

# Distinct summer and winter bacterial communities in the active layer of Svalbard permafrost revealed by DNA- and RNA-based analyses

Morten Schostag<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Marek Stibal<sup>1,2</sup>, Carsten S. Jacobsen<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Jacob Bælum<sup>5</sup>, Neslihan Taş<sup>6</sup>, Bo Elberling<sup>1</sup>, Janet K. Jansson<sup>7</sup>, Philipp Semenchuk<sup>1,8</sup> and Anders Priemé<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, Center for Permafrost, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>2</sup> Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS), Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Aarhus University, Denmark, <sup>5</sup> Center for Biological Sequence Analysis, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, <sup>6</sup> Ecology Department, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA, <sup>7</sup> Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA, <sup>8</sup> Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Tim Ulrich,  
University of Vienna, Austria

### Reviewed by:

Sharon Billings,  
University of Kansas, USA  
Stephanie A. Eichorst,  
University of Vienna, Austria  
Mette Marianne Svenning,  
UiT The Arctic University of Norway,  
Norway

### \*Correspondence:

Anders Priemé,  
Department of Geosciences and  
Natural Resource Management,  
Center for Permafrost, Øster Voldgade  
10, DK-1350 Copenhagen K,  
Denmark  
aprieme@bio.ku.dk

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Terrestrial Microbiology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 15 December 2014

**Accepted:** 17 April 2015

**Published:** 30 April 2015

### Citation:

Schostag M, Stibal M, Jacobsen CS, Bælum J, Taş N, Elberling B, Jansson JK, Semenchuk P and Priemé A (2015) Distinct summer and winter bacterial communities in the active layer of Svalbard permafrost revealed by DNA- and RNA-based analyses. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:399. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00399

The active layer of soil overlaying permafrost in the Arctic is subjected to dramatic annual changes in temperature and soil chemistry, which likely affect bacterial activity and community structure. We studied seasonal variations in the bacterial community of active layer soil from Svalbard (78°N) by co-extracting DNA and RNA from 12 soil cores collected monthly over a year. PCR amplicons of 16S rRNA genes (DNA) and reverse transcribed transcripts (cDNA) were quantified and sequenced to test for the effect of low winter temperature and seasonal variation in concentration of easily degradable organic matter on the bacterial communities. The copy number of 16S rRNA genes and transcripts revealed no distinct seasonal changes indicating potential bacterial activity during winter despite soil temperatures well below  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Multivariate statistical analysis of the bacterial diversity data (DNA and cDNA libraries) revealed a season-based clustering of the samples, and, e.g., the relative abundance of potentially active *Cyanobacteria* peaked in June and *Alphaproteobacteria* increased over the summer and then declined from October to November. The structure of the bulk (DNA-based) community was significantly correlated with pH and dissolved organic carbon, while the potentially active (RNA-based) community structure was not significantly correlated with any of the measured soil parameters. A large fraction of the 16S rRNA transcripts was assigned to nitrogen-fixing bacteria (up to 24% in June) and phototrophic organisms (up to 48% in June) illustrating the potential importance of nitrogen fixation in otherwise nitrogen poor Arctic ecosystems and of phototrophic bacterial activity on the soil surface.

**Keywords:** permafrost active layer, seasonal variation, bacterial community structure, 16S rRNA gene, Arctic

## Introduction

Permafrost covers approximately 25% of the land area in the northern hemisphere not covered by ice sheets (Zhang et al., 2008), and the surface of an even larger fraction of the land area undergoes

seasonal freezing. The Arctic is greatly affected by global warming (IPCC, 2013) and an increase in air temperature is expected to increase soil temperature, increase plant-growing season, enhance permafrost thaw and hence increase the depth of the active layer overlaying permafrost. Permafrost is estimated to contain 1024 Pg of organic carbon in the uppermost 3 m corresponding to ~30% of the global soil organic carbon pool (Tarnocai et al., 2009). When permafrost thaws, it is transformed into an active layer of soil where the microbial activity is likely to increase (Elberling et al., 2013; Knoblauch et al., 2013). Thus, microbial degradation of organic matter in recently formed as well as old active layer soils may increase when soil temperature increases, leading to elevated release of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere (Schoor et al., 2009) and potentially profound changes to the global climate (DeConto et al., 2012).

Even though the Arctic is currently greening due to expansion of, e.g., scrub (Elmendorf et al., 2012), plant cover on the tundra often allows enough photosynthetically active radiation to reach the soil surface for surface-dwelling *Cyanobacteria* and other phototrophic microorganisms to proliferate. Thus, input of organic matter produced by phototrophic microorganisms may be of ecological importance in Arctic soils. Also, Arctic plants and soil microorganisms are generally assumed to be limited by availability of nitrogen (Elser et al., 2007). Arctic soils receive low rates of atmospheric nitrogen deposition (Dentener et al., 2006), which makes fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by free-living and plant-associated microorganisms the primary input of nitrogen in terrestrial Arctic ecosystems (Reed et al., 2011).

At lower latitudes, seasonal fluctuations in soil moisture, temperature and nutrients can result in distinct changes in bacterial numbers and community structure (e.g., Bardgett et al., 1999; Cruz-Martinez et al., 2009; Rasche et al., 2010). In contrast to surface soils at lower latitudes and permafrost soil, active layer soils in the Arctic are subjected to temperatures below -10°C for several months during winter, which may affect the activity of soil microorganisms (Ayala-del-Rio et al., 2010; Mykytczuk et al., 2013). The subzero winter temperatures are replaced by temperatures above 0°C during the short summer leading to pronounced seasonal variation in temperature of active layer soil. In addition, active layer microorganisms may experience seasonal variation in, e.g., unfrozen water content, osmolarity of liquid water, exudates from plant roots, photosynthetically active radiation, and UV radiation.

Despite this strong seasonality, only few studies have investigated seasonal changes in the active microbial communities in Arctic soils (e.g., Lipson and Schmidt, 2004; McMahon et al., 2011; Kuffner et al., 2012; Lazzaro et al., 2012), and none of these involved more than four time points. This leaves a gap in our understanding of changes in the microbial community and their activity in this environment over the course of a whole year.

Soil bacterial communities may be investigated using DNA sequencing technologies involving sequencing of metagenomes or PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene fragments. The latter has been widely used to study bacterial community structure in a range of soils (e.g., Roesch et al., 2007; Lauber et al., 2009) and allows for more sequencing depth of the 16S rRNA gene compared to metagenome sequencing. Also, Hultman et al.

(2015) found very similar bacterial phylogenetic composition of Alaskan active layer soil when comparing sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons and metagenomes.

Bacterial communities in Arctic soils have a structure similar to those found in soil environments elsewhere (Neufeld and Mohn, 2005; Chu et al., 2010). Since studies of the 16S rRNA gene only reveals the bulk diversity of a bacterial community and do not distinguish between active and inactive bacteria, 16S rRNA transcripts have been used as a marker of potentially active bacteria (Baldrian et al., 2012; Kotik et al., 2013; Tveit et al., 2013; Stibal et al., 2015; and see review by Blazewicz et al., 2013 discussing the interpretation of 16S rRNA transcripts). Metatranscriptomic analysis of the upper layer of a Svalbard peatland revealed that rRNA from bacteria comprised 70–80% of all rRNA from microorganisms, i.e., bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes (Tveit et al., 2013). This finding illustrates the importance of bacteria in the turnover of organic matter and nutrient cycling in Arctic active layer soils.

We hypothesized that (i) extremely low soil temperature during winter will have pronounced impact on the abundance and structure of the bacterial community, (ii) this impact will be more pronounced on the potentially active part of the bacterial community with hardly any potentially active bacteria detectable during the peak of winter, (iii) seasonal changes in the availability of easily degradable organic carbon and nitrogen will influence the abundance and structure of the potentially active part of the bacterial community, (iv) freezing/thawing will influence the bacterial community structure in autumn and spring, (v) nitrogen-fixing bacteria are common in this presumed nitrogen-limited environment and show profound seasonal changes due to changes in temperature and in-coming photosynthetically active radiation, and (vi) phototrophic microorganisms are common during summer. Twelve soil cores collected at monthly intervals in Adventdalen, Svalbard, were chosen to represent seasonal variations in active layer permafrost. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the full annual cycle of bacterial diversity in Arctic soil using co-analysis of the 16S rRNA gene and 16S rRNA transcripts.

## Materials and Methods

### Site Description and Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected in an Arctic tundra in Adventdalen, Svalbard, (78.172°N, 16.062°E), dominated by *Salix polaris* and *Luzula arcuata* subsp. *confusa* (Cooper et al., 2011). At Svalbard Airport, ca. 16 km from the sampling site, the mean annual temperature for 2010–2011 was -3.7°C (data retrieved from Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Svalbard Lufthavn<sup>1</sup>). The cables to the temperature sensor at the sampling site were destroyed before the termination of the sampling period, so soil temperature at 25 cm soil depth was obtained 4 km from the site (see [http://geo.ngu.no/kart/permafrost\\_svalbard/](http://geo.ngu.no/kart/permafrost_svalbard/)). During the short interval of their concurrent functioning, temperature values from the sensors at similar soil depth at the two sites were almost

<sup>1</sup> Norwegian Meteorological Institute. (2014). Data retrieved from <http://eklima.met.no/>; Station 99840, Svalbard Lufthavn.

**TABLE 1 | Sampling dates and soil physical-chemical parameters.**

Sampling date	Depth of soil core (cm)	Soil temperature (°C)	Soil water content (% of dwt)	pH	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	DOC (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	DON (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Total C (%)	Total N (%)
17/6-2010	0–24	4.6	30	5.0	0.23	2.1	26	3.0	2.9	0.3
22/7-2010	1–11	5.2	25	5.2	0.33	1.6	36	2.2	2.4	0.2
13/8-2010	0–18	4.9	33	4.9	0.33	1.8	66	4.1	4.1	0.3
16/9-2010	0–7	0.2	31	4.7	0.30	1.9	38	2.5	2.9	0.2
12/10-2010	0–10	0.5	81	5.6	0.34	2.6	87	8.3	7.5	0.5
13/11-2010	0–6	-6.5	62	5.1	0.30	2.0	90	7.0	5.8	0.4
2/12-2010	0–8	-7.2	91	5.6	0.43	4.4	85	10.7	8.7	0.6
17/1-2011	0–10	-13.5	68	4.6	0.35	2.7	146	8.1	6.3	0.5
19/2-2011	0–10	-14.3	35	5.3	0.82	3.4	66	5.0	2.7	0.2
11/3-2011	0–10	-7.9	39	5.4	0.38	1.7	60	4.3	3.4	0.2
3/5-2011	0–10	-3.0	94	5.3	0.55	3.2	117	8.0	5.0	0.3
31/5-2011	0–18	-0.2	31	5.0	0.31	1.1	40	2.8	2.6	0.2

Soil temperature was measured at 25 cm depth. DOC, dissolved organic carbon; DON, dissolved organic nitrogen.

identical (data not shown). Snow covered the ground from 11th of October 2010 to 14th of May 2011 (Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Svalbard Lufthavn). Within a sampling area of 3 × 3 m, a total of 12 soil cores were collected at approximately monthly intervals from 17th of June 2010 to 31st of May 2011 (Table 1). Thus, each of our sampling dates is represented by only a single soil core, which reflects the difficulties involved in drilling soil cores during a full year in the Arctic. All cores were collected using a motorized hand drill with an expandable drill string and a 50-cm drill head with a diameter of 4.8 cm. Each core was pushed out of the drill head directly into a sterile plastic bag and kept cold with cooling elements in a thermo box and frozen to -18°C within 8 h of collection. Prior to analysis, the soil cores were stored for up to 8 months at -18°C, which we believe is an appropriate storage temperature since Sessitsch et al. (2002) found no significant difference in the rRNA content between soil samples stored at -20°C and fresh samples over a period of 4 weeks.

### Soil Characterization

Upon arrival to Copenhagen, the frozen soil cores were divided into 5-cm pieces by a sterile chisel, of which about 50 grams was used for this study. A subsample from each soil core was thawed at 4°C. Twenty gram (wet weight) of the thawed soil was mixed with 20 ml milliQ water and shaken for 12 h at room temperature before measurement of pH with a PHM 80 pH meter (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). Water was drained from the thawed core at 0°C and acidified prior to analysis of dissolved organic carbon using 2 M HCl to remove inorganic carbon. Dissolved organic carbon was analyzed using a Shimadzu TOC 5000A analyzer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Kyoto, Japan). For determination of the total dissolved organic nitrogen concentration, 4 ml of the soil solution were mixed with 4 ml 3% w/v sodium peroxosulfate in 0.15M NaOH in a 12-ml Kimax tube. The solution was then incubated at 100°C for 60 min, cooled to room temperature and analyzed on FiaStar 5000 (Foss, Höganäs, Sweden). The nitrate and ammonium concentrations

in the soil solution were also measured on FiaStar 5000, without the boiling step, following the manufacturer's instructions. Soil water content was estimated by heating 15 g of wet soil at 120°C for a minimum of 12 h, until the weight of the dried soil sample was stable. Finally, total nitrogen and total carbon contents were determined in 0.3 g of soil sample by combusting the sample for 2 min at 850°C in a Leco TruSpec Carbon Nitrogen Determinator Model 630-100-100 (Leco, St Joseph, MI, USA).

### Coextraction of DNA and RNA

Prior to DNA/RNA extraction, 5 g of soil was aseptically removed from each sample by hammer and chisel, and freeze dried to remove any soil water. After freeze-drying, samples were homogenized, and was randomly sampled for DNA and RNA extraction. To avoid any potential effect of RNase activity all glassware were furnace at 240°C for 4 h prior to use, and only certified DNase free plasticware were used. All solutions were prepared with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. During the extraction procedure, the samples were kept on ice unless stated otherwise. Three replicate subsamples of the freeze-dried samples were used for the co-extraction of DNA and RNA, resulting in a total of 36 samples. To lyse the cells, 0.5 g of soil was transferred to a bead-containing tube (1.4 mm Ceramic Bead Tube, MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and extraction was performed as described by Nicolaisen et al. (2008) bead beating twice for 20 s at speed 5 in a FastPrep bead beater (FastPrep FP120, MP Biomedicals, CA, USA) with a cooling step on ice in between. The resulting nucleic acid solution was cleaned using NucleoSpin RNA Clean-Up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The final volume of extract was 20 µl, of which 10 µl was used for DNA and 8 µl for RNA analysis. DNA samples were stored at -80°C until further analysis. RNA samples were immediately DNase treated using the RTS DNase Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. After DNA removal, 6 µl of pure RNA template were reverse transcribed using random hexamer

primers (Thermo Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) and RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Scientific). Reverse transcription was performed by incubation at 25°C for 10 min, 50°C for 30 min and 85°C for 5 min. cDNA samples were stored on -80°C until further analysis.

### PCR and Sequencing of 16S rRNA Gene DNA and cDNA

The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene in all DNA and cDNA samples was amplified using region specific primers [515F: GTG CCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA, and 806R: GGACTACHVGGGTW TCTAAT (Caporaso et al., 2011)] suitable for Illumina HiSeq sequencing. These primers have a high coverage of different bacterial and archaeal phyla compared to other frequently used primers targeting the 16S rRNA gene (Mori et al., 2014) and covers the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, which is particularly suited for short read [120–130 bp] 16S rRNA gene sequencing studies (Soergel et al., 2012; Ghyselinck et al., 2013) The primers used were modified from Caporaso et al. (2012), since barcodes of 8 bp instead of 12 bp were used. The PCR reactions contained 0.25 µl TaKaRa Ex Taq proofreading DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan), 5 µl buffer, 4 µl dNTP mix (0.8 µM final concentration), 2 µl of each forward and reverse primer (0.4 µM final concentration), 35.75 µl DEPC treated dH<sub>2</sub>O and 1 µl of template DNA/cDNA. The PCR protocol was: 94°C for 3 min to denature the DNA/cDNA and activate the polymerase, 25 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 50°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 90 s, and finally 10 min at 72°C to ensure complete extension of the amplicons. The PCR products were purified using carboxyl-coated magnetic beads (SPRI beads, Agencourt AMPure XP, Agencourt, Beverly, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's description. The concentration of the PCR products was measured using a dsDNA HS Assay Kit and the Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All PCR products were also run on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide to check if PCR artifacts were removed. No PCR products were detected from DNase treated RNA samples. Prior to sequencing, 50 ng PCR products from each sample were pooled into a DNA and a cDNA sample. The pooled samples were then sent to Argonne National Laboratory (Lemont, IL, USA) for Illumina HiSeq 2000 single-end 150 bp sequencing.

### PCR Quantification of 16S rRNA Gene and Transcript Copies

Quantitative PCR was performed to estimate the numbers of 16S rRNA gene and transcript copies in the soil samples. Three replicate PCR reactions were performed on each DNA/cDNA sample using the primers 341F (5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') and 518R (5'-ATTACCGCGGCTGG-3') (Lane, 1991). For producing a standard, *E. coli* K27 was grown in Luria-Bertani medium for 2 days at room temperature to a desired density and DNA was extracted. A dilution series from  $8.45 \times 10^3$  to  $8.45 \times 10^6$  16S rRNA gene copies per µl was used as standards. PCR reactions were performed using SyGreen Mix Lo-ROX (PcrBioSystems, London, UK) and were carried out on a CFX96 Touch qPCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The PCR setup involved 10 µl SyGreen Mix, 0.8 µl of each primer (final

concentration 0.4 µM), 1 µl template DNA/cDNA, and 7.4 µl of DEPC treated dH<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 20 µl. PCR conditions were: 95°C for 30 s and 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C, 30 s at 72°C, and 10 s at 82°C. The reaction was completed with 72°C for 6 min for final elongation and followed by a melting curve analysis going from 55°C to 98°C in 0.5°C increments.

### Analyses of DNA and cDNA Sequences

Illumina-based 16S rRNA gene sequencing may be analyzed using a choice of different bioinformatic pipelines like UPARSE (Edgar, 2013) or mothur (Schloss et al., 2009), but we chose the widely used and well-referenced QIIME Pipeline (Caporaso et al., 2010). Low quality sequences were removed on the basis of the following criteria: (1) sequences with more than three consecutive low quality base calls; (2) sequences that contained less than 75% high quality base calls of total sequence; (3) sequences with more than one mismatch within the barcode; (4) sequences with a phred score below 3 (Bokulich et al., 2012).

Because the cDNA sequences on average were longer than the DNA sequences (151 bases vs. 123 bases), cDNA sequences were shortened *in silico* to achieve the same average length of cDNA and DNA derived sequences. In total, 5,154,024 sequences were obtained from the DNA samples after quality filtering, ranging from 84,919 to 296,684 per sample (average 143,167 sequences per sample). From the cDNA samples, 32,885,340 sequences were obtained after quality filtering, ranging from 309,764 to 1,152,022,274 sequences per sample (average 913,481 per sample). A total of 8326 and 11,105 different OTUs were detected from the DNA and cDNA samples, respectively, after 97%-matching to the Greengenes database and removal of singletons.

Sequences of sufficient quality were aligned to the Greengenes database (DeSantis et al., 2006) and operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined by a 97% or larger identity to database sequences. Non-matching sequences were discarded, corresponding to 17 and 26% of the sequences for DNA and cDNA samples, respectively. The Greengenes database was also used to assign taxonomic identity to each OTU. OTU tables were rarefied 1000 times to an even depth of 84,919 sequences per samples and merged into a single OTU table. Final OTU tables were trimmed by removing all singletons so only OTUs represented by more than one sequence were used for further analysis. Furthermore, chimeras were removed using USEARCH 6.1 (Edgar et al., 2011) with default settings. The sequences have been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive with the study accession number PRJEB7947.

### Statistical Analysis

Multivariate statistical analysis was employed to explain variations in the data and to test for significant effects of environmental parameters on the bacterial community structure. All analyses were performed using the multivariate data analysis software Canoco 5 (ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2012).

The relative abundance of each phyla or OTU was log transformed and used as response (explained) data in the analysis, while sampling date (as day of year), soil depth, sample storage time, soil temperature, water content, pH, ratio of total

carbon to total nitrogen, dissolved organic carbon, total dissolved nitrogen, nitrate and ammonium were used as quantitative environmental (explanatory) variables. All the environmental data except pH, storage time, soil depth, and day of the year were log transformed prior to analysis (Ramette, 2007).

The data were analyzed with a combination of constrained and unconstrained ordination methods in order to account for both total variation in the data and variation explainable by the environmental data. Detrended correspondence analysis and detrended canonical correspondence analysis were used to determine the length of the gradient along the first ordination axis in order to select the appropriate method for unconstrained and constrained ordination of the data, respectively.

Principal component analysis was employed to analyze the total variation in the DNA or cDNA sequence data. Redundancy analysis was used to calculate the statistical significance of multiple environmental variables as controls of the bacterial community structure. The following redundancy analysis setup was used in the analysis: 9999 Monte Carlo permutations in the restricted mode for time series and manual forward selection. The *P*-values were corrected for type I error using false discovery rate.

## Results

### Soil Characteristics

The soil temperature ranged from  $-14.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $5.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  during the sampling period, while pH ranged between 4.6 and 5.6 (Table 1). The concentration of dissolved organic carbon ranged from  $26\text{ mg l}^{-1}$  in June 2010 to  $146\text{ mg l}^{-1}$  in January 2011 and was higher during winter. A similar pattern was observed for dissolved organic nitrogen. Additional soil physical and chemical parameters are shown in Table 1.


### 16S rRNA Gene and Transcript Copy Number

Quantitative PCR was employed to investigate seasonal changes in numbers of bacteria (DNA) and potentially active bacteria

(RNA). 16S rRNA gene copy numbers ranged between  $0.1 \times 10^9$  and  $12 \times 10^9$  DNA copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dwt soil, while 16S rRNA transcript copy numbers ranged between  $2.8 \times 10^9$  and  $136 \times 10^9$  RNA copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dwt soil without showing any distinct seasonal variation (Figure 1). The average ratio of 16S rRNA transcript to gene copy numbers for the study period was  $33 \pm 8.2$  ( $n = 12$ ) (Table 2), with no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between samples obtained during winter (defined as sampling days with subzero soil temperature) and summer. The ratio varied widely between bacterial groups from  $1.1 \pm 0.51$  for *Gemmatimonadetes* to  $141 \pm 32$  for *Deltaproteobacteria* (Table 2).

### Bacterial Diversity

Sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments and transcripts revealed the diversity and structure of the bacterial communities. We found no differences in alpha-diversity measures (richness, Chao1, Shannon-Weaver index, and evenness) of 16S rRNA genes and transcripts between winter and summer (data not shown). The detected 8326 OTUs from the DNA samples represented a total of 45 phyla, while 11,105 OTUs from 49 phyla were found for the cDNA samples (see Supplementary Material). Archaea represented less than 0.04% of the total number of sequences at any sampling day at DNA and RNA level. The dominant phyla (>5% of total) in the DNA samples were *Proteobacteria* ( $22 \pm 5\%$  of the obtained DNA sequences [mean  $\pm$  standard deviation,  $n = 36$ ]), *Actinobacteria* ( $22 \pm 4\%$ ), *Verrucomicrobia* ( $20 \pm 6\%$ ), *Acidobacteria* ( $17 \pm 3\%$ ), and *Gemmatimonadetes* ( $7 \pm 3\%$ ) (Figure 2A). Together, these five phyla represented at least 74% of the bacterial community at any




**FIGURE 1 | The seasonal variation in copy numbers of 16S rRNA transcripts and genes during the study period.** Error bars denote standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$  qPCR performed on replicate extractions of nucleic acids from the same soil core).

**TABLE 2 | Ratio of copy numbers of 16S rRNA transcripts to 16S rRNA genes assigned to the numerically dominant bacterial phyla and proteobacterial classes.**

Phylum or class	Ratio RNA:DNA copy numbers (average $\pm$ standard error of the mean)
<i>Deltaproteobacteria</i>	$141 \pm 32^{**}$
<i>Firmicutes</i>	$98 \pm 33^*$
<i>Cyanobacteria</i>	$93 \pm 26^*$
<i>Planctomycetes</i>	$71 \pm 29$
<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	$65 \pm 15$
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	$53 \pm 14$
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	$44 \pm 15$
<i>Betaproteobacteria</i>	$35 \pm 10$
Average	$33 \pm 8.2$
<i>Gammaproteobacteria</i>	$32 \pm 9.3$
Other phyla (average)	$30 \pm 5.4$
<i>Acidobacteria</i>	$28 \pm 7.5$
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	$26 \pm 8.4$
AD3	$20 \pm 6.9$
<i>Verrucomicrobia</i>	$16 \pm 4.3$
<i>Gemmatimonadetes</i>	$1.1 \pm 0.51^{**}$

"Other phyla" is the sum of numerically uncommon and rare phyla. Values are average  $\pm$  standard error of the mean for the 12-months sampling period ( $n = 12$ ). \*Indicates that the ratio is significantly different from the average ratio ( $P < 0.05$ ); \*\*Indicates that the ratio is significantly different from the average ratio ( $P < 0.01$ ).



**FIGURE 2 | Relative abundance of bacterial and archaeal phyla based on sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments at DNA level (A) and RNA level (B).** “Others” represent phyla with relative abundance of <1%. Replicates represent three extractions of nucleic acids from the same soil core.


sampling day during the study period. In June, the abundance of *Cyanobacteria* peaked at  $10 \pm 5\%$  of the community. At the cDNA level, the dominant potentially active phyla were *Proteobacteria* ( $39 \pm 8\%$  of the cDNA sequences), *Acidobacteria* ( $16 \pm 5\%$ ), *Actinobacteria* ( $15 \pm 5\%$ ), *Verrucomicrobia* ( $9 \pm 3\%$ ), and *Cyanobacteria* ( $7 \pm 14\%$ ) (Figure 2B).

### Seasonal Variation in Bacterial Taxa

While the relative abundance of most phyla was stable over the year, the relative abundance of *Cyanobacteria* showed a pronounced seasonal variation from  $0.17 \pm 0.07\%$  in January to  $23 \pm 6\%$  of the cDNA sequences in the June sample. The

relative abundance of the candidate phylum AD3 was larger in July–September (4–6%) compared to the remaining part of the year (0–2%), and the relative abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria* increased gradually from 16% in June to 33% in October and then decreased to 23% in November. Concomitantly with the decline in relative abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, the relative abundance of *Acidobacteria* increased from 11% in October to 23% in November.

The first two principal components of the PCA analysis explained 56% of the variation within the OTU data from DNA and 54% of the variation from cDNA based data (Figure 3). At both the DNA and RNA level, the samples formed two major



clusters; one consisting of samples from May through September, another of samples from October through April (Figure 3).

### Bacterial Community Structure and Environmental Parameters

In order to identify environmental parameters correlating with the bacterial community structure, redundancy analysis was performed (Figure 5) using soil physical and chemical parameters (Table 1) as the explanatory variables, and the bacterial diversity data at phylum and *Proteobacterial* class level (Figure 2) as the explained variables. At RNA level, the analysis revealed no significant effect of any of the measured soil parameters, while at DNA level 44.6% of the variation in bacterial community structure was explained by the analysis. pH and dissolved organic carbon correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the data on bacterial community structure at DNA level explaining 24.6 and 20.0% of the variation, respectively. At DNA level, two of the numerically dominating bacterial groups, *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Acidobacteria*, correlated positively with dissolved organic carbon, while *Verrucomicrobia* correlated positively with pH (Figure 5). The analyses revealed no correlations between DNA-based community structure and soil depth or time of storage, but using time of storage as a covariate in the analysis increased  $P$  for the effect of pH from 0.031 to 0.063.

### Potential Nitrogen-fixing and Phototrophic Bacteria

OTUs assigned to the following bacterial groups: *Rhizobium*, *Bradyrhizobium*, and *Cyanobacteria* were considered to be nitrogen-fixing bacteria. These groups consist exclusively of members with the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. For this analysis we excluded potential nitrogen-fixing OTUs from taxonomic groups, which encompass taxa not able to fix atmospheric nitrogen. Hence, we may underestimate the relative abundance of nitrogen-fixing bacteria. The relative abundance of nitrogen-fixing bacterial groups at RNA level peaked at


24% in June 2010 and decreased markedly from summer to early winter (Figure 4A), mainly due to a decrease in the relative abundance of *Cyanobacteria*. Potential phototrophic organisms were represented by OTUs assigned to *Cyanobacteria*, *Rhodoplanes*, *Chlorobi*, *Chloroflexi*, and chloroplasts (from algae and/or plants). The relative abundance of phototrophic organisms at RNA level ranged between 4.4% in November 2010 and 48% in June 2010 (Figure 4B).

The soil core collected on July 22nd 2010 did not contain the upper 1 cm of the soil profile. Since OTUs assigned to *Cyanobacteria* and other phototrophic organisms were assumed to originate mainly from the soil surface, data from this soil core were excluded from the estimation of the relative abundance of nitrogen-fixing and phototrophic bacteria. Accordingly, this soil core revealed a very low relative abundance of, e.g., *Cyanobacteria* (Figure 2).

## Discussion

### Bulk Community Structure

The dominance by *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Acidobacteria*, and *Gemmatimonadetes* (Figure 2A) is in accordance with other studies of Arctic soils (Chu et al., 2010; Yergeau et al., 2010; Mackelprang et al., 2011; Tveit et al., 2013), except that the relative abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* in our samples ( $20 \pm 6\%$ ) was about twice as large as in other Arctic soils. Also, the correlation between pH and the bacterial community structure (Figure 5) is in agreement with other studies from similar environments, including Arctic soil (Lauber et al., 2009; Gray et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Siciliano et al., 2014). However, in contrast to a number of other studies we found no correlation between relative abundance of *Acidobacteria* and pH, and in contrast to Chu et al. (2010), we found that the relative abundance of *Actinobacteria* was negatively correlated with pH. This may be due to the narrow range of pH (4.7–5.6) covered by our study. The variations in




soil pH showed no seasonal pattern (Table 1) and may partly be caused by spatial variation.

Many *Acidobacteria* are linked to soils with limited nitrogen availability (Fierer et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2009; Ramirez et al., 2010, 2012) and have been reported to respond negatively to nitrogen additions in Arctic soils (Campbell et al., 2010; Koyama et al., 2014). The *Acidobacteria* at our study site may be favored by limited nitrogen availability, which is indicated by a high relative abundance of potentially active nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Figure 4A; and Section Potential Nitrogen-fixing Bacteria).

### Potentially Active Community Structure

At the RNA level, assumed to be representative of the potentially active component of the bacterial community, the same phylogenetic groups were dominant as for the DNA level except for a lower relative abundance of *Gemmatimonades* and a higher relative abundance of *Cyanobacteria*. These findings are comparable to other studies of Arctic soils (Männistö et al., 2009, 2013; Tveit et al., 2013; Tuorto et al., 2014). However, the ratio of 16S rRNA transcripts to gene copy numbers differed widely



among the different phyla and *Proteobacterial* classes (Table 2). Even though the relationship between bacterial growth rates and copies of 16S rRNA transcripts differ among bacterial groups (Blazewicz et al., 2013) and different bacterial taxa may have different turnover of their 16S rRNA transcripts, the differences observed in this study may reflect different activity levels among bacterial groups with cells of *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Cyanobacteria* potentially being 100-fold more active than *Gemmatimonadetes*. Hultman et al. (2015) also observed a low ratio of relative abundance of *Gemmatimonadetes* in Alaskan active layer soil metatranscriptome relative to the metagenome.

The main potentially active bacterial groups at the study site may have different ecological roles (also see Sections Potential Nitrogen-fixing Bacteria and Potential Phototrophic Organisms). Several members of *Acidobacteria* are known to degrade plant- and microorganism-derived polysaccharides (Ward et al., 2009; Pankratov and Dedysh, 2010; Eichorst et al., 2011; Rawat et al., 2012), but we were not able to assign a specific ecological role to any of our acidobacterial OTUs. However, *Acidobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* are considered oligotrophs compared to *Proteobacteria*, which are versatile organisms and are considered copiotrophs (Fierer et al., 2003, 2007). This may explain the gradual increase in relative abundance of potentially active *Alphaproteobacteria* from June to October followed by a decrease from October to November. This shift between *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Acidobacteria* coincided with the onset of soil freezing. Even though freezing of soil water results in higher concentrations of dissolved organic carbon and nutrients



as these are excluded during ice formation, freezing likely leads to an overall decrease in the availability of organic carbon and nutrients to bacteria due to a decrease in the volume of liquid water and lower diffusion rates.

### Seasonal Variation of Bacteria

The number of 16S rRNA gene copies was comparable to numbers reported by other studies on Arctic topsoil (e.g., Yergeau et al., 2010; Gittel et al., 2014). The copy numbers didn't show a seasonal pattern, which has also been shown for soils at lower latitudes (e.g., e Silva et al., 2012) and agrees with Wallenstein et al. (2007) suggesting that the abundance of bacteria in tundra soils is relatively stable throughout the year. In contrast, our principal component analysis of the OTUs revealed changes in community structure coinciding with thaw (May) and freezing (October), which has also been reported by Buckeridge et al. (2013), and a separate grouping of bacterial community structure from winter and summer samples (Figure 3A), which were obtained under widely different soil temperatures (Table 1). Temperature has been shown to affect microbial communities in temperate soils (e.g., Pettersson and Bååth, 2003; Castro et al., 2010). However, temperature and freeze–thaw cycles *per se* seem to have limited influence on the bacterial community structure of tundra soil and, e.g., *Acidobacteria* are resilient to freeze–thaw cycles (Männistö et al., 2009). Thus, seasonal changes in Arctic soil bacterial community structure may instead be linked to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and the availability of carbon substrates (Figure 5; and Rasche et al., 2010) that may undergo large seasonal shifts (Buckeridge and Grogan, 2008).

### Seasonal Variation of the Potentially Active Bacteria

As for DNA-based OTUs, the principal component analysis of the RNA-based OTUs showed a grouping of winter and summer samples (Figure 3B). McMahon et al. (2011) also detected seasonal shifts within the active bacterial communities of Arctic soils. In contrast, the copy number of 16S rRNA transcripts (Figure 1) didn't reveal a distinct seasonal pattern indicating potential bacterial activity during winter despite low soil temperatures, limited access to liquid water, and high osmolality of liquid water. Different bacterial groups in permafrost soil have been shown to be active at different subzero temperatures down to  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Tuorto et al., 2014), but in our study soil temperature *per se* didn't significantly affect the community structure of potentially active bacteria. Thus, osmolality and liquid water limitations rather than low temperature *per se* may present greater stresses to the bacteria (Öquist et al., 2009; Ewert and Deming, 2014). Low temperature may limit, but not exclude bacterial activity, and microbial activity has been detected in soil samples at  $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Elberling and Brandt, 2003), *Actinobacteria* are able to sustain activity at low temperatures (Johnson et al., 2007), and specific species of bacteria are known to be active at very low temperatures (e.g., Bakermans and Neelson, 2004; Ayala-del-Río et al., 2010; Mykytczuk et al., 2013; Ewert and Deming, 2014). Also, in the Arctic, microbial activity during winter may continue at low temperatures and may contribute to degradation of organic matter (Welker et al., 2000). Since bacteria

and archaea apparently are the main organisms responsible for organic matter turnover and greenhouse gas production in Arctic soils (Tveit et al., 2013), bacterial activity at low temperatures may have implications for our understanding of biogeochemical cycling of carbon and nitrogen in Arctic soils. Our data indicate that we need to address bacterial activity not only during the Arctic winter and shoulder seasons, but also as temperatures of permafrost soils increase (even when this doesn't lead to thawing of the soils).

### Potential Nitrogen-fixing Bacteria

We found a high relative abundance of 16S rRNA transcripts assigned to free-living (*Cyanobacteria*) and plant-associated nitrogen-fixing bacteria (*Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*) in or on the soil (Figure 4A). It should be noted that 16S rRNA transcripts are a proxy for potential bacterial activity but don't reveal the nature of the bacterial activity, i.e., if the activity was related to survival (e.g., preparation for dormancy or cold shock response, see Blazewicz et al., 2013, for a discussion) or was related to, e.g., nitrogen-fixing activity. Unfortunately, measurements of nitrogen-fixing activity weren't possible during the long winter period. However, most Arctic terrestrial ecosystems are characterized by nitrogen limitation (Tamm, 1991; Elser et al., 2007) and generally receive low amounts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition ( $<2\text{ kg N ha}^{-1}\text{ y}^{-1}$ ) (Dentener et al., 2006). Thus, the high relative abundance of 16S rRNA transcript assigned to nitrogen-fixing bacteria indicates that the activity of nitrogen-fixing bacteria may be of ecological importance at the study site.

### Potential Phototrophic Organisms

16S rRNA gene- and transcript-based OTUs assigned to phototrophic organisms (mainly *Cyanobacteria*, and *Rhodoplanes*, and minor contributions from chloroplasts [presumed to originate from algae and plants], *Chlorobi* and *Chloroflexi*) showed a strong seasonal variation. The increase in abundance of chloroplasts and *Cyanobacteria* during early summer indicated growth of algae and *Cyanobacteria* on the soil surface during spring and early summer when snow had melted and incoming PAR was peaking. The low relative abundance of chloroplasts and *Cyanobacteria* in late summer and autumn was likely caused by drying of the soil surface during mid-summer, a decrease in PAR in autumn, and/or increased predation of the numerically dominant *Cyanobacteria* on the soil surface (Rønn et al., 2012). We have no estimates of the biomass of phototrophic bacteria, but the decline of *Cyanobacteria* and chloroplasts during summer may result in an important input of easily degradable organic matter to other soil microorganisms. A sudden input of easily degradable organic carbon has been shown to change the bacterial community structure in soils (Fierer et al., 2007) and to stimulate decomposition rates of old organic matter in permafrost subsoil (Wild et al., 2014).

Most of the gene fragments and transcripts assigned to phototrophic organisms in winter were assigned to *Rhodoplanes*. All described species of *Rhodoplanes* are known to be not only phototrophic, but also chemoorganoheterotrophic (Hiraishi

and Ueda, 1994; Lakshmi et al., 2009; Okamura et al., 2009; Chakravarthy et al., 2012; Srinivas et al., 2014). The soil core collected July 22nd didn't contain the upper 0-1 cm of the soil profile. This soil core showed a similar relative abundance of 16S rRNA transcripts assigned to *Rhodoplanes* ( $4.3 \pm 0.04\%$ ; average  $\pm$  standard error of the mean) compared to the other eleven soil cores ( $4.1 \pm 0.4\%$ ). This indicates that the *Rhodoplanes* at the study site are not phototrophic but are mainly chemoorganotrophic and may explain why these bacteria were active during the dark part of the year.

## Technical Considerations

We are not aware of studies quantifying the turnover of 16 rRNA transcripts at temperatures relevant to this study, but we assume that the turnover rate decreases with decreasing temperature. Thus, 16S rRNA transcripts produced in winter may persist for longer time than 16S rRNA transcripts produced during summer. However, we were not able to estimate the fraction of the 16S rRNA transcript pool at a specific sampling date, which had been produced recently and thus reflected recent bacterial activity. The rapid decline in *Cyanobacterial* 16S rRNA transcripts during summer indicated a rapid turnover of 16S rRNA transcripts, but *Cyanobacteria* living on the soil surface may be prone to a rapid turnover due to, e.g., grazing by protozoa compared to bacterial phyla inhabiting the bulk soil. Also, we are not aware of studies investigating turnover of 16S rRNA transcripts bound to soil organic matter or minerals, which are known to preserve extracellular DNA in soils (Lombard et al., 2011), although it has been found that adsorption on clay minerals may extend the lifetime of rRNA exposed to RNases (Franchi and Gallori, 2005).

Each of our sampling dates is represented by only a single soil core. It may be argued that the comparison of non-replicated soil

cores results in a study of spatial and not seasonal variation at the study site. This argument gains support from the fluctuations (between 2.4 and 8.7%; **Table 1**) in soil carbon content, which is presumed to be constant over the time span of 12 months. However, the grouping of the bacterial community structure at both DNA and RNA level into a summer and a winter cluster (**Figure 3**) indicates that the samples represent seasonal variations at the study site. Thus, we believe that our samples are representative of 1 year in the active layer of Arctic permafrost.

## Conclusion

The structure of both the bulk and the potentially active bacterial community in Svalbard active layer showed seasonal variation between summer and winter, and apparently sustained activity during winter. Phototrophic organisms on the soil surface and nitrogen-fixing bacteria may be responsible for important input of carbon and nitrogen to the soil.

## Acknowledgments

This work was possible thanks to funds from the Danish National Research Foundation (CENPERM DNR100). The authors thank Pia Bach Jacobsen for help and technical support in the laboratory. Karen Cameron is thanked for help with the bioinformatic analyses.

## Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00399/abstract>

## References

- Ayala-del-Río, H. L., Chain, P. S., Grzymalski, J. J., Ponder, M. A., Ivanova, N., Bergholz, P. W., et al. (2010). The genome sequence of *Psychrobacter arcticus* 273-4, a psychroactive Siberian permafrost bacterium, reveals mechanisms for adaptation to low-temperature growth. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 2304–2312. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02101-09
- Bakermans, C., and Neelson, K. H. (2004). Relationship of critical temperature to macromolecular synthesis and growth yield in *Psychrobacter cryopegella*. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 2340–2345. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.8.2340-2345.2004
- Baldrian, P., Kolařík, M., Štursová, M., Kopecký, J., Valášková, V., Vitrovský, T., et al. (2012). Active and total microbial communities in forest soil are largely different and highly stratified during decomposition. *ISME J.* 6, 248–258. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.95
- Bardgett, R. D., Lovell, R. D., Hobbs, P. J., and Jarvis, S. C. (1999). Seasonal changes in soil microbial communities along a fertility gradient of temperate grasslands. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 31, 1021–1030. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00016-4
- Blazewicz, S. J., Barnard, R. L., Daly, R. A., and Firestone, M. K. (2013). Evaluating rRNA as an indicator of microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses. *ISME J.* 7, 2061–2068. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.102
- Bokulich, N. A., Subramanian, S., Faith, J. J., Gevers, D., Gordon, J. I., Knight, R., et al. (2012). Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nat. Meth.* 10, 57–59. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2276
- Buckeridge, K., and Grogan, P. (2008). Deepened snow alters soil microbial nutrient limitations in arctic birch hummock tundra. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 39, 210–222. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.12.010
- Buckeridge, K. M., Banerjee, S., Siciliano, S. D., and Grogan, P. (2013). The seasonal pattern of soil microbial community structure in mesic low arctic tundra. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 65, 338–347. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.012
- Campbell, B. J., Poulson, S. W., Hanson, T. E., Mack, M. C., and Schuur, E. A. G. (2010). The effect of nutrient deposition on bacterial communities in Arctic tundra soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 1842–1854. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02189.x
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., and Bushman, F. D., Costello, et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Meth.* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., et al. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* 6, 1621–1624. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.8
- Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A., Turnbaugh, P. J., et al. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 4516–4522. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000080107
- Castro, H. F., Classen, A. T., Austin, E. E., Norby, R. J., and Schadt, C. W. (2010). Soil microbial community responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 999–1007. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02874-09

- Chakravarthy, S. K., Ramaprasad, E. V. V., Shobha, E., Sasikala, C., and Ramana, C. V. (2012). *Rhodoplanes piscinae* sp. nov. isolated from pond water. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 62, 2828–2834. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.037663-0
- Chu, H., Fierer, N., Lauber, C. L., Caporaso, J., Knight, R., and Grogan, P. (2010). Soil bacterial diversity in the Arctic is not fundamentally different from that found in other biomes. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 2998–3006. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02277.x
- Cooper, E. J., Dullinger, S., and Semenchuk, P. (2011). Late snowmelt delays plant development and results in lower reproductive success in the High Arctic. *Plant Sci.* 180, 157–167. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.09.005
- Cruz-Martinez, K., Suttle, K. B., Brodie, E. L., Power, M. E., Andersen, G. L., and Banfield, J. F. (2009). Despite strong seasonal responses, soil microbial consortia are more resilient to long-term changes in rainfall than overlying grassland. *ISME J.* 3, 738–744. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.16
- DeConto, R. M., Galeotti, S., Pagani, M., Tracy, D., Schaefer, K., Zhang, T., et al. (2012). Past extreme warming events linked to massive carbon release from thawing permafrost. *Nature* 484, 87–91. doi: 10.1038/nature10929
- Dentener, F., Drevet, J., Lamarque, J. F., Bey, I., Eickhout, B., Fiore, A. M., et al. (2006). Nitrogen and sulfur deposition on regional and global scales: a multimodel evaluation. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 20, GB4003. doi: 10.1029/2005GB002672
- DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. L., Keller, K., et al. (2006). Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench Compatible with ARB. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5069–5072. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03006-05
- Edgar, R. C. (2013). UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nat. Methods* 10, 996–998. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2604
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., and Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194–2200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Eichorst, S. A., Kuske, C. R., and Schmidt, T. M. (2011). Influence of plant polymers on the distribution and cultivation of bacteria in the phylum *Acidobacteria*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 586–596. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01080-10
- Elberling, B., and Brandt, K. K. (2003). Uncoupling of microbial CO<sub>2</sub> production and release in frozen soil and its implications for field studies of arctic C cycling. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 263–272. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00258-4
- Elberling, B., Michelsen, A., Schädel, C., Schuur, E. A. G., Christiansen, H. H., Berg, L., et al. (2013). Long-term CO<sub>2</sub> production following permafrost thaw. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 890–894. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1955
- Elmendorf, S., Henry, G., Hollister, R. D., Björk, R., Boulanger-Lapointe, N., Cooper, E., et al. (2012). Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* 2, 453–457. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1465
- Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E. S., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H., et al. (2007). Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 1135–1142. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x
- e Silva, F. C. P., Dias, A. C. F., van Elsas, J. D., and Salles, J. F. (2012). Spatial and temporal variation of archaeal, bacterial and fungal communities in agricultural soils. *PLoS ONE* 7:e51554. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051554
- Ewert, M., and Deming, J. W. (2014). Bacterial responses to fluctuations and extremes in temperature and brine salinity at the surface of Arctic winter sea ice. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 89, 476–489. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12363
- Fierer, N., Bradford, M., and Jackson, R. (2007). Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* 88, 1354–1364. doi: 10.1890/05-1839
- Fierer, N., Schimel, J. P., and Holden, P. A. (2003). Variations in microbial community composition through two soil depth profiles. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 167–176. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00251-1
- Franchi, M., and Gallori, E. (2005). A surface-mediated origin of the RNA world: biogenic activities of clay-adsorbed RNA molecules. *Gene* 346, 205–214. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2004.11.002
- Ghyselsinck, J., Pfeiffer, S., Heylen, K., Sessitsch, A., and De Vos, P. (2013). The effect of primer choice and short read sequences on the outcome of 16S rRNA gene based diversity studies. *PLoS ONE* 8:e71360. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071360
- Gittel, A., Bárta, J., Kohoutová, I., Mikutta, R., Owens, S., Gilbert, J., et al. (2014). Distinct microbial communities associated with buried soils in the Siberian tundra. *ISME J.* 8, 841–853. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.219
- Gray, N. D., McCann, C. M., Christgen, B., Ahammad, S. Z., Roberts, J. A., and Graham, D. W. (2014). Soil geochemistry confines microbial abundances across an arctic landscape; implications for net carbon exchange with the atmosphere. *Biogeochemistry* 120, 307–317. doi: 10.1007/s10533-014-9997-7
- Hiraishi, A., and Ueda, Y. (1994). *Rhodoplanes* gen. nov., a new genus of phototrophic bacteria including *Rhodopseudomonas rosea* as *Rhodoplanes roseus* comb. nov. and *Rhodoplanes elegans* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 44, 665–673. doi: 10.1099/00207713-44-4-665
- Hultman, J., Waldrop, M. P., Mackelprang, R., David, M. M., McFarland, J., Blazewicz, S. J., et al. (2015). Multi-omics of permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soil microbiomes. *Nature*. doi: 10.1038/nature14238. [Epub ahead of print].
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (IPCC). (2013). “Summary for policymakers,” in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, and J. Boschung (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 3–29.
- Johnson, S. S., Hebsgaard, M. B., Christensen, T. R., Mastepanov, M., Nielsen, R., Munch, K., et al. (2007). Ancient bacteria show evidence of DNA repair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 14401–14405. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706787104
- Jones, R. T., Robeson, M. S., Lauber, C. L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Fierer, N. (2009). A comprehensive survey of soil Acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. *ISME J.* 3, 442–453. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.127
- Kim, H. M., Jung, J. Y., Yergeau, E., Hwang, C. Y., Hinzman, L., Nam, S., et al. (2014). Bacterial community structure and soil properties of a subarctic tundra soil in Council, Alaska. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 89, 465–475. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12362
- Knoblauch, C., Beer, C., Sosnin, A., Wagner, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M. (2013). Predicting long-term carbon mineralization and trace gas production from thawing permafrost of Northeast Siberia. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 1160–1172. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12116
- Kotik, M., Davidová, A., Voříšková, J., and Baldrian, P. (2013). Bacterial communities in tetrachloroethene-polluted groundwaters: a case study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 454, 517–527. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.082
- Koyama, A., Wallenstein, M. D., Simpson, R. T., and Moore, J. C. (2014). Soil bacterial community composition altered by increased nutrient availability in Arctic tundra soils. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:516. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00516
- Kuffner, M., Hai, B., Rattei, T., Melodelima, C., Schloter, M., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., et al. (2012). Effects of season and experimental warming on the bacterial community in a temperate mountain forest soil assessed by 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 82, 551–562. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01420.x
- Lakshmi, K. V. N. S., Sasikala, C., and Ramana, C. V. (2009). *Rhodoplanes pokkaliisoli* sp. nov., a phototrophic alphaproteobacterium isolated from a waterlogged brackish paddy soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 59, 2153–2157. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.008185-0
- Lane, D. J. (1991). “16S/23S rRNA sequencing,” in *Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics*, eds E. Stackebrandt and M. Goodfellow (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley), 125–175.
- Lauber, C. L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Fierer, N. (2009). Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 5111–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00335-09
- Lazzaro, A., Brankatschk, R., and Zeyer, J. (2012). Seasonal dynamics of nutrients and bacterial communities in unvegetated alpine glacier forefields. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 53, 10–22. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.10.013
- Lipson, D. A., and Schmidt, S. K. (2004). Seasonal changes in an alpine soil bacterial community in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 2867–2879. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.5.2867-2879.2004
- Lombard, N., Prestat, E., van Elsas, J. D., and Simonet, P. (2011). Soil-specific limitations for access and analysis of soil microbial communities by metagenomics. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 78, 31–49. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01140.x

- Mackelprang, R., Waldrop, M. P., DeAngelis, K. M., David, M. M., Chavarria, K. L., Blazewicz, S. J., et al. (2011). Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. *Nature* 480, 368–371. doi: 10.1038/nature10576
- Männistö, M. K., Kurhela, E., Tirola, M., and Häggblom, M. M. (2013). Acidobacteria dominate the active bacterial communities of Arctic tundra with widely divergent winter-time snow accumulation and soil temperatures. *FEMS Microb. Ecol.* 84, 47–59. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12035
- Männistö, M. K., Tirola, M., and Häggblom, M. (2009). Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on bacterial communities of Arctic tundra soil. *Microb. Ecol.* 58, 621–631. doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9516-x
- McMahon, S. K., Wallenstein, M. D., and Schimel, J. P. (2011). A crossseasonal comparison of active and total bacterial community composition in Arctic tundra soil using bromodeoxyuridine labelling. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 4, 287–295. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.013
- Mori, H., Maruyama, F., Kato, H., Toyoda, A., Dozono, A., Ohtsubo, Y., et al. (2014). Design and experimental application of a novel non-degenerate universal primer set that amplifies prokaryotic 16S rRNA genes with a low possibility to amplify eukaryotic rRNA genes. *DNA Res.* 21, 217–227. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dst052
- Mykityczuk, N. C. S., Foote, S. J., Omelon, C. R., Southam, G., Greer, C. W., and Whyte, L. G. (2013). Bacterial growth at  $-15^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; molecular insights from the permafrost bacterium *Planococcus halocryophilus* Or1. *ISME J.* 7, 1211–1226. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.8
- Neufeld, J. D., and Mohn, W. W. (2005). Unexpectedly high bacterial diversity in arctic tundra relative to boreal forest soils, revealed by serial analysis of ribosomal sequence tags. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 5710–5718. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.10.5710-5718.2005
- Nicolaisen, M. H., Bælum, J., Jacobsen, C. S., and Sørensen, J. (2008). Transcription dynamics of the functional *tfdA* gene during MCPA herbicide degradation by *Cupriavidus necator* AEO106 (pRO101) in agricultural soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 571–579. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01476.x
- Okamura, K., Kanbe, T., and Hiraishi, A. (2009). *Rhodoplanes serenus* sp. nov., a purple non-sulfur bacterium isolated from pond water. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 59, 531–535. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.000174-0
- Öquist, M. G., Sparrman, T., Klemetsson, L., Drott, S. H., Grip, H., Schleucher, J., et al. (2009). Water availability controls microbial temperature responses in frozen soil CO<sub>2</sub> production. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15, 2715–2722. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01898.x
- Pankratov, T. A., and Dedysh, S. N. (2010). *Granulicella paludicola* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Granulicella pectinivorans* sp. nov., *Granulicella aggregans* sp. nov. and *Granulicella rosea* sp. nov., acidophilic, polymer-degrading acidobacteria from Sphagnum peat bogs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 2951–2959. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.021824-0
- Pettersson, M., and Bååth, E. (2003). Temperature-dependent changes in the soil bacterial community in limed and unlimed soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 45, 13–21. doi: 10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00106-5
- Ramette, A. (2007). Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 62, 142–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00375.x
- Ramirez, K. S., Craine, J. M., and Fierer, N. (2012). Consistent effects of nitrogen amendments on soil microbial communities and processes across biomes. *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 1918–1927. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02639.x
- Ramirez, K. S., Lauber, C. L., Knight, R., Bradford, M. A., and Fierer, N. (2010). Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. *Ecology* 91, 3463–3470. doi: 10.1890/10-0426.1
- Rasche, F., Knapp, D., Kaiser, C., Koranda, M., Kitzler, B., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., et al. (2010). Seasonality and resource availability control bacterial and archaeal communities in soils of a temperate beech forest. *ISME J.* 5, 389–402. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.138
- Rawat, S. R., Männistö, M. K., Bromberg, Y., and Häggblom, M. M. (2012). Comparative genomic and physiological analysis provides insights into the role of Acidobacteria in organic carbon utilization in Arctic tundra soils. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 82, 341–355. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01381.x
- Reed, S. C., Cleveland, C. C., and Townsend, A. R. (2011). Functional ecology of free-living nitrogen fixation: a contemporary perspective. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 42, 489–512. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145034
- Roesch, L. F. W., Fulthorpe, R. R., Riva, A., Casella, G., Hadwin, A. K. M., Kent, A. D., et al. (2007). Pyrosequencing enumerates and contrasts soil microbial diversity. *ISME J.* 1, 283–290. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2007.53
- Rønn, R., Vestergård, M., and Ekelund, F. (2012). Interactions between bacteria, protozoa and nematodes in soil. *Acta Protozool.* 51, 223–235. doi: 10.4467/16890027AP.12.018.0764
- Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister E. B. et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7537–7541. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01541-09
- Schuur, E. A. G., Vogel, J. G., Crummer, K. G., Lee, H., Sickman, J. O., and Oosterkamp, T. E. (2009). The effect of permafrost thaw on old carbon release and net carbon exchange from tundra. *Nature* 459, 556–559. doi: 10.1038/nature08031
- Sessitsch, A., Gyamfi, S., Stralis-Pavese, N., Weilharter, A., and Pfeifer, U. (2002). RNA isolation from soil for bacterial community and functional analysis: evaluation of different extraction and soil conservation protocols. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 51, 171–179. doi: 10.1016/S0167-7012(02)00065-9
- Siciliano, S. D., Palmer, A. S., Winsley, T., Lamb, E., Bissett, A., Brown, M. V., et al. (2014). Soil fertility is associated with fungal and bacterial richness, whereas pH is associated with community composition in polar soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 78, 10–20. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.07.005
- Soergel, D. A. W., Dey, N., Knight, R., and Brenner, S. E. (2012). Selection of primers for optimal taxonomic classification of environmental 16S rRNA gene sequences. *ISME J.* 6, 1440–1444. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.208
- Srinivas, A., Sasikala, C., and Ramana, C. V. (2014). *Rhodoplanes oryzae* sp. nov., a phototrophic alphaproteobacterium isolated from the rhizosphere soil of paddy. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 2198–2203. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.063347-0
- Stibal, M., Schostag, M., Cameron, K. A., Hansen, L. H., Chandler, D. M., Wadham, J. L., et al. (2015). Different bulk and active bacterial communities in cryoconite from the margin and interior of the Greenland ice sheet. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 7, 293–300. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12246
- Tamm, C. O. (1991). *Nitrogen in Terrestrial Ecosystems*. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S. (2009). Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 23:GB2023. doi: 10.1029/2008GB003327
- ter Braak, C., and Šmilauer, P. (2012). *Canoco Reference Manual and User's Guide: Software for Ordination (version 5.0)*. Ithaca, NY: Microcomputer Power.
- Tuorto, S. J., Darias, P., McGuinness, L. R., Panikov, N., Zhang, T., Häggblom, M. M., et al. (2014). Bacterial genome replication at subzero temperatures in permafrost. *ISME J.* 8, 139–149. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.140
- Tveit, A., Schwacke, R., Svenning, M. M., and Ulrich, T. (2013). Organic carbon transformations in high-Arctic peat soils: key functions and microorganisms. *ISME J.* 7, 299–311. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.99
- Wallenstein, M. D., McMahon, S., and Schimel, J. (2007). Bacterial and fungal community structure in Arctic tundra tussock and shrub soils. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 59, 428–435. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00260.x
- Ward, N. L., Challacombe, J. F., Janssen, P. H., Henrissat, B., Coutinho, P. M., Wu, M., et al. (2009). Three genomes from the phylum Acidobacteria provide insight into the lifestyles of these microorganisms in soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 2046–2056. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02294-08
- Welker, J. M., Fahnestock, J. T., and Jones, M. H. (2000). Annual CO<sub>2</sub> flux in dry and moist Arctic tundra: field responses to increases in summer temperatures and winter snow depth. *Clim. Change* 44, 139–150. doi: 10.1023/A:1005555012742
- Wild, B., Schneckler, J., Alves, R. J. E., Barsukov, P., Bárta, J., Capek, P., et al. (2014). Input of easily available organic C and N stimulates microbial decomposition of soil organic matter in arctic permafrost soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 75, 143–151. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.014
- Yergeau, E., Hogues, H., Whyte, L. G., and Greer, W. (2010). The functional potential of high Arctic permafrost revealed by metagenomic sequencing, qPCR and microarray analyses. *ISME J.* 4, 1206–1214. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.41

Zhang, T., Barry, R., Knowles, K., Heginbottom, J., and Brown, J. (2008). Statistics and characteristics of permafrost and ground-ice distribution in the Northern Hemisphere. *Pol. Geogr.* 31, 47–68. doi: 10.1080/10889370802175895

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2015 Schostag, Stibal, Jacobsen, Bælum, Taş, Elberling, Jansson, Semenchuk and Priomé. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*