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#### Abstract

Structure-activity relationship studies of the cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists (cyclo(-L/-D-$\left.\left.\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{5}-\right)\right)$ suggest that the $\mathrm{L}-/ \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ tripeptide sequence contained within these cyclopentapeptides serves as a recognition motif for peptidic CXCR4 antagonists. Starting by dissecting the cyclopentapeptide structure and reintroducing cyclic constraints in a stepwise manner, we here report a novel class of scaffold-based tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists based on the D-Arg-Arg-2-Nal motif. Biological testing of the prototype compounds showed that they represent new peptidomimetic hits; importantly, the modular nature of the scaffold provides an excellent starting point for future ligand development.


## INTRODUCTION

CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) is a peptidergic GPCR with the 68 -residue peptide CXC chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12) as its only endogenous ligand. ${ }^{1,2}$ In addition to the developmental and physiological role of CXCL12/CXCR4, CXCR4 has been shown to be involved in a number of pathological conditions, including HIV, cancer, and rheumatoid arthritis. ${ }^{3}$ Consequently, CXCR4 has emerged as an attractive drug target, and several smallmolecule CXCR4 antagonists have been described in the literature over the last decade, ${ }^{3,4}$ including a series of cyclic pentapeptides based on the amino acid sequence $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-$ $\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{5}(2-\mathrm{Nal}=\mathrm{L}-3-(2-$ naphthyl $)$ alanine $)$, i.e. the $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}$ epimer $\mathbf{1}$ (FC131) and the DArg $^{1}$ epimer 2 (FC092) (Figure 1). ${ }^{5}$


Figure 1. Structures of the lead cyclopentapeptide antagonists $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

Small cyclic peptides, such as cyclopentapeptides, are known to mimic peptide turns, ${ }^{6}$ i.e. structural motifs where the peptide backbone folds back on itself to form a pseudo-cyclic structure. Such turns appear to be a universal ligand recognition element for peptidergic GPCRs; ${ }^{7}$ thus, the cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists represent an excellent starting point for design of novel CXCR4 antagonists based on smaller turn-mimicking scaffolds. Such scaffolds have to maintain the 3D-orientation of the pharmacophoric groups of the parent peptide, resulting in a so-called topographical (or type-III) peptidomimetic. ${ }^{8}$ Interestingly, a recent review of the biological importance of tripeptide motifs indicates that three amino acids represent an optimal size for small-molecule peptidomimetics. ${ }^{9}$

The Gly ${ }^{4}$ residue in the cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists (Figure 1) was originally introduced for synthetic reasons ${ }^{5}$ and can be considered as a spacer. Further, SAR studies of 1 and $\mathbf{2}$ have shown that partial biological activity is retained in the absence of the neighboring D$\mathrm{Tyr}^{5}$ side chain, ${ }^{10,11}$ and based on molecular docking we have recently suggested that this is due to lack of a defined binding pocket for the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{5}$ side chain, which results in partial solvent exposure of the phenyl ring. ${ }^{11}$ Collectively, these observations imply that the remaining L-/D-$\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ tripeptide fragment serves as a recognition motif for peptidic CXCR4 antagonists, and motivate further studies of both flexible and constrained small molecules
containing this motif. Through dissection of the cyclopentapeptide structure and a stepwise reintroduction of cyclic constraints, we here report the design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a novel class of scaffold-based tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists based on the D-Arg-Arg-2-Nal motif.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General Design Considerations. As SAR studies of the cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists (Figure 1) have demonstrated that position 2 (L-Arg) is very sensitive to structural modifications, ${ }^{12,13}$ we decided to keep L- $\mathrm{Arg}^{2}$ throughout this study. Similarly, we have recently shown that replacement of L-2-Nal in position 3 with aromatic/alicyclic analogs results in significant reduction of the antagonistic potency, ${ }^{11}$ and therefore used a 2-naphthyl group with the appropriate spacer length for all compounds. In contrast, position 1 has been shown to be relatively tolerant to structural modifications, both with respect to stereochemistry (L- or Darginine) and the chemical nature of the side chain. ${ }^{13,14}$ Even if the originally discovered L-Arg ${ }^{1}$ epimer 1 displays somewhat higher activity than the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}$ epimer 2 (Figure $1 ; \mathrm{IC}_{50}$-values of 0.004 and $0.008 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), ${ }^{5}$ subsequent SAR studies have shown that cyclopentapeptide analogs containing D-Arg ${ }^{1}$ in many cases are more active than the corresponding $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}$ epimers. For example, the most active cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonist reported to date is the N methylated D-Arg ${ }^{1}$ epimer FC122 (cyclo(-N-Me-D-Arg $\left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tyr}{ }^{5}-\right)$ ), which showed 8 -fold higher affinity than the corresponding L-Arg ${ }^{1}$ epimer ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-values of 0.003 and $0.023 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). ${ }^{10}$ Moreover, head-to-tail cyclization of peptides is known to be facilitated by incorporation of a D -amino acid in an all-L sequence due to a turn-inducing effect. ${ }^{15}$ For these reasons, we decided to focus on the D-Arg ${ }^{1}$ epimers in the present study, using
the lead cyclopentapeptide 2 (cyclo(-D-Arg $\left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{5}-\right)$, Figure 2A) as starting point.

Biological Evaluation. The antagonistic potency of the synthesized compounds 2-14 (Figures 2 and 6) on human CXCR4 was determined by a functional assay as previously described ${ }^{13}$ and is shown in Table 1; the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$-value of the known lead compound $\mathbf{2}$ was $0.52 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Table 1. Antagonistic potency of compounds 2-14 on human CXCR4.

| Compd | $\log \mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}^{a}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{b}$ | $-6.28 \pm 0.09$ | 0.52 |
| 3 | $-4.24 \pm 0.35$ | 58 |
| 4 | $-4.07 \pm 0.24$ | 86 |
| 5 | $-4.36 \pm 0.10$ | 44 |
| 6 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 7 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 8 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 9 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 10 | $\square 4.22 \pm 0.06$ | 60 |
| 11 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 12 | $>-4$ | $>100$ |
| 13 | $-4.10 \pm 0.31$ | 80 |
| 14 | $-4.20 \pm 0.12$ | 64 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Valus |  |  |

[^0]



Figure 2. Structures of (A) the lead cyclopentapeptide 2, (B) the tripeptidic compounds 3-4, and (C) the macrocyclic compounds 5-10.

Design and SAR. Linear Tripeptidic Compounds. Taking a minimalist approach, 2 was initially dissected to the linear peptidic derivative 3 (Figure 2B) in order to determine the activity of the isolated $\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ motif. The N -acetylated $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}{ }^{3}$ tripeptide amide 4 (Figure 2B) was also included to study the role of the two flanking amide groups. Compound 3 $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=58 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ displayed 112-fold lower potency than $\mathbf{2}$, reflecting the extensive dissection of the cyclopentapeptide structure. This finding is consistent with literature data for similar linear
tripeptidic CXCR4 antagonists originating from an Arg-Arg-Nal motif; ${ }^{16,} 17$ representative structures are shown in Figure 3. In the same way as 3, these compounds were based on a central L-arginine, and were found to have moderate potency (anti-HIV assay), typically in the order of 100 -fold reduction relative to the parent cyclopentapeptide.



Figure 3. Structures of previously reported linear tripeptidic CXCR4 antagonists. ${ }^{16,17}$

Interestingly, the N - and C-terminal capped linear tripeptide 4 showed lower activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $86 \mu \mathrm{M})$ than $\mathbf{3}$; thus, the terminal amide groups of $\mathbf{4}$ do not contribute favorably to activity. The activity of $\mathbf{4}$ relative to 2 ( 165 -fold reduction) is consistent with SAR studies by Fujii et al., which showed that the linear N - and C-terminal capped pentapeptide Ac-D-Arg ${ }^{1}{ }^{-L}-\operatorname{Arg}^{2}-\mathrm{L}-2-$ $\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ - ${ }^{-G l y}{ }^{4}$-D-Tyr ${ }^{5}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ was 173 -fold less potent (anti-HIV assay) than the parent cyclopentapeptide $2 .{ }^{5}$

Macrocyclic Compounds. The analogs $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are quite flexible, which is generally considered as an undesirable feature, and a macrocyclic constraint was reintroduced to force the D-Arg ${ }^{1}$ -$\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ motif into a more restricted conformation (Figure 2C). Use of a Gly ${ }^{4}$ - $\mathrm{Gly}^{5}$ dipeptide
spacer to give a simplified cyclopentapeptide (5) resulted in 2-fold increase in potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $44 \mu \mathrm{M})$ relative to 4 . Simplification of 5 by replacement of $\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{Gly}^{5}$ with the flexible 5aminopentanoic acid ${ }^{4}$ hydrocarbon spacer (6) resulted in loss of activity in our assay ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}>100$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. This shows that the $\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{Gly}^{5}$ amide bond in $\mathbf{5}$ contributes favorably to activity, either by a geometrical effect or through direct binding interactions.

Next, we employed a ring expansion/contraction strategy by using $\omega$-amino carboxylic acid spacers of different length (7-10, Figure 2C). Extension of the hydrocarbon spacer in 6 (15membered ring) to give a 16 -membered ring (7) or contraction to give a 14 -membered ring (8) still did not give any measurable activity ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for both compounds). Similarly, the 13-membered ring (9) was inactive $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$; however, the further constrained cyclotetrapeptide 10 (12-membered ring) was equipotent $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=60 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ with the linear tripeptidic compound 3.

Bicyclic Tripeptidomimetics. Encouraged by the activity of 10, we set out to develop a further constrained turn-mimicking scaffold capable of presenting the side chains and backbone of the D-Arg ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}$ fragment of the cyclopentapeptide 2 in the required 3D-orientation. The key to successful development of such topographical peptidomimetics is knowledge of the bioactive conformation of the parent peptide, in this case the cyclopentapeptide ligands. Based on an extensive exploration of the conformational space for a series of cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists from the literature, we have previously reported a 3D pharmacophore model that describes the spatial arrangement of the pharmacophoric side chains as well as the bioactive conformation of the cyclopentapeptide backbone. ${ }^{18}$

Searching through the extensive literature on turn-mimetics (see ref ${ }^{19}$ for a review), we were intrigued by the tripeptide-derived $3,6,8$-trisubstituted ${ }^{20}$ bicyclic structure $\mathbf{A}$ (Figure 4A), ${ }^{21,}{ }^{22}$
which contains two endocyclic amide bonds. The synthesis of $\mathbf{A}$ was first reported by Vojkovsky et al. who suggested it as a potential peptide-turn motif; ${ }^{21}$ however, no biological applications of A have yet been reported. In order to elucidate whether this scaffold would be suitable for our purpose, a structural comparison of low-energy conformations of A with our 3D pharmacophore model was undertaken. This showed that scaffold $\mathbf{A}$ is able to orient the side chains in a similar way as the parent cyclopentapeptide (Figure 4B).
A



Figure 4. (A) Structure of scaffold A, and (B) superimposition of a low-energy conformation of A (grey carbon atoms) and the bioactive backbone conformation of the cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists (green carbon atoms) as defined by our 3D pharmacophore model. ${ }^{18}$



Figure 5. (A) Structures of the diastereomeric scaffolds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$, and (B) superimposition of low-energy conformations of $\mathbf{A}$ (grey carbon atoms) and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ (green carbon atoms).

Scaffold A contains three stereocenters, where two (C3 and C6) are defined by the building blocks (see Scheme 4 in Chemistry section). The bridge-head stereocenter (C9a) is formed in the final cyclization step, and the stereochemical outcome has been shown to be governed by the configuration at C 3 (see Chemistry section); ${ }^{23}$ thus, two diastereomeric scaffolds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ (Figure 5A) can be prepared. Interestingly, structural comparison of the expected bioactive conformation of $\mathbf{A}$ (shown in Figure 4B) with low-energy conformations of $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$, showed that $\mathbf{A}$ ' can adopt an almost identical conformation with respect to the orientation of the two amide bonds and the three side chains (Figure 5B).

Thus, we decided to pursue tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists 11 and 12 (Figure 6), that are based on bicycles $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ respectively, where L- and D-cysteine have been used as building blocks, to give an amide in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ side chain. In order to introduce the same arginine $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ side chain as the parent cyclopentapeptide, we also adapted the synthesis to allow for preparation of 13 and 14 (Figure 6). Interestingly, compounds 11 and 12 were inactive, while compounds 13 and $\mathbf{1 4}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=80\right.$ and $64 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) showed activity similar to $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$. Clearly, the amide bond in the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ side-chain of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ is unfavorable for the biological activity, an observation that justifies the synthetic effort put into the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ building block $\mathbf{3 3}$ that was used for 13 and 14 (see Scheme 7, Chemistry section).





Figure 6. Structures of the bicyclic target compounds 11-14.

Obviously, the scaffold-based tripeptidomimetics 13 and 14 are significantly less potent (154and 123 -fold, respectively) than the optimized cyclopentapeptide 2 that they are based on; however, this was an expected consequence of the rather extensive structural changes that were needed in order to arrive at downsized structures. To our knowledge, there are only two other examples of scaffold-based tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists in the literature (Figure 7): Niida et al. used a 1,3,6-trisubstituted 1,6-dihydropyridin-2-one scaffold, ${ }^{24}$ while Ueda et al. have reported a series of achiral CXCR4 antagonists based on a 1,2,5-trisubstituted indole scaffold. ${ }^{25}$ Also for these compounds, a significant drop in activity compared to the parent cyclopentapeptides was observed; the same group has typically reported an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-value of 0.008 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for the cyclopentapeptide 2 , which means that the affinity reduction for the dihydropyridin-2-one based compound $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15.1 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and the indole-based compound $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was 1888- and 375 -fold, respectively. These numbers reflect the general complexity of the initial "scaffold jump" for prototype compounds, as also seen in the present study.

The moderate activity of the prototype bicyclic tripeptidomimetics also means that binding mode studies (typically performed by combining site-directed mutagenesis studies and molecular docking) are not expected to provide reliable data. Thus, further SAR studies, aimed at optimizing both the scaffold core and the side chains, are currently in progress, and the results will be reported in due course.


Figure 7. Structures of previously reported scaffold-based tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists. ${ }^{24,25}$

Chemistry. The macrocyclic compounds 2 and 5-10 were prepared by head-to-tail macrolactamization of linear precursors (Scheme 1) that were obtained through Fmoc-based solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS). The linear precursors were prepared using either a preloaded Fmoc-Gly trityl resin (2, 5, and 10) or a 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin for the loading of the N -Fmoc $\omega$-aminocarboxylic acids (6-9). Cleavage from the resin was facilitated using hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) and the side-chain protected peptides were cyclized using PyBOP followed by global deprotection using TFA.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2 and 5-10.


Reagents and conditions: (a) N -Fmoc $\omega$-aminocarboxylic acid, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) MeOH , DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) HFIP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) PyBOP, DIPEA, DMF/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) TFA/TIS/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Compound 3 was synthesized (Scheme 2) by coupling protected arginine 15 with 2 -(naphthalene-2-yl)ethan-1-amine to give 16, which in turn was Fmoc-deprotected and coupled with $N$-Boc 5 -aminopentanoic acid to give 17. Global deprotection facilitated by TFA followed by guanidinylation of the primary amine gave 3 .

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3 .


Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-(Naphthalene-2-yl)ethan-1-amine hydrochloride, HBTU, DIPEA, DMF (67\%); (b) 2-ethanolamine, DMF; (c) N-Boc 5-aminopentanoic acid, HATU, DIPEA, DMF; (d) TFA/TIS/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (e) $1 H$-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride, DIPEA, DMF ( $21 \%$ over four steps).

The linear tripeptide 4 was prepared by Fmoc-based SPPS on an Fmoc-NH-Rink amide resin (Scheme 3) followed by acetylation of the N -terminal using acetic anhydride. Cleavage from the resin and global deprotection mediated by TFA gave the desired N -acetylated tripeptide amide 4.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 4.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, DMF; (b) TFA/TIS/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The key step in the synthesis of the bicyclic tripeptidomimetics $\mathbf{1 1}-\mathbf{1 4}$ (Scheme 4 ) is the spontaneous formation of the 6,6-fused bicyclic ring system $\mathbf{A}$ upon treatment of acetal $\mathbf{C}$ with TFA. ${ }^{21,22}$ The resulting aldehyde condensates with the backbone amide nitrogen to give the $N$ acyliminium ion intermediate $\mathbf{B}$, which subsequently undergoes nucleophilic attack from the deprotected thiol, resulting in the formation of the desired bicycle $\mathbf{A} .{ }^{21,22}$ The cyclization occurs stereoselectively, ${ }^{23}$ and the configuration at the bridge-head (C9a) is dependent on the configuration at $C 3$ ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituent), and in the absence of a $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituent on the configuration at C6.

Scheme 4. Scaffold and retrosynthetic strategy.


Synthesis of the linear precursor $\mathbf{C}$ requires access to the three building blocks $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$. For the synthesis of target compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ (Figure 6), the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$-side chain (incorporated through building block F) was introduced as guanidinylated glycin 20 (Scheme 5). This material was prepared by guanidinylation of glycin methyl ester hydrochloride (18) using $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-di-Boc- 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboxamidine followed by hydrolysis of the methyl ester of the resulting 19 using LiOH in a mixture of water and acetone. ${ }^{26,27}$

Scheme 5. Synthesis of carboxylic acid 20.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $N, N$-di-Boc-1 $H$-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine, DIPEA, DMF (85\%); (b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ acetone (99\%).

Synthesis of the bicyclic core (Scheme 6) commenced with the alkylation of 2-(naphthalene-2-yl)ethan-1-amine (21) with bromoacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal in refluxing THF to give secondary amine 22. This amine was in turn coupled with protected arginine (15) to give 23 in high yield. Further Fmoc-deprotection and coupling with appropriately protected L-cysteine gave 24, which was submitted to another Fmoc-deprotection and then coupled with carboxylic acid 20 to give the linear precursor 25. This material was treated with TFA, thioanisole and water to facilitate global deprotection, leading to formation of the acyliminium ion intermediate that after nucleophilic attack by the thiol gave 11. The diastereomeric $\mathbf{1 2}$ was prepared by coupling of Fmoc-D-Cys(Trt)-OH to Fmoc-deprotected 23 to give intermediate 26 (see Experimental section), which in turn was converted to linear precursor 27 followed by deprotection and cyclization to give 12.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the bicyclic tripeptidomimetic 11.


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, THF, reflux (30\%); (b) $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathrm{Pbf})-\mathrm{OH}$ (15), HATU, DIPEA, DMF (83\%); (c) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{HN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) Fmoc-L-Cys(Trt)-OH, HATU, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (49\% over two steps); (e) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{HN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) 20, HATU, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $57 \%$ over two steps); (g) TFA/thianisole/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The configuration of the newly formed stereocenters at the bridge-head carbon atoms (C9a) in 11 and 12 (see Figure 5) was determined using the $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ROESY experiment (see Supporting Information for detailed ROESY spectra and NMR signal assignment for 11 and 12). The known configurations of C6 ( $S$ for both 11 and 12) and C 3 ( $R$ for 11 and $S$ for 12) were used as prerequisites for determination of the configuration of C9a. The strong cross-peaks observed at $\delta$ 5.09/4.71 $(\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} 3), \delta 5.09 / 1.73\left(\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} \beta\right.$ arginine $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and the medium strong cross-peak at $\delta$ 5.09/1.44 (H9a/H $\gamma$ arginine $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) observed in the 2D ROESY spectrum of 11 confirmed the $(S)$ configuration of C9a in 11 . Moreover, the strong cross-peak observed at $\delta 5.00 / 4.72(\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} 3)$ in the 2D ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and the presence of only very weak cross-peaks at $\delta 5.00 / 1.64$
( $\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ arginine $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) and $\delta 5.00 / 1.52\left(\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}\right.$ arginine $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) confirmed the $(R)$ configuration of C9a in 12.

The $\mathbf{F}$ building block required for target compounds $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ required a multi-step synthesis (Scheme 7). 2-Oxopiperidine derivative 28 was selectively reduced using freshly prepared $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$, and after an acidic work-up, alcohol 29 was isolated in good yield. ${ }^{28}$ The alcohol was next taken through a carbodiimide-mediated dehydration to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam $\mathbf{3 0} .^{28}$ Use of $N$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)- $N^{\prime}$-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) in refluxing toluene gave up to $73 \%$ yield, while DCC gave the product in quantitative yield. In both cases, CuI was added to activate the carbodiimide. Next, the lactam was Boc-protected to give $\mathbf{3 1}$ followed by Michael addition of triphenylmethane thiol to give racemic 32, with excellent yield in both steps. Finally, the Boc-protected lactam was hydrolyzed using LiOH to give racemic carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 3}$ in high yield.

Scheme 7. Synthesis of building block 33.



Reagents and conditions. (a) $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t. (76\%); (b) $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CuI}$, toluene, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (quant); (c) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /DMF (94\%); (d) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CSH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (95\%); (e) 1 M aq. LiOH , THF ( $94 \%$ ).

Assembly of the linear precursors for target compounds $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Scheme 8) was carried out by Fmoc-deprotecting 23 and subsequent coupling of the resulting material with carboxylic acid 33, to give 34 as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers. Linear precursor 34 was globally deprotected and cyclized, and the amino group was guanidinylated to give $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$.

Scheme 8. Synthesis of 13 and 14.


Reagents and conditions. (a) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{HN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) 33, HBTU, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $85 \%$ over two steps); (c) TFA/thioanisole $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (d) 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride, DIPEA, DMF.

RP-HPLC analysis after guanidinylation showed two distinct peaks, which were separable by semi-prep RP-HPLC. NMR analysis of the two isolated products clearly showed that they each were single diastereoisomers. Thus, only two out of four possible products were formed in the cyclization of the diastereoisomers of $\mathbf{3 4}$. For the two isolated products, only the configuration at C6 ( $S$ ) was known, and the configuration of C 3 and C 9 a was determined using the $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ROESY experiment. For both $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, strong cross-peaks between H9a and H3 (at $\delta$ 5.14/2.74 and at $\delta 4.66 / 2.38$ for $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, respectively) were observed, indicating similar overall geometry to that of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$. The presence of a cross-peak between H 9 a and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ arginine $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was observed only in the ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 3}$ suggesting that the configuration of C9a for this compound is $S$, whereas the configuration of C9a for the stereoisomer 14 is $R$. Since H9a
and H 3 are cis in both $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, the configuration at C 3 , which stems from the racemic carboxylic acid 33, is $R$ in $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $S$ in $\mathbf{1 4}$. It is interesting to note that $S$ configuration of C9a in $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ leads to a downfield shift of H6 when compared with the analogous $R$ isomer. Grimes et al. speculated that a solvent mediated hydrogen bond between the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$-side chain amide NH and the ring carbonyl group could contribute to stabilizing a conformation in which the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituent is in an equatorial position, which favors the observed stereoselectivity of the cyclization. ${ }^{23}$ However, for the formation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, the absence of a $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ amide NH did not influence on the stereoselectivity in the cyclization step.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have demonstrated that new scaffold-based tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists can be rationally designed from cyclopentapeptide CXCR4 antagonists. The bicyclic compounds reported herein represent an interesting class of new tripeptidomimetic CXCR4 antagonists, and although the prototype compounds showed moderate activity, they serve as useful leads for further optimization. The peptidomimetic scaffold we have employed is constructed from three building blocks, each containing one of the pharmacophoric groups, and therefore allows for synthetic access to a range of target molecules. We envision that further SAR studies involving the three different binding groups will afford new and optimized CXCR4 antagonists.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. General. All reagents and starting materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as delivered unless otherwise stated. Cyclic peptide 2 was prepared as previously described. ${ }^{13}$ Anhydrous toluene, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and THF were obtained from an anhydrous solvent delivery system (SDS-800 from mBraun) at the Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen. Analyses using thin layer chromatography were performed on Alugram® SIL G/UV ${ }_{254} 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ layer plates from Machery-Nagel or on aluminum sheets with Merck silica gel ( $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ). TLC plates were visualized using either ultraviolet light or by immersing the plate in $2 \%$ solution of ninhydrin in ethanol containing 10 drops of concentrated sulphuric acid pr 100 mL followed by heating. Purification by flash column chromatography was performed using J.T Baker Silica Gel or Merck 60 Kieselgel (230 - 400 mesh). All final compounds were purified using semipreparative RP-HPLC eluting with mixtures of acetonitrile and water (both containing $0.1 \%$ TFA). Fractions of equal purity were pooled and lyophilized. All tested compounds were analyzed by RP-HPLC and found to be of $>95 \%$ purity (UV 220 nm ).
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-(1-((2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)amino)-1-oxo-5-(3-((2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)sulfonyl)guanidino)pentan-2-yl)carbamate (16)

HBTU ( $0.945 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA $(0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirred solution of Fmoc- $\operatorname{Arg}(\mathrm{Pbf})-\mathrm{OH}(0.809 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DMF}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . before a solution of 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethan-1-amine hydrochloride $(0.518 \mathrm{~g}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and stirring continued for 20 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and distilled water ( 10 mL ). The organic phase was
washed two times with 10 mL portions of water, 20 mL of a $5 \%$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ and 20 mL of a saturated aqueous NaCl solution, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane; gradient 1:1 to 9:1) to give the title compound as a white solid $(0.706 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $9: 1)=0.23$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.75-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=$ $174.9,173.4,165.3,160.3,158.7,158.7,158.4,145.7,145.5,143.0,143.0,139.9,138.3,135.4$, $134.1,134.0,129.5,129.2,129.2,129.0,128.8,128.8,128.6,127.4,126.8,126.6,126.5,121.3$, $118.9,88.1,68.2,62.0,56.6,44.3,42.0,39.3,37.4,36.9,32.1,30.9,29.0,21.3,20.0,18.8,14.9$, 13.0; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: 802.3633$; found: 802.3639.
(S)-5-Guanidino-2-(5-guanidinopentanamido)- $N$-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)pentanamide (3)

To a solution of the Fmoc-protected amine $16(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 6 mL ) was added 2-ethanolamine ( 6 mL ) and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature. HPLC monitoring showed no sign of the starting material after 2 hours, but the reaction stirred for an additional hour. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with distilled water ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a saturated aqueous NaCl solution ( 15 mL ). The solvent was evaporated and the crude product $(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was used in the next step without further purification. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 580.2952$; found: 580.2958 .

HATU $(0.590 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA $(0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirring solution of 5-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)pentanoic acid ( $0.338 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min before a solution of the crude product from the previous step $(0.451 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise to the reaction mixture, and stirring continued for 24 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of EtOAc ( 15 mL ) and the combined organic layer washed with 15 mL of a $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid solution, 15 mL of a $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ solution, and 15 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl solution, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the drying agent by filtration and removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product $(0.520 \mathrm{~g})$. Purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane; gradient 1:1 to pure EtOAc, followed by EtOAc/MeOH; gradient 9:1 to 8:2) afforded 17 as colorless foam $(0.358 \mathrm{~g})$ which was judged to be of sufficient purity for the next step. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ : 779.4160; found: 779.4168.

The Boc-protected amine $17(0.358 \mathrm{~g}, 0.459 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of TFA, TIS and water ( $95: 2.5: 2.5,15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature. The reaction was monitored using analytical RP-HPLC and all starting material was consumed after $2 h$. The TFA solution was evaporated and the residue was precipitated by addition of cold diethyl ether ( 10 mL ) and cooled in a refrigerator overnight. The ether was decanted and the residue dried in vacuo to give the crude product $(0.403 \mathrm{~g})$, which was used in the next step without further purification. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 427.2816; found: 427.2816.

To a stirring solution of the crude primary amine $(0.403 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 mL ) was added 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride $(0.453 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.1$ mmol ) and the resulting mixture was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere for 48 h . The reaction was monitored using analytical RP-HPLC. After the solvent had been evaporated, the residue was precipitated by addition of cold diethyl ether, washed with ether, purified by preparative RPHPLC, and lyophilized ( $0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ over four steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta=7.59-$ $7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, MeOD): $\delta=175.9,174.3,158.8,158.8,138.0,135.2,133.9,129.3$, $128.8,128.7,128.5,128.5,127.2,126.6,54.7,42.2,42.0,41.8,36.7,36.1,30.4,29.5,26.4,23.8$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 469.3034$; found: 469.3033.

$$
\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Arg}^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}(4)
$$

Rink amide MBHA resin $(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol})$ was swollen in DMF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h and then it was washed with DMF ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Fmoc-deprotection was done by treating the resin with a $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and subsequently washing with DMF ( 5 x 5 mL ). Coupling of each amino acid included the addition of a solution of the Fmoc-protected amino acid ( 0.63 mmol ), HOBt hydrate $(0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU $(0.233 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DIPEA ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) to the resin. The completeness of each coupling was verified by the Kaiser test. ${ }^{29}$ After the last coupling and Fmoc-deprotection, the resin was washed with DMF and allowed to dry. The free amino terminal of the resin was then acetylated by treating the resin with a mixture of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA and DMF (1:1:8, 20 mL ) for 30 min . The resin was then washed with DMF followed by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and left to air-dry. Cleavage. The dried resin
was treated with a cleavage mixture of TFA, TIS and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(95: 2.5: 2.5,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 3 h . The resin was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by RP-HPLC and lyophilized. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.82-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=175.4,173.9,173.2,173.1,158.1,158.0,135.2,134.2,133.2,128.4,128.3$, $128.0,127.9,127.9,126.4,126.0,54.3,53.5,53.2,40.7,40.5,38.2,28.7,28.5,24.8,24.7,21.0 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 569.3307; found: 569.3313.

$$
\text { cyclo(-D-Arg } \left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{Gly}^{5}-\right)(5)
$$

Fmoc-Gly-NovaSyn® TGT resin $(0.952 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was swollen in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a solid phase reaction vessel for 1 h . Resin was then washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by DMF ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The Fmoc-protection group was removed before each coupling by treating the resin with a $20 \%$ solution of piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and subsequently washing with DMF ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). For each coupling a premade solution of the Fmoc-protected amino acid ( 0.8 mmol ), HBTU ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIPEA ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added to resin and the mixture was shaken at room temperature for 1 h . The solution was then drained off, and the resin was washed with DMF ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Upon completion of the last coupling step and DMF washing, the resin was also washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and airdried. Cleavage. The dried resin was swollen in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 min , and then treated with a mixture of HFIP and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3: 7,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 15 min , and additionally $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$. The combined peptide solutions were evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The fully
protected linear peptide was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and DMF (1:1, 500 mL ), and DIPEA ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 15 min before PyBOP ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was the solution was slowly stirred for minimum 24 h . The reaction was monitored by analytical HPLC and upon completion the solvent was removed in vacuo. The protected cyclic peptide was treated with a mixture of TFA, TIS, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(95: 2.5: 2.5,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ for approximately 2 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was precipitated by cold diethyl ether, purified by RP-HPLC and lyophilized. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder (46 mg, 27\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta=7.85-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 1.85-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-$ $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) $\delta=173.2,172.6,172.0,171.5,171.0,157.2,156.9$, $135.1,133.4,132.4,132.3,127.7,127.6,127.2,127.0,125.7,125.2,54.9,54.0,52.7,43.4,42.8$, 40.6, 40.0, 36.2, 28.7, 27.9, 24.8, 24.2; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : 624.3365; found: 624.3367.
cyclo(-D-Arg ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-5-$-aminopentanoic acid $\left.^{4}-\right)(6)$
2-Cl-Trt resin $(0.166 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was swollen in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h . A solution of Fmoc-5-aminopentanoic acid ( $0.272 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was then removed and the resin was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The remaining resin active sites were capped by treatment with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, MeOH and DIPEA (8:1.5:0.5, $2 \times 10$ mL ), followed by sequential washings with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMF ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{N} \alpha$-Fmoc-
deprotections, coupling of Fmoc protected amino acids, cleavage from resin, cyclization and side-chain deprotection were performed as described for 5 . The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta=7.76-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (s, 1H), $7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=11.0,6.7$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,3.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,12.7$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), $1.00-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.76-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=178.3,178.0$, $174.3,174.0,159.3,159.1,137.6,135.5,134.3,129.7,129.3,129.1,129.0,128.8,127.7,127.2$, 56.2, 56.2, 42.0, 41.4, 39.4, 39.4, 38.6, 35.8, 28.6, 28.4, 27.3, 26.4, 25.1, 21.7; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 609.3620; found: 609.3623.

$$
\text { cyclo(-D-Arg } \left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-6 \text {-aminohexanoic } \operatorname{acid}^{4}-\right)(7)
$$

The cyclic peptide 7 was prepared following the procedure described for the preparation of $\mathbf{6}$, except that $N$-Fmoc 6-aminohexanoic acid was initially loaded onto the resin. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta$ $=7.83-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.90-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,3.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,12.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, MeOD): $\delta=177.1,176.6,174.0,173.1,158.7,158.5,137.1,135.0,133.9$, $129.2,129.0,128.7,128.6,128.4,127.3,126.8,56.4,56.1,54.9,42.1,41.5,40.4,40.2,37.9$,
35.9, 29.7, 28.9, 27.6, 26.5, 25.6, 25.4; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 623.3776; found: 623.3779.
cyclo(-D-Arg ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-4-$ aminobutanoic acid $\left.^{4}-\right)(\mathbf{8})$
The cyclic peptide 8 was prepared following the procedure described for the preparation of $\mathbf{6}$, except that $N$-Fmoc 4 -aminobutanoic acid was initially loaded onto the resin. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta=7.84-$ $7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-$ $3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.50-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, MeOD): $\delta=177.1$, $177.0,173.4,172.4,158.5,158.0,136.7,134.6,133.6,128.9,128.9,128.4$ (2C), 128.3, 127.0, $126.5,56.6,55.0,54.1,41.8,41.1,40.9,38.5,35.5,28.5,28.4,26.3,25.7,25.2$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 595.3463; found: 595.3464.

$$
\text { cyclo(-D-Arg } \left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-3 \text {-aminopropanoic } \text { acid }^{4}-\right)(9)
$$

The cyclic peptide 9 was prepared following the procedure described for the preparation $\mathbf{6}$, except that $N$-Fmoc 3-aminopropanoic acid was initially loaded onto the resin. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta$ $=7.85-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,5.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,10.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right): \delta=176.4$,
$175.7,173.9,173.8,159.0,158.6,137.0,135.2,134.1,129.5,129.3,129.2,128.9,128.8,127.4$, 126.9, 57.3, 55.3, 55.1, 42.3, 41.6, 38.3, 38.0, 36.1, 28.9, 28.4, 26.7, 26.1; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 581.3307; found: 581.3306.

$$
\text { cyclo(-D-Arg } \left.{ }^{1}-\mathrm{Arg}^{2}-2-\mathrm{Nal}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\right)(\mathbf{1 0})
$$

The cyclic peptide 10 was prepared following the same procedure described for compound $\mathbf{5}$. The title compound was isolated as a white fluffy powder ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD): $\delta=7.86-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, MeOD): $\delta=175.0,174.5,173.6,173.5,158.6,158.5,136.2,134.9,133.8,129.0,128.9,128.6$, $128.6,128.5,127.1,126.6,57.3,56.6,54.5,45.4,42.0,41.6,36.5,30.8,27.8,26.2,26.0$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 567.3150; found: 567.3150.

Methyl ( $N, N$ - -bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)carbamimidoyl)glycinate (19) ${ }^{26}$
To a slurry of glycin methyl ester hydrochloride ( $1.110 \mathrm{~g}, 8.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 30.5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-di-Boc-1 H -pyrazole-1-carboxamidine $(1.025 \mathrm{~g}, 3.304 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( 1.15 mL , 6.302 mmol ). Glycin methyl ester hydrochloride dissolved completely after $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-di-Boc- 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboxamidine was added. The mixture was stirred overnight (14 h) at room temperature and turned into a yellow slurry. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and distilled water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was further extracted three times with EtOAc ( 25 mL ), before the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and EtOAc evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product as a light yellow solid (1.4
g). Further purification using flash column chromatography (Hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1) gave the title compound as a colourless solid $(0.932 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\right.$ Hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1) $=0.27 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.2,163.6,156.3,153.3,83.7,79.9,52.8,43.0$, 28.6, 28.4; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 332.1822; found: 332.1821.
( $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)carbamimidoyl)glycine (20) $)^{27}$
$\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.166 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of methyl ester $19(0.330 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ mmol) in acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6: 1,4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. TLC monitoring showed full consumption of the starting material after 1.5 h , and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before a 0.5 M aqueous HCl solution was added dropwise. When the pH reached 3 the mixture was warmed to room temperature and the product extracted with three portions of EtOAc ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$. Drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporation of the solvent gave the title compound as a colourless solid ( $0.312 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{Hexanes} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{AcOH}, 60: 40: 1)=0.20 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=11.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=171.7,162.2,157.0,153.1,84.4,80.9,44.3,28.5,28.3 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 318.1665; found: 318.1657.

## 2,2-Dimethoxy- $N$-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)ethan-1-amine (22)

2-(Napthalene-2-yl)ethyl-1-amine (21) ( $0.322 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bromoacetataldehyde dimethyl acetal $(0.200 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was heated at a gentle reflux for 24 h . The solvent was subsequently evaporated and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic phase was washed two times with 10 mL portions of saturated
aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and NaCl solutions. The mixture was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc) to give the title compound ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ) as yellow oil. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc})=0.14 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.78(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6,3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 5.4, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=137.7,133.8,132.4,128.3,127.9,127.7,127.5$, 127.2, 126.2, 125.5, 104.2, 54.2, 51.4, 51.4, 36.8; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : 260.1645 ; found: 260.1646 .
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-(1-((2,2-dimethoxyethyl)(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)amino)-1-oxo-5-(3-((2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)sulfonyl)guanidino)pentan-2yl)carbamate (23)

HATU $(0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIEA $(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirred solution of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH ( $0.292 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 1.2 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 30 minutes before amine $22(0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise to the reaction mixture, and stirring continued for 16 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was further washed two times with 10 mL portions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and two times with 10 mL portions of saturated aqueous NaCl solution, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane $8.5: 1.5$ ) to give the title compound as white foam $(0.334 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$, retains EtOAc $) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $8.5: 1.5)=0.28 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR gave no useful information due to rotamers; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : 890.4157; found: 890.4166; m/z [M + Na] calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}$ : 912.3977; found: 912.3976.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl ((7S,10R)-3-methoxy-5-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-6,9-dioxo-7-(3-(3-((2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)sulfonyl)guanidino)propyl)-13,13,13-triphenyl-2-oxa-12-thia-5,8-diazatridecan-10-yl)carbamate (24)
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(1.47 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of the Fmoc-protected amine $23(0.261 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. TLC monitoring showed no sign of the starting material after one hour, but the reaction stirred for an additional hour. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, which gave a light yellow foam. The crude product $(0.230 \mathrm{~g})$ was used directly in the next step.

To a solution of crude Fmoc-deprotected $23(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added Fmoc-Cys(Trt)-OH ( $0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 0.140 mL , 0.80 mmol ), resulting to a yellow reaction mixture allowed to stir in 22 hours at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was partitioned between EtOAc (15 $\mathrm{mL})$ and distilled water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of EtOAc $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(30$ mL ), distilled water ( 30 mL ), a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product as an orange colored foam $(0.419 \mathrm{~g})$. Purification by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 8:2) gave 24 as a colorless foam ( $0.194 \mathrm{~g}, 49$ \% over 2 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed that the product retained EtOAc, and the yield is adjusted accordingly. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexane, 8:2) $=0.16 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR gave no useful information due to rotamers; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ : 1257.5169 ; found: 1257.5172.
tert-Butyl $\quad((7 S, 10 R)$-3-methoxy-19,19-dimethyl-5-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-6,9,12,17-tetraoxo-7-(3-(3-(2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-ylsulfonyl)guanidino)-propyl)-10-(tritylthiomethyl)-2,18-dioxa-5,8,11,14,16-pentaazaicosan-15-ylidene)carbamate (25)
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.73 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of the Fmoc-protected amine $24(0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. TLC monitoring showed no sign of the starting material after 2 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, which gave a light yellow foam. The crude product $(0.183 \mathrm{~g})$ was used directly in the next step. HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 1013.4669; found: 1013.4672.

To a solution of carboxylic acid $20(0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added HATU ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIEA ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Fmoc-deprotected 24 ( 65 mg , 0.20 mmol ) and the resulting yellow reaction mixture was allowed to stir in 18 hours at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and distilled water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(20$ mL ), distilled water ( 20 mL ), a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product as an yellow foam $(0.203 \mathrm{~g})$. Purification by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 9:1) gave the title compound as a light yellow foam ( $0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ over 2 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed that the product retained EtOAc, and the yield is adjusted accordingly. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 9:1) $=0.21 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR gave no useful information due to rotamers; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: 1312.6145$; found: 1312.6146 .

2-Guanidino- $N$-((3R,6S,9aS)-6-(3-guanidinopropyl)-8-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,7-dioxohexahydro-2H,6H-pyrazino[2,1-b][1,3]thiazin-3-yl)acetamide (11)

Linear precursor $\mathbf{2 5}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of TFA, thioanisole and distilled water (90:5:5, 8 mL ) and stirred for 2.5 h . at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure the brown residue was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of cold ether resulted in precipitation and the crude solid was purified by preparative RP-HPLC, and lyophilized to give 11 as a fluffy white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta=7.82-7.79$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,4.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,6.1,1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,4.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150.9 MHz, MeOD) $\delta=170.0,169.6,168.9,159.5,158.5,137.3,135.1,133.9,129.3,128.7$, $128.5,128.4,128.4,127.2,126.7,57.3,52.3,52.3,51.4,49.5,44.7,41.6,34.4,29.8,27.1,26.3 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+2 H]^{2+}(z=2)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 227.6365$; found: 227.6359; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 554.2656; found: 554.2659.
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl ((7S,10S)-3-methoxy-5-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-6,9-dioxo-7-(3-(3-((2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)sulfonyl)guanidino)propyl)-13,13,13-triphenyl-2-oxa-12-thia-5,8-diazatridecan-10-yl)carbamate (26)
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(7.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of the Fmoc-protected amine $23(0.308 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. HPLC monitoring showed no sign of the starting material after two hours, but the reaction stirred for an additional hour. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product $(0.320 \mathrm{~g})$ was used directly in the next step. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: 668.3476$; found: 668.3488 .

Fmoc-D-Cys(Trt)-OH ( $0.364 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$, HATU ( $0.236 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 0.250 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, before a solution of crude Fmoc-deprotected $23(0.320 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature under anhydrous conditions $\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\right)$. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and distilled water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of EtOAc ( 15 mL ), and the combined organic layer was washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, distilled water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product as a light red colored foam ( 0.710 g ). Purification by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 8:2) gave the title compound as a colorless foam $(0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ over two steps $) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, 8:2 $)=$ 0.19; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 1235.5344; found: 1235.5334.
tert-Butyl $\quad((7 S, 10 S)$-3-methoxy-19,19-dimethyl-5-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-6,9,12,17-tetraoxo-7-(3-(3-(2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-ylsulfonyl)guanidino)-propyl)-10-(tritylthiomethyl)-2,18-dioxa-5,8,11,14,16-pentaazaicosan-15-ylidene)carbamate (27)
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of the Fmoc-protected amine $26(0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. HPLC monitoring showed that the starting material had been consumed after two hours, but the reaction was stirred for an additional hour. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product $(0.277 \mathrm{~g})$, which was used directly in the next step. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 1013.4664; found: 1013.4663

To a solution of carboxylic acid $20(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.471 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added HATU ( $0.156 \mathrm{~g}, 0.411 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.822 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under anhydrous environment $\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\right)$ for 15 min before a solution of the Fmoc-deprotected amine $26(0.277 \mathrm{~g}, 0.274 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and stirring continued for 24 h . After evaporation of the solvent the residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and distilled water ( 30 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of $\operatorname{EtOAc}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, distilled water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product $(0.352 \mathrm{~g})$. Purification by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 9:1) gave the title compound (93 $\mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ over 2 steps). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (EtOAc/hexane, 9:1) $=0.45$; NMR analyses did not give useful information due to formation of rotamers; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 1312.6145; found: 1312.6141 .

2-guanidino- $N$-((3S,6S,9aS)-6-(3-guanidinopropyl)-8-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,7-dioxohexahydro-2 $\mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}$-pyrazino[2,1-b][1,3]thiazin-3-yl)acetamide (12)

Linear precursor $27(93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of TFA, thioanisole and distilled water (90:5:5, 10 mL ) and stirred for 2 hours. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure the brown residue was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of cold ether resulted in precipitation and the crude product was purified by preparative RP-HPLC, and lyophilized to give the title compound as a fluffy white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta=7.84-7.78$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,6.3,1 \mathrm{H})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{q}, J=29.4,17.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36 \\
& (\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,6.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J \\
& =11.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR }(150.9 \\
& \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta=170.5,169.9,169.3,159.4,158.4,137.2,135.0,133.9,129.3,128.7,128.6, \\
& 128.5,128.4,127.4,126.8,57.6,52.8,51.4,48.9,48.7,44.6,41.4,34.7,30.7,28.5,26.3 ; \text { HRMS } \\
& (\mathrm{ESI}): m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \text {calcd for } \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} ; 554.2656 \text {; found: } 554.2659 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3-(Hydroxymethyl)piperidin-2-on (29) ${ }^{28}$

To a stirred suspension of the ethyl 2-oxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (28) (1.002 g, 5.8 mmol$)$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(0.702 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an ice/water bath, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(0.501 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, and stirring was continued for 2 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h of additional stirring at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and a 3 N aqueous citric acid solution was added in small portions until all solid material was dissolved $(\mathrm{pH}=2-3)$. The solution was extracted six times with 50 mL portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and subsequently filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave the crude product $(0.643 \mathrm{~g})$, which was further purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5 \% MeOH in EtOAc) to give the title compound as white solid $(0.573 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc})=0.11 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=5.99(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-$ $2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta=$ 176.2, 64.9, 43.2, 42.0, 24.1, 22.3; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: 130.0868$; found: 130.0850 .

$$
\text { 3-Methylenepiperidin-2-one ( } \mathbf{3 0})^{28}
$$

$N, N$ '-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) $(0.346 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring solution of alcohol $29(0.167 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath) and $\mathrm{CuI}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred (under reflux) for 70 minutes before it was cooled to room temperature, after which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirring continued for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was filtered. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted four times with 30 mL portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound as a white solid $(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc})=0.17 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=6.91(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21$ (bs, 1H), $5.37-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{td}, J=6.2,2.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.6,138.1,122.5,43.2,30.4,23.7$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}: 112.0762$; found: 112.0779.

## tert-Butyl 3-methylene-2-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (31)

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(1.072 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirring solution of lactam 30 ( $0.971 \mathrm{~g}, 8.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{DMF}(10: 1,27 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.830 \mathrm{~g}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise to the mixture, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 h . The mixture was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) to give the title compound (1.72 g, 94 \%) as transparent thick oil. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $1: 4)=0.34 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=164.8,153.3,138.8,125.1,83.1,46.9,29.5,28.2$, 22.8; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ : 234.1102; found: 234.1101.
tert-Butyl 2-oxo-3-(tritylthiomethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (32)
To a stirred solution of lactam $\mathbf{3 1}(1.648 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(33.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CSH}(2.157 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with water, concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 8:2) to give the title compound as white foam $(3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) $=0.18 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=\delta 7.45-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.9,7.6,5.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (dd, $J=12.7,4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,8.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=172.8$, 152.9, 145.0, 129.9, 128.1, 126.9, 83.2, 67.1, 45.1, 43.6, 33.0, 28.2, 25.5, 21.7; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}$ : 510.2073; found: 510.2070.

5-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-2-((tritylthio)methyl)pentanoic acid (33)
To a stirred solution of lactam $32(3.600,7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 37 mL ), was added LiOH ( 1.0 M , $14.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was monitored by TLC and all starting material was consumed after 1 h . The THF was evaporated and the aqueous residue was acidified with a $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid solution until the pH was approximately 4 . The mixture was extracted four times with 50 mL portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound as white foam (3.518 g, 94\%). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (hexane/EtOAc 6:4) $=0.20 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.46-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.33(\mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=179.8,156.2,144.8,129.9,128.2,127.0,79.5,67.2,44.9$,
40.3, 33.3, 29.0, 28.6, 27.5; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}: 528.2184$; found: 528.2174.
tert-Butyl ((SR)-5-(((S)-1-((2,2-dimethoxyethyl)(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)amino)-1-oxo-5-(3-((2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-yl)sulfonyl)guanidino)pentan-2-yl)amino)-5-oxo-4-((tritylthio)methyl)pentyl)carbamate (34)
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{HN}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring solution of $23(0.334 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ at r.t. The reaction was monitored by TLC and deemed complete after 3 h . The mixture was evaporated until dryness to give the crude product $(0.344 \mathrm{~g})$, which was used directly in the following step.

To a stirred solution of carboxylic acid $33(0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added $\operatorname{HBTU}(0.142 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. Fmoc-deprotected amine $23(0.250 \mathrm{~g}$ of crude product, 0.38 mmol$)$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirring continued for 17 h . The solvent was evaporated to give red foam, which was partitioned between EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was separated and washed with 10 mL portions of $5 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ and saturated aqueous NaCl solutions. The organic phase was further concentrated and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane 3:1) to give an inseparable mixture of the two diastereoisomers of 34 as white foam ( $0.352 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) The product retains EtOAc and the yield is adjusted accordingly. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ $(E t O A c /$ hexane, $3: 1)=0.29 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{83} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: 1155.5658$; found: 1155.5667 ; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}: 1177.5477$; found: 1177.5474.

1,1'-(((3R,6S,9aS)-8-(2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,7-dioxohexahydro-2H,6H-pyrazino[2,1$b][1,3]$ thiazine-3,6-diyl)bis(propane-3,1-diyl))diguanidine (13)

The diastereoisomeric mixture of linear precursor $34(0.925 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of TFA, thioanisole and water (90:5:5, 28 mL ) and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 min . The TFA mixture was evaporated and the crude product was precipitated by addition of cold diethyl ether. The ether was drained off and the residue was dried in vacuo to give the crude product as a reddish solid $(0.422 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude product $(0.210 \mathrm{~g}$, approx. 0.43 mmol$)$ in dry DMF $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $1 H$-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0,63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 72 h during which the reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC. Diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) was then added and the mixture was cooled at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for an additional hour, resulting in the precipitation of crude product as a white solid $(0.230 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude was purified by preparative HPLC to give the title compound as a fluffy white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta=$ $7.82-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $13.6,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,4.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta=173.8,169.4,158.7,158.5,137.3,135.0$, $133.8,129.3,128.7,128.51,128.49,128.45,127.2,126.7,56.6,52.9,50.9$ (HSQC), 49.3 (HSQC), 43.8, 42.4, 41.6, 34.4, 30.2, 28.9, 28.6, 27.5, 26.2; HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : 539.2911; found: 539.2906.

1,1'-(((3S,6S,9aR)-8-(2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,7-dioxohexahydro-2H,6H-pyrazino[2,1$b][1,3]$ thiazine-3,6-diyl)bis(propane-3,1-diyl))diguanidine (14)

Bicycle 14 was prepared as described for 13. The crude was purified by preparative HPLC to give the title compound as a fluffy white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta=7.84-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=13.6,5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.95(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), $2.94-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, J=11.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta=$ $174.1,170.4,158.7,158.5,137.3,134.9,133.8,129.3,128.7,128.7,128.42,128.41,127.4$, 126.8, 57.1, 53.7, 49.0 (HSQC), 48.9 (HSQC), 42.8, 42.4, 41.5, 34.6, 30.7, 29.9, 28.8, 27.7, 26.3;

HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : 539.2911; found: 539.2905.

Biological Studies. Transfections and tissue culture. COS-7 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with Glutamax (Invitrogen, UK) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), 180 units $/ \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and $45 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin (PenStrep) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 90 \%$ humidified atmosphere. Transfection of cells was carried out by the calcium phosphate precipitation method. ${ }^{30,31}$ Briefly, plasmid DNA ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of receptor cDNA and $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the chimeric $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}$ to $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{q}}$ signal-converting G protein $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{qi} 4 \mathrm{myr}}$ ) were mixed with TE-buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2$ EDTA, pH 7.5 ) and $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ calcium chloride ( 2 M ) to a total volume of $480 \mu \mathrm{l}$, and then added to the same amount of Hepes buffered saline ( $280 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Hepes, $1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.2$ ). Precipitation was allowed for 45 min at room temperature, after which the precipitate together with $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ chloroquine $(2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ in 10 ml culture media was added to the $6 \times 10^{6}$ COS-7 cells seeded the day before. Transfection was stopped after 5 h by replacing media, and cells were incubated overnight.

Functional assay. The potency was measured using a scintillation proximity-based inositolphosphate accumulation assay (SPA-IP). ${ }^{32}$ In brief, one day after transfection COS-7 cells ( 0.35 $\times 10^{5}$ cells/well) were incubated for 24 h with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-myo-inositol $(5 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml}, 2 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{ml})$ in 0.1 ml of growth medium per well in a 96-well plate. The following day, cells were washed twice in PBS and were incubated in 0.1 ml of Hank's balanced salt solution (Invitrogen, U.K.) supplemented with 10 mM LiCl at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of various concentrations of ligands for 90 min . Cells were extracted by addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 mM formic acid to each well, followed by incubation on ice for $30-60 \mathrm{~min}$. The $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ inositol-phosphates in the formic acid cell lysates were quantified by Ysi-poly-D-Lys coated SPA beads. Briefly, $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cell extract was mixed with $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a SPA bead suspension $\left(12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in a PicoPlate-96 white plate. Plates were sealed, agitated for at least 30 min and centrifuged ( $5 \mathrm{~min}, 1500 \mathrm{rpm}$ ). SPA beads were allowed to settle and react with the extract for 8 h before radioactivity was determined using a Packard Top Count NXT ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ scintillation counter (PerkinElmer, MA, USA). All determinations were made in duplicate. This readout has earlier been used effectively for CXCR4 and other chemokine receptors. ${ }^{13,33}$
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#### Abstract

ABBREVIATIONS 2-Nal, L-3-(2-naphthyl)alanine; CXCL12, CXC chemokine ligand 12; CXCR4, CXC chemokine receptor 4; DIPEA, $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine; EDC, $N$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)- $N^{\prime}$ ethylcarbodiimide; HATU, 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1 $H$-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b] pyridinium-3-oxide hexafluorophosphate; HBTU, 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-benzotriazolium-3-oxide hexafluorophosphate; HFIP, 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; Pbf, 2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-sulfonyl; PyBOP, (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate; SPPS, solid phase peptide synthesis; TIS, triisopropylsilane; Trt, triphenylmethyl.
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Figure S1. Section of ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$.


Figure S2. Section of ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$.


Figure S3. Section of ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 3}$.


Figure S4. Section of ROESY spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4 .}$


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Values represent the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in duplicates.
    ${ }^{b}$ Known compound.

