Chip-based optical microscopy for imaging membrane sieve plates of liver scavenger cells
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ABSTRACT

The evanescent field on top of optical waveguides is used to image membrane network and sieve-plates of liver endothelial cells. In waveguide excitation, the evanescent field is dominant only near the surface (~100-150 nm) providing a default optical sectioning by illuminating fluorophores in close proximity to the surface and thus benefiting higher signal-to-noise ratio. The sieve plates of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells are present on the cell membrane, thus near-field waveguide chip-based microscopy configuration is preferred over epi-fluorescence. The waveguide chip is compatible with optical fiber components allowing easy multiplexing to different wavelengths. In this paper, we will discuss the challenges and opportunities provided by integrated optical microscopy for imaging cell membranes.

1. INTRODUCTION TO WAVEGUIDE CHIP-BASED MICROSCOPY

Fluorescence microscopy has emerged as a vital tool in modern bio-medical imaging and diagnosis. Different fluorescent stains (dyes) that bind specifically to sub-cellular organelles, membrane, proteins, allow optical microscopy to provide specific intra-cellular level information. In total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF), the surface evanescent field is used to illuminate the sample [1-5]. The evanescent field decays exponentially above the surface and is used to illuminate thin section of the sample (typically 150-200 nm). Unlike epi-fluorescence where the entire sample is illuminated, TIRF microscopy illuminates only a thin section of the cell providing a high signal-to-noise ratio by reducing the background signal and low photo-toxicity. TIRF microscopy is a preferred methodology for imaging targets or biological phenomena in close proximity to the cell membrane, such as cell membrane trafficking, cell adhesion points or structures present on cell membrane.

Different techniques can be used to generate the evanescent field as shown in Fig. 1. The most commonly used method is a total internal reflection (TIR) objective lens. The TIR lens is used to generate the evanescent field at the surface of the cover slip, and illuminates the samples residing directly on top of cover slips. As shown in Fig. 1c, the light can be confined inside an optical waveguide and guided along a pre-fabricated pattern. On the surface of a waveguide, an evanescent field is present along the entire length of the waveguide (Fig 3c). The surface evanescent field of a waveguide can also be used for TIRF microscopy, in the same way as a TIR objective lens (Fig 1) [3-5].
conventional TIR objective lens based microscopy both the illumination and the collection light path are guided thorough the TIR objective lens. Here, a waveguide-chip provides the illumination to the sample and any standard objective lens can be used to collect the signal, thus decoupling the illumination and the collection light path.

2. LIVER SINUSOIDAL ENDOTHELIAL CELLS

The liver cells such as Kupffer cells (KCs) and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) are engaged in blood clearance activity [6-9]. The LSECs, which line the very small blood vessels (sinusoids) of the liver, possess unique morphological characteristics called "fenestrations" (small holes grouped in sieve plates) with a mean diameter of approximately 100 nm. Together these cell types make up the body’s most powerful scavenger system. In mammals, the KCs directly engulf and remove larger targets (>200 nm) whereas LSECs eliminate smaller targets (<200 nm) via clathrin mediated endocytosis. LSECs are uniquely characterized structurally with fenestrations (nano-holes of ~50-200 nm diameter) grouped in sieve plates (Figure 2), which allow small soluble material, but not larger particles to pass across the sinusoidal wall to the underlying parenchymal cells. As fenestrations and sieve plates are present only on the cell membrane, TIRF (total internal reflection microscopy) configuration is preferred over epi-fluorescence.

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrograph of rat LSEC plasma membrane showing fenestrations clustered in sieve plates (dotted line).

Scale bar = 1 µm.

3. METHODOLOGY

**Waveguide material:** Low loss, low auto-fluorescence optical waveguides for visible light (532 nm) are required for waveguide-chip based microscopy. Waveguides made of a material with a high refractive index, e.g. tantalum pentoxide (Ta2O5) or silicon nitride (Si3N4), give high intensity in the evanescent field and a smaller penetration depth. In this work we used optical waveguides made of tantalum pentoxide (Ta2O5), n = 2.1 and high refractive index contrast (Δn) of 0.75 when compared with SiO2 (n= 1.45). We have previously optimized the fabrication process for Ta2O5 waveguides [10-11] and have used them for waveguide trapping application [12-15]. Strip waveguides with a strip height of 110 nm on silicon dioxide substrates were used for imaging. A 5 µm thick SiO2 was present below the waveguide layer as a cladding layer. Due to the large size of the imaged cells, the width of the waveguides used are either 50µm or 100 µm. This ensures that the imaging region will be suited for the rather large stretch of the LSECs.

**Cell isolation staining:** Rat LSECs were prepared by collagenase perfusion of the liver, low speed differential centrifugation and Percoll gradient sedimentation [16], followed by KCs depletion by seeding the nonparenchymal fraction onto plastic culture dishes. This resulted in a cell suspension enriched in LSECs. Human LSECs were also isolated from patients undergoing liver resections based on immunomagnetic selection. The cells were seeded on waveguides pre-coated with fibronectin and allowed attachment for 1h. Non attached cells and debris were removed, and after another 1h of incubation, the cell were fixed with 4 % PFA for 10 minutes at RT. Following a 30 min incubation with 1% BSA to minimize unspecific binding of the dyes, the cells were stained for actin filaments by incubating the
cells for 20 min at 37°C with Alexa Fluor 647 Phalloidin (1:40 dilution in PBS). After extensive washes with PBS, the plasma membrane was stained by incubating the cells for 10 min at RT with Cell Mask Orange (working concentration of 1.25 ng/ml in PBS).

**Experimental set-up:** The experimental set-up employed is shown in Figure 3. The two lasers beams 532 nm and 638 nm were used for TIRF excitation and were combined and coupled into the waveguides using an 50X 0.5 NA objective lens. The input coupling objective lens was kept on a translation stage to optimize the coupling efficiency on to the waveguide chip. An upright microscope (Olympus) with adequate emission filter sets was used, also this mounted on a translation stage allowing freedom to move the field of view around on the waveguide chip. The images were captured using a sensitive sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4). For epi-fluorescence imaging, another 532 nm laser was introduced from the top of the microscope as shown in Fig. 3. The sample chamber was made using thin PDMS layer (110 nm thickness), with an opening at the center to introduce the cells and image buffer. The thickness of the PDMS chamber was matched to the shortest working distance of the objective lenses used for imaging, to ensure the best possible image quality. The PDMS opening was sealed by a cover slip and different N.A. objective lens were used to acquire images. The cells were placed on top of the waveguide and were illuminated by the evanescent field of the waveguide for 2D imaging and by the propagating laser beam for epi-fluorescence mode.

Figure 3 Experimental set-up of waveguide-chip based microscopy. Lasers (532 nm/638 nm) were used for 2D chip-based imaging and another 532 nm laser was used for epi-fluorescence from the top of an upright microscope.

4. **WAVEGUIDE CHIP-BASED IMAGING OF LIVER CELLS**

The primary LSECs were immobilized directly on top of waveguides, fixed within 3 hours after the isolation and stained. The waveguide is coated with a thin layer of fibronectin to help immobilization of LSECs on the waveguide surface. Figure 4a shows a bright field image of LSECs and Figure 3c shows the cells imaged using the evanescent field of the waveguide. The cell membrane network and sieve-plates (dark areas in the membrane) are imaged, while Fig. 3b shows an epi-fluorescence image of the same cell. In epi-fluorescence excitation the cell nucleus become emphasized as a consequence of the top-illumination, and as illumination power increases the signals from the nucleus saturates the image before the membrane sieve plates can be imaged. The images were acquired using a 50X 0.8NA objective lens. The 532 nm excitation laser was operated in the range of 200-300 mW for waveguide imaging, with exposure time of 300 ms. The fairly high power is needed due to high losses associated with the coupling loss and the propagation loss. The estimated guided power inside the waveguide is less than 5mW. For epi-illumination considerably less power is needed to achieve the appropriate illumination of the fluoreophores (in the range of 10-20 mW). In separate experiments, human LSECs were stained with Alexa 647 Phalloidin and imaged using waveguide excitation (laser 638 nm). The images of actin in human LSEC are shown in Figure 5. The images were acquired by a water immersion objective lens (60X 1.2NA). The actin filaments are used to measure the resolution of the method, and found to be 295nm at full width.
half maximum (FWHM) after a deconvolution algorithm is employed on the acquired image. Due to rapid decay of the evanescent field from the waveguide surface, low background noise is obtained in chip-based microscopy.
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Figure 4: a) Bright field image of rat LSECs located on top of the waveguide surface. b) Waveguide TIRF image of cell region. The sieve plates present on cell membrane are imaged (dark areas present on cell membrane). c) Epi-fluorescence image of same cells.

![Waveguide microscopy images](image.png)

Figure 5: a) Bright field image of human LSEC on top of waveguide. b-c) Waveguide TRIF image of the same cell. The cell is stained with Alexa 647-phalloidin exposing cell actin. The FWHM is 295 nm.

5. CONCLUSION

We have used waveguide-chips made of high-refractive index contrast material (Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) for imaging membrane sieve plates and actins present in rat and human LSECs, respectively. In waveguide excitation, the evanescent field is dominant only near the surface (~100-150 nm) providing default optical sectioning and illuminating fluorophores that are in close proximity to the surface and thus benefiting higher signal-to-noise ratio. Optical waveguides provide a uniform excitation over large sample area and the method represents an integrated, on-chip approach for fluorescent imaging, with a possible extension towards super-resolution imaging methods. Waveguide-chip generates evanescent field along the entire length of the waveguide, when combined with low magnification, a large field-of-view can be imaged under TIR illumination. While, in conventional TIRF microscopy both imaging and excitation are coupled thus the field-of-view is limited by TIR lens (usually high magnification). For fluorescence microscopy, it is an advantage to stain different parts of a cell with different dyes and excite each dye with a different wavelength. The integrated chip-platform is compatible with optical fiber components which would allow easy multiplexing of different wavelengths for multi-color imaging. Combining waveguide imaging with planar waveguide trapping opens for the possibility of trapping particles (cells, bacteria etc.) on the waveguide, while acquiring TIRF images of the particles [12].
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