Ligand noninnocence in FeNO corroles: insights from β-octabromocorrole complexes†
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The first FeNO octabromocorroles have been synthesized including four β-octabromo-meso-tris(p-X-phenyl)corrole derivatives Fe[Br8TpXPC](NO) (X = CF3, H, CH3, OCH3) and the β-octabromo-meso-tris(pentafluorophenyl)corrole complex, Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO). The last complex, which proved amenable to single-crystal X-ray structure determination, exhibits the geometry parameters: Fe–N(O) 1.643(8) Å, N–O 1.158(9) Å, and a FeNO angle of 176.4(6)°. The more electron-deficient complexes exhibit increased instability with respect to NO loss and also higher infrared NO stretching frequencies (νNO). Interestingly, DFT calculations and IR marker bands indicate a noninnocent {FeNO}7-(corrole2−) formulation for all FeNO corroles, both β-H8 and β-Br8, with essentially the same degree of corrole radical character. Instead, an electron-deficient corrole appears to exert a field effect resulting in reduced Fe-to-NO back-donation, which accounts for both the increased instability with respect to NO loss and the higher νNO’s.

Introduction

The well-known FeNO corroles have long been thought of as classic {FeNO}6 complexes.1–3 Recently, multiple lines of evidence, including electronic absorption spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, and broken-symmetry DFT calculations,4 however, have indicated a noninnocent {FeNO}7-(corrole2−) formulation for these complexes.5–9 The question thus arises, to what extent is such a description contingent upon the exact electronic character, i.e., the substitution pattern, of the corrole? Our earlier study focused on FeNO complexes of meso-tris(p-X-phenyl)corrole ligands, i.e., the Fe[TpXPC](NO) series. In this work, we have synthesized and characterized the corresponding β-octabromo complexes, i.e., Fe[Br8TpXPC](NO), where [Fig. 1; X = CF3, H, CH3, OCH3], as well as Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), where Br8TPFPC is the highly electron-deficient β-octabromo-meso-tris(pentafluorophenyl)corrole ligand. Qualitatively, the stability of the complexes appears to decrease as the corrole ligand becomes increasingly electron-deficient. Thus, it was gratifying that a single crystal X-ray structure could be obtained for Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), the most electron-deficient complex studied. Electron-poor FeNO corroles also exhibit higher infrared NO stretching frequencies (νNO), which vary over a range of some 40 cm−1. It is tempting to interpret this trend as reflecting a slightly higher degree of {FeNO}6 character of the metal center, along with reduced radical character on the corrole. We shall see, however, that broken-symmetry DFT calculations indicate a different rationale.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and chemical stability

The FeNO octabromocorroles were prepared in much the same manner as the corresponding β-H8 complexes, i.e., via iron
insertion in pyridine-methanol, followed by addition of aqueous NaN3. The syntheses were greatly facilitated by the recent improvements in the syntheses of the H3[Br8TPFPC] (X = CF3, H, CH3, OCH3)10,11 and H3[Br8TPFPC] ligands.12,13 The characteristic blood-red color of the FeNO complexes provided a simple visual test of their stability, which, as mentioned above, varied significantly across the compounds studied. Thus, Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), for X = H, CH3, and OCH3, and Fe[Br8TPFPC]NO), for X = CH3 and OCH3, were all moderately stable in the solid state as well as overnight in dichloromethane solution at room temperature. On the other hand, blood-red dichloromethane and chloroform solutions of Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), and Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO), turned brown in about an hour or so, indicating decomposition. These complexes also decomposed, with NO loss, in the solid state, but only over days. Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization was therefore carried out on freshly prepared samples, although certain measurements (particularly molar extinction coefficients and elemental analyses) could not be carried out for the more unstable complexes.

Molecular structure of Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO) and general structural considerations

Attempted crystallization and single-crystal X-ray analysis of Fe[Br8TPFPC](NO) led, to our surprise, to a rather poor-quality structure for a µ-oxodioxiri 10-methoxyisocorrole complex. Fortunately, X-ray quality crystals of Fe[Br8TPFPC]-NO could be obtained by diffusion of benzene into a heptane solution of the complex, providing the first X-ray structure of an FeNO octabromocorrole. The geometrical parameters of the FeNO units (listed under Fig. 2) were found to be nearly identical to those found for {FeNO}6 porphyrin-type complexes,15 i.e., Fe−N(NO) ~1.65 Å, N−O ~1.16 Å, and a nearly linear FeNO angle >170°. We shall see, however, that other probes, notably infrared spectroscopy and broken-symmetry DFT calculations, favor a {FeNO}7−(corrole2−) description, for both β-H8 and β-Br8 complexes.16

Despite the apparent similarity with {FeNO}6 complexes, careful examination of the skeletal bond distances of Fe-Br8TPFPC][NO] (Fig. 3), as well as those in DFT (B3LYP/STO-TZ2P) optimized structures, does afford evidence of corrole radical character. The bipyrrole part of the corrole macrocycle of Fe[Br8TPFPC][NO] exhibits characteristic bond length alternations that are not seen in an innocent [Br8TPFPC] complex such as Ir[Br8TPFPC][Meso,N]17 Analogous bond length alternations have also been noted for noninnocent β-H8 complexes such as Fe[TPC][NO] and Fe[TPC][Cl].1 These bond length alternations all correspond to removal of an electron from the corrole HOMO depicted in Fig. 4.

Infrared spectroscopy

The infrared νNO’s of the FeNO octabromocorroles examined (1786–1803 cm−1, Table 1) are some 90–100 cm−1 lower than those of genuine {FeNO}6 porphyrins such as Fe(TpivPP)[NO] (1893 cm−1),15 but some 30 cm−1 higher than the values measured for electron-rich FeNO corroles such as Fe(OEC][NO]3 and Fe[TPC][NO]4 (both 1767 cm−1). Based on infrared νNO’s, the {FeNO}7−(corrole2−) description proposed for β-H8 FeNO triarylcorroles would appear to be applicable to the β-Br8 complexes as well.

For β-H8 FeNO corroles, the infrared νNO’s vary significantly as a function of the meso substituents, from 1761 cm−1 for Fe[Br8TPFPC][NO] to 1801 cm−1 for Fe[TPFPC][NO]. Intriguingly, the effect of β-octabromination on the νNO varies considerably, from >20 cm−1 for certain Fe[Br8TPFPC][NO] derivatives to only 2 cm−1 for Fe[Br8TPFPC][NO], indicating a leveling-off with increasing electron-deficient character of the corrole ligand (Table 1). Indeed, a value of 1803 cm−1 appears to be the upper limit for the νNO of FeNO corroles.
Table 1  Soret $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm), IR $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ (cm$^{-1}$), and redox potentials (V vs. SCE) of FeNO corroles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{NO}}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2\text{ox}}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/2\text{red}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TpOMePC</a></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>1761</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TpMePC</a></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TPC</a></td>
<td>390</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TpCO$_2$MePC</a></td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TpCF$_3$PC</a></td>
<td>385</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">TPPPC</a></td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNO triarylcorroles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">Br$_8$TpOMePC</a></td>
<td>394</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">Br$_8$TpMePC</a></td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">Br$_8$TPC</a></td>
<td>397</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">Br$_8$TpCF$_3$PC</a></td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe<a href="NO">Br$_8$TPPPPC</a></td>
<td>392</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘—’: Data unavailable due to compound instability.

Fig. 3  Skeletal geometries (Å) of Fe[Br$_8$TPFPC](NO) and Ir[Br$_8$TPFPC](Me$_3$N)$_2$: X-ray (left) and B3LYP/STO-TZ2P (C$_s$, right) distances. The red and blue arrows indicate ‘longer’ and ‘shorter’ bonds, respectively.

Fig. 4  The HOMO of Au($\pi$) corrole, a representative innocent metallocorrole.
DFT calculations

Broken-symmetry DFT (B3LYP) calculations played a major role in establishing the noninnocent, radical nature of FeNO corroles. An examination of the broken-symmetry spin density profiles (Fig. 5) and Mulliken spin populations (Table 2) now also provide an attractive explanation for the variation of $\nu_{\text{NO}}$ across the complexes studied. Table 2 shows that both the Fe and NO spin populations decrease (in absolute value) with increasing electron-deficient character of the corrole ligand. Because the latter spin density may be viewed as a measure of occupation of the NO $\pi^*$ orbitals, we may conclude that a strongly electron-deficient corrole ligand leads to a lesser degree of backbonding and hence to a stronger NO bond and a higher $\nu_{\text{NO}}$. Thus, between Fe[TpOMePC](NO) and Fe[Br$_8$TPFPC](NO), the magnitude of the broken-symmetry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Corrole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TpOMePC</td>
<td>2.031</td>
<td>-0.666</td>
<td>-0.488</td>
<td>-1.154</td>
<td>-0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC</td>
<td>2.018</td>
<td>-0.659</td>
<td>-0.486</td>
<td>-1.145</td>
<td>-0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPFPC</td>
<td>1.949</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>-0.477</td>
<td>-1.092</td>
<td>-0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$_8$TpOMePC</td>
<td>2.013</td>
<td>-0.627</td>
<td>-0.486</td>
<td>-1.113</td>
<td>-0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$_8$TPC</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>-0.620</td>
<td>-0.484</td>
<td>-1.104</td>
<td>-0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$_8$TPFPC</td>
<td>1.918</td>
<td>-0.570</td>
<td>-0.467</td>
<td>-1.036</td>
<td>-0.882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spin population on the NO decreases by 0.12, which correlates with an experimentally observed upshift of 42 cm\(^{-1}\) in the \(\nu_{\text{NO}}\). Interestingly, there does not appear to be a clear correlation between the amount of broken-symmetry spin density on the macrocycle and its electron-deficient character. Indeed, to a first approximation, all FeNO corroles exhibit the same degree of corrole radical character (as measured by the amount of broken-symmetry spin density on the corrole).

In our earlier study of FeNO \textit{meso}-triarylcorroles,\(^5\) we identified 3–4 IR skeletal modes that are indicative of a noninnocent corrole macrocycle. Using IR spectroscopy and DFT calculations in concert, we have now identified the same modes for FeNO octabromocorroles (Fig. 6 and 7). Fig. 7 depicts the significant shifts in these bands between noninnocent Fe[Br\(_n\)TPC](NO) and the known, innocent analogue Au[Br\(_n\)TPC]. These frequency shifts may be qualitatively interpreted in terms of changes in skeletal bond order as a result of removal of an electron from the corrole HOMO depicted in Fig. 4.

**Redox potentials**

As shown in Table 1, both the \textit{meso} aryl and \(\beta\)-Br substituents exert a significant effect on the redox potentials of FeNO corroles. Thus, \(\beta\)-octabromination upshifts both the oxidation and reduction potentials by about 400 mV [Fig. 8]. As shown in Fig. 5, the calculated spin densities of both the cationic and anionic states of a typical FeNO corrole are almost exclusively localized on the FeNO unit in a manner that is characteristic of a \(S = 1/2\) \{FeNO\}\(^7\) group. The corrole macrocycle in the cationic and anionic states thus may be described as formally monoanionic and trianionic, respectively, a scenario that is analogous to that observed for copper corroles.\(^1\)\(^{16–21}\)

**Electronic absorption spectroscopy**

The Soret maxima of several but not all families of metallotriarylcorroles redshift markedly in response to increasing electron-donating character of the \textit{para} substituent on the \textit{meso}-aryl groups. Over a lengthy series of studies,\(^5,6,18,22–26\) we have shown that this effect is specific to noninnocent corroles and the substituent effects arise from aryl-to-corrole charge transfer character in certain transitions in the Soret region. Indeed, the strongly substituent-sensitive Soret maxima of the Fe[TpXPC](NO) series provided the first clue that FeNO corroles are noninnocent, \textit{i.e.} not \{FeNO\}\(^6,5\). The Fe[Br\(_8\)TpXPC](NO) series reported herein provides the first example of a series of noninnocent metallotriarylcorroles whose Soret

---

**Fig. 7** Selected IR marker bands, including visual depiction of the eigenvectors and experimental and broken-symmetry B3LYP/STO-TZP frequencies (cm\(^{-1}\)).
maxima are essentially unaffected by para substituents on the meso-aryl groups (Table 1 and Fig. 9). Based on an examination of the valence MOs of the complexes in question, this unique behavior appears to be clearly attributable to steric inhibition of resonance, i.e., the bulky β-Br groups preclude any significant mesomeric interactions between the aryl groups and the corrole macrocycle in these compounds. This scenario may be contrasted with the Cu[Br₈TpXPc] series, where strong saddling leads to enhanced aryl-corrole mesomeric interactions and hence also to strongly substituent-sensitive Soret maxima.¹⁸a,d,e

**Conclusions**

A detailed, multitechnique study of FeNO octabromocorroles has led to considerable deepening of our appreciation of FeNO corroles as strongly noninnocent complexes. Broken-symmetry DFT calculations indicate that the amount of radical character of the corrole macrocycle is essentially constant for all the FeNO corroles studied and does not vary significantly with increasing electron-deficient character of the corrole macrocycle. Instead, an electron-deficient corrole ligand leads to decreased π-backdonation from the iron to the NO, which manifests itself experimentally in a higher NO stretching frequency. The relative instability of the more electron-deficient FeNO corroles with respect to NO loss is also reasonably ascribed to decreased π-backdonation in these complexes.

A combined experimental-DFT approach has also led to the identification of structural and IR spectroscopic signatures for ligand noninnocence in FeNO corroles. Uniquely, the Soret maxima of FeNO octabromocorroles do not shift as a function of para substituents on the meso-aryl groups, as they do for other families of noninnocent metallocorroles. Steric inhibition of aryl-corrole mesomeric interactions in these sterically crowded complexes appears to be responsible for this unusual phenomenon.

**Experimental section**

**Instrumentation**

Ultraviolet-visible (UV–vis) spectra were recorded on an HP 8454 spectrophotometer in CH₂Cl₂. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out with an EG&G model 263A potentiostat equipped with a three-electrode system: a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE). Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate, recrystallized twice from absolute ethanol and dried in a desiccator for at least 2 weeks, was used as the supporting electrolyte. All potentials were referenced to the SCE. A scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ was used. The anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ solutions were purged with argon for at least 5 min prior to the electrochemical measurements and an argon blanket was maintained over the solutions during the measurements.¹⁸b,c,d,e ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz) were recorded in CDCl₃ (referenced to residual CHCl₃ at 7.26 ppm) at 298 K on a Varian Inova 400 spectrometer. High-resolution electrospray-ionization (HR-ESI) mass spectra were recorded on an LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. IR spectra were acquired as an average of 32 scans with a 1 cm⁻¹ resolution on a Varian 7000e FT-IR spectrometer.

**General synthetic details**

All reagents and solvents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as purchased unless otherwise noted. CHROMASOLV® HPLC grade n-hexane and dichloromethane were used for chromatography. FeCl₃·4H₂O was obtained from Merck.
NaNO₂ was obtained from Fluka. Chloroform-d was obtained from Euriso-top. Pyrrole was passed through a short column of basic alumina (Merck, 5 cm height) before use to remove brownish impurities and stored at −20° C. Silica gel 60 (0.04–0.063 mm particle size; 230–400 mesh, Merck) was used for flash chromatography.

Free-base β-octabromo-meso-triarylcorroles H₃[Br₈TpXPC]¹¹ and H₃[Br₈TFFPC]¹² were prepared via the reductive demetallation of the copper and manganese complexes, respectively, as described earlier. For H₃[Br₈TpXPC] (X = CH₂ and OCH₃), iron insertion and nitrosylation could be achieved in good yields via slight modification of a one-pot literature procedure.²² The procedure, however, did not work for H₃[Br₈TFFPC] and led to relatively poor yields for H₃[Br₈TFCF₃PC] and H₃[Br₈TpCF₃PC]. In the latter two cases, the major byproduct could be readily converted to the FeCl corrole, and subsequently nitrosylated via another literature procedure. For H₃[Br₈TFFPC], a two-step procedure via Fe[TFFPC]Cl and subsequent nitrosylation was employed. All of the iron nitrosyl complexes were stored in sealed vials at 4 °C. Because of the limited stability of the compounds, especially with respect to denitrosylation, satisfactory elemental analyses did not prove possible. Full spectroscopic characterization was carried out on all the compounds and, in one case, also single-crystal X-ray structure determination. Detailed procedures for the preparation and purification of the various complexes are as follows.

**Synthesis of Fe[Br₈TpOMePC][NO]**

Free-base β-octabromo-meso-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)corrole (100 mg, 80 µmol) was added to a 50 mL two-necked round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a reflux condenser and dissolved in pyridine (4.0 mL) and methanol (8.0 mL). The system was the closed off with rubber septa and vented via an oil bubbler. Argon was bubbled through the solution while it was vigorously stirred for 20 min. Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (144 mg, 0.72 mmol) were subjected to the same procedure as described above. Flash chromatography (silica, 1:4 CH₂Cl₂:hexane) afforded Fe[Br₈TpCl] as the first deep red band and also yielded brownish impurities and stored at −20° C. Silica gel 60 (0.04–0.063 mm particle size; 230–400 mesh, Merck) was used for flash chromatography.

**Synthesis of Fe[Br₈TpMePC][NO]**

Free-base β-octabromo-meso-tris(4-methylphenyl)corrole (78 mg, 65 µmol) and iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (259 mg, 1.3 mmol) were used as starting materials and subjected to the same procedure as described above. Flash chromatography (silica, 1:4 CH₂Cl₂:hexane) afforded Fe[Br₈TpCH₃PC][NO] as the first, deep red band. Yield: 76 mg (91%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): 658, 407, 215 (ε relative) (nm); 392 (7.1), 552 (1.1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 7.67–7.05 (m, 3,10,15-aryl, 12H), 7.29 (m, 5,10,15-aryl, 12H), 6.70 (d, 9H, 10-methyl, 3H), 3.98 (s, 6H, 10-methyl, 3H). MS (HR ESI) m/z 1786.4537 (M⁺, calcd 1786.4556; IR (thin film): νₓ 1786 cm⁻¹.

**Synthesis of Fe[Br₈TpPFPC][Cl]**

Free-base β-octabromo-meso-tris[4-trifluoromethylphenyl]corrole (127 mg, 93 µmol) and iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (370 mg, 1.86 mmol) were subjected to the same procedure as described above, except that the reaction mixture was heated for 0.5 h instead of 1 h prior to NaNO₂ addition. Flash chromatography (silica, 1:4 CH₂Cl₂:hexane) afforded Fe[Br₈TpPFPC][Cl] as the first deep red band. Combined yield: 21 mg (47%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): 546, 404, 216 (ε relative) (nm); 392 (7.1), 552 (1.1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 7.67–7.05 (m, 3,10,15-aryl, 12H), 7.29 (m, 5,10,15-aryl, 12H), 6.70 (d, 9H, 10-methyl, 3H), 3.98 (s, 6H, 10-methyl, 3H). MS (HR ESI) m/z 1444.3636 (M⁺, calcd 1444.3646; IR (thin film): νₓ 1803 cm⁻¹.

**Synthesis of Fe[Br₈TpCF₃PC][NO]**

Free-base β-octabromo-meso-tris[4-trifluoromethylphenyl]corrole (30 mg, 21 µmol) was added to a 50 mL two-necked round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a reflux condenser and dissolved in pyridine (4.0 mL) and methanol (8.0 mL). The system was then heated to 69 °C under a slow flow of argon for 1 h after which heating was discontinued and a saturated aqueous solution of NaNO₂ was obtained from Fluka. Chloroform-d was obtained from Euriso-top. Pyrrole was passed through a short column of basic alumina (Merck, 5 cm height) before use to remove brownish impurities and stored at −20° C. Silica gel 60 (0.04–0.063 mm particle size; 230–400 mesh, Merck) was used for flash chromatography. Argon was bubbled through the solution while it was vigorously stirred for 20 min. Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (370 mg, 1.86 mmol) were subjected to the same procedure as described above, except that the reaction mixture was heated for 0.5 h instead of 1 h prior to NaNO₂ addition. Flash chromatography (silica, 1:4 CH₂Cl₂:hexane) afforded Fe[Br₈TpCl] as the first deep red band and also yielded brown band, which was collected and treated in the same way as described for the second band in the synthesis of Fe[Br₈TFCF₃PC][NO] to yield a second crop of the title compound. Combined yield: 99 mg (74%). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): 658, 404, 216 (ε relative) (nm); 392 (7.1), 552 (1.1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 7.67–7.05 (m, 3,10,15-aryl, 12H), 7.29 (m, 5,10,15-aryl, 12H), 6.70 (d, 9H, 10-methyl, 3H), 3.98 (s, 6H, 10-methyl, 3H). MS (HR ESI) m/z 1444.3636 (M⁺, calcd 1444.3646; IR (thin film): νₓ 1803 cm⁻¹.
bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a reflux condenser and dissolved in dry DMF (25 mL). The system was the closed off with rubber septa and vented via an oil bubbler. Argon was bubbled through the solution under vigorous stirring for 20 min. Anhydrous iron(II) chloride (53 mg, 0.42 mmol) was added to the solution under an argon blanket and the solution was heated to reflux. The reaction was monitored by TLC (silica, CH₂Cl₂: hexane 1:2). After 40 min of refluxing, no starting material could be detected by TLC and heating was discontinued. The solution was cooled to room temperature and the solvent removed via rotary evaporation. The solid material was dissolved in diethyl ether and passed through a short silica column with diethyl ether as eluent. After evaporation of the diethyl ether, the resulting solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and washed twice with 2 M HCl(aq.) and once with water. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness. Flash chromatography (silica, CH₂Cl₂) afforded Fe[Br₈TPFPC]Cl as a reddish brown band. Yield: 29 mg (91%), UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max, nm (log ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 418 (4.66), 563 (0.79).^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ = 151.05 (s, 2F), -151.83 (s, 4F), -157.73 (s, 3F), -159.60 (s, 6F). MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1480.69 (M - Cl⁻), 1480.47. MS (HR-ESI) m/z 1515.23 (M⁺), 1515.92.

**Synthesis of Fe[Br₈TPFPC](NO)**

Chloroiron β-octabromo-meso-tris(pentafluorophenyl)corrole (20 mg, 13 µmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and a saturated aqueous solution of NaNO₂ (5.0 mL) was added to the solution. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 6 h, washed with water, dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Flash chromatography (silica, 1:2 CH₂Cl₂: hexane) of the residue afforded Fe[Br₈TPFPC](NO) as a deep red band. Yield: 13 mg (68%). X-ray quality crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion of n-heptane into a benzene solution of the title compound within a sealed vial. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max, nm (log ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 395 (5.52), 560 (1.28).^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ = -137.41 (dd, J = 23.7, 8.1 Hz, 5.15-0.2F), -138.17 (dd, J = 24.0 Hz, 10-o, 1F), -138.76 (d, J = 23.5 Hz, 10-o', 1F), -138.99 (dd, J = 23.7, 7.9 Hz, 2F, 5.15-o', 2F), -150.66 to -151.16 (m, 5, 10,15-p, 3F), -161.57 to -162.41 (m, 5, 10,15-m, 6F). MS (HR-ESI): 1510.26. (Caled 1510.26). IR (thin film): ν(NO) 1803 cm⁻¹.

**Single-crystal X-ray structure determination of Fe[Br₈TPFPC](NO)-C₇H₁₀-0.5C₆H₆**

A red block of 0.220 × 0.200 × 0.080 mm³ was mounted in the 100(2) K nitrogen cold stream provided by an Oxford Cryostream low temperature apparatus on the goniometer head of a Bruker D85 diffractometer equipped with an ApexII CCD detector, on beamline 11.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source in Berkeley, CA. Diffraction data were collected using synchrotron radiation monochromated with silicon(111) to a wavelength of 0.77490(1) Å. A full sphere of data was collected using 0.3° ω scans. A multi-scan absorption correction was applied using the program SADABS 2014/3. The data consists of 54 540 reflections collected, of which 20 937 were unique [R(int) = 0.0627] and 13 555 were observed [I > 2σ(I)]. The structure was solved by dual-space methods (SHELXTL) and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F² (SHELXL-97) using 1282 parameters and 0 restraints. The hydrogen atoms on carbon atoms were generated geometrically and refined as riding atoms with C-H = 0.95-0.99 Å and U_iso(H) = 1.2 times U_eq(C) for aromatic and CH₂ groups and U_iso(H) = 1.5 times U_eq(C) for CH₃ groups. The maximum and minimum peaks in the final difference Fourier map were 4.537 and -3.374 e Å⁻³. Crystal data: C₈₆H₅₅Br₁₄Fe₁₀F₁₆N₁₀O₂, MW = 3160.35, triclinic, P1, a = 13.667(3) Å, b = 16.679(3) Å, c = 20.152(4) Å, α = 74.769(3)°, β = 91.4200(10)°, γ = 88.903(3)°, V = 4425.1(15) Å³, T = 100(2) K, Z = 2, R₁ [I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0702, wR₂ (all data) = 0.0824, GOOF (on F²) = 1.056.

**Computational details**

All calculations were carried out with the ADF 2014 program system, the B3LYP exchange–correlation functional, and appropriately fine integration grids and tight SCF and geometry optimization criteria. Scalar relativistic effects were taken into account with the ZORA Hamiltonian and ZORA STO-TZP basis sets, except for the structures shown in Fig. 3, for which ZORA STO-TZP basis sets were employed. Grimme’s D3 dispersion correction was used throughout. All optimized geometries were verified as true minima via numerical frequency calculations.
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