer technique before other methods are available, and hence its clinical relevance in non-operative treatment can be questioned. However, equal results are achieved by fluoride varnish treatment in comparative studies with proximal sealing\textsuperscript{3}. In relation to these results it is a less cost effective treatment in comparison with professionally applied fluoride.

Resin infiltration using DMG ICON showed very good results compared with a placebo control group\textsuperscript{12}. The technique uses a method which does not require tooth separation, as the principle behind the technique used in ICON is a capillary effect. It should however be noted that the studies concerning ICON were supported by DMG, the producer of ICON. The fact is, that up to the date when this study was released in 2010, it was the only randomized control trial on the efficacy of the infiltration technique\textsuperscript{12}. There is a great need for establishing an evidence base for this novel method through more research and clinical studies by independent sources judging its relevance and performance.
Ozone might be an effective treatment of small carious lesions in combination with other treatment methods. But, in a systematic review concerning ozone, the cost effectiveness of the treatment is questioned. The method has proven to be an effective treatment in in-vitro studies. The low evidence base was highlighted and the reason was that the effectiveness of ozone treatment could not be evaluated for both root caries and occlusal caries.

Three out of seven papers concerning treatment, which was included in the result section, did not present data on follow-ups. Among the four papers which had a follow-up, none had a follow-up period exceeding 18 months. In regards to new treatment modalities, a follow-up should be performed to properly assess their long-term efficacy.

In general non-invasive symptomatic caries treatments are mostly suitable for non-cavitated carious lesions. Cavitation is, in most cases, a contra-indication for a non-invasive treatment. But all diagnostic tools have difficulties diagnosing caries with a high enough specificity and sensitivity, especially when it comes to non-cavitated lesions. So far, the new diagnostic tools must be regarded as being supplemental to the visual tactile method. Even this approach, which through studies has been shown to be the most accurate, has its limitations when it comes to seeking out lesions suitable for non-invasive treatment. This justifies the question to be raised if it is safe to implement a whole array of new treatment modalities of initial caries if the means of treatment outcome evaluation are questionable or even unsatisfactory.

Non-invasive treatment methods are only symptomatically treating the caries disease. This means that a pre-treatment evaluation of causal factors, such as diet and oral hygiene, is very important before attempting any kind of treatment, both operative and non-operative. The use of a basic fluoride prophylaxis, such as a fluoride dentifrice, might be regarded as a predictor for successful non-operative treatment; the same might apply for the long term prognosis.
Conclusion

Present evidence suggests that there are no diagnostic tools which can be used to precisely determine if, and when, non-invasive treatment is indicated. The most important basic tool is still the carefully conducted clinical examination combined with a risk assessment and a classification of existing carious lesions. Non-invasive treatment shows promising results, but more gap filling research, with longer and more extensive follow-ups, needs to be conducted to increase the evidence base.
Appendixes

I Appendix 1
II Appendix 2

References

1 Bader JD et al - 2001 - A systematic reviews of selected caries prevention and management methods. - Journal of Dental Education October 1, 2001 vol. 65 no. 10 960-968


14 Cochrane NJ, Saranathan S, Cai F, Cross KJ, Reynolds EC. – 2008 Enamel subsurface lesion remineralization with casein phosphopeptide stabilized solutions of calcium, phosphate and fluoride


24 Icon – scientific documentation. DMG Dental website. URL: http://www.dmg-dental.com/downloads/scientific-documentations/
Appendix 1

Initial search

Explanation of numbers: The number behind each search paragraph is the number of results that particular search yielded.

“non invasive” AND “early caries”: 2
“non invasive” AND “initial caries”: 0
“non invasive” AND “incipient caries”: 1
“non invasive” AND “small caries lesion”: 2
“Early caries” AND diagnostic: 67
“Initial caries” AND diagnostic: 56
“Non-cavitated” AND diagnostic: 91
“Small caries lesion” AND diagnostic: 71
“Incipient caries” AND treatment: 62
“Early caries” AND treatment: 64
“Initial caries” AND treatment: 71
“Non-cavitated” AND treatment: 63
“Small caries lesion” AND treatment: 40
“incipient caries” AND treatment: 62
“Visual tactile examination”: 15
“Visual tactile” AND diagnostic: 84
“Visual tactile” AND “early caries”: 2
“Visual tactile” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“Visual tactile” AND “initial caries”: 0
Diagnodent: 179
“Laser diagnostics”: 37
“Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination”: 3
“fiber optic translumination” AND diagnostic: 22
FOTI AND “diagnostic”: 73
FOTI AND “early caries”: 0
FOTI AND “incipient caries”: 0
FOTI AND “non cavitated”: 2
FOTI AND “initial caries”: 0
FOTI AND “small caries lesion”: 0
DIFOTI AND “diagnostic”: 3
DIFOTI AND “early caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “incipient caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “non cavitated”: 0
DIFOTI AND “initial caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “small caries lesion”: 0
DIFOTI: 7
“Dental radiography” diagnostic early: 116
“Dental radiography” diagnostic small: 232
“Radiographic examination” AND “early caries”: 1
“Radiographic examination” AND “incipient caries”: 2
“Radiographic examination” AND “initial caries”: 8
“Radiographic examination” AND “non cavitated”: 12
Radiography AND “early caries”: 18
Radiography AND “initial caries”: 25
Radiography AND "non cavitated": 32
Radiography AND "incipient caries": 14
Radiography AND "small caries lesion": quote not found
detection AND "initial caries": 4
detection AND "early caries": 31
detection AND "non cavitated": 36
detection AND "incipient caries": 10
detection AND "small caries lesion": quote not found
"CPP-ACP": 96
"CPP-ACP" AND "non cavitated": 3
"amorphous calcium phosphate": 539
"amorphous calcium phosphate" AND treatment: 99
"calcium phosphate remineralization": 193
"casein phosphopeptide": 185
"casein phosphopeptide" AND "non cavitated": 2
Fluoride AND "early caries": 24
fluoride AND "early caries" AND topical: 5
fluoride AND "initial caries": 46
fluoride AND "non cavitated": 34
fluoride AND "incipient caries": 34
fluoride AND remineralization: 934
fluoride AND remineralization AND varnish: 82
"fluoride gel" AND remineralization: 41
"fluoride gel" AND "non cavitated": 3
"fluoride gel" AND treatment AND caries: 144
Remineralization AND "early caries": 18
Remineralization AND "initial caries": 18
remineralization AND "incipient caries": 29
remineralization AND "non cavitated": 17
"resin infiltration": 98
diagnodent AND diagnostic: 160
diagnodent AND "non cavitated": 18
"laser fluorescence": 441
"laser fluorescence" AND diagnostic: 282
"laser fluorescence" AND "non cavitated": 14
"laser fluorescence" AND "early caries": 2
"laser fluorescence" AND "incipient caries": 4
"laser fluorescence" AND "initial caries": 5
"laser fluorescence" AND radiography: 54
ozone AND caries: 55
"fissure sealing": 159
"fissure sealant": 443
"fissure sealant" AND "non invasive": 5
"non invasive" AND caries: 78
"non invasive" AND "non cavitated": 6
"Icon infiltration": 7
"fissure sealing" AND caries: 124
radiograph AND subtraction AND caries: 6
“follow up” AND “remineralisation”: 3
“follow up” AND “remineralisation products”: 0
“follow up” AND “remineralising products”: 1
“follow up” AND “remineralisation treatment”: 2
“follow up” AND “remineralising treatment”: 2
“follow up” AND “remineralizing”: 6
“follow up” AND “remineralising”: 2
“follow up” AND “remineralizing treatment”: 1
“follow up” AND “remineralizing products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fluoride products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fluoride gel”: 33
“follow up” AND “fluoride varnish”: 48
“follow up” AND “fluoride treatment”: 19
“follow up” AND “CPP-ACP”: 4
“follow up” AND “ACP”: 143
“follow up” AND “amorphous calcium phosphate”: 9
“follow up” AND “casein phosphopeptide”: 8

“follow up” AND “resin infiltration”: 2
“follow up” AND “resin infiltrating products”: 0
“follow up” AND “resin infiltration products”: 0
“follow up” AND “resin based products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fissure sealing”: 27
“follow up” AND “fissure sealant”: 80
“follow up” AND “ICON”: 30
“follow up” AND “ICON infiltration”: 0

“follow up” AND “ozone treatment”: 5
“follow up” AND “healozone”: 2
With search limits applied

Limits Activated: Humans, Clinical Trial, Meta-Analysis, Randomized Controlled Trial, Review, All Adult: 19+ years

Explanation of numbers: The number before the paragraph is the number of results that particular search gave. The number after the paragraph is the number of results which we considered to have relevance to our master thesis, after manually assessing each and every article.

“Early caries” AND diagnostic: 1/1
“Initial caries” AND diagnostic: 6/1
“Non-cavitated” AND diagnostic: 10/4
“Small caries lesion” AND diagnostic: 1/1
“Visual tactile examination”: 1/0
“Visual tactile” diagnostic: 3/0
Diagnodent: 9/8
“Laser diagnostic”: 0
“Fiber-Optic Trans-Illumination”: 0
"fiber optic transillumination" AND diagnostic: 0
FOTI AND diagnostic: 34/1
FOTI AND “early caries”: 0
FOTI AND “incipient caries”: 0
FOTI AND “non cavitated”: 0
FOTI AND “initial caries”: 0
FOTI AND “small caries lesion”: 0
DIFOTI AND "diagnostic": 0
DIFOTI AND “early caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “incipient caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “non cavitated”: 0
DIFOTI AND “initial caries”: 0
DIFOTI AND “small caries lesion”: 0
DIFOTI: 0

"Dental radiography" diagnostic early: 5/1
“Dental radiography” diagnostic small: 5/0
“Radiographic examination” AND “early caries”: 0
“Radiographic examination” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“Radiographic examination” AND “initial caries”: 0
“Radiographic examination” AND “non cavitated”: 0
“Radiography” AND “early caries”: 0
“Radiography” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“Radiography” AND “initial caries”: 0
“Radiography” AND “non cavitated”: 3/3
“Radiography” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“Radiography” AND “small caries lesion”: quote not found
“detection” AND “early caries”: 0
“detection” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“detection” AND “initial caries”: 0
“detection” AND “non cavitated”: 0
“detection” AND “incipient caries”: 0
“detection” AND “small caries lesion”: quote not found
diagnodent AND diagnostic: 7/4
"laser fluorescence": 9/9
"laser fluorescence" AND diagnostic: 8/7
"laser fluorescence" AND "non cavitated": 1/0
"laser fluorescence" AND "early caries": 0
"laser fluorescence" AND "incipient caries": 0/0
"laser fluorescence" AND "initial caries": 1/1
"laser fluorescence" AND radiography: 1/1
Radiograph AND subtraction AND caries: 1/1

"Incipient caries" + treatment: 0
"Early caries" + treatment: 2/1
"Initial caries" + treatment: 6/5
"Non-cavitated" + treatment: 10/4
"Small caries lesion" + treatment: 3/0
"incipient caries" + treatment: 0
"non invasive" AND "early caries": 0
"non invasive" AND "initial caries": 0
"non invasive" AND "incipient caries": 0
"non invasive" AND "small caries lesion": 0

CPP-ACP: 22/4
"amorphous calcium phosphate": 20/2
"amorphous calcium phosphate" AND treatment: 20/2
"calcium phosphate remineralization": 21/4
"casein phosphopeptide": 23/3
"casein phosphopeptide" AND "non cavitated": 0
fluoride AND "early caries": 1/1
fluoride AND "early caries" AND topical: 1/1
fluoride AND "initial caries": 5/1(3)
fluoride AND "non cavitated": 7/2
fluoride AND "incipient caries": 6/6
fluoride AND remineralization: 90/15
fluoride AND remineralization AND varnish: 3/1
"fluoride gel" AND remineralization: 6/2
"fluoride gel" AND "non cavitated": 2/1(1)
"fluoride gel" AND treatment AND caries: 18/1

Remineralization AND "early caries": 2/1
Remineralization AND "initial caries": 3/2
remineralization AND "incipient caries": 1/1
remineralization AND "non cavitated": 4/2
"resin infiltration": 3/5
ozone AND caries: 4/4
"fissure sealing": 3/0
"fissure sealant": 11/5
"fissure sealant" AND "non invasive": 0
"non invasive" AND caries: 5/2
"non invasive" AND "non cavitated": 0
Icon infiltration: 1/1
"Fissure sealing" AND caries: 1/0

“follow up” AND “remineralisation”: 0
“follow up” AND “remineralisation products”: 0
“follow up” AND “remineralising products”: 1/1
“follow up” AND “remineralisation treatment”: 0
“follow up” AND “remineralising treatment”: 1/1
“follow up” AND “remineralizing”: 2/0
“follow up” AND “remineralising”: 1/1
“follow up” AND “remineralizing treatment”: 0
“follow up” AND “remineralizing products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fluoride products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fluoride gel”: 9/1 (9)
“follow up” AND “fluoride varnish”: 7/6
“follow up” AND “fluoride treatment”: 4/2
“follow up” AND “CPP-ACP”: 2/1 (1)
“follow up” AND “ACP”: 22/1 (2)
“follow up” AND “amorphous calcium phosphate”: 3/1
“follow up” AND “casein phosphopeptide”: 3/2

“follow up” AND “resin infiltration”: 1/0
“follow up” AND “resin infiltrating products”: 0
“follow up” AND “resin infiltration products”: 0
“follow up” AND “resin based products”: 0
“follow up” AND “fissure sealing”: 2/0
“follow up” AND “fissure sealant”: 6/4
“follow up” AND “ICON”: 9/0
“follow up” AND “ICON infiltration”: 0

“follow up” AND “ozone treatment”: 3/0
“follow up” AND “healozone”: 2/0
APPENDIX 2

The papers used in the result section have been written with bold text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In vitro/ in vivo</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Caries type</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Other factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heinrich-Weltzien R et al - 2002</strong></td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Occlusal caries (enamel and dentin)</td>
<td>DIAGNOdent, radiographic, visual</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ricketts DN et al - 1995</strong></td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Occlusal caries (non-cavitated)</td>
<td>Visual, radiographic, electronic</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winston AE et al - 1998</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ratledge DK et al - 2001</strong></td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Approximal caries</td>
<td>Visual and radiographic</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chu CH et al - 2009</strong></td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>Young adults</td>
<td>Fissure/occlusal caries (enamel/dentin)</td>
<td>Visual, radiographic, DIAGNOdent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Huth KC et al – 2008</strong></td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Occlusal caries (enamel/dentin)</td>
<td>Visual, radiographic, DIAGNOdent</td>
<td>12 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical performance of a new laser fluorescence device for detection of occlusal caries lesions in permanent molars.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Lussi A et al – 2001** | In vivo | Clinical study | Possible younger patients | Occlusal caries (enamel/dentin) | Visual, radiographic, DIAGNOdent | - | - |
| Clinical performance of a laser fluorescence device for detection of occlusal caries lesions. |

| **Huth KC et al – 2010** | In vivo | RCT | 18 years + | Approximal caries (non-cavitated and cavitated) | Visual, radiographic, DIAGNOdent | - | - |
| In vivo performance of a laser fluorescence device for the approximal detection of caries in permanent molars. |

| **Choksi SK et al – 1994** | In vivo | Clinical study | 18 years + | Unspecified caries maxillary front | Visual, radiographic, FOTI | - | - |
| Detecting approximal dental caries with transillumination: a clinical evaluation. |

| **Bader JD et al - 2001** | In vivo | Systematic review | 18+ Alt om non-cavitated er på barn | Non-cavitated caries lesions. | Fluor varnish and other methods (not mentioned in abstract) | - | High caries risk |
| A systematic review of selected caries prevention and management methods. |

| **Borges BC – 2010** | In vivo | RCT | 18 years + | Non-cavitated occlusal dentin caries lesions. | Visual, radiographic, fissure sealant Fluorholdig fissurforsegling | 4 months intervals over 1 year | Moderat to high caries risk |
| Efficacy of a pit and fissure sealant in arresting dentin non-cavitated caries: a 1-year follow-up, randomized, single-blind, controlled clinical trial. |

| **Kugel G – 2009** | - | Review | 18 years + | Non-cavitated caries lesions | Resin infiltration | - | - |
| Treatment modalities for caries management, including a new resin infiltration system. |

<p>| <strong>Trairatvorakul C – 2008</strong> | In vitro | In Vitro study | 18 years + | Non-cavitated caries lesions, approximal, posterior teeth | Resin based sealant, F-sealant, F-varnish, GIC | - | - |
| Active management of incipient caries and choice of materials. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/S.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Follow-Up (Years)</th>
<th>Lesion Type</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silva KG</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>In situ study</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>Non-cavitated smooth surface caries lesions</td>
<td>Fissure sealant (with APC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altenburger MJ et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>In situ study, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>High concentration fluoride gel (home treatment?)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altenburger MJ et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>In situ study, double-blind, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>Initial caries lesions</td>
<td>High concentration fluoride gel/liquid (home treatment?)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fure S et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>Root caries</td>
<td>Topical fluoride treatment</td>
<td>3, 6, 18 months</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altenburger MJ et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Clinical study, investigator blind</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>Diagnodent values 15 – 20</td>
<td>CPP-ACP (home treatment)</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beerens MW</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Clinical study, randomized, double-blind prospective</td>
<td>18 +</td>
<td>WSL</td>
<td>QLF, CPP-ACP (home treatment)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Lesion/Condition</td>
<td>Treatment/Outcome</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beerens MW et al – 2010</td>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Chemically demineralized enamel</td>
<td>Fluor CPP-ACP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of the remineralization potential of CPP-ACP and CPP-ACP with 900 ppm fluoride on eroded human enamel: An in situ study.</td>
<td>In situ study</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Subsurface lesions</td>
<td>Fluor CPP-ACP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds EC et al – 2008</td>
<td>In situ study, randomized, double blind, cross-over</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Incipient caries-like lesions</td>
<td>CPP (home treatment)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues IA et al – 2000</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>WSL</td>
<td>CPP-ACP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of crown and root caries in adults.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llena C et al – 2009</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Enamel caries lesions</td>
<td>Resin infiltration (ICON), subtraction radiography</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>Different caries risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues IA et al – 2000</td>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Enamel caries lesions</td>
<td>Resin infiltration</td>
<td>100 days</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of caries progression by resin infiltration in situ.</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Root caries</td>
<td>CHX-varnish, sealant</td>
<td>1 and 3 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicht MJ et al – 2003</td>
<td>Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Occlusal caries</td>
<td>Fissure sealant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of root caries lesions with chlorhexidine-containing varnishes and dentin sealants.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simonsen RJ et al – 2011</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A review of the clinical application and performance of pit and fissure sealants.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura A et al – 2009</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Caries in general</td>
<td>Fissure sealant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baysan A et al. – 2007</td>
<td>In vivo Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Root caries</td>
<td>Electrical Caries Monitor, DIAGNOdent, ozone, sealant</td>
<td>1,3 and 6 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes J – 2003</td>
<td>In vivo RCT</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Root caries</td>
<td>Ozone, visual</td>
<td>3, 6, 12 and 18 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazzelli M – 2006</td>
<td>- Systematic review</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Occlusal and root caries</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Minimum 6 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gokalp S et al. – 2005</td>
<td>In vivo Clinical study</td>
<td>18 years +</td>
<td>Occlusal caries</td>
<td>DIAGNOdent, fluorvarnish</td>
<td>1 mnd og 6 mnd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martignon S et al. – 2006</td>
<td>In vivo Clinical study, split-mouth design</td>
<td>15 – 39 years</td>
<td>Approximal caries (radiographic enamel and dentin lesion)</td>
<td>Resin sealant, radiography (subtraction), visual.</td>
<td>18 month</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan HP et al. – 2010</td>
<td>In vivo RCT</td>
<td>Elders</td>
<td>Root caries</td>
<td>Fluoride varnish, CHX varnish</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomez SS et al. – 2005</td>
<td>In vivo Clinical study, blind-study, split-mouth control</td>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td>Approximal caries (non-cavitated)</td>
<td>Resin infiltration, fluoride varnish</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>