

1     **The effect of lipid composition and liposome size on the release properties**  
2   **of liposomes-in-hydrogel**

3     Julia Hurler<sup>a</sup>, Simon Žakelj<sup>b</sup>, Janez Mravljak<sup>b</sup>, Stane Pajk<sup>b,c</sup>, Albin Kristl<sup>b</sup>, Rolf Schubert<sup>d</sup>,  
4     Nataša Škalko-Basnet<sup>a,\*</sup>

5

6     <sup>a</sup> University of Tromsø, Drug Transport and Delivery Research Group, Department of  
7     Pharmacy, Universitetsveien 57, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway

8     <sup>b</sup> University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Pharmacy, Aškerčeva cesta 7, SI-1000 Ljubljana,  
9     Slovenia

10    <sup>c</sup> Institut Jožef Stefan, Laboratory of Biophysics–EPR center, Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana,  
11    Slovenia

12    <sup>d</sup> Albert Ludwig University, Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Biopharmacy,  
13    Hermann-Herder-Straße 9, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

14    \* Corresponding author: Telephone: +47-776-46640; Fax: +47-776-46151; E-mail:

15    [natasa.skalko-basnet@uit.no](mailto:natasa.skalko-basnet@uit.no) (N. Škalko-Basnet)

16

17

18 **Abstract**

19 To study the release of liposome-associated drugs into hydrogels, we designed and  
20 synthesized two pH-sensitive rhodamine derivatives to use as model compounds of different  
21 lipophilicities. The dyes were fluorescent when in the free form released from liposomes into  
22 the chitosan hydrogel, but not when incorporated within liposomes. The effect of liposomal  
23 composition, surface charge and vesicle size on the release of those incorporated dyes was  
24 evaluated. The lipophilicity of the rhodamine derivatives affected both the amount and rate of  
25 release. While liposome size had only a minor effect on the release of dyes into the hydrogel,  
26 the surface charge affected the release to a greater extent. By optimizing the characteristics of  
27 liposomes we could develop a liposomes-in-hydrogel system for application in wound  
28 therapy. We further characterized liposomes-in-hydrogel for their rheological properties,  
29 textures and moisture handling, as well as their potential to achieve a controlled release of the  
30 dye. The polymer-dependent changes in the hydrogel properties were observed upon addition  
31 of liposomes. The charged liposomes exhibited stronger effects on the textures of the chitosan  
32 hydrogels than the neutral ones. In respect to the ability of the system to handle wound  
33 exudates, chitosan-based hydrogels were found to be superior to Carbopol-based hydrogels.

34

35

36 Key words: liposomes; hydrogels; *in vitro* release; chitosan; skin therapy

37

## 38 1. Introduction

39 A major aim in the development of modern hydrogel formulations such as those currently  
40 used in wound dressings, is to achieve the effective and accurate delivery of the required  
41 therapeutic agents included in the formulation over a prolonged period of time (Boateng et al.,  
42 2008). Among hydrogels, chitosan hydrogels are one of the most studied systems, particularly  
43 with respect to their bioadhesiveness. Chitosan has frequently been studied as a possible  
44 wound dressing and as a delivery system for therapeutic agents. This is primarily due to its  
45 confirmed biocompatible, biodegradable, non-toxic and bacteriostatic properties, as well as its  
46 ability to promote wound healing (Denis et al., 2012). While a lot of research on the potential  
47 use of chitosan as a wound dressing has focused on plain chitosan hydrogels, chitosan-based  
48 hydrogels (Alsarra, 2009; Bhattarai et al., 2010; Ribeiro et al., 2009), chitosan films (Aoyagi  
49 et al., 2007; Noel et al., 2008) and other chitosan-based formulations (Salam et al., 2010),  
50 relatively little has been published about liposomal chitosan hydrogels.

51 The rationale behind using liposomes-in-hydrogel as a delivery system is to assure sustained  
52 drug release during their prolonged presence at the administration site (Ruel-Gariepy et al.,  
53 2002). The release of drugs from drugs-in-liposomes-in-hydrogel systems is affected by  
54 different factors related to the physicochemical properties of the drug. The release of  
55 amphiphilic/lipophilic drugs, which are assumed to have the ability to penetrate the liposomal  
56 membrane, will be determined by the lipid concentration of liposomes added into the gel  
57 (Mourtas et al., 2008b).

58 In the current study we aimed to gain a better insight into the interactions between drug  
59 molecules, liposomes and hydrogels. However, the complexity of the liposomes-in-hydrogel  
60 delivery system limits a real-time analytical evaluation of drug release from liposomes, which  
61 function as a drug reservoir within the hydrogel, delivering the drug to the administration site.  
62 For this purpose, pH-sensitive rhodamine compounds of two different lipophilicities were

63 designed and synthesized to follow their release from liposomes into the hydrogel. The dyes  
64 were incorporated in liposomes which varied in lipid composition, surface charge and size.

65 The use of hydrogels as vehicles provides the required rheological properties required for the  
66 incorporated liposomes (Cohen et al., 2012; Mourtas et al., 2007; Mourtas et al., 2008b;  
67 Paavola et al., 2000; Pavelic et al., 2001). In addition, the high viscosity of hydrogels acts as a  
68 protective mechanism which can stabilize liposomes, as has been previously shown by  
69 Mourtas and colleagues (Mourtas et al., 2008b).

70 An additional important characteristic that makes hydrogels interesting for wound therapy is  
71 their bioadhesiveness. The rheological and bioadhesive properties of hydrogel formulations  
72 determine their retention time at the administration site and can therefore influence the  
73 therapeutic outcome of the treatment. Previously, we have shown the superior  
74 bioadhesiveness of chitosan-based liposomal hydrogels as compared to Carbopol-based  
75 hydrogels (Hurler and Škalko-Basnet, 2012). However, in the case of wound treatment the  
76 bioadhesiveness can be affected by the wound's exudate. Some wounds, such as burns,  
77 produce a lot of exudate, which can lead to maceration of the wound bed, whereas other  
78 wounds are dry and need additional moisture from the wound dressing for their proper healing  
79 (Fulton et al., 2012). Therefore, in this study we also tested the fluid handling properties of  
80 both chitosan- and Carbopol-based hydrogels.

81

82

## 83 **2. Materials and Methods**

84 The rhodamine derivatives used in this study, namely MP-4 and MTJ-12 (log p 4.17 and  
85 log p 2.32, respectively, as calculated by ChemBioDraw 12.0, CambridgeSoft) were  
86 synthesized at the Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia (*manuscript in*

87 *preparation*). Lipoid S100 (PC, soya phosphatidylcholine >94%) and Lipoid E PG-Na (PG,  
88 egg phosphatidylglycerol sodium) were a generous gift from Lipoid GmbH (Ludwigshafen,  
89 Germany). Octadecylamine (SA) and high Mw chitosan (Brookfield viscosity 800.000 cps,  
90 DD of 77) were a product of Sigma Aldrich Chemistry (St. Luis, USA). Carbopol<sup>®</sup> Ultrez 10  
91 was obtained from Noveon (Cleveland, USA). Triethylamine was purchased from Merck  
92 Schuchardt (Hohenbrunn, Germany) and glycerol was obtained from Merck KGaA  
93 (Darmstadt, Germany). All other chemicals used in experiments were of analytical grade.

94

### 95 *2.1. Rhodamine derivatives*

96 All <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III NMR instrument  
97 operating at 400 MHz and 100 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR  
98 1600 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a Q-ToF Premier mass spectrometer  
99 (Centre for Mass Spectrometry, Institute Jožef Stefan, Ljubljana, Slovenia).

100

101 *3',6'-bis(ethylamino)-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)-2',7'-dimethylspiro[isoinoline-1,9'-xanthen]-3-one*

#### 102 **MP-4**

103 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 1.15-1.19 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 1.22 (t, 6H, *J* =  
104 7.25 Hz, 2 × -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.87 (s, 6H, 2 × Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.02 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.51 Hz, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-  
105 CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.10-3.16 (m, 6H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH, 2 × -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.33 (bs, 1H, -OH), 5.07  
106 (t, 2H, *J* = 5.32 Hz, 2 × -NH-), 6.08 (s, 2H, H<sup>4'</sup>-Ar, H<sup>5'</sup>-Ar), 6.27 (s, 2H, H<sup>1'</sup>-Ar, H<sup>8'</sup>-Ar), 6.96-  
107 6.98 (m, 1H, H<sup>7'</sup>-Ar), 7.48-7.50 (m, 2H, H<sup>5</sup>-Ar, H<sup>6</sup>-Ar), 7.77-7.79 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>-Ar) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C-  
108 NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 14.15, 17.02, 31.02, 37.27, 37.47, 54.91, 64.28, 95.61,  
109 104.66, 118.16, 122.19, 123.51, 127.51, 128.15, 130.49, 132.52, 147.58, 150.96, 153.64,  
110 166.93 ppm. IR (KBr) 3425, 3337, 2961, 2858, 1682, 1636, 1620, 1517, 1470, 1421, 1326,

111 1271, 1219, 1159, 1144, 1042, 1014, 868, 814, 782, 746 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (ESI) *m/z* (rel intensity)  
112 472 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 472.2600, found 472.2597.

113 *3',6'-bis(ethylamino)-2',7'-dimethyl-2-(2-(((2R,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-*  
114 *(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)ethyl)spiro[isoindoline-1,9'-xanthen]-3-one*

#### 115 **MTJ-12**

116 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 0.95 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.90 Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.22 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.16  
117 Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.88 (s, 3H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.05 (s, 3H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.91-3.28 (m, 12H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-  
118 O-, 2 × -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>, H<sup>2</sup>-G, H<sup>3</sup>-G, H<sup>4</sup>-G, H<sup>5</sup>-G), 3.50-3.53 (m, 1H, H<sup>6a</sup>-G), 3.74-3.79 (m, 1H,  
119 H<sup>6b</sup>-G), 4.06-4.15 (m, 1H, -OH), 4.54-4.58 (m, 2H, 2 × -OH), 4.65 (bs, 1H, -OH), 4.89 (d, 1H,  
120 *J* = 4.9 Hz, H<sup>1</sup>-G), 4.93 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.0 Hz, -NH-), 5.18 (t, 1H, *J* = 5.14 Hz, -NH-), 6.12 (s, 1H,  
121 H<sup>4</sup>-Ar), 6.29 (s, 2H, H<sup>1</sup>-Ar, H<sup>8</sup>-Ar), 7.01-7.04 (m, 1H, H<sup>7</sup>-Ar), 7.31 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.37 Hz, H<sup>5</sup>-  
122 Ar), 7.50-7.52 (m, 2H, H<sup>5</sup>-Ar, H<sup>6</sup>-Ar), 7.81-7.79 (m, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>-Ar) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,  
123 100 MHz): δ 14.18, 14.27, 17.05, 17.78, 37.53, 42.05, 48.64, 58.06, 61.64, 64.01, 70.40,  
124 70.56, 78.13, 78.35, 92.97, 93.04, 95.59, 104.09, 114.01, 114.17, 118.64, 122.50, 123.73,  
125 127.53, 128.29, 128.51, 130.23, 132.90, 147.93, 148.82, 148.85, 149.40, 149.47, 150.81,  
126 153.23, 167.05. ppm. IR (KBr) 3422, 2926, 1670, 1522, 1495, 1400, 1270, 1201, 1076, 1016,  
127 888, 747 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (ESI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 620 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (ESI): Calculated for  
128 C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 620.2972, found 620.2971.

129

#### 130 *2.2. Preparation and characterization of liposomes*

131 Liposomes were prepared by the dry film method. Three different lipid compositions were  
132 used for the preparation: namely PC, PC/PG (1/9, molar ratio), and PC/SA (9/3, molar ratio)  
133 (Pavelic et al., 2005). The empty liposomes were used for the rheological and textural studies.  
134 In brief, the lipid components (26 mmol/L) were dissolved in methanol and the solvent later

135 removed by evaporation on a rotary vacuum evaporator (Büchi R-124, Büchi Labortechnik,  
136 Flawil, Switzerland). The lipid film was rehydrated in 10 ml of distilled water (pH 6.7) and  
137 hand-shaken for 10 min. The liposome suspension was kept in a refrigerator overnight before  
138 the size reduction and further characterization.

139 Liposomes containing dyes were prepared in the same manner. Namely, the lipid components  
140 were dissolved in methanol and rhodamine dye was added in the organic solution (2  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ).  
141 The rhodamine dyes, MP-4 and MTJ-12 (Figure 1) served as the model fluorescent  
142 compounds and were especially synthesized to have the targeted lipophilicity. The dyes were  
143 designed to be fluorescent only at a pH value of 4 while being non-fluorescent at pH values  
144 higher than 6. The solvent was removed by evaporation and the lipid/compound film  
145 rehydrated by 10 ml of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and hand-shaken for 10 min prior to storage  
146 at 4 °C overnight. To remove untrapped rhodamine dye the liposomal suspension was  
147 ultracentrifuged (80000 g, 30 min, Sorvall® WX 100, Thermo Scientific, Waltham,  
148 Massachusetts, USA) and the pellet resuspended in 10 ml of distilled water (pH 6.7).

149 Liposomes of various sizes were prepared by the probe sonication; the liposomal suspensions  
150 were cooled in an ice bath and sonicated three times at continuous cycle for 20 s at 40%  
151 amplitude by a Cole Parmer Ultrasonic Processor 500 W (Cole Parmer Instruments, Vernon  
152 Hills, Illinois, USA).

153 All liposomal suspensions were characterized for size by dynamic light scattering and zeta  
154 potential with a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK).

155

### 156 *2.3. Preparation of hydrogels*

157 Hydrogels were prepared as described earlier (Hurler et al., 2012b). In brief, Carbopol  
158 hydrogels were prepared by blending of Carbopol Ultrez 10 powder in distilled water (0.5 %  
159 w/w, respectively) and adding triethylamine for neutralization. The amount of triethylamine

160 was adjusted to obtain hydrogels with a pH value of 7. The gels were allowed to swell at room  
161 temperature for 24 h before further experiments.

162 Chitosan hydrogels were prepared as previously described (Hurler et al., 2012b). In brief, high  
163 molecular weight chitosan, 2.5 % (w/w), was manually mixed into a blend of acetic acid (2.5  
164 %, w/w) and glycerol (10 %, w/w). The plain chitosan hydrogel (control, not containing  
165 glycerol) was prepared in the same manner as chitosan hydrogels containing glycerol and  
166 liposomes. The hydrogels were allowed to swell for at least 48 h at room temperature before  
167 further use.

168

#### 169 *2.4. Preparation of liposomes-in-hydrogels*

170 Hydrogels were prepared as described in 2.3. After the swelling time, 10% (w/w) the  
171 liposomal dispersion was added and stirred carefully by hand until an even distribution within  
172 the hydrogel was achieved (Hurler et al., 2012b).

173

#### 174 *2.5. Release of rhodamine dyes from liposomes into hydrogel in dye-in-liposome-in chitosan* 175 *hydrogel system*

176 Liposomes-in-hydrogels made of chitosan were prepared as described in 2.4. The liposomes  
177 contained either MP-4 or MTJ-12 rhodamine dyes. All chitosan hydrogels had a pH value of  
178 4. As the liposomes were prepared with a buffer of pH 7.4, the rhodamine dyes within the  
179 liposomes were not fluorescent. However, when the incorporated dyes started to diffuse out of  
180 the liposomes into the hydrogel vehicle, the rhodamine compounds became fluorescent and  
181 thus detectable as fluorescence within the hydrogels. The release of rhodamine compounds  
182 was determined at different time intervals (15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, 135, 150, 180,  
183 210, 240, 270 and 300 min) fluorimetrically using a Tecan plate reader, Safire<sup>2</sup> (excitation



184 wavelength 520 nm for MP-4, 514 nm for MTJ-12, emission wavelength 560 nm for MP-4,  
185 554 nm for MTJ-12). The measured fluorescence activities were normalized.

186 The list of preparations evaluated for their respective dye release is given in Table 1 (without  
187 empty liposomes).

188 All measurements were performed in triplicate.

189

## 190 *2.6. Rheological evaluation of hydrogels*

191 The Carbopol and chitosan hydrogels, both those which were empty and those containing  
192 incorporated PC liposomes, were characterized with regard to their rheological properties  
193 using a CS-rheometer (RheoStress RS 100 1 Ncm, Peltier TC 81, Haake, Germany). A  
194 cone/plate C 35/1° (0.05 mm) measurement system was used at 20 °C in all experiments  
195 (Pavelic et al., 2001).

196

## 197 *2.7. Texture analysis of chitosan hydrogels*

198 Texture analysis of the hydrogels was carried out at room temperature (TA-XT plus Texture  
199 Analyser, Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Surrey, UK) as previously described (Hurler et al.,  
200 2012b). In brief, 50 g of formulation were filled into a standard beaker. A disk (40 mm in  
201 diameter) was placed 5 mm under the gel surface and then pushed into the gel (10 mm at a  
202 speed of 4 mm/s, respectively) and redrawn again. Gel hardness was determined from the  
203 resulting force-time plot, and cohesiveness and adhesiveness of the hydrogels were calculated.  
204 Each sample was measured five times. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

205

206 *2.8. Fluid affinity testing of hydrogels*

207 The Carbopol and chitosan hydrogels, both the empty hydrogels and liposomes-in-hydrogels,  
208 were tested with respect to their potential to handle wound exudates. The standard test we  
209 used for this purpose is described in the European norm, “BS EN 13726-1:2002 Test methods  
210 for primary wound dressings. Part 1 Aspects of absorbency, Section 3.4, Fluid affinity of  
211 amorphous hydrogel wound dressings” (Thomas et al., 2005).

212 The gelatin (35 %, w/w) which was selected to mimic a dry wound was prepared in Solution  
213 A (salt solution of sodium/calcium chloride containing 142 mmol/L of sodium ions and 2.5  
214 mmol/L of calcium ions). The concentrations of ions were adjusted to be comparable to those  
215 present in serum and wound fluid (BS EN 13726-1:2002).

216 The swollen gelatin ( $10 \pm 0.1$  g) was filled into the barrels of 60 ml syringes, after removing  
217 the tip-end of the syringes and then closing this end with a rubber plug to generate a flat  
218 surface. Hydrogel ( $10 \pm 0.1$  g), namely the empty Carbopol hydrogel, liposomes-in-Carbopol  
219 hydrogel, empty chitosan hydrogel or liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogel, were filled onto the top  
220 of the stiffened gelatin plug. After incubation for  $48 \text{ h} \pm 30 \text{ min}$  at  $25 \pm 2$  °C, the hydrogels  
221 were removed gently from the gelatin and re-weighed.

222 The same procedure was performed using the agar (2 %, w/w) instead of gelatin in order to  
223 mimic the exuding wounds. The agar was prepared in the same salt solution as was used for  
224 gelatin to emulate wound liquid.

225 The results are presented as the percentage weight gain and corresponding weight loss of the  
226 formulation after the test compared with their original weight.

227 All tests were performed in triplicates.

228

229 *2.9. Statistical evaluation*

230 The student's *t*-test was used for comparison of two means. A significance level of  $p < 0.05$   
231 was considered to be significant.

232

233

234 **3. Results and Discussion**

235 *3.1 Liposome characteristics*

236 Liposome characteristics are shown in Table 1. The incorporation of rhodamine dyes into  
237 liposomes (**over 99 % of the starting concentration**) resulted in a final dye concentration in  
238 liposomes of 0.2  $\mu\text{M}$  for both MP-4 and MTJ-12.

239 To test whether the charge on the liposome surface is influencing the release of incorporated  
240 compounds we prepared liposomes of three different lipid compositions, varying the  
241 liposomal surface net charge. PC liposomes exhibited a low positive charge, whereas PC/PG  
242 liposomes exhibit a distinguished negative zeta potential and PC/SA liposomes a highly  
243 positive charge, respectively (Table 1). The incorporation of dye (Figure 1) into the liposomes  
244 resulted in the changes of zeta potential of the negatively charged liposomes (Table 1). It  
245 appears that both dyes significantly reduced the negative surface charge of PC/PG liposomes,  
246 which can be explained by their positive charge. Dyes become positively charged upon  
247 crossing the lipid bilayer due to the change in pH (Figure 2) and are probably electrostatically  
248 attracted to the negatively charged surface of PC/PG liposomes, thus reducing their zeta  
249 potential.

250 To determine the effect of liposome size on the release profile of incorporated dyes, vesicles  
251 of two distinguished sizes were prepared. The non-sonicated liposomes were clearly of a

252 multilamellar nature, whereas the sonicated liposomes were probably oligolamellar. The size  
253 of sonicated liposomes was found to be similar for the empty liposomes, liposomes containing  
254 MP-4 and liposomes containing MTJ-12. Although the size of MP-4 PC/SA seems to be  
255 larger compared the other sonicated liposomes, due to their high PI value (0.7), this could be  
256 the result of an aggregation of the liposomes rather than the actual sizes of liposomes (Table  
257 1).

258

### 259 *3.2. Release of dye from the dye-in-liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogel*

260 The liposomes-in-hydrogel systems represent rather complicated models with respect to the  
261 determination of factors which affect the release of liposomally associated active compounds,  
262 as well as the choice of method to be used to determine the release. The drug needs to first be  
263 released into the hydrogel, followed by its diffusion through the hydrogel and out of the  
264 hydrogel. Often, only the amount of drug released from liposomes-in-hydrogel is measured in  
265 the acceptor medium (Hurler et al., 2012a). Our goal was to determine the release of drug/dye  
266 into the hydrogel and the factors affecting such release. Therefore, our focus was on liposome  
267 characteristics, rather than the effects of polymer concentration. It has been previously  
268 reported that polymer concentration, especially an increase in polymer concentration, can lead  
269 to a decrease in the release of liposome-associated drug as reported for liposomes-in-  
270 carbomer hydrogels (Dragicevic-Curic et al., 2009).

271 The release kinetics of liposome-entrapped hydrophilic compounds from the gels can be  
272 determined by liposome characteristics (Mourtas et al., 2008a). In the case of amphiphilic or  
273 lipophilic drugs, the lipophilicity of the drug as well as its aqueous solubility will determine  
274 the partitioning of the drugs into the aqueous media of the hydrogel (Mourtas et al., 2007). To  
275 determine the release of the drug from liposomes incorporated in the hydrogels, the method

276 originally developed by Peschka et al. (1998) and modified by Pavelic et al. (2001, 2004) and  
277 Mourtas et al. (2007) has been reported. However, the method has several limitations. To  
278 avoid these limitations, we synthesized pH-sensitive fluorescent dyes to gain a deeper insight  
279 into the release of the dye within the gels, avoiding the presence of additional gel as in the  
280 agarose method (Peschka et al., 1998).

281 In this study, the release of two rhodamine dyes, MP-4 and MTJ-12 exhibiting different log P  
282 values, namely 4.17 (MP-4) and 2.32 (MTJ-12), respectively, was followed. The role of  
283 lipophilicity of drugs on their release from liposomes in liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogels was  
284 investigated. We followed the effect of the liposome charge and size and the results are  
285 presented in Figure 3.

286 It is evident that lipid composition influenced the release of dyes out of liposomes and into the  
287 chitosan hydrogel. Liposomes with a negative zeta potential (PC/PG) exhibited increased  
288 release of both dyes into the hydrogel, whereas liposomes bearing positive charges (PC/SA)  
289 had a decreased release of dyes into the hydrogel as compared to the release measured from  
290 neutral liposomes (PC) (Figure 3). This was observed for liposomes of both size ranges,  
291 namely non-sonicated and sonicated liposomes.

292 Interestingly, the release of MTJ-12 from PC/PG and PC/SA liposomes reached an early  
293 equilibrium state (Figure 3C and 3D); at the beginning MTJ-12 was released from liposomes  
294 faster than the more lipophilic MP-4 dye. One possible explanation can be that due to the  
295 more hydrophilic character of MTJ-12 (log P = 2.32) compared to MP-4, it diffuses faster into  
296 the hydrophilic chitosan hydrogel. However, the neutral liposomes containing MTJ-12  
297 showed a similar release pattern to that seen for the neutral MP-4-containing liposomes. The  
298 release of MP-4 during the first 120 min was found to be linearly correlated against the square  
299 root of time, which is in agreement with the Higuchi square root law. However, for MTJ-12  
300 the release only followed that law for the first 60 min (data not shown). It appeared that the

301 release of both rhodamine compounds was following a Fickian diffusion in the beginning of  
302 the release process, followed by a more steady-state release. Similar observations were  
303 reported for lidocaine HCl in the liposomes-in-Carbopol hydrogel systems (Glavas-Dodov et  
304 al., 2002) and for griseofulvin in liposomes in both Carbopol- and hydroxyethyl-cellulose-  
305 hydrogels (Mourtas et al., 2007).

306 Chitosan hydrogel consists of positively charged chains. Interactions between the positively  
307 charged chains and the negatively charged liposomes might disturb the liposomal membrane  
308 and facilitate diffusion of the rhodamine dyes out of the liposomes and into the hydrogel.

309 Neutral liposomes are expected to interact less with the chitosan network in the hydrogel, thus  
310 the release from those types of liposomes will be slower, as was observed (Figure 3). This  
311 could explain why the release from positively charged liposomes was the most sustained of all  
312 liposomes tested. Namely, positively charged liposomes are repelled by the chitosan chains,  
313 leaving the liposomal membrane undisturbed and preventing the dye molecules from diffusing  
314 into the hydrogel. The hydrogel matrix is also expected to protect liposomes from the  
315 influence of other excipients within the hydrogel (Mourtas et al., 2008b).

316 Mourtas et al. (2007) proposed that liposomes act as reservoirs that hold lipophilic drugs in  
317 gels and release them at the rate determined by the total amount of drug present in the gel. It  
318 was also suggested that the diffusion of the released drug through the hydrogel is not the rate-  
319 limiting factor as it is faster than the release from liposomes into the hydrogel. DiTizio et al.  
320 (2000) found that liposomes composed of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC),  
321 distearoylphosphatidylglycerol (DSPG) and cholesterol in various ratios had varying degrees  
322 of affinity for the gelatin-based gel matrix. Similar findings were reported by Liu et al.  
323 (2012), who stated that the integrity of liposomes and the subsequent release profile of  
324 entrapped calcein is determined by hydrophobic interactions between poly(N-  
325 isopropylacrylamide) hydrogel and liposomes. Cohen et al. (2012) showed a correlation

326 between the lipid composition of liposomes, their leakage stability and subsequently their  
327 release properties.

328 Liposome size did not influence drug release from liposomes bearing neutral (PC) and  
329 positive (PC/SA) zeta potential as after 5.5 hours the relative fluorescence intensity was about  
330 0.3. However, the release from sonicated liposomes reached equilibrium faster than non-  
331 sonicated ones (Figure 3B). Smaller liposomes with negative zeta potential (PC/PG) exhibited  
332 sustained release compared to the bigger, non-sonicated liposomes of the same charge (Figure  
333 3).

334 This is in agreement with Ruel-Gariepy et al. (2002) who reported a slower release of  
335 liposomally-entrapped hydrophilic carboxyfluorescein from chitosan- $\beta$ -glycerophosphate  
336 hydrogel when liposome size was increased from 100 to 280 nm. Neutral liposomes are not  
337 expected to get involved in electrostatic interactions with chitosan molecules. However,  
338 hydrophobic interactions may take place (Ruel-Gariepy et al., 2002).

339 It is expected that the large liposomes will release the incorporated drug into the hydrogel in a  
340 manner controlled either by the degradation of the chitosan matrix or by the long term  
341 destabilization/degradation of the lipid bilayers within the liposomes, depending on the drug's  
342 lipophilicity (Ruel-Gariepy et al., 2002). The difference in the lipophilicity did affect the  
343 release properties of the rhodamine dyes to the certain extent. After about 75 min, MTJ-12  
344 PC/PG ns preparations reached equilibrium and the fluorescence did not increase after that  
345 time point (Figure 3C). In contrast, MP-4 preparations did not reach equilibrium until after  
346 more than 120 min (Figure 3A, B). MP-4 is more lipophilic than MTJ-12 and seems to diffuse  
347 slower through the lipid bilayer of the liposomes into the hydrophilic gel as compared to the  
348 more hydrophilic compound MTJ-12.

349 Even though the determination of drug release from liposomal hydrogels is rather complex, it  
350 is possible to manipulate/optimize the release of drugs from the liposomes into the hydrogel,  
351 and subsequently from the hydrogel. The physicochemical interplay between lipophilicity of  
352 the drug, liposomal lipid composition and liposomal size, in combination with the properties  
353 of the hydrogel has to be taken into consideration.

354

### 355 *3.3 Characterization of hydrogels*

356 Hydrogels that are used in therapy are often mixtures of several ingredients such as drug, drug  
357 vehicle (polymer) and humectants. These additives may change the textural and rheological  
358 properties of the hydrogel, affecting their performance *in vivo* (Hurler et al., 2012b).

#### 359 *Influence of liposomes on rheological properties and texture*

360 In this study we have focused on the rheological characterization of liposomes-in-hydrogels,  
361 and the results are shown in Figure 4 (A and B). The plain Carbopol hydrogel exhibited  
362 higher shear stress levels at increasing shear rate compared to the liposomal Carbopol  
363 hydrogel. A similar observation was reported by Pavelic et al. (2001). Carbopol gels behave  
364 predominantly as the elastic solids and have unique rheological properties compared to the  
365 other types of gels (Mourtas et al., 2007). It is known that an increase in carbomer  
366 concentration induces the domination of elastic over viscous behavior in hydrogels  
367 (Dragicevic-Curic et al., 2009). The concentration used in our experiments (0.5 %, w/w) was  
368 optimal with respect to the planned application of the hydrogels, i.e. on wounds. **The pH is**  
369 **known to affect the hydrogel swelling as well as the rheological and texture properties of**  
370 **Carbopol hydrogels; however the pH in our experiments was maintained in the neutral range**  
371 **as reported earlier (Hurler et al., 2012b). Moreover, we incorporated the non-charged**  
372 **liposomes into the Carbopl hydrogels. Therefore, the resulting changes in the rheological and**



373 texture properties upon the addition of liposomes could thus be attributed to the liposomes  
374 rather than to a change in the pH. PC liposomes are in the fluid state and easily deformed  
375 under stress conditions, resulting in lower modulation of the rheological properties of the  
376 blank gel. Saturated PC on the other hand is in the gel state at the temperature of the  
377 measurements and more rigid, thereby changing the rheology of the gel to a greater extent  
378 (Mourtas et al., 2008b). Mourtas and coworkers (2008b) showed that PC liposomes changed  
379 the viscosity of Carbopol 974 NF hydrogel to a lesser extent than did the hydrogenated PC  
380 liposomes, and concluded that liposome composition, not size, affected the rheology of  
381 liposomal hydrogels. The incorporation of positively-charged and sterically stabilized  
382 liposomes at 2 mM lipid concentration had no effect on the rheological properties of Carbopol  
383 gels, whereas gel viscosity was significantly increased in the presence of positively-charged  
384 liposomes at 10 mM lipid concentration (Boulmedarat et al., 2003).

385 The textures of Carbopol hydrogels were shown to be very robust in spite of the addition of  
386 increased quantities of PC liposomal dispersion (Hurler et al., 2012b). In our previous study  
387 we showed that textures did not change significantly upon the addition of up to 15% (w/w) of  
388 PC liposome dispersion (Hurler et al., 2012b). However, the effect of charged liposomes  
389 might be pronounced and remains to be evaluated. The chitosan hydrogel texture was shown  
390 to be more affected by the inclusion of various additives in the original composition of the  
391 gel. However, the addition of glycerol improved the texture properties of chitosan hydrogel,  
392 while the addition of 10% (w/w) liposome dispersion led to even further improvement in the  
393 texture properties of chitosan hydrogels (Hurler et al., 2012b). However, the incorporation of  
394 liposome dispersions into chitosan hydrogel not containing glycerol resulted in the hydrogel  
395 exhibiting lower cohesiveness as compared to plain chitosan hydrogel (Hurler et al., 2012b).  
396 Glycerol is known to be able to alter the qualities of hydrogen bonds within hydrogels and can  
397 thus influence the hydrogel's properties (Islam et al., 2004). Ruel-Gariepy et al. (2002) also

398 reported that the addition of liposomes into chitosan- $\beta$ -glycerophosphate gel increased gel  
399 strength up to a liposomal concentration of 15  $\mu$ mol/ml; any further increase in the liposome  
400 concentration resulted in a decrease of the original gel strength.

401

#### 402 *Influence of liposome composition on the texture of chitosan hydrogel*

403 In the experiments discussed above we incorporated liposomes made of plain  
404 phosphatidylcholine, as the aim was to develop the simplest formulations possible. However,  
405 very often the lipid compositions of liposomes will contain other lipids; some of them  
406 charged, resulting in a different surface charge and zeta potential for the liposomes. We  
407 attempted to investigate to which extent the zeta potential of liposomes influences the texture  
408 of liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogels. Furthermore, we also evaluated whether the size of  
409 liposomes affects the texture of liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogels. The results were expressed  
410 as the change in gel hardness, cohesiveness and adhesiveness and are shown in Table 2. As  
411 can be seen, liposomes stabilized hydrogel hardness, cohesiveness and adhesiveness.  
412 Interestingly, smaller liposomes increased these effects significantly more compared to  
413 bigger, non-sonicated liposomes, thus appearing to be advantageous with regard to the texture  
414 of the resulting liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogels. The surface charge of the incorporated  
415 liposomes was found to be affecting the textures of the hydrogels. Compared to the neutral PC  
416 liposomes, both PC/PG and PC/SA liposomes increased the hardness, cohesiveness and  
417 adhesiveness of the liposomes-in-hydrogel systems significantly. The charged liposomes  
418 seem to be able to stabilize the positively charged hydrogel network of chitosan in a better  
419 way than the non-charged liposomes (Table 2).

420

421

### 422 *3.4 The absorption- and desorption abilities of hydrogels*

423 Hydrogels destined for the treatment of wounds, especially burns, encounter not only the  
424 challenges regarding the efficacy of the active pharmaceutical ingredient and its  
425 sustained/controlled release over the desired period of time, but also from the characteristics  
426 of the wounds themselves, such as either the strong exuding wounds or dry wounds. It is well  
427 recognized that a moist wound environment provides the best healing opportunities. However,  
428 at the same time too much moisture can lead to maceration and poor wound healing. The  
429 dressing is expected to provide an optimal moist environment and, ideally, be applicable to  
430 various types of wounds (Fulton et al., 2012).

431 Different methods for the evaluation of the exudate handling properties of various wound  
432 dressings have been developed. Some methods simply imply the submersion of the dressing  
433 into an artificial wound exudate and measure the absorption of fluid into the dressing (Fulton  
434 et al., 2012). This method is not suitable for the evaluation of hydrogels. Other methods use  
435 more complicated wound models that are combined with a pump system in such a way that  
436 the system can be modified regarding the test-fluid and flow rate of the artificial wound  
437 exudate (Thomas and Fram, 2001).

438 A more standardized approach to test the fluid handling ability of the hydrogel dressings is the  
439 European norm, “BS EN 13726-1:2002 Test methods for primary wound dressings. Part 1  
440 Aspects of absorbency, Section 3.4, Fluid affinity of amorphous hydrogel wound dressings.”  
441 Under these controlled conditions hydrogels are exposed to the models of both dry and moist  
442 wounds, followed by the determination of the fluid uptake or fluid release/donation,  
443 respectively. We have applied this method to evaluate the hydrogels and the results are  
444 presented in Figure 5.

445 Plain Carbopol hydrogel exhibited a very low fluid uptake, absorbing only approx. 1% of its  
446 own weight. The liposomes-in-Carbopol hydrogel did not take up any fluid at all (Figure 5).  
447 Similarly, when exposed to gelatin, which was used to mimic the dry wounds, Carbopol-  
448 based hydrogels did not show to contribute the fluid. Moreover, Carbopol hydrogel became  
449 liquefied and is evidently not applicable for administration onto the wounded site. This  
450 finding is supported by earlier reports by Pavelic et al. (2001), who stated that Carbopol  
451 hydrogel was losing its original viscosity when coming into contact with buffers. Anionic  
452 Carbopol resin is not compatible with the cationic ingredients (sodium ions) of the buffers.  
453 The same phenomenon seemed to apply to the present study as the wound models contained  
454 Solution A, which mimics physiological conditions.

455 In contrast, the chitosan-based hydrogels, both plain and liposomal, exhibited high fluid  
456 uptake from agar gel, which was used as a model for an exuding wound (Figure 5). The  
457 liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogel took up more than 60 % of the artificial wound fluid with  
458 respect to its original weight, whereas the plain chitosan hydrogel took up even more (65 %).  
459 Chitosan hydrogels were also able to donate fluid to compensate the lack of moisture in the  
460 dry wounds. The plain chitosan hydrogel lost about 5% of its original weight while the  
461 liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogel contributed 10 % of its original weight to the mimicked dry  
462 wound (Figure 4). The liposomes-in-chitosan hydrogel formulations were therefore shown to  
463 have the ability to handle both exuding and dry wounds accordingly.

464

465

#### 466 **4. Conclusions**

467 **Two newly synthesized pH-sensitive rhodamine derivatives of different lipophilicities,**  
468 **fluorescent when in the free form released from liposomes into the hydrogel, but not when**

469 incorporated within liposomes, were shown to provide deeper insight on the drug release from  
470 liposomes-in-hydrogel destined to be applied as wound dressings. The release was found to be  
471 affected by the lipophilicity of the dyes and liposomal surface charge. The liposomes-in-  
472 hydrogel system provided both superior texture properties and the potential to achieve a  
473 controlled release of the dye. The texture properties were affected by the liposome charge.  
474 The evaluation of the ability of the system to handle wound exudates revealed that the  
475 chitosan-based hydrogels were superior to Carbopol-based hydrogels. The liposomes-in-  
476 chitosan hydrogel delivery system has therefore the potential to be used as a modern wound  
477 dressing.

478

#### 479 **Acknowledgement**

480 J. Hurler is thankful to University of Tromsø for PhD student exchange scholarship enabling  
481 her stay in Ljubljana, Slovenia. The assistance of Truc Phoung Nguyen in experiments related  
482 to hydrogel ability to handle wound exudates is highly appreciated. The authors acknowledge  
483 the Lipoid GmbH (Ludwigshafen, Germany) for continuous support in providing lipid  
484 samples. We are grateful to Dr. Marie Follo for proof-reading the manuscript.

485

486

#### 487 **References:**

488 BS EN 13726-1:2002 Test methods for primary wound dressings. Part 1 Aspects of  
489 absorbency, Section 3.4, Fluid affinity of amorphous hydrogel wound dressings.

490 Alsarra, I.A., 2009. Chitosan topical gel formulation in the management of burn wounds. *Int.*  
491 *J. Biol. Macromol.* 45, 16-21.

492 Aoyagi, S., Onishi, H., Machida, Y., 2007. Novel chitosan wound dressing loaded with  
493 minocycline for the treatment of severe burn wounds. *Int. J. Pharm.* 330, 138-145.

494 Bhattarai, N., Gunn, J., Zhang, M., 2010. Chitosan-based hydrogels for controlled, localized  
495 drug delivery. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* 62, 83-99.

496 Boateng, J.S., Matthews, K.H., Stevens, H.N., Eccleston, G.M., 2008. Wound healing  
497 dressings and drug delivery systems: a review. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 97, 2892-2923.

498 Boulmedarat, L., Grossiord, J.L., Fattal, E., Bochot, A., 2003. Influence of methyl-beta-  
499 cyclodextrin and liposomes on rheological properties of Carbopol 974P NF gels. *Int. J.*  
500 *Pharm.* 254, 59-64.

501 Cohen, R., Kanaan, H., Grant, G.J., Barenholz, Y., 2012. Prolonged analgesia from Bupisome  
502 and Bupigel formulations: from design and fabrication to improved stability. *J. Control.*  
503 *Release* 160, 346-352.

504 Denis, T.G.S., Dai, T., Huang, Y.-Y., Hamblin, M.R., 2012. Wound-Healing Properties of  
505 Chitosan and Its Use in Wound Dressing Biopharmaceuticals, in: Sarmiento, B., das Neves, J.  
506 (Eds.), *Chitosan-Based Systems for Biopharmaceuticals: Delivery, Targeting and Polymer*  
507 *Therapeutics*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., pp. 429-447.

508 DiTizio, V., Karlgard, C., Lilge, L., Khoury, A.E., Mittelman, M.W., DiCosmo, F., 2000.  
509 Localized drug delivery using crosslinked gelatin gels containing liposomes: factors  
510 influencing liposome stability and drug release. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 51, 96-106.

511 Dragicevic-Curic, N., Winter, S., Stupar, M., Milic, J., Krajisnik, D., Gitter, B., Fahr, A.,  
512 2009. Temoporfin-loaded liposomal gels: viscoelastic properties and in vitro skin penetration.  
513 *Int. J. Pharm.* 373, 77-84.

514 Fulton, J.A., Blasiolo, K.N., Cottingham, T., Tornero, M., Graves, M., Smith, L.G., Mirza, S.,  
515 Mostow, E.N., 2012. Wound dressing absorption: a comparative study. *Adv. Skin Wound*  
516 *Care* 25, 315-320.

517 Glavas-Dodov, M., Goracinova, K., Mladenovska, K., Fredro-Kumbaradzi, E., 2002. Release  
518 profile of lidocaine HCl from topical liposomal gel formulation. *Int. J. Pharm.* 242, 381-384.

519 Hurler, J., Berg, O.A., Skar, M., Conradi, A.H., Johnsen, P.J., Škalko-Basnet, N., 2012a.  
520 Improved burns therapy: liposomes-in-hydrogel delivery system for mupirocin. *J. Pharm. Sci.*  
521 101, 3906-3915.

522 Hurler, J., Engesland, A., Poorahmary Kermany, B., Škalko-Basnet, N., 2012b. Improved  
523 texture analysis for hydrogel characterization: Gel cohesiveness, adhesiveness, and hardness.  
524 *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 125, 180-188.

525 Hurler, J., Škalko-Basnet, N., 2012. Potentials of Chitosan-Based Delivery Systems in Wound  
526 Therapy: Bioadhesion Study. *J. Funct. Biomater.* 3, 37-48.

527 Islam, M.T., Rodriguez-Hornedo, N., Ciotti, S., Ackermann, C., 2004. Rheological  
528 characterization of topical carbomer gels neutralized to different pH. *Pharm. Res.* 21, 1192-  
529 1199.

530 Liu, Y., Li, Z.C., Liang, D.H., 2012. Behaviors of liposomes in a thermo-responsive poly(N-  
531 isopropylacrylamide) hydrogel. *Soft Matter* 8, 4517-4523.

532 Mourtas, S., Duraj, S., Fotopoulou, S., Antimisiaris, S.G., 2008a. Integrity of liposomes in  
533 presence of various formulation excipients, when dispersed in aqueous media and in  
534 hydrogels. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 61, 270-276.

535 Mourtas, S., Fotopoulou, S., Duraj, S., Sfika, V., Tsakiroglou, C., Antimisiaris, S.G., 2007.  
536 Liposomal drugs dispersed in hydrogels. Effect of liposome, drug and gel properties on drug  
537 release kinetics. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 55, 212-221.

538 Mourtas, S., Haikou, M., Theodoropoulou, M., Tsakiroglou, C., Antimisiaris, S.G., 2008b.  
539 The effect of added liposomes on the rheological properties of a hydrogel: a systematic study.  
540 *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 317, 611-619.

541 Noel, S.P., Courtney, H., Bumgardner, J.D., Haggard, W.O., 2008. Chitosan films: a potential  
542 local drug delivery system for antibiotics. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 466, 1377-1382.

543 Paavola, A., Kilpelainen, I., Yliruusi, J., Rosenberg, P., 2000. Controlled release injectable  
544 liposomal gel of ibuprofen for epidural analgesia. *Int. J. Pharm.* 199, 85-93.

545 Pavelic, Z., Skalko-Basnet, N., Filipovic-Grcic, J., Martinac, A., Jalsenjak, I., 2005.  
546 Development and in vitro evaluation of a liposomal vaginal delivery system for acyclovir. *J.*  
547 *Control. Release* 106, 34-43.

548 Pavelic, Z., Skalko-Basnet, N., Schubert, R., 2001. Liposomal gels for vaginal drug delivery.  
549 *Int. J. Pharm.* 219, 139-149.

550 Pavelic, Z., Skalko-Basnet, N., Schubert, R., Jalsenjak, I., 2004. Liposomal gels for vaginal  
551 drug delivery, in: Düzgünes, N. (Ed.), *Methods Enzymol.* Elsevier Academic Press, San  
552 Diego, pp. 287-299.

553 Peschka, R., Dennehy, C., Szoka, F.C., Jr., 1998. A simple in vitro model to study the release  
554 kinetics of liposome encapsulated material. *J. Control. Release* 56, 41-51.



555 Ribeiro, M.P., Espiga, A., Silva, D., Baptista, P., Henriques, J., Ferreira, C., Silva, J.C.,  
556 Borges, J.P., Pires, E., Chaves, P., Correia, I.J., 2009. Development of a new chitosan  
557 hydrogel for wound dressing. *Wound Repair Regen.* 17, 817-824.

558 Ruel-Gariepy, E., Leclair, G., Hildgen, P., Gupta, A., Leroux, J.C., 2002. Thermosensitive  
559 chitosan-based hydrogel containing liposomes for the delivery of hydrophilic molecules. *J.*  
560 *Control. Release* 82, 373-383.

561 Salam, A., Pawlak, J.J., Venditti, R.A., El-tahlawy, K., 2010. Synthesis and characterization  
562 of starch citrate-chitosan foam with superior water and saline absorbance properties.  
563 *Biomacromolecules* 11, 1453-1459.

564 Thomas, S., Fram, P., 2001. The development of a novel technique for predicting the exudate  
565 handling properties of modern wound dressings. *J. Tissue Viability* 11, 145-153, 156-160.

566 Thomas, S., Hughes, G., Fram, P., Hallett, A., 2005. An in-vitro comparison of the physical  
567 characteristics of hydrocolloids, hydrogels, foams and alginate/CMC fibrous dressings. *S. M.*  
568 *T. L. Report*, 1-24.

569

570 **List of Figures with legends**

571

572 Figure 1. Rhodamine dye derivatives

573

574 Figure 2. Non-charged non-fluorescent spirocyclic form (a) of rhodamine dye incorporated in  
575 liposomes at higher pH and positively charged open form (b), formed upon crossing the  
576 liposome bilayer, at lower pH.

577

578 Figure 3. Release of MP-4 (A, B) and MTJ-12 (C, D) from phosphatidylcholine liposomes-in-  
579 chitosan hydrogel. Both non-sonicated liposomes (filled symbols) and sonicated liposomes  
580 (open symbols) were tested. (n=3)

581 \* significant vs. PC MP-4 ( $p < 0.05$ ); \*\* significant vs. PC MTJ-12 ( $p < 0.05$ )

582

583 Figure 4. Flow behavior of Carbopol hydrogel with and without incorporated liposomes (A)  
584 and chitosan hydrogel with and without incorporated glycerol and liposomes (B). (n=3)

585

586 Figure 5. Absorption and desorption properties of liposomes-in-hydrogels wound dressings.

587 (n=3)

588

589

590 **List of Tables with legends**

591

592 **Table 1. Liposome characteristics (n=3)**

593 \* size is an estimate due to  $PI > 0.7$ .

594

595 **Table 2. The effect of liposome surface charge and size on the texture of liposomes-in-**  
596 **chitosan hydrogel. (n=3)**

597 \* significant vs. PC ns ( $p < 0.05$ )

598 \*\* significant vs. PC s ( $p < 0.05$ )

599 Water (10%, w/w) served as a control. Liposomal dispersions in concentrations of 10 %  
600 (w/w) were incorporated into chitosan hydrogel.

601

602

\*

603 **List of Abbreviations:**

604 ns = non-sonicated

605 PC = phosphatidylcholine

606 PC ns = non-sonicated phosphatidylcholine liposomes

607 PC s = sonicated phosphatidylcholine liposomes

608 PC/PG = phosphatidylcholine/phosphatidylglycerol

609 PC/PG ns = non-sonicated phosphatidylcholine/phosphatidylglycerol liposomes

610 PC/PG s = sonicated phosphatidylcholine/phosphatidylglycerol liposomes

611 PC/SA = phosphatidylcholine/ octadecylamine

612 PC/SA ns = non-sonicated phosphatidylcholine/ octadecylamine

613 PC/SA s = sonicated phosphatidylcholine/ octadecylamine

614 PG = phosphatidylglycerol

615 PI = polydispersity index

616 s = sonicated

617 SA = octadecylamine (=stearylamine)

618

619

620