Two hundred years of cancer epidemiology in Norway – before and during the NOFE era
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INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we describe and reflect on some selected accomplishments made in cancer epidemiology during the last 200 years in Norway. We have a special emphasis on the last 25 years i.e. during NOFE’s lifetime. We have tried to do this in a global context. Norwegian epidemiologists founded NOFE in 1990. At that time, the list of accomplishments in cancer epidemiology was not so extensive. Researchers in cancer epidemiology have made a lot of progress during the last 25 years. Worldwide, epidemiologists and data from Norway have played important roles and increased this research knowledge. It is essential that this research continue. Deaths from cancer will be the most common cause in Norway in the near future.

Tobacco use, particularly cigarette smoking should still be the main cancer control priority. We have chosen the relationship between smoking and cancer to picture the change that has been happening. In the 1990s results from single Norwegian cohort studies were published. Today, it is more common that data from the same cohorts are included in larger European, international and consortium collaborations. Results from Norwegian cohort studies have contributed to knowledge necessary for cancer prevention, as independent studies and in collaborations with researchers from other countries and fields in science. This chapter will introduce young researchers to some of the studies that are available for continued cancer research. We must apologize to those who played key roles in discoveries we have not described and whom we fail to mention.

FREQUENT CANCERS GLOBALLY AND IN NORWAY TODAY

Globally, as pointed out in the World Cancer Report from 2014, cancer is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. The approximately 14 million new cases and 8 million cancer related deaths, annually, are affecting populations in all countries and regions. In 2012, the three most common incident cancers were breast (25.2% of the total), colorectal (9.2%) and lung (8.7%) cancer among women and lung (16.7% of the total), prostate (15.0%) and colorectal (10.0%) cancer among men (1). These cancers were also the most frequent in Norway (2).
death certificates. The Cancer Registry has published descriptive statistics like annual incidence figures, periodically survival reports, and geographic analyses of incidence. In addition, several important classic descriptive studies were published on malignant melanoma and other sites of cancer (7). Figures 1a and 1b show the cancer register data from 1953-2012 for both genders (2).

For more than thirty years, the Cancer Registry was the central institution for cancer epidemiology. Besides cancer statistics, the staff conducted several analytical projects, mainly within occupational cancer research. The projects were record-linkage studies between roosters of employed people and the cancer files. The first report was on the carcinogenic effect of nickel exposures (8) followed by many others (9). Through co-operation with the National Institute of Health, US, the first large collection of questionnaire information, the Migrant study, took place in Norway, England and the US. This study was also part of the first PhD at the Cancer Registry with its well-known finding of a protective effect of vitamin A on lung cancer risk (10). The Migrant study was originally a study of bronchitis, but later developed into a prospective cohort study of smoking and cancer (11).

Outside the Cancer Registry, one of the first large epidemiological studies was a Scandinavian population based case-control study on oral contraception and breast cancer in young women (12). During the late 1980s, epidemiologists realized the need for a change from case-control to cohort studies. The implementation of the first large multicenter studies started, like the European Prospective Investigation into Nutrition and Cancer (EPIC) (13). As part of this trend, the Norwegian Women and Cancer study (NOWAC or Kvinner og Kreft, http://site.uit.no/nowac) started enrollment in 1991, and became seven years later a part of EPIC (http://epic.iarc.fr/).

Another source of prospective data is the information collected as part of the national screening programs for cardiovascular diseases. Today the Cohort of Norway (CONOR) comprises ten of these cohorts (14).
The health surveys covered both physical examinations, questionnaire data and blood samples. The latter forms today the Biobank Norway, described in another chapter. The JANUS biobank is another large population based biobank with blood samples from 317,000 Norwegians. Only cancer researchers may use this biobank. JANUS is unique regarding size and number of cancer cases. There were 65,000 donors with a cancer diagnosis, as of December 31, 2012 (http://krefregisteret.no/). The above-described collections of questionnaire information, measurements and biobanks have led to numerous Ph.D. theses and a broad scope of published papers in cancer epidemiology.

**CANCER SCREENING**

Cancer screening aims to reduce cancer specific mortality by prevention or early detection and treatment of invasive disease. In Norway screening programs for breast- and cervical cancer are implemented, while countries in Europe also recommend screening for colorectal cancer. Public health recommendations are based on the overall estimates of risk and benefit for the population. Lately, a lot of emphasis has been about the risks and benefits for the “average” person undertaking a screening examination.

Epidemiological studies from Norway have contributed to the controversial and passionate debate about mammography screening. Different research groups have published results based on data from the Norwegian Breast Cancer Screening Program (NBCSP, Figure 2) (15-17). An expert group, appointed by the Research Council of Norway, have recently evaluated these studies. The overall conclusion was that reduction in breast cancer mortality attributable to the implementation of the NBCSP is in the range of 20-30% for women aged 50-79 years, compared to a situation with no screening program (18). This is in agreement with the conclusion by the IARC expert group (19).

The NORCCAP study has investigated the benefits and costs of colorectal cancer screening in Norway. A recent publication from this group showed a significant 20% reduction in colorectal cancer incidence in a randomized trial with flexible sigmoidoscopy screening (20).

**SMOKING AND RISK OF CANCER**

Although the prevalence of daily smoking has been quite different for Norwegian men and women during the past sixty years, lung cancer has become the most common cancer killer for both (Figure 3) (21).Researchers identified lung cancer as the first of seventeen cancers that was causally related to smoking (22,23).Studies from Norway, where today the majority of the middle-aged residents are ever (either former or current) smokers, have provided results helping to establish these relationships from as early as 1981 (11).

In the now renowned Tromso Studies, the first follow-up study was a cancer study revealing a positive association between smoking and risk of severe cervical dysplasia/cancer (24). Many years later, data from this study was included together with those from 22 other epidemiological studies finding an increased risk of cervical cancer of the same magnitude for current compared with never smokers as in the Tromso study (25). More recently, an EPIC publication confirmed this association also in the context of different HPV infections (26). In 1996, results from a cohort of 26,000 Norwegians showed an increased risk of cancer of the cervix, pancreas, urinary bladder and cancers of the upper digestive and respiratory tract. (27). In 2004, IARC listed the following cancers i.e. oral cavity, oropharynx, nasopharynx, esophagus stomach, liver, pancreas, nasal cavity and sinuses, larynx, uterine cervix, kidney, lower urinary tract and myeloid leukemia to be smoking related cancers (28).

In 2008, results from the Swedish-Norwegian Women and Lifestyle Cohort found that smokers had an increased risk of mucinous ovarian cancer compared with never smokers (29). Results from EPIC (30) and from an international collaboration study (31) confirmed this. All three studies had individual data from the NOWAC cohort included. A similar example, where data from Norway have contributed, conveys to
the smoking related increase of colorectal cancer. Female smokers had an increased risk of CRC compared with never smokers in the NOWAC cohort (32). The EPIC study found this association later for both genders (33). The Monograph from IARC published in 2012 and the US Surgeon General report from 2014 both list colorectal and mucinous ovarian cancer as causally related to smoking (22,23). Recently, results from a cohort including health survey data from more than 600,000 Norwegians suggested that the increased risk of colon, but not rectal cancer, may be greater in women than in men (34,35).

Collaborative efforts, including many participants and cancer cases, have power to show associations that single studies may not show, even if there is a real association. On the other hand, a huge sample size often means less detailed information. An example of a study that may have pointed us in the wrong direction, is the study on alcohol consumption and smoking and risk of breast cancer (36). This study included close to 60,000 breast cancer cases from 53 epidemiological studies. It found that alcohol consumption was to blame for 4% of the breast cancer cases, but that smoking did not increase breast cancer risk. In contrast to this, results from the NOWAC study updated with cancer cases until 2010, found that 9% of new breast cancer cases, i.e. one in eleven cases of the most common female cancer, could have been avoided if the women did not smoke (37).

The two expert reports described earlier, conclude that smoking may possibly increase breast cancer risk, but that there is not sufficient evidence to infer a causal relationship (22,23). These reports included results from the Norwegian-Swedish cohort, finding an increased risk of breast cancer for women who started to smoke as teenagers (38). Unfortunately, the results from two large cohort studies, including close to 7,000 and 10,000 breast cancer cases, supporting a positive relationship between smoking and breast cancer, were published too late to be included in these evaluations. The first study included around 300,000 women from the Norwegian Health Screening Surveys (39) and the latter 300,000 women from EPIC (40). Results from the Breast and Prostate Cohort Consortium, examining gene-environment interactions in around 7,000 breast cancer cases could not convincingly explain if smoking is causally associated with breast cancer (41). Researchers in Norway have several opportunities to continue to identify new cancers causally related to smoking (42).

**GENE-ENVIRONEMENT STUDIES IN CANCER RESEARCH**

The description of the genome in the years around 2000 resulted in an impressive optimism for the potential of identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) resulting in an increased risk for cancer. In the first few years after, a wave of positive results appeared when it was possible to do genome wide analyses, GWAS. It became relatively soon obvious; that most of these were false positive results due to lack of adequate handling of multiple testing. The introduction of correction for false discovery rate and other techniques soon changed the picture. At the same time, research groups built larger and larger consortia to perform huge pooled analyses. Norwegian cohorts have participated mainly by delivering DNA to the collaborating centers.

The lung cancer consortium with thousands of cases and controls is one example of a huge research effort. Only one SNP displayed an increase in risk of lung cancer, which was approximately 80%. Confronted with the risk increase of hundreds of percent for smoking this finding has little practical value (43). In the combined GWAS analysis of more than 60,000 breast cancer cases and the same number of controls, the results revealed 15 new susceptibility loci. However, these loci explained only about 2% of the variation in breast cancer risk (44). In a review, evaluating the GWAS results related to cancer, the conclusion was negative and a bit discouraging. The general advice to the researchers was to move on to functional genomics (45).

**HORMONES, BREAST CANCER AND MAMMOGRAPHIC DENSITIES**

NOWAC was the first nationally representative cohort study designed to examine cancer as outcome. Initially, NOWAC had a special focus on oral contraceptive use and risk of breast cancer, which at that time was a controversial topic (46). Today, both current OC-use and current postmenopausal hormone use are established risk factors for breast cancer. Studies from Norway have contributed to this knowledge (47-50). Norwegian scientists continue to raise new questions in this area: How is breast cancer risk among users of both hormonal medications (51)? Is there an antagonistic effect for OC-use and alcohol consumption on breast cancer risk (52)? Is it possible to explore the etiology of breast cancer through gene expression profiles from peripheral blood (53,54)? Epidemiologists have shown that menopausal hormone use also contributes to the breast cancer incidence trends in Norway (55,56). Researchers have used mammographic densities, one of the strongest risk factors for breast cancer, as surrogate endpoints for breast cancer in an effort to understand the association between genes, endogenous and exogenous hormones (57-61) and risk of breast cancer.

**OBESITY AND RISK OF CANCER**

Assuming causality, obesity, or excess weight as measured by body mass index (BMI), could explain 41% of uterine, and 10% or more of kidney, liver, gall bladder and colon cancers (62). Several Norwegian studies have shown that BMI is an important predictor of cancer risk and cancer deaths. In a huge record
linkage study that measured height and weight of two million Norwegian men and women from 1963 to 2001, obesity was associated with a modest increase in risk of prostate (63) and an increased risk of colon cancer in men, and of gallbladder cancer in women (64). Obesity suggestively increased the risk of death from colon cancer in a cohort study where participants were aged 14-19 years at enrollment (65). In a recent cohort study, the authors observed that women had an increased risk of middle age death from cancer other than lung and breast cancer (66).

**Physical Activity and Risk of Cancer**

All Norwegian cohort studies have collected information on physical activity measured as activity during leisure and work time, or using different scales. The Three County study found a protective effect of physical activity for prostate (67), colon (68) and breast (69) cancer. A recent study from NOWAC (70) did not confirm the latter association.

**Alcohol Consumption and Infections and Risk of Cancer**

As summarized in the World Cancer Report, alcohol consumption causes cancer of the mouth, pharynx, larynx, esophagus, liver, colorectum and female breast. Globally, infections with Helicobacter pylori, hepatitis B and C viruses, and human papillomaviruses (HPV) are responsible for a huge (1.9 million cancer cases) number of gastric, liver and cervical cancer, respectively (1). There should be several opportunities to further examine the relationship between alcohol use and risk of cancer in the available Norwegian cohorts. Infectious agents as cause of cancer is not a major problem in Norway and have not been much examined. However, the transition from cytology to primary HPV screening programs will provide researchers with data related to cervical cancer.

**Reproductive Factors and Risk of Cancer**

Already before 1990, epidemiologists created an important follow-up with interviews of more than 63,000 women with the objectives to study the associations between reproductive factors and cancer (71,72). Later, studies based on records from different registers including more than 1 million women, have revealed new results and confirmed previous knowledge for the associations between reproductive factors and breast cancer, as well as cancer deaths (73-75). One registry-based study included all Norwegian women born in the period 1925 to 1979, i.e. 1.7 million. The results based on close to 23,000 incident breast cancer cases, supported earlier findings that reproductive factors like parity, age at birth, and time since birth affect the risk of breast cancer. It also found that this differed by histological subtypes (76).

**Record Linkage Studies**

Researchers in Norway have unique opportunities to conduct record linkage studies. This possibility is often mentioned as a great advantage in discussions regarding Norwegian cancer research. We have already referred to some of them. However, the building of huge cohorts throughout the world has reduced the scientific importance of register-linkage studies. The main reason is the lack of adequate control for the increasing number of known confounders. In the future, we predict that these analyses will not be included in systematic reviews due to this short coming.

**Population Attributable Fraction (PAF)**

From a public health point of view, population attributable fraction (PAF) may be as important as the relative risk measure. This is because PAF more clearly expresses the potential for preventive endeavors. One example is that the burden of smoking related cancer and deaths from cancer may well be higher than previously anticipated (42). Results from NOWAC suggest that as many as one in four cancer deaths among middle-aged women could have been avoided if women in Norway did not smoke (77). Another study from NOWAC indicates that the PAF of breast cancer due to current use of menopausal hormones is as high as 27% (78). The underlying assumptions for PAF estimations are a causal relationship combined with calculations of PAF values with relative risk estimates from the same population. We will encourage young researchers to perform PAF analyses, whenever it is appropriate, so we increase our knowledge of opportunities for cancer prevention.

**Visions for the Future**

The ultimate goal is to understand cancer, combat the disease and bring it under control. Today we know that we cannot treat our way out of the cancer problem. Cancer epidemiology is the first step to understand the risk factors and causes of cancer and thereby the possibility for prevention. One important goal with this chapter has been to show the reader some of the contributions made by Norwegian researchers in cancer epidemiology. We also wanted to show how research in cancer epidemiology has moved from being “a one person operation”, to research groups, national and international collaborations. A third important goal has been to give a short overview of the many possibilities for young researchers to get involved, utilize the abundance of data available and thereby increase our knowledge of the risk factors and causes of cancer. The ultimate goal is that this information will be used for preventive measures and thereby decrease the toll that cancer takes on the Norwegian as well as the global population. Today, there are many opportunities for young researchers in cancer epidemiology in Norway to make a difference!
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