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#### Abstract

The study in this PhD thesis aims at development of certain mathematical methods used in applications, in particular, in the study of regularity properties of solutions in various mathematical models described by Partial Differential Equations (PDEs). To this end, we study various operators of harmonic analysis in certain function spaces, since solutions to many PDEs may be expressed in terms of such operators.

This PhD thesis consists of four papers (papers A-D) and an Introduction.

In Paper A we introduce a version of weighted anisotropic mixed norm Morrey spaces and anisotropic Hardy operators. We derive conditions for boundedness of these operators in such spaces. We also reveal the role of these operators in the solving of some degenerate hyperbolic PDEs of some class.

In Paper B we prove the boundedness of potential operators in weighted generalised Morrey space in terms of Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of weights and apply this result to the Helmholtz equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a free term in such a space. We also give a short overview of some typical situations when potential type operators arise when solving PDEs.

In Paper C we study the boundedness of some multi-dimensional Hardy type operators in Hölder spaces and derive some new results of interest also in the theory of inequalities.

In Paper D we prove some differentiation formulas for weighted singular integrals, which we suppose to apply in our future studies concerning the solution of some integral equations of the first kind.


These new results are put into a more general frame in an Introduction, where also crucial parts of previous research by the candidate (e.g. published in two Licentiate theses) are briefly described. Note, in particular, that this PhD thesis may be regarded as a more theoretically based continuation of the Licentiate thesis in Wood Technology. This important link is carefully described in the Introduction.

## Preface

This PhD thesis in Applied Mathematics and Computational Engineering is composed of four papers (A-D). These publications are reflected and put into a more general frame in an Introduction. Moreover, this Introduction contains an overview about some applied problems, which are of importance as background of the studies in this PhD thesis.

A S. Lundberg and N. Samko, On some hyperbolic type equations and weighted anisotropic Hardy operators. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 40 (2017), no. 5, 1414-1421.
B E. Burtseva, S. Lundberg, L.-E. Persson and N. Samko, Potential type operators in PDEs and their applications. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1798, 020178, 11 pp, (2017).
C E. Burtseva, S. Lundberg, L.-E. Persson and N. Samko, Multi-dimensional Hardy type inequalities in Hölder spaces. J. Math. Inequal., 12 (2018), no. 3, 719-729.
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## Introduction

This PhD thesis in Applied Mathematics and Computational Engineering is devoted to the development of some mathematical methods known to be widely used in applied sciences and to applications of this development in the theory of Partial Differential Equations (PDEs).

Before we proceed to the description of the main topics and results of this PhD thesis, I find it natural to present the background in my previous studies, which led me to investigations realised in this dissertation.

I have defended two Licentiate theses, [L1] and [L2], (c.f. [51] and [52]). In [L1], I studied the cutting forces on a cutting tool when cutting frozen and non-frozen wood at full speed and with all cutting edges of the tool. The research in this Licentiate thesis is connected to some investigations in this PhD thesis. In fact, some research in this PhD thesis may be regarded as a more theoretically based continuation of the practically based research in $[\mathbf{L 1}]$.

Figure 1. Cutting forces. $F_{p}$ : Main cutting force, $F_{n}$ : Normal cutting force, $R$ : Total cutting force.
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In [L2], I studied some methods to obtain conservation laws and transformations between nonlinear PDEs and, moreover, to classify nonlinear PDEs with respect to these methods.

To better illustrate the above mentioned background which is essential for my studies in this PhD thesis, I find it reasonable to shortly describe the research questions and to characterise some main results obtained in my both Licentiate theses.

## 1. Short description of [L1] and [L2]

In [L1] , the research was related to an investigation of the cutting forces on a cutting tool when cutting frozen and non-frozen wood at full industrial feed speed and with all (three) cutting edges of the tool. The results from the investigations showed that the main cutting force increased with increasing moisture content.

As a special issue, investigations related to the sawdust gluing phenomenon - a serious problem for sawmills in the northern part of the globe - were performed. These investigations showed that the heartwood/sapwood ratio was a determining factor for the amount of sawdust glued to the sawn surfaces.

An application, close to wood machining, was also studied, namely non-contact surface roughness measurements on sawn wood. The results indicate that a measurement approach, based on a laser scan principle, can measure surface roughness at industrial feed speeds with a sufficient degree of accuracy.

Remark 1.1. The research in $[\mathbf{L} 1]$ was, to a great extent, experimental, so this type of research could be much supported by some complementary theoretical research. Parts of the research in this PhD thesis may be regarded as such a theoretical continuation of some results in $[\mathbf{L} 1]$. In particular, the following Journal publications were included in [L1]: [2] and [53].

In [L2], we discussed special transformations and so-called adjoint symmetries of nonlinear PDEs. The main emphasis was on adjoint symmetries and transformations of evolutions equations. In particular, we studied the adjoint symmetries and the construction of reciprocal Bäcklund transformations for evolution equations.

The obtained results show that by using integrating factors, together with corresponding conservation laws, we are able to construct reciprocal Bäcklund transformations for evaluation equations. Moreover, the achievements indicate the possibility to construct and classify a family of third-order evolution equations with respect to adjoint symmetries up to second-order, by means of an algorithmic procedure, so that the work, obtaining adjoint symmetries, can be substantially simplified.

Remark 1.2. The Journal publication [16] was included in [L2].

## 2. The link to the new results in this PhD thesis

As mentioned above, my Licentiate thesis [L1], was related to a study of the cutting forces on a cutting tool when cutting frozen and non-frozen wood at full speed and with all (three) cutting edges of the tool. Earlier studies of these phenomena have been performed under low speed conditions. By our study, the feed speed can be increased up to normal industrial conditions, yet obtaining results with a sufficient grade of accuracy.

One of the conclusions being that the main cutting force grows with increasing moisture content, after that study my interests turned to the question - how moisture transfer in wood in general influences on the wood production processes? Such studies can be found in literature, see for instance [39] and the references therein.

The study of the problem of moisture transfer is important for various other applications, for instance it is essential for better understanding the durability of materials. In general, the role of temperature and moisture is essential for most of material properties, when dealing with building materials. The process of temperature and moisture transfer in materials depends in particular on the environment climate and the geometry of the structure. Thus, it is difficult to overestimate the importance of studies of heat and moisture transfer in various branches of technology, industrial and civil engineering, chemical technology etc.

For various applied studies related to the role of heat and moisture transfer, we refer in particular to [97] with respect to the role of composition of materials, [98] for coupled thermal and moisture
fields with application to tailoring of composites, [67] for isothermal moisture transport in various porous building materials, [104] for heat and moisture transfer in the special case of concrete. See also [17], [18], [32], [46], [66], [80], [105] and [107].

Mathematically, moisture transfer as well as heat transfer is described by parabolic-hyperbolic type PDEs. Recall the classification of types of PDEs, in the case of two independent variables. Let
(1) $A(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+2 B(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+C(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+F\left(x, y, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)=0$
be partial differential equation of the second order, linear with respect to the second order derivatives and with discriminant $D=$ $D(x, y)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x, y):=B^{2}(x, y)-A(x, y) C(x, y) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (1) is called elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic at a point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)<0, D\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)>0 \text { or } D\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is called elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic in a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if it is elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic at every point of this domain.

Sometimes in applied sciences there appear mixed type or degenerate hyperbolic partial differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{m} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+a(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+b(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+C(x, y) u=f(x, y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equation is of elliptic or hyperbolic type for $y<0$ or $y>0$, respectively, when $m$ is odd, and of hyperbolic type in both the half planes when $m$ is even, with the line $y=0$ of parabolic degeneration in both the cases. The famous Tricomi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used, in particular, to describe near-sonic flows of gas, is a particular case of (4) of mixed type. The moisture transport equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was obtained by the well-known thermophysicist A. Luikov [48] for the density of moisture flux in a colloidal capillaryporous media, is another particular case of (4), this time with parabolic degeneration. An equation of type (6) in fact was earlier considered as a theoretical object by A.V.Bitsadze, see the book [8], who studied the Cauchy problem for such an equation. Because of this, the moisture transport equation (6) is also referred to as Bitsadze-Luikov equation.

For partial differential equations appearing in the study of heat and moisture transfer we refer to the book [48] by A. Luikov, widely known to experts in the field, and also [19], [60] and [73].

Mostly heat and moisture transfer is described by parabolic equations. In cases of more complicated media structure the governing equation may be of hyperbolic type with degeneracy to the parabolic type on the boundary of the domain or on some specific lines in the domain. Such hyperbolic type differential equations are known to appear in the study of moisture transfer in capillaryporous bodies, see e.g. [48], Section 1.6. Note that the history of degenerate hyperbolic equations goes back to the classical Tricomi equation, see for instance the book [102], the papers [4], [5], [6], [13], [23], [24], [25], [77], [78], and the references therein.

Differential equations in general are very effective mathematical models for the study of various phenomena in applied sciences. Several problems of physics and other natural sciences supply new ideas to the theory of PDEs via many applications, from which the rich content of the theory grows. Conversely, it also happens that a mathematical study, born within the mathematics itself, may lead to solving some specific physical problems in the process of their more profound study, although after maybe considerable time. Thus, the Tricomi problem for equations of mixed type, after more than a quarter of a century after its solution, found important
applications in the problem of modern gas dynamics in the study of supersonic gas flows, see [61] and the references therein.

One of the features of the modern theory of differential equations is its deep connection with functional analysis and harmonic analysis.

My studies in this PhD thesis were highly influenced by the effectiveness of interplay between mathematical theories and their applications. We concentrate ourselves on the study of the following operators of harmonic analysis: Potential type operators and Hardy type operators, which are known to play a crucial role in applications to PDEs. We study these operators in the setting of generalised or modified Morrey type and Hölder function spaces, both popular in PDEs. This is motivated by the needs in applications to have properties of solutions inherited from prescribed properties of the data, see e.g. the Figure below.


Figure 2. The relation between properties of data and inherited solutions.

## 3. Short description of the main research questions and results in this PhD thesis

## The classical versions of function spaces in this PhD thesis:

In this PhD thesis we deal with Morrey- and Hölder-type spaces and their modifications and/or generalisations. We present here the definitions of the classical versions of these spaces and later, in the parts where descriptions of the main results will be presented, we will give some of their modifications and/or generalisations.

## Morrey space:

The classical Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{L}_{l o c}^{p}(\Omega):\|f\|_{p, \lambda}<\infty\right\}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda<n, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{l o c}^{p}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions such that $f \in$ $\mathcal{L}^{p}(B \cap \Omega)$ for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \lambda}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda}} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(B(x, r))}}{r^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x, r)=\{y \in \Omega:|y-x|<r\}$, it is a Banach space.
The approach to measure regularity properties of solutions to PDEs by means of the property

$$
\int_{B(x, r)}|f(t)|^{p} d t \leq c r^{\lambda}
$$

is due to C. B. Morrey [62]. The set of functions with this property as a function space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}$ with the corresponding norm appeared first in [10] and is called Morrey space since then.

Such spaces are known to be used often in PDEs, since Morrey spaces describe local regularity of solutions more precisely than Lebesgue spaces, and in the last decades they became also widely popular in harmonic analysis. We refer, for instance to the books [1], [20], [38], [41] and [103]. Various properties of functions in Morrey spaces are well studied and may be found in these books.

Many operators of harmonic analysis, e.g.singular, maximal and potential type operators and their commutators, have been intensively studied in Morrey spaces. We refer to the book [38], where a lot of references may be found.

## Hölder space:

The classical Hölder space $C^{\lambda}(\Omega), 0<\lambda \leq 1$, where $\Omega$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 1$, is defined by the seminorm

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f]_{\lambda}:=\sup _{\substack{x, x+h \in \Omega \\|h|<1}} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{|h|^{\lambda}}<\infty . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{C^{\lambda}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|+[f]_{\lambda} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.
Hölder spaces adjoin in a sense to Morrey space and together with Morrey spaces constitute the scale of so called Morrey-Campanato spaces, see for instance [20] and [41]. Hölder spaces are also known to be widely used in applications, in particular in PDEs. See, for instance [20].

## Some operators of harmonic analysis studied in this PhD the-

 sis:Among the operators studied in this PhD thesis, the main are Hardy- and Potential-type operators. The classical Hardy operators $H^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ for functions of one variable are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\alpha} f(x) & :=x^{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{x} f(y) d y \text { and }  \tag{11}\\
\mathcal{H}^{\alpha} f(x) & :=x^{\alpha} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{f(y)}{y} d y, \alpha \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Their multidimensional versions are also known in the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
H^{\alpha} f(x):=|x|^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y|<|x|} f(y) d y \text { and }  \tag{12}\\
\mathcal{H}^{\alpha} f(x):=|x|^{\alpha} \int_{|y|>|x|} \frac{f(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y, \alpha \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

For more information on Hardy type operators and inequalities, see the recent book [43] by A. Kufner, L. E. Persson and N. Samko. We also consider anisotropic Hardy operators

$$
H^{\bar{\alpha}}=H^{\bar{\alpha}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad \bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right),
$$

of functions of two variables, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bar{\alpha}} f(x, y):=x^{\alpha_{1}-1} y^{\alpha_{2}-1} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As regards Potential operators, the classical potential operator $I^{\alpha}$, known also under the name of Riesz fractional integral, has the form

$$
I^{\alpha} f(x):=\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(y) d t}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0<\alpha<n
$$

where $\gamma_{n}(\alpha)$ is a certain normalising constant. In the case $\alpha=2$ (when $n>2$ ) this is also referred to as the Newton potential.

We also study weighted modifications of the above operators.
3.1. Main results obtained in Paper A. We recall that the degenerate hyperbolic equation (6) of the form

$$
y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial x}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y \partial y}+a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=f(x, y)
$$

is known as an equation describing moisture and temperature transfer in porous media, as it was mentioned above. This equation, by the transformation

$$
\xi=x-\frac{y^{2}}{2}, \quad \eta=x+\frac{y^{2}}{2}
$$

reduces (see for instance [15], [79]) to the equation in the following form:

$$
(\xi-\eta) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}+\text { lower terms }=g(\xi, \eta)
$$

The degenerate hyperbolic equation, related to the use of the anisotropic Hardy operators (13) introduced in Paper A, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+u(x, y)=f(x, y) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study a possibility to find a solution of this equation within the frame of weighted Morrey spaces, when the right-hand side of the equation is in such spaces well suited for their use in PDEs. Such a possibility is based on the boundedness of the weighted Hardy operators in the corresponding spaces. To this end, we introduce a version of weighted anisotropic Morrey spaces, and prove a theorem on the boundedness of the weighted anisotropic double Hardy operator in the framework of anisotropic Morrey spaces which are defined below.

We find conditions for the boundedness of these operators in weighted anisotropic Morrey spaces, with an emphasis on the role of the function spaces used in the solving process.

## Some definitions:

We consider Morrey spaces defined above by (7)-(8) on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The weighted Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}$ are treated in the usual sense:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, w):=\left\{f: \quad w f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\},
$$

equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, w)}:=\|w f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$.

Below we present the definitions of the anisotropic Morrey spaces.

Anisotropic Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$
is defined in [69] by the norm
(15)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{p, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}:=\sup _{\substack{x>0, y>0 \\
r_{1}>0, r_{2}>0}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} r_{2}^{\lambda_{2}}} \int_{\left(x-r_{1}\right)_{+}}^{\left.x+r_{\left(y-r_{2}\right)_{+}} \int_{\substack{ }}^{y+r_{2}}\left|f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d t_{1} d t_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=}\right. \\
=\sup _{x, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(Q(x, r))}}{r_{1}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}} r_{2}^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p}}}, \\
\text { where }\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i}-r_{i}, & \text { if } x_{i}-r_{i} \geq 0 \\
0, & \text { if } x_{i}-r_{i}<0,
\end{array}, i=1,2,\right. \\
Q(x, r)=\left\{t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}:\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}<t_{i}<x_{i}+r_{i}, i=1,2\right\}= \\
=I_{x, r_{1}} \times I_{y, r_{2}, x=(x, y), r=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right), \text { and }} \quad I_{x_{i}, r_{i}}=\left(\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}, x_{i}+r_{i}\right), i=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Anisotropic mixed norm Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$
is defined by the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}:=\sup _{x, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}}(Q(x, r))}{r_{1}^{\frac{\lambda}{1}^{p_{1}}} r_{2}^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \bar{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, with the mixed norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}(Q(x, r))}$ over the rectangle $Q(x, r)$, where
$\begin{aligned}(17)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}(Q(x, r))}: & =\left(\int_{I_{x, r_{1}}}\left(\int_{I_{y, r_{2}}}\left|f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{2}} d t_{2}\right)^{p_{1} / p_{2}} d t_{1}\right)^{1 / p_{1}}= \\ & =\| \| f\left(t_{1}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}\left(I_{2}\right)}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}\left(I_{1}\right)}},\end{aligned}$
where "." stands for the variable in which the inner norm is applied (we refer to [7] for more information about mixed norm Lebesgue spaces).

Weighted anisotropic mixed norm Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} w_{2}\right)$
is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} w_{2}\right):=\left\{f: \quad w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y) f(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)\right.
$$

We consider the weighted two-dimensional Hardy operators $H^{\bar{\alpha}, w}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w} f(x, y):=x^{\alpha_{1}-1} y^{\alpha_{2}-1} w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y) \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and $w=w(x, y)=w_{1}(x) \cdot w_{2}(y)$.
We may assume that $f \geq 0$. If the double integral (18) converges, then by Fubini's theorem it coincides with the also convergent iterated integrals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w} f=H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} f=H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} f, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(20)

$$
H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} f(x, y)=\frac{w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}} y^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{2}\right) d t_{1}
$$

and
(21)
$H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} f(x, y)=\frac{w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}} y^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}$,
so that we can use any one of the forms in (19). Thus, we can interpret our anisotropic Hardy operator as a composition of the onedimensional Hardy operators applied in the corresponding variable.

In Theorem 3.1 below on the boundedness of double Hardy type operator in the mixed norm anisotropic case, which is one of the main results of this paper, we use the notion of Zygmund classes of almost monotonic functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, which are defined as follows:
(i) By $W=W\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$we denote the class of functions $\varphi$ continuous and positive on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that there exists the finite limit $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x)$.
(ii) By $W_{0}=W_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ almost increasing on $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
(iii) By $\bar{W}=\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that $x^{a} \varphi(x) \in W_{0}$ for some $a=a(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We say that a function $\varphi \in \bar{W}$ belongs to the Zygmund class $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\gamma}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\gamma}}, \quad r \in(0, \infty) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in W$. The following numbers $M(\varphi)$ and $M_{\infty}(\varphi)$ are known as upper Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of the function $\varphi$, at the origin and infinity, respectively:

$$
\begin{gathered}
M(\varphi)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r} \\
M_{\infty}(\varphi)=\inf _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right]}{\ln r} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The following theorem on weighted Hardy type inequality was conjectured in [69]:

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{i}<1-\lambda_{i}, 1<p_{i}<\frac{1-\lambda_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}$, $\frac{1}{q_{i}}=\frac{1}{p_{i}}-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{1-\lambda_{i}}$ and $w_{i} \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), i=1,2$. For the weighted Hardy type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H^{\bar{\alpha}, w} f\right\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

to hold, the condition $w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is sufficient, and the condition $w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{+}}+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, is necessary, $i=1,2$.

The detailed proof of Theorem 3.1 was given in Paper A. Moreover, based on the boundedness of weighted Hardy operators provided by Theorem 3.1, we stated and proved the following result for solutions in weighted Morrey space of the inhomogeneous equation (14):

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} w_{2}\right)$, where $1<p_{i}<\infty, \frac{1}{p_{i}}+$ $\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}=1,0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1, i=1,2$. Then there exists in $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} \cdot w_{2}\right) a$ particular solution $u(x, y)$ of the equation (14) given by the Hardy operator

$$
u(x, y)=\frac{1}{x y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}
$$

for all weights $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\max \left(M\left(w_{i}\right), M_{\infty}\left(w_{i}\right)\right)<\frac{\lambda}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}, i=1,2 .
$$

If we consider the case of power weights, i.e. when $w_{1}(x)=x^{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2}(y)=y^{\theta_{2}}$, we can formulate the following statement:

Corollary 3.3. In the case of power weights, i.e. when $w_{1}(x)=$ $x^{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2}(y)=y^{\theta_{2}}$, the condition (24) is reduced to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\theta_{i}\right)<\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}, \quad i=1,2, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that Theorem 3.2 in this case holds with (24) replaced by the simpler condition (25).

The results in Paper A are related to the following publications: [3], [7], [15], [34], [36], [48], [49], [50], [69], [71], [72], [75], [79], [86], [87], [88], [97] and [98].
3.2. Main results obtained in Paper B. It is well known that Potential type operators arise in the study of for instance the Poisson and Helmholtz equations. Such equations occur quite often in a variety of applied problems of science and engineering.

In this paper we prove the boundedness of Potential operators in weighted generalised Morrey space in terms of Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of weights and apply this result to the Helmholtz equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a free term in such a space. We do an emphasis on the role of the function space used in the solving process. We also give a short overview of some typical situations when Potential type operators arise when solving PDEs.

We start with some definitions and assumptions.
Let $\bar{W}$ be the class of quasi-monotonic functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$defined in the above overview of Paper A.

Besides this we also need the class $\underline{W}$ defined as follows. To underline separate roles of Matuszewska-Orlicz indices at the origin and infinity, we give here the definition of $\underline{W}$ via the corresponding classes on $[0,1]$ and $[1, \infty]$.

Definition 3.4.
(i) By $W=W([0,1])$ we denote the class of continuous and positive functions $\varphi$ on $(0,1]$ such that there exists finite or infinite limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r)$.
(ii) By $\underline{W}=\underline{W}([0,1])$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that $t^{b} \varphi(t)$ is almost decreasing for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

## Definition 3.5.

(i) By $W_{\infty}=W_{\infty}([1, \infty])$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi$ which are continuous and positive and almost increasing on $[1, \infty)$ and which have the finite or infinite $\operatorname{limit}^{\lim } r_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)$.
(ii) By $\underline{W}_{\infty}=\underline{W}_{\infty}([1, \infty))$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in$ $W_{\infty}$ such that $t^{b} \varphi(t) \in W_{\infty}$ for some $b=b(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

By $\underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$we denote the set of functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$whose restrictions onto $(0,1)$ are in $\underline{W}([0,1])$ and restrictions onto $[1, \infty)$ are in $\underline{W}_{\infty}([1, \infty))$. The set $\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is interpreted similarly.

## Generalised Morrey space

Definition 3.6. Let $\varphi(r)$ be a non-negative function on $[0, \ell]$, positive on $(0, \ell]$, and $1 \leq p<\infty$. The generalised Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)$ is defined as the space of functions $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \varphi}:=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical Morrey space

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

corresponds to the case $\varphi(x, r) \equiv r^{\lambda}, 0<\lambda<n$.
Everywhere in the sequel it is assumed that the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$, defining the generalised Morrey spaces are non-negative, are almost increasing functions and continuous in a neighborhood of the origin, such that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi(r)>0$, for $r>0$, and $\varphi \in \bar{W} \bigcap \underline{W}$, and similarly for $\psi$.

For the function $\varphi(r)$, we will make use of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r) \geq c r^{n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r \leq 1$, which makes the spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)$ non-trivial, see [70, Corollary 3.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{t^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} d t \leq C \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(r)}{r^{\frac{n}{p}}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{t^{\frac{n}{p}-\alpha+1}} d t \leq C r^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will consider the action of the Potential operator from one Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}$ to another Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{q, \psi}$.

The weighted generalised Morrey spaces are treated in the usual sense:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega, w):=\left\{f: \quad w f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)\right\}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)}:=\|w f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the weights $w$ we use the classes $\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and $\mathbf{V}_{ \pm}^{\mu}$ defined as follows:

Definition 3.7. Let $0<\mu \leq 1$. By $\mathbf{V}_{ \pm}^{\mu}$, we denote the classes of functions $w$ non-negative on $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, \infty)$, defined by the conditions:

$$
\mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}:
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|w(t)-w(\tau)|}{|t-\tau|^{\mu}} \leq C \frac{w\left(t_{+}\right)}{t_{+}^{\mu}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu}:
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|w(t)-w(\tau)|}{|t-\tau|^{\mu}} \leq C \frac{w\left(t_{-}\right)}{t_{+}^{\mu}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t, \tau \in(0, \infty), t \neq \tau$, and $t_{+}=\max (t, \tau), t_{-}=\min (t, \tau)$.
Besides the upper Matuszewska-Orlicz indices defined in the above overview of Paper A, here we also need lower MatuszewskaOrlicz indices $m(\varphi)$ and $m_{\infty}(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in W$ :

$$
m(\varphi)=\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}
$$

and

$$
m_{\infty}(\varphi)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right]}{\ln r} .
$$

One main result from Paper B reads:
Theorem 3.8. Let $0<\alpha<n, 1<p<\frac{n}{\alpha}, q>p$, and $w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right], \quad \mu=\min \{1, n-\alpha\}$.

Suppose also that the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the assumptions (32)

$$
M(\varphi), M_{\infty}(\varphi)<n-\alpha p, \quad \varphi(r) \leq c r^{n-\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \text { and } \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(|y|)}{|y|^{\frac{n}{p}-\alpha}} \in \mathcal{L}^{q, \psi}
$$

Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-\frac{n-M(\varphi)}{p}<m(w) \leq M(w)<\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m(\varphi)}{p} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-\frac{n-M_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}<m_{\infty}(w) \leq M_{\infty}(w)<\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

the weighted Riesz potential operator $w I^{\alpha} \frac{1}{w}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to ${ }^{q, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

The above theorem leads us to the following result for the Helmholtz equation, in the case $n=3, \alpha=2$. In this application we consider Morrey spaces imbedded into the corresponding weighted Lebesgue spaces, i.e. $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right) \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$. To this end, it suffices to assume that $\varphi(r)$ is a bounded function.

Theorem 3.9. Let $1<p<\frac{3}{2}, q>p$, and

$$
w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right]
$$

Let also the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\varphi)<3-2 p, \varphi(r) \leq c r^{3-\frac{2}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \text { and } \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}}{r^{\frac{3}{p}-2}} \in \mathcal{L}^{q, \psi} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-M(\varphi)}{p}<m(w) \leq M(w)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m(\varphi)}{p} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-M_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}<m_{\infty}(w) \leq M_{\infty}(w)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$, there exists a twice Sobolev differentiable particular solution $u \in \mathcal{L}^{q, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$ of the Helmholtz equation

$$
\left(\Delta+k^{2} I\right) u(x)=f(x)
$$

In the case of classical Morrey spaces, i.e. when $\varphi(r)=r^{\lambda}, 0<$ $r<n$, the statement of Theorem 3.9 holds in a more precise form as given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. Let $1<p<\frac{3}{2}, q>p, \lambda<3-2 p$ and

$$
w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] .
$$

Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-\lambda}{p}<\min \left(m(w), m_{\infty}(w)\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(M(w), M_{\infty}(w)\right)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$, there exists a twice Sobolev differentiable particular solution $u \in \mathcal{L}^{q, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$ of the Helmholtz equation

$$
\left(\Delta+k^{2} I\right) u(x)=f(x),
$$

where $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{3-\lambda}$.
The results in Paper B are related to the following publications: [3], [11], [12], [29], [30], [31], [33], [34], [35], [40], [44], [47], [55], [56], [57], [58], [59], [63], [64], [68], [70], [71], [72], [74], [76], [82], [84], [87], [88], [90], [92], [93], [94], [96], [99], [101], [106] and [108].
3.3. Main results obtained in Paper C. In this paper we study mapping properties of the multi-dimensional Hardy type operators $H^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ (we write $H=H^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ in the case $\alpha=0$ ) defined above in (12) as

$$
H^{\alpha} f(x):=|x|^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y|<|x|} f(y) d y
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\alpha} f(x):=|x|^{\alpha} \int_{|y|>|x|} \frac{f(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y, \alpha \geq 0
$$

in Hölder spaces $C^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ defined above in (9)-(10). We deal with $\Omega=B_{R}$, where $B_{R}=B(0, R):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<R\right\}, 0<R \leq \infty$.

We will also use the subspaces $C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ of $C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$, defined by

$$
C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right): f(0)=0\right\}
$$

and we deal also with the space $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ consisting of functions $f$ for which $[f]_{\lambda}<\infty$ and $f(0)=0$. This space contains functions which are unbounded in the case $R=\infty$. Note that $[f]_{\lambda}$ is a norm in $C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$.

In Paper C we also consider Hölder spaces of the functions on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e. in the case $R=\infty$ with the requirement that functions have also Hölder type behaviour at the infinite point, i.e. we deal with a compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by a single infinite point, which we denote as $\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$.

The space $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is defined by the norm
$\|f\|_{C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}:=\|f\|_{C\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)}+\sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)-f(y)|\left(\frac{(1+|x|)(1+|y|)}{|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda}$.
The operator $H^{\alpha}, \alpha=0$, may be considered both with and without compactification, but a consideration of $\mathcal{H}$ requires the choice of the space $C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ instead of the space $C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ due to the needed convergence of integrals at infinity. We prove the theorem for the operator $H^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 0$, without compactification, and for both the operators $H$ and $\mathcal{H}$ with compactification. We also show that in the setting of the spaces with compactification we may consider only the case $\alpha=0$.

Our first main result in Paper C is the following theorem for the operator $H^{\alpha}$ :

Theorem 3.11. Let $\alpha \geq 0, \lambda>0, \lambda+\alpha \leq 1$ and $0<R \leq \infty$. In the case $\alpha=0$ the Hardy operator $H^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ and $\left[\left.H^{\alpha} f\right|_{\alpha=0}\right]_{\lambda} \leq C[f]_{\lambda_{\tilde{\sim}}}$. In the case $\alpha>0$ the operator $H^{\alpha}$ is bounded from $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ into $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda+\alpha}\left(B_{R}\right)$.

We also consider the generalised Hölder space $C^{\omega(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.
The space $C^{\omega(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is defined as the set of functions, continuous in $\Omega$, having the finite norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{\omega(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|+[f]_{\omega(\cdot)}
$$

with the seminorm

$$
[f]_{\omega(\cdot)}=\sup _{\substack{x, x+h \in \Omega \\|h|<1}} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{\omega(|h|)}
$$

where $\omega:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a non-negative increasing function in $C([0,1])$ such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\omega(t)>0$ for $0<t \leq 1$. Such spaces are known in the literature, see for instance [36, Section 13.6].

The classes $C_{0}^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$ and $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ are defined similarly to the above case $\omega(t)=t^{\lambda}$.

The following statement is a generalisation of Theorem 3.11 for the case of $\omega=\omega(t)$, defined in this paper.

Theorem 3.12. Let $\omega \in C([0,1])$ be positive on $(0,1]$, increasing and such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{1-\alpha}}$ is almost decreasing. In the case $\alpha=0$ the operator $\left.H^{\alpha}\right|_{\alpha=0}$ is bounded in $C^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$. When $\alpha>0$, it is bounded from $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$ into $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\omega_{\alpha}(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$, where $\omega_{\alpha}(t)=$ $t^{\alpha} \omega(t)$.

In the setting of the spaces $C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we consider only the case $\alpha=0$, and our main results in this case for $H$ and $\mathcal{H}$ read:

Theorem 3.13. Let $0 \leq \lambda<1$. Then the operator $H$ is bounded in $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$.

To formulate the corresponding result for the operator $\mathcal{H}$ we need to consider the following subspaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right) & :=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right): f(0)=0\right\} \\
C_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right) & :=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right): f(\infty)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}:=C_{\infty}^{\lambda} \cap C_{0}^{\lambda} .
$$

Theorem 3.14. Let $0<\lambda<1$. Then the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is bounded from $C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ to $C_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$.

Remark 3.15. When $\alpha>0$, Theorems 3.13 and 3.14 may not be extended to the setting $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow C^{\lambda+\alpha}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$, in which we require the Hölder behaviour of functions also at the infinite point, in contrast to the situation in Theorem 3.11.

The main results in Paper C are also cited and described in the recent book [43] by A. Kufner, L. E. Persson and N. Samko.

The results in Paper C are also related to the following publications: [9], [26], [27], [28], [36], [37], [42], [45], [50], [54], [65], [69], [70], [83], [86], [88], [95] and [100].
3.4. Main results obtained in Paper D. Besides the Hardy and Potential operators, singular operators play an important role in various applications, e.g. connected to problems related to PDEs. One-dimensional singular operators $S$, defined by

$$
S f(t):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t)}{\tau-x} d \tau, x \in(a, b)
$$

have various applications e.g. in aerodynamics and elasticity theory. In particular, the integral equation $S f=g$ is known as the famous Söngen equation in aerodynamics. Sometimes it is also called thev Tricomi equation. More generally, equations of the form

$$
a(t) f(t)+b(t) S f(t)=g(t),
$$

where in general $(a, b)$ is replaced by an arbitrary closed or open curve are known as singular integral equations. Due to numerous applications the theory of these equations was intensively and comprehensively developed in the middle decades of the previous century. In the process of solving such equations there appear singular integrals with power weights $T^{\mu}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x):=(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}(t-x)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(written for the case of the interval $(a, b)$ ), where $a<x<b, \mu=$ ( $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ), the numbers $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ may be complex and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{1}\right)<$ $1, \operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{2}\right)<1$.

On the other hand it is known that integral equations of the first kind with logarithmic kernel, have various applications. In particular, many applied problems, where logarithmic kernels and potentials are used, can be dscribed and reduced to singular integral equations via differentiation. Consequently, there arises a problem of differentiation of the weighted singular integral $\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)$. Direct differentiation in $x$ in the form as $\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)$ is written, leads to a cumbersome and non-applicable results with strong singularities of the so obtained results at the endpoints of the interval. This happens because such a direct differentiation does not use differentiability properties of the function $f$ itself. Meanwhile the problem to study here is to show that if $\frac{d f}{d t}$ belongs to some class, then $\frac{d}{d x}\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)$ belongs to the same class. Results of such a type were known in some specific setting for the class of derivatives. Here we solve the problem of justification of the differentiation formula for such a weighted singular integral $\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)$ in the framework of weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{p, 1}=W^{p, 1}(w)$, defined by

$$
W^{p, 1}(w):=\left\{f \in L^{p}(w,[a, b]): d f / d x \in L^{p}(w,[a, b])\right\} .
$$

Here the derivative is understood as usual in the weak sense.

The weighted space $L^{p}(w,[a, b])=: L^{p}(w), 1 \leq p<\infty$, is defined by

$$
L^{p}(w):=\left\{\varphi:\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}(w)}:=\int_{a}^{b}|\varphi(x) w(x)|^{p} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

We also use the notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\mu}:=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}} \\
\varrho_{1-\mu}(x):=\frac{1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \quad \text { and } D=d / d x
\end{gathered}
$$

One main result in Paper D is the following:
Theorem 3.16. Let $f \in W^{p, 1}(w,[a, b])$, where $1<p<\infty$, $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, and $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$. Under the assumption that

$$
-1 / p \leq \alpha_{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{1}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
-1 / p \leq \alpha_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{2}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime},
$$

the following differentiation formula is valid:
(41)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d x} T^{\mu} f(x)= \\
=\frac{1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(t) d t}{(t-x)}+ \\
+\frac{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu}}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}},
\end{gathered}
$$

or in short form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=\left(T^{\mu-1} D f\right)(x)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu} \cdot \varrho_{1-\mu}(x) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar differentiation results are also obtained when there is admitted an additional logarithmic behavior at the endpoints of the interval, i.e. when $f(t)$ is replaced by $f(t) \ln (t-a)$ or $f(t) \ln (b-t)$, but $f(t)$ still belongs to $W^{p, 1}(w,[a, b])$.

The results in Paper D are related to the following publications: [14], [21], [22], [81], [85], [89] and [91].
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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that many operators of harmonic analysis such as potential type operators, singular operators, and others are widely used in PDEs. In this paper, the authors present their results on anisotropic double Hardy type operators arising in relation to some degenerate hyperbolic type PDEs, with an emphasis on the role of the function space used in the solving process.

Degenerate hyperbolic equations arise in various applied problems, for instance, in the study of distribution of heat and moisture transfer in capillary-porous media. We do not provide any historical overview: this would lead us too far away. We just present here some references, see, for example, [1-5], and references therein.

We also refer to some papers where the degenerate hyperbolic equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial x}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y \partial y}+a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=f(x, y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was studied, see, for instance, $[1,3]$, and references therein, which by the transformation

$$
\xi=x-\frac{y^{2}}{2}, \eta=x+\frac{y^{2}}{2}
$$

reduces to the equation in the following form:

$$
(\xi-\eta) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}+\text { lower terms }=g(\xi, \eta)
$$

The degenerate hyperbolic equation related to the use of the anisotropic weighted Hardy operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+a x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+b y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+c u(x, y)=f(x, y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study a possibility to find a solution of the equation within the frame of weighted Morrey spaces well suited for their use in PDEs. For Morrey spaces and investigation of various operators of harmonic analysis in such spaces, related to studies here, we refer, for instance, to [6-8]. See also [9] and references therein. Precise definitions of the spaces are given in Section 2.

We will study this equation in the weighted anisotropic Morrey space, when the right-hand side of the equation is in that space. Such a possibility is based on the boundedness of weighted Hardy operators in the corresponding spaces. To this end, we prove a theorem on the boundedness of weighted anisotropic double Hardy operator in the frameworks of anisotropic Morrey spaces.

[^1]Anisotropic Morrey spaces were introduced in [10] .
In order not to overload the exposition with details, and for reader's convenience, we present all necessary definitions and properties of the weights in the Appendix.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Morrey space

Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{L}_{l o c}^{p}(\Omega):\|f\|_{p, \lambda}<\infty\right\}, 1 \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda<n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \lambda}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda}} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(B(x, r))}}{r^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x, r)=\{y \in \Omega:|y-x|<r\}$, it is a Banach space.
We consider Morrey space on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and weighted Morrey spaces are treated in the usual sense:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, w):=\left\{f: w f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}, \quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, w)}:=\|w f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Next, we present the definitions of the anisotropic Morrey spaces.
Anisotropic Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ is defined in [10] by the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}=\sup _{\substack{x>0, y>0 \\ r_{1}>0, r_{2}>0}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} r_{2}^{\lambda_{2}}} \int_{\left(x-r_{1}\right)_{+}}^{x+r_{1}} \int_{\left(y-r_{2}\right)_{+}}^{y+r_{2}}\left|f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d t_{1} d t_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup _{x, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(Q(x, r))}}{r_{1}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}} r_{2}^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}x_{i}-r_{i}, & \text { if } x_{i}-r_{i} \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text { if } x_{i}-r_{i}<0,\end{array}, i=1,2, Q(x, r)=\left\{t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}:\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}<t_{i}<x_{i}+r_{i}, i=1,2\right\}=l_{x, r_{1}} \times l_{y, r_{2}}, x=\right.$ $(x, y), r=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$, and $I_{x_{i}, r_{i}}=\left(\left(x_{i}-r_{i}\right)_{+}, x_{i}+r_{i}\right), i=1,2$.

Anisotropic mixed norm Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ is defined by the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}=\sup _{x, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}(Q(x, r))}}{r_{1}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}} r_{2}^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \bar{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, with the mixed norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}(Q(x, r))}$ over the rectangle $Q(x, r)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}}(Q(x, r))}=\left(\int_{x_{x, r_{1}}}\left(\int_{y_{1, r_{2}}}\left|f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{2}} d t_{2}\right)^{p_{1} / p_{2}} d t_{1}\right)^{1 / p_{1}}=\| \| f\left(t_{1}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}}\left(l_{2}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}}\left(l_{1}\right)}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "." stands for the variable in which the inner norm is applied (we refer to [11] for mixed norm Lebesgue spaces).
Weighted anisotropic mixed norm Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} W_{2}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} w_{2}\right):=\left\{f: w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y) f(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)\right.
$$

## 3. On Hardy operators appearing in partial differential equations

### 3.1. Non-weighted case

The main facts in this section concern the application of double Hardy operators of functions of two variables. We will consider the well-known one-dimensional Hardy operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
H f(x)=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t, \quad \mathcal{H} f(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{f(t) d t}{t}, \quad x>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following double Hardy operators of two variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1} H_{2} f=\frac{1}{x y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& H_{1} \mathcal{H}_{2} f=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{t_{2}} d t_{2} d t_{1},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \mathcal{H}_{1} H_{2} f=\frac{1}{y} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{y} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{2} d t_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathcal{H}_{2} f=\int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{t_{1} t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to check that in terms of these operators, we obtain particular solutions of the partial differential Equation (1.2) with certain values of the coefficients $a, b$, and $c$, namely,
if $a=b=c=1$, then the Equation (1.2), that is, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} u(x, y)+y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+u(x, y)=f(x, y) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the solution

$$
u=H_{1} H_{2} f ;
$$

if $a=c=0, b=1$, then the Equation (1.2) has the solution

$$
u=H_{1} \mathcal{H}_{2} f ;
$$

if $a=1, b=c=0$, then the Equation (1.2) has the solution

$$
u=\mathcal{H}_{1} H_{2} f ;
$$

if $a=b=c=0$, then the Equation (1.2) has the solution

$$
u=\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathcal{H}_{2} f
$$

### 3.2. Weighted case

Consider the double-weighted Hardy operator, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{w_{1}} H_{2}^{w_{2}} f(x)=\frac{w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y)}{x y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2}, x=(x, y) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u(x, y)=H_{1}^{w_{1}} H_{2}^{w_{2}} f(x)$ satisfies the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y u_{x y}^{\prime \prime}+x a_{2}(y) u_{x}^{\prime}+y a_{1}(x) u_{y}^{\prime}+a_{1}(x) a_{2}(y) u=f(x, y), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}(x)=1-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\ln w_{1}\right), \\
& a_{2}(y)=1-y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\ln w_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the weights $w_{1}(x)$ and $w_{2}(y)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}(x)=\exp \left(\int \frac{1-a_{1}(x)}{x} d x\right) \\
& w_{2}(y)=\exp \left(\int \frac{1-a_{2}(y)}{y} d y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.

In the case of power weights, that is, when $w_{1}(x)=x^{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2}(y)=y^{\theta_{2}} ; a_{1}(x)=1-\theta_{1}$ and $a_{2}(y)=1-\theta_{2}$, the function

$$
u(x, y)=H_{1}^{\theta_{1}} H_{2}^{\theta_{2}} f(x)=x^{\theta_{1}-1} y^{\theta_{2}-1} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{t_{1}^{\theta_{1}} t_{2}^{\theta_{2}}} d t_{1} d t_{2}, x=(x, y)
$$

satisfies the differential equation:

$$
x y u_{x y}^{\prime \prime}+x\left(1-\theta_{2}\right) u_{x}^{\prime}+y\left(1-\theta_{1}\right) u_{y}^{\prime}+\left(1-\theta_{1}\right)\left(1-\theta_{2}\right) u=f(x, y)
$$

### 3.3. Application of weighted boundedness of two-dimensional Hardy operators to the study of partial differential equations

In this section, based on the mapping properties of the two-dimensional weighted Hardy operator in anisotropic mixed norm Morrey space, we study Morrey-type properties of a particular solution of the inhomogenious Equation (3.6).

We consider the weighted double Hardy operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w} f(x, y):=x^{\alpha_{1}-1} y^{\alpha_{2}-1} w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y) \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right), w=w_{1}(x) \cdot w_{2}(y)$.
We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Then, if the double integral Equation (3.9) converges then by Fubini's theorem, it coincides with the also convergent iterated integrals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w_{1}} f=H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} f=H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} f, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} f(x, y)=\frac{w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}} y^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{y} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{2}\right) d t_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} f(x, y)=\frac{w_{1}(x) w_{2}(y)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}} y^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we can use any one of the forms in Equation (3.10). Thus, we can interpret our anisotropic Hardy operator as a composition of the one-dimensional Hardy operators applied in the corresponding variable. However, with respect to the notation used in Equations (3.11) and (3.12), note that $H_{1}^{\alpha, w}$ is an operator defined on functions of two variables, while the notation $H^{\alpha_{i}, w_{i}}$ stands for operators defined on functions of one variable. So to interpret, for example, $H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}}$ as a composition, we should write $H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} \otimes I$ instead of $H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}}$ and $I \otimes H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, W_{2}}$ instead of $H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}}$, where $/$ is the identity operator and $\otimes$ stands for the tensor product of one-dimensional operators (see e.g., [12, Chapter 24] for this notion). However, to avoid complications on writing, we keep the simple notation $H_{1}^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}}$ and $H_{2}^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}}$ without danger of confusion of notation.

To formulate and prove our Theorem 3.2 on the boundedness of double Hardy type operator in the mixed norm anisotropic case, we need the following result:
Theorem 3.1 ([8], Theorem 4.5)
Let $0 \leq \lambda<1,0 \leq \alpha<1-\lambda, 1<p<\frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\lambda}$ and $w \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. For the weighted Hardy type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\alpha-1} w(x) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{w(t)} d t\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{9, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to hold, condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \text {or equivalently } \max \left(M(w), M_{\infty}(w)\right)<\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sufficient, and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \text {, or equivalently } \max \left(M(w), M_{\infty}(w)\right) \leq \frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, is necessary.
Theorem 3.1 was proved in [13] for the case $\alpha=0$ and in [8] for $\alpha>0$.
The following theorem on weighted Hardy type inequality was formulated without proof, in [10]. We give here its complete proof.

Theorem 3.2
Let $0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{i}<1-\lambda_{i}, 1<p_{i}<\frac{1-\lambda_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}$ and $\frac{1}{q_{i}}=\frac{1}{p_{i}}-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{1-\lambda_{i}}$ and $w_{i} \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), i=1,2$. For the weighted Hardy type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H^{\bar{\alpha}, w} f\right\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

to hold, the condition $w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is sufficient, and the condition $w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ is necessary, $i=1,2$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be obtained from two theorems: Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 proved next for the operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} f=H^{\alpha_{1}, w_{1}} \otimes I f=\frac{w_{1}(x)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(t, y)}{w_{1}(t)} d t \\
& A_{2} f=I \otimes H^{\alpha_{2}, w_{2}} f=\frac{w_{2}(y)}{y^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{f(x, \tau)}{w_{2}(\tau)} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

The operators $A_{1}, A_{2}$ behave like the identical operators in the variables $y, x$, respectively, so they keep the behavior of functions with respect to the variables $y, x$, respectively, and they will be considered in the following setting:

$$
A_{1}: \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\overline{q^{1}}, \bar{\lambda}}, \overline{q^{1}}=\left(q_{1}, p_{2}\right) ; \quad A_{2}: \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\overline{q^{2}}, \bar{\lambda}}, \overline{q^{2}}=\left(p_{1}, q_{2}\right)
$$

Clearly, our anisotropic Hardy operator is their composition:

$$
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w}=A_{1} \cdot A_{2} f=A_{2} \cdot A_{1} f
$$

We need also the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3
For the norm (2.4), the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\| \| f\left(t_{1}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}, \lambda_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof
By (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\pi}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\sup _{x, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \frac{1}{r_{1}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}}}\left\|\frac{1}{r_{2}^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}}}\right\| f\left(t_{1}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}( }\left(l_{y, r_{2}}\right)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}\left(l_{x, r_{1}}\right)}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\sup _{u, v} g(u, v)=\sup _{u} \sup _{v} g(u, v)$ for non-negative functions $g(u, v)$, from Equation (3.18), we obtain Equation (3.17).
Theorem 3.4
Let $0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{1}<1-\lambda_{1}, i=1,2,1<p_{1}<\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}$ and $\frac{1}{q_{1}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{1-\lambda_{1}}, 1<p_{2}<\infty$ and $w_{1} \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. For the boundedness

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{1} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q^{1}, \bar{\lambda}}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}},} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{q^{\top}}=\left(q_{1}, p_{2}\right)$, it is sufficient that $w_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and necessary that $w_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{1}^{\prime}}+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof
By Lemma 3.3, we have to estimate

$$
\left\|\left\|A_{1} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{1}, \lambda_{1}}} .
$$

By Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\left\|A_{1} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}} \leq \frac{w_{1}(x)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}}}{w_{1}(t)} d t
$$

(the validity of Minkowski inequality for Morrey spaces follows from its validity for Lebesgue spaces). Then

$$
\left\|\left\|A_{1} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{1}, \lambda_{1}}} \leq\left\|\frac{w_{1}(x)}{x^{1-\alpha_{1}}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}}}{w_{1}(t)} d t\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{1}, \lambda_{1}}}
$$

it remains to apply Theorem 3.1 in the sufficiency part. To cover the necessity part, it suffices to observe that the boundedness of the operator $A_{1}$ in particular on functions $f$ of the form $f(x, y)=f_{1}(x) \cdot f_{2}(y)$, where $f_{1} \in \mathcal{L}^{p_{1}, \lambda_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{L}^{p_{2}, \lambda_{2}}$ ( $\left.\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Taking $f_{2}$ fixed and $f_{1}$ running the space $\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}, \lambda_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, it remains to refer again to Theorem 3.1.

## Theorem 3.5

Let $0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{1}<1-\lambda_{1}, i=1,2,1<p_{2}<\frac{1-\lambda_{2}}{\alpha_{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{1-\lambda_{2}}, 1<p_{1}<\infty$ and $w_{2} \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. For the boundedness

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{2} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q^{2}, \bar{\lambda}}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}},} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{q^{2}}=\left(p_{1}, q_{2}\right)$, it is sufficient that $w_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and necessary that $w_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof
The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.4 with the only difference that now we have to estimate the norm

$$
\left\|\left\|A_{2} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{2}}, \lambda_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_{1}, \lambda_{1}}}
$$

and here is enough to apply Theorem 3.1. The necessity part is similarly proved.
Proof of Theorem 3.2 itself:
Sufficiency part. For
we have that

$$
H^{\bar{\alpha}, w}=A_{1} \cdot A_{2}
$$

by Theorem 3.5 and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}: \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\overline{q^{2}}, \bar{\lambda}} \\
& A_{1}: \mathcal{L}^{\overline{q^{2}}, \bar{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\bar{q}, \bar{\lambda}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 3.4.
Necessity part. Use the familiar argument with the passage $f=f_{1}(x) \cdot f_{2}(y)$ and fixing the function $f_{2}$ when obtaining necessary condition in the first variable and fixing the function $f_{1}$ in the case of the second variable.

Based on the boundedness of weighted Hardy operators provided by Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result for solutions in weighted Morrey space of the inhomogeneous Equation (3.6).

Theorem 3.6
Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} \cdot w_{2}\right)$, where $1<p_{i}<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda_{i}<1, i=1,2$. Then there exists in $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} \cdot w_{2}\right)$ a particular solution $u(x, y)$ of the Equation (3.6) given by the Hardy operator

$$
u(x, y)=\frac{1}{x y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}
$$

for all weights $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \text {, or equivalently } \max \left(M\left(w_{i}\right), M_{\infty}\left(w_{i}\right)\right)<\frac{\lambda}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}, i=1,2 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof
We need to see that the non-weighted Hardy operator is bounded in the weighted Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, w_{1} w_{2}\right)$. This is equivalent to the boundedness of Hardy operator $H^{w_{1}} H^{w_{2}}$ in the non-weighted Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p}, \bar{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$. For the latter, it suffices to apply Theorem 3.2 with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0$.

If we consider the case of power weights, that is, when $w_{1}(x)=x^{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2}(y)=y^{\theta_{2}}$, we formulate the following statement:

## Corollary 3.7

In the case of power weights, that is, when $w_{1}(x)=x^{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2}(y)=y^{\theta_{2}}$, the condition 3.21 is reduced to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\theta_{i}\right)<\frac{\lambda}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}, i=1,2 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Appendix

### 4.1. On some classes of quasi-monotone functions

Next, we give the known definitions and properties of some classes of quasi-monotone functions. For more details and proofs, we refer to [14, 15], see also [16] and references therein.
Definition 4.1

1. By $W=W\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we denote the class of functions $\varphi$ continuous and positive on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that there exists the finite $\operatorname{limit}_{x \rightarrow 0} \lim _{x}(x)$;
2. by $W_{0}=W_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ almost increasing on $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$;
3. by $\bar{W}=\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that $x^{a} \varphi(x) \in W_{0}$ for some $a=a(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$;
4. by $\underline{W}=\underline{W}(\mathbb{R}+)$, we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that there exist a number $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{f(t)}{t^{b}}$ is almost decreasing.

## Zygmund-Bary-Stechkin classes and Matuszewska-Orlicz indices

## Definition 4.2

We say that a function $\varphi \in \bar{W}$ belongs to the Zygmund class $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\beta}}, \quad r \in(0, \infty) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to the Zygmund class $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\gamma}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\gamma}}, \quad r \in(0, \infty) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\nu}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right):=\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter class being also known as Zygmund-Bary-Stechkin class [17].
It is known that the property of a function to be almost increasing or almost decreasing after the multiplication (division) by a power function is closely related to the notion of the so-called Matuszewska-Orlicz indices. We refer, for instance, to [15] and later paper [18] and references therein, for the properties of the indices of such a type.
For a function $\varphi \in \underline{W} \bigcap \bar{W}$, such indices at the origin are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\varphi)=\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\varphi)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, there are introduced such indices at infinity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\infty}(\varphi)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi(h)}\right]}{\ln r}, M_{\infty}(\varphi)=\inf _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(r h)}{\varphi(h)}\right]}{\ln r} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following properties of the indices of functions $u, v \in \underline{W} \bigcap \bar{W}$ are known, see for instance $[4,15]$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
m\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+m(u), \quad M\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+M(u), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{1},  \tag{4.7}\\
m\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a m(u), \quad M\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a M(u), \quad, a \geq 0  \tag{4.8}\\
m\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-M(u), \quad M\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-m(u) .  \tag{4.9}\\
m(u v) \geq m(u)+m(v), \quad M(u v) \leq M(u)+M(v) .  \tag{4.10}\\
c_{1} r^{M(u)+\varepsilon} \leq u(r) \leq c_{2} r^{m(u)-\varepsilon}, 0<r<1, \tag{4.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold with an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ and $c_{1}=c_{1}(\varepsilon), c_{2}=c_{2}(\varepsilon)$.
Similarly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{\infty}\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+m_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+M_{\infty}(u), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{1},  \tag{4.12}\\
m_{\infty}\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a m_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a M_{\infty}(u), \quad, a \geq 0  \tag{4.13}\\
m_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-M_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-m_{\infty}(u) .  \tag{4.14}\\
m_{\infty}(u v) \geq m_{\infty}(u)+m_{\infty}(v), \quad M_{\infty}(u v) \leq M_{\infty}(u)+M_{\infty}(v) . \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} r^{m_{\infty}(u)-\varepsilon} \leq u(r) \leq c_{2} r^{M_{\infty}(u)+\varepsilon}, \quad r \geq 1 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties (4.12) - (4.16) follow from the properties (4.7) - (4.11) in view of the equivalences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\beta}([1, \infty)) \Longleftrightarrow u_{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}([0,1]), \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}([1, \infty)) \Longleftrightarrow u_{*} \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\gamma}([0,1]) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{*}(t)=u\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$.
We will also use the following known properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\beta} \Longleftrightarrow \min \left\{m(u), m_{\infty}(u)\right\}>\beta \text { and } u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\nu} \Longleftrightarrow \max \left\{M(u), M_{\infty}(u)\right\}<\gamma \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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#### Abstract

We prove the boundedness of Potential operator in weighted generalized Morrey space in terms of Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of weights and apply this result to the Hemholtz equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a free term in such a space. We also give a short overview of some typical situations when Potential type operators arise when solving PDEs.


## INTRODUCTION

It is well known that many operators of harmonic analysis such as potential type operators, singular operators and others are widely used in PDE and PDO. The present paper is aimed to show some typical situations when Potential type operators arise when solving PDE. We do an emphasis on the role of the function space used in the solving process.

It is well known that the Potential type operators arise in study for instance Poisson's and Helmholtz equations. Such equations occur quite frequently in a variety of applied problems of science and engineering. The boundary value problems for the three-dimensional Laplace and Poisson equations are encountered in such fields as electrostatics, heat conduction, ideal fluid flow, elasticity and gravitation $[1,2,3,4]$. Nowadays there are a lot of problems in physics which are reduced to the consideration of such equations. Laplace and Poisson equations (the inhomogeneous form of Laplace equation) appear in problems involving volume charge density. Applications of Laplace and Poisson equations to the electrostatics in fractal media are discussed in [3]. Such equations are also used in constructing satisfactory theories of vacuum tubes, ion propulsion and magnetohydrodynamic energy conversion [5].

Helmholtz equation which represents time-independent form of wave equation appears in different areas of physics. It is mostly known to be used in the case of the acoustic equation and to apply to the study of waveguides (devices that transmit acoustic or electromagnetic energy), see for instance $[6,7,8]$ and $[9,10,11,12,13]$ and references therein. But it typically works at certain discrete frequencies [14]. Many other applications of Helmholtz equation involve unbounded domains. For instance (see [14]) the simplest scattering problem for the case of an inhomogeneous medium is reduced to such equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

We do not provide any historical overview: this would lead us too far away.
To avoid burdeness of the exposition by details, and for readers convenience, we present all necessary definitions and properties of the spaces and weights in the Appendix.

## Laplace, Poisson and Helmholtz equations related operators

## Newton and Riesz potential operators

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}$ be the Laplace operator. Consider the integral operator

$$
I^{2} f(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(t) d t}{|x-t|^{n-2}}, \quad n \geq 3
$$

see the definition of $\gamma_{n}(\alpha)$ below, known as Newton potential. In the planar case $n=2$ it is replaced by the logarithmic potential

$$
I^{2} f(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln |x-t| f(t) d t
$$

For all $n \geq 2$ the function $u(x)=I^{2} f(x)$ is related to the Laplace operator. Namely, the function $u(x)=I^{2} f(x)$ is a particular solution of the Poisson equation

$$
-\Delta u=f
$$

see for instance [15].
From the Sobolev theorem for potential operators there follows the well known fact that $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}), 1<p<n / 2$ implies that $u \in L^{q}(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{2, p}(\mathbb{R}), 1 / q=1 / p-2 / n$.

It is also known that the potential operators of the form

$$
I^{2 k} f(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}(2 k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(t) d t}{|x-t|^{n-2 k}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, 2 k<n
$$

is similarly a particular solution of the Poisson type equation generated by the power of the Laplace operator:

$$
(-\Delta)^{k} u=f .
$$

In the case $k=2$ we have the bi-harmonic Poisson equation.
Potential operators are known to be considered of arbitrary order $\alpha \geq 0$ not only $\alpha=2 k$. In the case $0<\alpha<n$ they are introduced as

$$
I^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(t) d t}{|x-t|^{n-\alpha}},
$$

known also as the Riesz fractional integral. Here $\gamma_{n}(\alpha)$ is the normalizing constant chosen so that

$$
I^{\alpha} f=F^{-1} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\alpha}} F f
$$

where $F$ is the Fourier transform. Such a potential $u=I^{\alpha} f$ serves as a solution of the pseudo-differential equation

$$
\mathbb{D}^{\alpha} u=f
$$

The PDO $\mathbb{D}^{\alpha}$ is also known as a hyper-singular operator. (We refer to [16, 17, 18] for pseudo-differential operators in general and to [19] for hyper-singular integrals). The hyper-singular operators $\mathbb{D}^{\alpha}$ are interpreted as fractional powers of the Laplace operator:

$$
\mathbb{D}^{\alpha}=(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}
$$

The particular case $\alpha=1$ leads to the case $(-\Delta)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{-\Delta}$, which is widely used in mathematical physics, see for instance [20, 21].

## Modified Newton potential operator

Let us consider the modified Newton potential operator:

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{|x|^{2} \gamma_{n}(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(t) d t}{|x-t|^{n-2}} .
$$

This potential operator is a particular solution of the Poisson equation:

$$
\Delta\left(u \cdot|x|^{2}\right)=-f
$$

By the well known formula for Laplacian of the product of two functions, we then easily obtain that $u$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
|x|^{2} \Delta u(x)+4 x \nabla u(x)+2 n u(x)=-f(x) .
$$

## Weighted potential operators

Now we pass to the weighted Newton potential operators:

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{w(x) \gamma_{n}(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} w(t) f(t) \frac{d t}{|x-t|^{n-2}}
$$

It is a particular solution of the equation:

$$
\Delta u(x)+\frac{u(x)}{w(x)} \Delta w(x)+2 \nabla(\ln |w(x)|) \nabla u(x)=-f(x) .
$$

## Potential operators related to Helmholtz equation

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}$ be the Laplace operator. The potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V f(x)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{e^{-i k|x-y|}}{|x-y|} f(y) d y, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a particular solution (see for instance [14, Paragraph 2.2] ) of the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation $\Delta u+k^{2} u=$ $f(x)$ widely used in diffraction theory, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta+k^{2} I\right) u(x)=f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator.
The function $V(x)$ is also known as Helmholtz potential.
The corresponding weighted potential

$$
W(x):=-\frac{1}{4 \pi w(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{e^{-i k|x-t|}}{|x-t|} f(t) w(t) d t
$$

is a particular solution of the following second order differential equation

$$
\Delta W+2 \frac{\nabla w}{w} \nabla W+\left(\frac{\Delta w}{w}+k^{2} I\right) W=f
$$

In the case of power weights $w(x)=x^{\beta}:=x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdot x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \cdot x_{3}^{\beta_{3}}$,

$$
\frac{\nabla w}{w}=\left[\frac{\beta_{1}}{x_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{x_{2}}, \frac{\beta_{3}}{x_{3}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\frac{\Delta w}{w}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{x_{1}{ }^{2}}\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)+\frac{\beta_{2}}{x_{2}^{2}}\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)+\frac{\beta_{3}}{x_{3}{ }^{2}}\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) .
$$

## Application of weighted boundedness of potential operators to the study of Helmholtz equation

In this section we consider behavior of the particular solution $u(x)=V f(x)$ of Helmholtz equation (2), when $f$ is in the weighted generalized Morrey space $L^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$ (see the definition 5 in Section Appendix).

We need the following result (Theorem 1) about the boundedness of potential operators in generalized Morrey spaces the proof of which can be found in [22]; we give its formulation under slightly modified conditions due to the assumptions on $\varphi$ and $w$, given below.

We begin with some assumptions and the theorem.
We will consider the action of the potential operator from one Morrey space $L^{p, \varphi}$ to another $L^{q, \psi}$. Note that the reader can find a detailed survey of mapping properties of potential operators in various function spaces in [23].

Everywhere in the sequel it is assumed that the functions $\varphi$, and $\psi$, defining the Generalized Morrey spaces are non-negative almost increasing functions continuous in a neighborhood of the origin, such that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi(r)>0$, for $r>0$, and $\varphi \in \bar{W} \cap \underline{W}$, and similarly for $\psi$.

For the function $\varphi(r)$, we will make use of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r) \geq c r^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r \leq 1$, which makes the spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)$ non-trivial, see [22, Corollary 3.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{t^{\frac{n}{p}}+1} d t \leq C \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(r)}{r^{\frac{n}{p}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{t^{\frac{n}{p}-\alpha+1}} d t \leq C r^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the weights $w$ we use the classes $\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $\mathbf{V}_{ \pm}^{\mu}$, the definition of which may be found in Section Appendix.

We will also use Zygmund classes $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}$, where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, Matuszewska-Orlicz indices $M(\varphi)$ and $m(\varphi)$, of functions in such classes, see the corresponding Definitions in Appendix.

Theorem 1 [22, Theorem 5.5] Let $0<\alpha<n, 1<p<\frac{n}{\alpha}, q>p$ and $\varphi$ (r) satisfy conditions (3) and (4)-(5). Let the weight $w \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$satisfy the conditions

$$
w \in \mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu} \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}, \quad \mu=\min \{1, n-\alpha\} .
$$

Then the weighted Riesz potential operator wI $I^{\alpha} \frac{1}{w}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{L}^{q, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0} \frac{1}{\psi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)} w^{q}(|y|)|y|^{q(\alpha-n)}\left(\int_{0}^{|y|} \frac{t^{\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}-1} \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{w(t)} d t\right)^{q} d y<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}$ is the conjugate exponent: $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0} \frac{1}{\psi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)}\left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-\frac{n}{p}-1} \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) d t\right)^{q} d y<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case $w \in \mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}$, and the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0} \frac{1}{\psi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)}|y|^{q(\alpha-n)}\left(\int_{0}^{|y|} t^{\frac{n}{p}-1} \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) d t\right)^{q} d y<\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0} \frac{1}{\psi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)} w^{q}(|y|)\left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{n}{p}-1} \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)}{w(t)} d t\right)^{q} d y<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case $w \in \mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu}$.
In the case when either $\varphi \in \Phi_{n}^{0}$ or $\varphi(r)=r^{n}$, conditions (6) - (9) are also necessary.

Note that Theorem 1 was proved in [22] for the case $\psi=\varphi$, but the analysis of the proof shows that the theorem holds in the above stated form.

We will use the above theorem to give conditions of the boundedness, more effective for possible applications. They particulary use numerical characteristics, known as Matuszewska-Orlicz indices, of weights and the function $\varphi$, which enables us to write some assumptions in terms of easily verified numerical inequalities. For the corresponding definitions and properties of such indices we refer to Appendix. Note that we admit the situation where the indices of functions at infinity are in general different from the indices at the origin.

Theorem 2 Let $0<\alpha<n, 1<p<\frac{n}{\alpha}, q>p$, and

$$
w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right], \quad \mu=\min \{1, n-\alpha\} .
$$

Suppose also that the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ sutisfy the assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\varphi), M_{\infty}(\varphi)<n-\alpha p, \text { and } \varphi(r) \leq c r^{n-\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \text { and } \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(|y|)}{|y|^{\frac{n}{p}-\alpha}} \in L^{q, \psi} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-\frac{n-M(\varphi)}{p}<m(w) \leq M(w)<\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m(\varphi)}{p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-\frac{n-M_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}<m_{\infty}(w) \leq M_{\infty}(w)<\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the weighted Riesz potential operator wI $I^{\alpha} \frac{1}{w}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{L}^{q, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proof We have to show that the conditions of this theorem imply the assumptions of Theorem 1.
The condition (4) means (see (22)) that $\varphi^{1 / p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}$, with $\gamma=n / p$. By (42) $\varphi^{1 / p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma} \Longleftrightarrow M\left(\varphi^{1 / p}\right)<$ $n / p, M_{\infty}\left(\varphi^{1 / p}\right)<n / p$. Therefore, by (26) and (36), $M(\varphi), M_{\infty}(\varphi)<n$ which is satisfied by the first inequality in (10).

From the property (30) and the first inequality in (10), we can see that (3) is satisfied.
Integration of the second inequality in (10), implies (5).
To show the validity of (6), under our assumptions, note that interior integral in (6) is dominated, by (8), by the function $c \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(|y|)}{\left.w(y \mid y)|y|\right|^{-\frac{n}{p}}}$, which follows from the fact that $\frac{\varphi^{1 / p}}{w} \in Z^{\beta}$, with $\beta=-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}$. The latter is implied by the right hand side inequalities (11) and (12) in view of the properties (26)-(29) and (36)-(38), (42). Consequently, the third condition in (10) implies (6).

To show the validity of (7), under our assumptions, note that interior integral in (7) is dominated by the function $c \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(|y|)}{|y| \frac{n}{p^{p}-\alpha}}$, which follows from the fact that $\varphi^{1 / p} \in Z_{\gamma}$, with $\gamma=\frac{n}{p}-\alpha$. The latter is implied by the first inequality in (10) in view of the properties (26) and (29), and (36) and (42). Consequently, the third condition in (10) implies (7).

To show the validity of (8), under our assumptions, note that interior integral in (8) is dominated by the function $c \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(|y|)}{|y|^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{}}}$, which follows from the fact that $\varphi^{1 / p} \in Z^{\beta}$, with $\beta=-\frac{n}{p^{\prime}}$. In view of the properties (26), (29), and (36), (42),
the latter holds under the condition $p>1-\frac{m(\varphi)}{n}$, and $p>1-\frac{m_{\infty}(\varphi)}{n}$, which always holds since $m(\varphi), m_{\infty}(\varphi) \geq 0$. Consequently, the third condition in (10) implies (7).

To show the validity of (9), under our assumptions, note that interior integral in (9) is dominated by the function $c \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}(| | \mid)}{w(|y|)\left|\left|\left|\left.\right|^{\frac{n}{-\alpha}}\right.\right.\right.}$, which follows from the fact that $\frac{\varphi^{1 / p}}{w} \in Z_{\gamma}$, with $\gamma=\frac{n}{p}-\alpha$. The latter is implied by the left hand side inequalities (11) and (12) in view of the properties (26)-(29) and (36)-(38), (42). Consequently, the third condition in (10) implies (9).

The proof is complete.

The above theorem leads us to the following result for the Helmholtz equation, in the case $n=3, \alpha=2$. In this application we consider Morrey spaces imbedded into the corresponding weighted Lebesgue spaces, i.e. $L^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right) \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$. To this end, it suffices to assume that $\varphi(r)$ is a bounded function.

Theorem 3 Let $1<p<\frac{3}{2}, q>p$, and

$$
w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] .
$$

Let also the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\varphi)<3-2 p, \text { and } \varphi(r) \leq c r^{3-\frac{2}{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} \text { and } \frac{\varphi^{1 / p}}{r^{\frac{3}{p}-2}} \in L^{q, \psi} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-M(\varphi)}{p}<m(w) \leq M(w)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m(\varphi)}{p}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-M_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}<m_{\infty}(w) \leq M_{\infty}(w)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{m_{\infty}(\varphi)}{p}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in L^{p, \varphi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$, there exists a twice Sobolev differentiable particular solution $u \in L^{q, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$ of the Helmholtz equation:

$$
\left(\Delta+k^{2} I\right) u(x)=f(x) .
$$

Proof The function $u$ chosen as $u=V f$, where $V f$ is the Helmholtz potential (1), is a particular solution of the Helmholtz equation (2).

Since the Helmholtz potential (1) is dominated by the Newton potential: $|V f| \leq I^{2}(|f|)$, the inclusion of this solution $u=V f$ into the space $L^{q, \psi}\left(R^{3}, w\right)$ is guaranteed by Theorem 2 .

As regards the differentiability of $u$, a direct differentiation of $V f$ leads to the sum of a Calderón-Zigmund singular operator of $f$ and potential type operators. A justification of such a procedure for Sobolev derivatives in the case of weighted Lebesgue spaces is done for Muckenhoupt weights, see for instance [24]. The classical Morrey spaces are imbedded into the weighted Lebesgue spaces with the weight $w(x)=(1+|x|)^{-\gamma}, \gamma>\lambda$, see [25]. Therefore imbedding of such a type is also valid for generalized Morrey spaces under the assumption that $\varphi(r) \leq c r^{\gamma}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with some $\gamma \in[0, n)$. The condition of such a type is assumed in (13). Then the above mentioned procedure is valid within the frameworks of generalized Morrey spaces under the conditions of our theorem.

Therefore, the existence of the second derivatives of $V f$ follows from Theorem 2. For the singular operators in generalized weighted Morrey spaces we refer to [26, Theorem 3.5].

The proof is complete.
In the case of classical Morrey spaces, i.e. $\varphi(r)=r^{\lambda}, 0<r<n$, the statement of Theorem 3 holds in a more precise form as given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 [27, Theorem 5.3]. Let $1<p<\frac{3}{2}, q>p, \lambda<3-2 p$ and

$$
w \in\left[\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] \cap\left[\mathbf{V}_{-}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup \mathbf{V}_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right] .
$$

Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{3-\lambda}{p}<\min \left(m(w), m_{\infty}(w)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(M(w), M_{\infty}(w)\right)<\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in L^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$, there exists a twice Sobolev differentiable particular solution $u \in L^{q, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, w\right)$ of the Helmholtz equation:

$$
\left(\Delta+k^{2} I\right) u(x)=f(x)
$$

where $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{3-\lambda}$.

## Appendix

## Morrey space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}=\left\{f \in L_{l o c}^{p}(\Omega):\|f\|_{p, \lambda}<\infty\right\}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda<n, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \lambda}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda}} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{p}(B(x, r))}}{r^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x, r)=\{y \in \Omega:|y-x|<r\}$, it is a Banach space.

## Generalized Morrey space

Definition 5. Let $\varphi(r)$ be a non-negative function on $[0, \ell]$, positive on $(0, \ell]$, and $1 \leq p<\infty$. The generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)$ is defined as the space of functions $f \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^{p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, \varphi}:=\sup _{x \in \Omega, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical Morrey space

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

corresponds to the case $\varphi(x, r) \equiv r^{\lambda}, 0<\lambda<n$.
The weighted Morrey spaces are treated in the usual sense:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega, w):=\left\{f: w f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \varphi}(\Omega)\right\}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w\right)}:=\|w f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

## On some classes of quasi-monotone functions

Below we give the known definitions and properties of some classes of quasi-monotone functions. For more details and proofs we refer for instance to $[28,29,30]$ and references therein.

## Definition 6.

1) By $W=W([0,1])$ we denote the class of continuous and positive functions $\varphi$ on $(0,1]$ such that there exists finite
or infinite limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r)$;
2) by $W_{0}=W_{0}([0,1])$ we denote the class of almost increasing functions $\varphi \in W$ on $(0,1)$;
3) by $\bar{W}=\bar{W}([0,1])$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that $r^{a} \varphi(r) \in W_{0}$ for some $a=a(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$;
4) by $\underline{W}=\underline{W}([0,1])$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W$ such that $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{b}}$ is almost decreasing for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

## Definition 7.

1) By $W_{\infty}=W_{\infty}([1, \infty])$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi$ which are continuous and positive and almost increasing on $[1, \infty)$ and which have the finite or infinite limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)$,
2) by $\bar{W}_{\infty}=\bar{W}_{\infty}([1, \infty))$ we denote the class of functions $\varphi \in W_{\infty}$ such that $r^{a} \varphi(r) \in W_{\infty}$ for some $a=a(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

By $\bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$we denote the set of functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$whose restrictions onto $(0,1)$ are in $\bar{W}([0,1])$ and restrictions onto $[1, \infty)$ are in $\bar{W}_{\infty}([1, \infty))$. Similarly, the set $\underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is defined.

## ZBS-classes and MO-indices at the origin

Definition 8. We say that a function $\varphi \in W_{0}$ belongs to the Zygmund class $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\beta}}, \quad r \in(0,1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to the Zygmund class $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{1} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\gamma}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\gamma}}, \quad r \in(0,1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote

$$
\Phi_{\gamma}^{\beta}:=\mathbb{Z}^{\beta} \bigcap \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}
$$

the latter class being also known as Bary-Stechkin-Zygmund class [31].
It is known that the property of a function to be almost increasing or almost decreasing after the multiplication (division) by a power function is closely related to the notion of the so called Matuszewska-Orlicz indices. We refer to $[32,33,34,30,35,36,29]$ for the properties of the indices of such a type.

For a function $\varphi \in \bar{W}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\varphi)=\sup _{0<r<1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\varphi)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h r)}{\varphi(h)}\right)}{\ln r} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following properties of the indices of functions $u, v \in \underline{W} \cup \bar{W}$ are known, see for instance [37, Section 6] and references therein.

$$
\begin{gather*}
m\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+m(u), \quad M\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+M(u), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{1},  \tag{25}\\
m\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a m(u), \quad M\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a M(u), \quad, a \geq 0  \tag{26}\\
m\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-M(u), \quad M\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-m(u) .  \tag{27}\\
m(u v) \geq m(u)+m(v), \quad M(u v) \leq M(u)+M(v) . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\beta} \Longleftrightarrow m(u)>\beta \quad \text { and } \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma} \Longleftrightarrow M(u)<\gamma .  \tag{29}\\
c_{1} r^{M(u)+\varepsilon} \leq u(r) \leq c_{2} r^{m(u)-\varepsilon}, 0<r<1, \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold with an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ and $c_{1}=c_{1}(\varepsilon), c_{2}=c_{2}(\varepsilon)$.

## ZBS-classes and MO-indices of weights at infinity

The indices $m_{\infty}(u)$ of functions $u \in \bar{W}_{\infty}$ and $M_{\infty}(u)$ of functions $u \in \underline{W}_{\infty}$ responsible for the behavior of functions $u$ at infinity are introduced in the way similar to (23) and (24):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\infty}(u)=\sup _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\liminf _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(r h)}{u(h)}\right]}{\ln r}, M_{\infty}(u)=\inf _{r>1} \frac{\ln \left[\limsup _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(r h)}{u(h)}\right]}{\ln r} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding classes $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{\infty}}([1, \infty))$ of functions $u \in \bar{W}_{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_{\infty}}([1, \infty))$ of functions $u \in \underline{W}_{\infty}$ are introduced by the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{1}^{r} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\beta}}, \quad r \in(1, \infty)  \tag{32}\\
& \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{1+\gamma}} d t \leq c \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^{\gamma}}, \quad r \in(1, \infty) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively
In view of the following equivalences

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\beta}([1, \infty)) \Longleftrightarrow u_{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}([0,1]), \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}([1, \infty)) \Longleftrightarrow u_{*} \in \mathbb{Z}^{-\gamma}([0,1]), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{*}(t)=u\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$, properties of functions in the above introduced classes are easily derived from those of functions in $\Phi_{\gamma}^{\beta}([0,1])$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{\infty}\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+m_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left[r^{a} u(r)\right]=a+M_{\infty}(u), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{1},  \tag{35}\\
m_{\infty}\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a m_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left[(u)^{a}\right]=a M_{\infty}(u), \quad, a \geq 0  \tag{36}\\
m_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-M_{\infty}(u), \quad M_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)=-m_{\infty}(u) .  \tag{37}\\
m_{\infty}(u v) \geq m_{\infty}(u)+m_{\infty}(v), \quad M_{\infty}(u v) \leq M_{\infty}(u)+M_{\infty}(v) .  \tag{38}\\
c_{1} t^{m_{\infty}(u)-\varepsilon} \leq u(t) \leq c_{2} t^{M_{\infty}(u)+\varepsilon}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad u \in \bar{W}_{\infty}, \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

We say that a continuous function $u$ in $(0, \infty)$ is in the class $\bar{W}_{0, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, if its restriction to $(0,1)$ belongs to $\bar{W}([0,1])$ and its restriction to $(1, \infty)$ belongs to $\bar{W}_{\infty}([1, \infty])$.

Without confusion of notation, by the same symbols $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}}([0,1])$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{\infty}}([1, \infty))$ we also denote the set of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that their restrictions onto $[0,1]$ and $(1, \infty)$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}}([0,1])$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{\infty}}([1, \infty))$, respectively, and then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}, \beta_{\infty}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{0}}([0,1]) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\beta_{\infty}}([1, \infty)), \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{\infty}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_{0}}([0,1]) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma_{\infty}}([1, \infty)) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where the indices coincide, i.e. $\beta_{0}=\beta_{\infty}:=\beta$, we will simply write $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and similarly for $\mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. We also denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right):=\mathbb{Z}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the case of the interval $[0,1]$ the following properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\beta} \Longleftrightarrow m(u)>\beta, m_{\infty}(u)>\beta \text { and } u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma} \Longleftrightarrow M(u)<\gamma, \quad M_{\infty}(u)<\gamma . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for $u \in \bar{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $u \in \underline{W}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. respectively.
Definition 9. Let $0<\mu \leq 1$. By $\mathbf{V}_{ \pm}^{\mu}$, we denote the classes of functions $w$ non-negative on $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, \infty)$, defined by the conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{V}_{+}^{\mu}: & \frac{|w(t)-w(\tau)|}{|t-\tau|^{\mu}} \leq C \frac{w\left(t_{+}\right)}{t_{+}^{\mu}} \\
\mathbf{V}_{-}^{\mu}: & \frac{|w(t)-w(\tau)|}{|t-\tau|^{\mu}} \leq C \frac{w\left(t_{-}\right)}{t_{+}^{\mu}}, \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$

where $t, \tau \in(0, \infty), t \neq \tau$, and $t_{+}=\max (t, \tau), t_{-}=\min (t, \tau)$.
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#### Abstract

Most Hardy type inequalities concern boundedness of the Hardy type operators in Lebesgue spaces. In this paper we prove some new multi-dimensional Hardy type inequalities in Hölder spaces.


## 1. Introduction

The original Hardy inequality from 1925 (see [2])reads:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(y) d y\right)^{p} d x \leqslant\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) d x, \quad p>1
$$

Since the constant $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p}$ is sharp this means that the Hardy operator $H$ defined by $H f(x):=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(y) d y$ maps $L_{p}$ into $L_{p}$ with the operator norm $p^{\prime}:=\frac{p}{p-1}$.

After this fundamental discovery by Hardy it was an almost unbelievable development of this area which today usually is referred to as Hardy type inequalities. A great number of papers and even books have been published on the subject and the research in this area is still very intensive. One important reason for that is that Hardy type inequalities are especially useful for various types of applications within different parts of Mathematics but also in other Sciences, see e.g. the books [5], [6] and [7] and the references therein.

Most of the developments described above are devoted to study the boundedness of Hardy type operators between weighted Lebesgue spaces and most of the results are for the one-dimensional case. But for applications it is also often required to consider the boundedness between other function spaces. Unfortunately, there exist not so many results concerning the boundedness of Hardy type operators in other function spaces. However, some results of this type can be found in Chapter 11 of the

[^2]book [6], where it is reported on Hardy type inequalities in Orlicz, Lorentz and rearrangement invariant spaces and also on some really first not complete results in general Banach function spaces. Moreover, in [15] some corresponding Hardy type inequalities in weighted Morrey spaces were proved; in [13] the weighted estimates for multidimensional Hardy type operators were proved in generalized Morrey spaces; in [1] was proved the weighted boundedness of some multi-dimensional Hardy type operators from generalized Morrey to Orlicz-Morrey spaces. For more information concerning Hardy type inequalities in Morrey type spaces and their applications we refer to [1], [9], [10], [12], [16] and references therein.

In this paper we continue this research by investigating Hardy type inequalities in Hölder spaces in the multi-dimensional case. Hölder spaces on unbounded sets can be defined with compactification at infinity (see Definition 3.1) or without.

We study multi-dimensional Hardy operators of order $\alpha \in[0,1)$ as defined in (1.1). We refer to the paper [19] where a version of Hardy operators of the order $\alpha=0$ was studied within the frameworks of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. This version may be regarded as a one-dimensional Hardy type operator in a given direction $\frac{x}{|x|}$ of a function $f$ of many variables. Multi-dimensional Hardy operators in our paper are of different nature.

By $C^{\lambda}(\Omega), 0<\lambda \leqslant 1$, where $\Omega$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 1$, we denote the class of bounded Hölder continuous functions, defined by the seminorm

$$
[f]_{\lambda}:=\sup _{\substack{x, x+h \in \Omega \\|h|<1}} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{|h|^{\lambda}}<\infty .
$$

Equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{\lambda}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|+[f]_{\lambda}
$$

$C^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. We shall deal with the case $\Omega=B_{R}$, where $B_{R}=B(0, R):=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<R\right\}, 0<R \leqslant \infty$.

We consider the Hardy type operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\alpha} f(x)=|x|^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y|<|x|} f(y) d y \text { and } \mathscr{H}^{\alpha} f(x)=|x|^{\alpha} \int_{|y|>|x|} \frac{f(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y, \alpha \geqslant 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in B_{R}, 0<R \leqslant \infty$ for the operator $H^{\alpha}$, and $R=\infty$ for the operator $\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}$. We write $H=H^{\alpha}$ and $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}$ in the case $\alpha=0$.

The operator $H^{\alpha}, \alpha=0$, may be considered in both with and without compactification settings, but a consideration of $\mathscr{H}$ requires the compactification due to the needed convergence of integrals at infinity. We provide details for the operator $H^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \geqslant 0$, without compactification, and for both the operators $H$ and $\mathscr{H}$ with compactification. We also show that in the setting of the spaces with compactification we may consider only the case $\alpha=0$.

In Sections 2 and 3 we present and prove our new results on the boundedness of the Hardy type operator $H^{\alpha}$ in Hölder spaces without compactification (Theorem 2.2),
and for the operators $H$ and $\mathscr{H}$ in the case with compactification (Theorems 3.5 and 3.6).

## 2. Boundedness of the Hardy type operator $H^{\alpha}$ in a Hölder type space

Denote

$$
C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right): f(0)=0\right\}
$$

For the Hardy operator $H^{\alpha}$ defined by

$$
H^{\alpha} f(x):=|x|^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y|<|x|} f(y) d y, \quad \alpha \geqslant 0
$$

we show that it maps Hölder space into itself in the case $\alpha=0$ and we prove a boundedness result of the type $C^{\lambda} \rightarrow C^{\lambda+\alpha}$ in the case $\alpha>0$ provided that $\lambda+\alpha \leqslant 1$, see Theorem 2.2.

In the case $\alpha>0$ we will need the following Lemma:
Lemma 2.1. Let

$$
g(r)=\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{|y|<r} f(y) d y, \quad 0<r<R
$$

where $f \in C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right), 0<\lambda \leqslant 1,0<R \leqslant \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqslant C_{n, \lambda} \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{r^{1-\lambda}}, \quad 0<r<R \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n, \lambda}$ depends only on $n$ and $\lambda$.
Proof. Passing to polar coordinates, we have

$$
g(r)=\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \Phi(t) d t, \quad \Phi(t)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(t \sigma) d \sigma
$$

Hence,

$$
g^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{n}{r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \Phi(t) d t+\frac{\Phi(r)}{r}=\frac{n}{r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1}[\Phi(r)-\Phi(t)] d t
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqslant \frac{n}{r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1}|\Phi(r)-\Phi(t)| d t
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
|\Phi(r)-\Phi(t)| \leqslant[f]_{\lambda}\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|(r-t)^{\lambda}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqslant \frac{n\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|[f]_{\lambda}}{r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1}(r-t)^{\lambda} d t=\frac{n\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|[f]_{\lambda}}{r^{1-\lambda}} \int_{0}^{1} s^{n-1}(1-s)^{\lambda} d s
$$

and we arrive at (2.1). The proof is complete.
In the following theorem we deal also with the space $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ consisting of functions $f$ for which $[f]_{\lambda}<\infty$ and $f(0)=0$. This space contains functions which are unbounded in the case $\Omega$ is unbounded. Note that $[f]_{\lambda}$ is a norm in this space.

Now we are in a position to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\alpha \geqslant 0, \lambda>0$ and $\lambda+\alpha \leqslant 1$. In the case $\alpha=0$ the Hardy operator $H^{\alpha}$ is bounded in $C^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ and $\left[\left.H^{\alpha} f\right|_{\alpha=0}\right]_{\lambda} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}$. In the case $\alpha>0$ the operator $H^{\alpha}$ is bounded from $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$ into $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda+\alpha}\left(B_{R}\right), 0<R \leqslant \infty$.

Proof. Let first $\alpha=0$. For $H f=\left.H^{\alpha} f\right|_{\alpha=0}$ we have

$$
H f(x)=|x|^{-n} \int_{|y|<|x|} f(y) d y=\int_{B(0,1)} f(|x| y) d y
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H f(x+h)-H f(x)| & \leqslant \int_{B(0,1)}|f(|x+h| y)-f(|x| y)| d y \\
& \leqslant[f]_{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)}| | x+h|-|x||^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda} d y=: A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by triangle inequality $\| x+h|-|x||^{\lambda} \leqslant|h|^{\lambda}, \lambda>0$, for all $x, x+h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain that

$$
A \leqslant[f]_{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)}|h|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda} d y \leqslant[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)}|y|^{\lambda} d y=C|h|^{\lambda}[f]_{\lambda}
$$

Thus, $|H f(x+h)-H f(x)| \leqslant C|h|^{\lambda}[f]_{\lambda}$ and therefore $[H f]_{\lambda} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}$, with $C$ not depending on $x$ and $h$.

Since the inequality $\sup _{x \in \Omega}|H f(x)| \leqslant c \sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|$ is obvious, the proof is complete for $\alpha=0$.

Let now $\alpha>0$ and $f \in \tilde{C}_{0}^{\lambda}\left(B_{R}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\alpha} f(x)=|x|^{\alpha} g(|x|), \quad g(r)=\frac{1}{r^{n}} \int_{B(0, r)} f(y) d y=\int_{B(0,1)} f(r y) d y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^{\alpha} f(x+h)-H^{\alpha} f(x)\right| & \leqslant \| x+\left.h\right|^{\alpha}-\left.|x|^{\alpha}| | g(|x+h|)|+|g(|x+h|)-g(|x|)|| x\right|^{\alpha} \\
& \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}| | x+\left.h\right|^{\alpha}-|x|^{\alpha}| | x+\left.h\right|^{\lambda}+|g(|x+h|)-g(|x|)||x|^{\alpha} \\
& =: \Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $f(0)=0$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(|x+h|)|=|H f(|x+h|)| \leqslant C|x+h|^{\lambda}[f]_{\lambda} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the case $\alpha=0$ in the last passage.
We consider separately the cases $|x+h| \leqslant 2|h|$ and $|x+h| \geqslant 2|h|$.
The case $|x+h| \leqslant 2|h|$.
In this case we also have $|x| \leqslant 3|h|$.
Thus, by (2.3),

$$
\Delta_{1} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\alpha}|x+h|^{\lambda} \leqslant C_{1}[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda+\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{2} \leqslant C[g]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda}|x|^{\alpha} \leqslant C_{1}[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda+\alpha}
$$

The case $|x+h| \geqslant 2|h|$.
We have

$$
\Delta_{1} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}|x+h|^{\lambda+\alpha}\left|1-\left(\frac{|x|}{|x+h|}\right)^{\alpha}\right|
$$

Since, $\left|1-t^{\alpha}\right| \leqslant|1-t|$ for all $0<t \leqslant 1,0<\alpha \leqslant 1$, we obtain

$$
\Delta_{1} \leqslant C[f] \lambda \frac{| | x+h|-|x||}{|x+h|^{1-\lambda-\alpha}} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda+\alpha}
$$

For $\Delta_{2}$ we use the mean value theorem and find that

$$
\Delta_{2} \leqslant C\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\left\|x+h|-|x|||x|^{\alpha} \leqslant C\left|g^{\prime}(\xi) \| h\right||x|^{\alpha}\right.
$$

with $\xi$ between $|x|$ and $|x+h|$.
If $|x| \leqslant|x+h|$, then, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\Delta_{2} \leqslant C \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{|\xi|^{1-\lambda}}|x|^{\alpha}|h| \leqslant C \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{|x|^{1-\lambda-\alpha}}|h| \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda+\alpha}
$$

because $|x| \geqslant|x+h|-|h| \geqslant|h|$. Finally, when $|x| \geqslant|x+h|$, we have

$$
\Delta_{2} \leqslant C \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{|\xi|^{1-\lambda}}|x|^{\alpha}|h| \leqslant C \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{|x+h|^{1-\lambda}}|x|^{\alpha}|h|=C \frac{[f]_{\lambda}}{|x+h|^{1-\lambda-\alpha}}\left(\frac{|x|}{|x+h|}\right)^{\alpha}|h|,
$$

where $\frac{|x|}{|x+h|} \leqslant \frac{|h|}{|x+h|}+\frac{|x+h|}{|x+h|} \leqslant \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\Delta_{2} \leqslant C[f]_{\lambda}|h|^{\lambda+\alpha}
$$

It remains to gather the estimates for $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$.
In view of (2.2), the equality $H^{\alpha} f(0)=0$ is obvious, so the proof is complete.
We define the generalized Hölder space $C^{\omega(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as the set of functions continuous in $\Omega$ having the finite norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{\omega(\cdot)}}=\sup _{x \in \Omega}|f(x)|+[f]_{\omega(\cdot)}
$$

with the seminorm

$$
[f]_{\omega(\cdot)}=\sup _{\substack{x, x+h \in \Omega \\|h|<1}} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{\omega(|h|)}
$$

where $\omega:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a non-negative increasing function in $C([0,1])$ such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\omega(t)>0$ for $0<t \leqslant 1$. Such spaces are known in the literature, see for instance [8], [14], [17, Section 13.6], [18].

Let also $C_{0}^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right): f(0)=0\right\}$.
As usual, by saying that a function $\varphi$ is almost decreasing, we mean that $\varphi(t) \leqslant$ $C \varphi(s)$ for some $C \geqslant 1$ and for all $t \geqslant s$.

Following the same lines as in proof of Theorem 2.2 one can prove the following generalization of Theorem 2.2:

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\omega \in C([0,1])$ be positive on $(0,1]$, increasing and such that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{1-\alpha}}$ is almost decreasing. In the case $\alpha=0$ the operator $\left.H^{\alpha}\right|_{\alpha=0}$ is bounded in $C^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$. When $\alpha>0$, it is bounded from $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\omega(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$ into $\tilde{C}_{0}^{\omega_{\alpha}(\cdot)}\left(B_{R}\right)$, where $\omega_{\alpha}(t)=t^{\alpha} \omega(t)$.

## 3. Boundedness of Hardy type operators in Hölder type spaces with compactification

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ denote the compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by a single infinite point.
DEFINITION 3.1. Let $0 \leqslant \lambda<1$. We say that $f$ belongs to $C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, for all $x, y \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, if

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant C \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}
$$

The set $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=\|f\|_{C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)-f(y)|\left(\frac{(1+|x|)(1+|y|)}{|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda} .
$$

It may be shown that $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{R}^{n}\right)$ is a subspace of $C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is invariant with respect to the inversion change of variables $x_{*}=\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}$, i.e.

$$
C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)=\left\{f: f \in C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \text { and } f_{*} \in C^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}
$$

where $f_{*}=f\left(x_{*}\right)$.
In the setting of the spaces $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ we consider only the case $\alpha=0$, see Remark 3.4 below.

### 3.1. Hardy operator $H$

Our main result in this case reads:
THEOREM 3.2. Let $0 \leqslant \lambda<1$. Then the operator $H$ is bounded in $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. We note that

$$
H f(x)-H f(y)=\int_{B(0,1)}[f(|x| z)-f(|y| z)] d z
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
|H f(x)-H f(y)| & \leqslant c \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{| | x|-|y||^{\lambda}|z|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x||z|)^{\lambda}(1+|y||z|)^{\lambda}} d z \\
& \leqslant c| | x\left|-|y|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|z|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x||z|)^{\lambda}(1+|y||z|)^{\lambda}} d z=: A\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $|x|>1,|y|>1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \leqslant c| | x\left|-|y|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|z|^{\lambda}}{(|x||z|)^{\lambda}(|y||z|)^{\lambda}} d z=c \frac{\| x|-|y||^{\lambda}}{|x|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda}} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{d z}{|z|^{\lambda}}\right. \\
& \leqslant C\left[\frac{| | x|-|y||}{(1+|x|)(1+|y|)}\right]_{B(0,1)}^{\lambda} \int_{\left.B\right|^{\lambda}} \frac{d z}{|z|^{\lambda}} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\frac{1}{|x|}<\frac{2}{1+|x|}$.
Let $|x|<1,|y|<1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \leqslant c|x-y|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)}|z|^{\lambda} d z=c_{1}|x-y|^{\lambda} \\
& \leqslant C \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

since $1<\frac{2}{1+|x|}$.
Let $|x|<1,|y|>1$. Then

$$
A \leqslant c|x-y|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|z|^{\lambda}}{(|y||z|)^{\lambda}} d z \leqslant C_{1} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}} .
$$

Let $|x|>1,|y|<1$. Then

$$
A \leqslant c|x-y|^{\lambda} \int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|z|^{\lambda}}{(|x||z|)^{\lambda}} d z \leqslant C_{1} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}
$$

Since the inequality $\|H f\|_{C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leqslant c\|f\|_{C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is obvious, the proof is complete.

### 3.2. Hardy operator $\mathscr{H}$

To formulate the corresponding result for the operator $\mathscr{H}$ we need to consider the following subspaces:

$$
C_{0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right): f(0)=0\right\}, \quad C_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right): f(\infty)=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}=C_{\infty}^{\lambda} \cap C_{0}^{\lambda} .
$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let $0<\lambda<1$. Then the operator $\mathscr{H}$ is bounded from $C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ to $C_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ and denote $g(x)=\mathscr{H} f(x)$. Clearly, $g(\infty)=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
|g(x)-g(y)| & =\left|\int_{|z|^{>1}}[f(|x| z)-f(|y| z)] \frac{d z}{|z|^{n}}\right|  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leqslant C|x-y|^{\lambda} \int_{|z|>1} \frac{|z|^{\lambda-n} d z}{(1+|x||z|)^{\lambda}(1+|y||z|)^{\lambda}}=: \Delta .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $|x|>1,|y|>1$. Then

$$
\Delta \leqslant C \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{|x|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda}} \int_{|z|^{\prime}>1} \frac{d z}{|z|^{n+\lambda}} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}
$$

Hence $g(x) \in C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$.
Let $|y|<|x|<2$.
Since $f(0)=0$, we have $|f(z)| \leqslant C|z|^{\lambda}$ and then

$$
|g(x)-g(y)|=\left|\int_{|y|}^{|x|} \frac{f(z)}{|z|^{n}} d z\right| \leqslant C \int_{|y|}^{|x|}|z|^{\lambda-n} d z=C_{1}\left(|x|^{\lambda}-|y|^{\lambda}\right) \leqslant C_{2} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}
$$

since $a^{\lambda}-b^{\lambda} \leqslant(a-b)^{\lambda}, a>b>0,0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1$.

Let now $|y|<1,|x|>2 . \mathscr{H} f$ is bounded. Indeed,

$$
|g(x)-g(y)| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(z)}{|z|^{n}} d z
$$

As already shown, for each function $f \in C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}$ we have that $|f(z)| \leqslant c|z|^{\lambda}, 0<|z|<1$ and $|f(z)| \leqslant \frac{c}{|z|^{\lambda}},|z|>1$. Therefore

$$
|g(x)| \leqslant c_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{|z|^{n-\lambda}} d z+c_{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z|^{n+\lambda}} d z=C<\infty
$$

for $0 \leqslant \lambda<1$, and then

$$
|g(x)-g(y)| \leqslant C
$$

It is easily checked that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant 6 \frac{|x-y|}{(1+|x|)(1+|y|)}, \text { when }|y|<1,|x|>2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
|g(x)-g(y)| \leqslant C \leqslant C_{2} \frac{|x-y|^{\lambda}}{(1+|x|)^{\lambda}(1+|y|)^{\lambda}}
$$

which proves that $g(x) \in C_{\infty, 0}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ also in this case.
The case $|x|<1,|y|>2$ can be similarly treated.
Similarly as in Theorem 3.2 we note that the boundedness of the operator $\mathscr{H}$ in $C\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is obvious, so the proof is complete.

REMARK 3.4. When $\alpha>0$. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 may not be extended to the setting $C^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow C^{\lambda+\alpha}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$, in which we require the Hölder behavior of functions also at the infinite point, in contrast to Theorem 2.2. In fact, the function $f_{0}=$ $\frac{1}{(1+x)^{\lambda}} \in C_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}_{+}\right)$provides a corresponding counterexample for both the operators $H^{\alpha}$ and $\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}$. For example, for the operator $H^{\alpha}$ we have

$$
H^{\alpha} f_{0}(x)=\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{1-\lambda}\left[(1+x)^{1-\lambda}-1\right]
$$

Hence, when $x \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that $H^{\alpha} f_{0}(x) \sim c x^{\alpha-\lambda}$, while the inclusion $H^{\alpha} f_{0}(x)$ $\in C_{\infty}^{\lambda+\alpha}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}_{+}\right)$requires the behavior $\left|H^{\alpha} f_{0}(x)\right| \leqslant c(1+x)^{-\alpha-\lambda}$.

Corresponding generalizations of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 may be also formulated in terms of the generalized Hölder spaces $C^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right), C_{\infty}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right), C_{0}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ and $C_{\infty, 0}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ defined below.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let $\omega=\omega(h)$ be an increasing function. The generalized Hölder space $C^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is defined as consisting of all functions satisfying the condition

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant C \omega\left(\frac{|x-y|}{(1+|x|)(1+|y|)}\right), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

The subspaces $C_{\infty}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right), C_{0}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ and $C_{\infty, 0}^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ of the space $C^{\omega}\left(\dot{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ are defined by the conditions $f(\infty)=0, f(0)=0$ and $f(0)=f(\infty)=0$, respectively.
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#### Abstract

In various applications there appear integral equations of the first kind with a kernel which has a logarithmic singularity. The corresponding classes of well-posedness for such equations are Sobolev spaces. We prove the differentiation formulas for weighted singular integrals, which appear in such study, with the goal of its further application to integral equations.
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## INTRODUCTION

Integral equations are one of the mathematical models well adjusted for the study of problems arising in various applied sciences. A key role among them is played by singular integral equations which are known to be related to boundary value problems for analytic functions. The classical theory of singular integral equations is presented e.g. in the books [1], [2]. In applications there also often arise integral equations of the first kind mainly corresponding to unstable processes. An important class of such equations with logarithmic singularity in the kernel is less studied in comparison with other types of singularities. A class of such equations has connection with singular integral equations which enables a researcher to apply the technique and results used in the theory of singular integral equations. Various types of such equations are used in various applications in the theory of logarithmic potential in plane problems of mathematical physics, conformal mapping, elasticity and viscosity theory. There were developed various numerical methods of approximate solutions of integral equations with logarithmic singularity. However, their theoretical treatment exists only in some particular cases.

The problem treated in this paper is related to the theory of integral equations of the first kind with a kernel which has a logarithmic singularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}[u(x, t)+v(x, t) \ln |x-t|] \varphi(t) d t=f(x), \quad a<x<b . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such equations of the first kind are ill-posed. The corresponding classes of well-posedness in this case are Sobolev spaces for functions $f$. More precisely, if $X$ is the space for solutions $\varphi$, then the class of the right hand sides $f$ should be the Sobolev space with derivatives in $X$. In our studies we use weighted Lebesgue space $X=L^{p}(w,[a, b])$ with a power weight $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$.

In the theory of such equations it is admitted that the function $u(x, t)$ may have a jump at the diagonal $t=x$ and the study of such equations is based on investigation of the model equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x) \int_{a}^{x} \varphi(t) d t+B(x) \int_{x}^{b} \varphi(t) d t+\frac{C(x)}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \ln |x-t| \varphi(t) d t=f(x) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a formalism ([3, 4]) which allows to reduce the equation (2) to the "loaded" singular integral equation.

In justification of this formalism for the right-hand sides $f$ in Sobolev spaces, there arises a problem of differentiation of weighted singular integrals of functions in Sobolev spaces, originated by the fact that the solution of singular integral equation in an interval contains such weighted singular integrals.

We do not dwell on the study of solvability of such integral equations of the first kind in this paper but present a solution of the first problem which arises in this study of this first problem of differentiation of weighted singular integrals. Note that the study of smoothness or regularity of weighted singular integrals usually requires special efforts because of "bad" behaviour of such integrals near the end points. In the case where the scale of Hölder spaces is used for measuring such kind of regularity, the reader can be referred to $[5,6,7]$.

The weighted singular integrals under consideration in this paper have the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}(t-x)}  \tag{3}\\
\left(U_{a}^{\mu} f\right)(x)=(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\ln (t-a)}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}} \frac{f(t) d t}{t-x}  \tag{4}\\
\left(U_{b}^{\mu} f\right)(x)=(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\ln (b-t)}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}} \frac{f(t) d t}{t-x}, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a<x<b, \mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, the numbers $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ may be complex and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{1}\right)<1, \operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{2}\right)<1$, and where we assume that the principal value of the power functions is chosen so that $\arg \left((t-a)^{\mu_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{1} \ln (t-a)\right)$, and similarly for $(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}$.

## DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAS

We prove a theorem, where we use the following notation for the weighted Sobolev space:

$$
W^{p, 1}(w)=\left\{f \in L^{p}(w,[a, b]): d f / d x \in L^{p}(w,[a, b])\right\}
$$

where the derivative is understood as usual in the weak sense.
Weighted space $L^{p}(w,[a, b])=: L^{p}(w)$ is defined as

$$
L^{p}(w):=\left\{\varphi: \int_{a}^{b}|\varphi(x) w(x)|^{p} d x<\infty\right\} .
$$

We also use the notations:

$$
f_{\mu}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}}, \rho_{1-\mu}(x):=\frac{1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \text { and } D=d / d x .
$$

Theorem 1 Let $f \in W^{p, 1}(w,[a, b])$, where $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$.
Under the assumption that

$$
-1 / p \leq \alpha_{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{1}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime} \text { and }-1 / p \leq \alpha_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{2}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime} \text {, where } 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1 \text {, }
$$

the following differentiation formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x} T^{\mu} f(x)= \\
& \frac{1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(t) d t}{(t-x)}+\frac{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu}}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

or in short form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=\left(T^{\mu-1} D f\right)(x)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu} \cdot \rho_{1-\mu}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof on nice functions is more or less direct but requires some tricks because we cannot differentiate the singular integral directly under the integral sign. The extension to functions in the Sobolev space is based on the use of definition of weak derivatives.
We start with the proof of the formula (7) for nice functions and then prove it for functions in $W^{p, 1}(w)$, making use of its validity for nice functions.

I: The case of nice functions.
By nice functions we may mean functions in $C^{\infty}([a, b])$ with support in $(a, b)$. We follow ideas from [4, 3]. To prove formula (7), we represent $T^{\mu} f$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=\frac{(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}} f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(t-x)}+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}} f(t) d t}{(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}(t-x)}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main trick is to make a substitution to avoid the presence of the variable $x$ in the expression $t-x$ in the denominator. Namely, we put $t-a=s(x-a)$ in the first integral and $b-t=s(b-x)$ in the second one, which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)= & \frac{(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}}{b-a} \int_{0}^{(b-a) /(x-a)} \frac{[b-a-s(x-a)]^{1-\mu_{2}} f[a+s(x-a)]}{s^{\mu_{1}}(s-1)} d s+ \\
& +\frac{(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}}{b-a} \int_{0}^{(b-a) /(b-x)} \frac{[b-a-s(b-x)]^{1-\mu_{1}} f[b-s(b-x)]}{s^{\mu_{2}}(1-s)} d s \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In this form differentiate under the integral sign is possible.
After direct differentiation and some simple calculations we obtain formula (7). Technical details of this calculation is given in Appendix.

II: The case of functions in $W^{p, 1}(w)$.
In accordance with the definition of the Sobolev space, we need to show that the operator $T^{\mu-1}$ is bounded in the space $L^{p}(w)$, with the weight $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$ i.e. that

$$
\left\|T^{\mu-1} g\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{p}(w)} \text { for all } g \in L^{p}(w)
$$

This is equivalent to that

$$
\left\|T^{\mu-1+\alpha} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}} \text { for all } \varphi \in L^{p}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. The latter inequality is nothing else but the boundedness of the weighted singular operator in $L^{p}$-spaces, which is well known to be valid if and only if $\mu_{k}+\alpha_{k}-1 \in\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right), k=1,2$, see for instance [1, p. 30] and the references therein.
Thus the right hand side of formula (7) is well defined for all functions $f$ in the Sobolev space $W^{p, 1}(w)$ and belongs to $L^{p}(w)$.

To prove (7) with derivative $D$ on the left hand side in the weak sense, we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D T^{\mu} f, \omega\right)=\left(T^{\mu-1} D f, \omega\right)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu}\left(\rho_{1-\mu}, \omega\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all test functions $\omega \in C^{\infty}$ on $[0,1]$ with support in $(0,1)$, where $(f, \omega)$ is the usual bilinear form:

$$
(f, \omega)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \omega(x) d x
$$

We start with the right hand side and work with the term $\left(T^{\mu-1} D f, \omega\right)$. We observe that the operator transposed to $T^{\mu-1}$ is $-T^{1-\mu}$ and proceed as follows:

$$
\left(T^{\mu-1} D f, \omega\right)=-\left(D f, T^{1-\mu} \omega\right)=\left(f, D T^{1-\mu} \omega\right)
$$

where in the last passage the notion of weak derivative works.
Now we use the fact that our formula has been proved for nice functions, so that

$$
D T^{1-\mu} \omega=T^{-\mu} D \omega+\left(1-\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \omega_{1-\mu} \cdot \rho_{\mu}(x)
$$

where $\omega_{1-\mu}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\omega(t) d t}{(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}}}}$. Consequently,

$$
\left(T^{\mu-1} D f, \omega\right)=\left(f, T^{-\mu} D \omega\right)+\left(1-\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \omega_{1-\mu}\left(f, \rho_{\mu}\right)
$$

and then

$$
\left(T^{\mu-1} D f, \omega\right)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{\mu}\left(\rho_{1-\mu}, \omega\right)=\left(f, T^{-\mu} D \omega\right)+\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right)\left[f_{\mu}\left(\rho_{1-\mu}, \omega\right)-\omega_{1-\mu}\left(f, \rho_{\mu}\right)\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
f_{\mu}\left(\rho_{1-\mu}, \omega\right)-\omega_{1-\mu}\left(f, \rho_{\mu}\right)=0
$$

Therefore the right-hand side of (10) is equal to $\left(f, T^{-\mu} D \omega\right)$ which is nothing else but the left-hand side of (10), since the operator $\left(T^{-\mu}\right)^{*}=-T^{\mu}$, and $D^{*}=-D$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2 Let $f \in W^{p, 1}(w,[a, b])$, where $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$ and $-1 / p \leq \alpha_{k}-1 / 2 \leq 1 / p^{\prime}, k=1,2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{(x-a)(b-x)}{(t-a)(b-t)}} \frac{f(t) d t}{t-x}=\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\frac{(t-a)(b-t)}{(x-a)(b-x)}} \frac{f^{\prime}(t) d t}{t-x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3 Let $f \in W^{p, 1}(w)$, where $w=(x-a)^{\alpha_{1}}(b-x)^{\alpha_{2}}$.
Under the assumption that

$$
-1 / p \leq \alpha_{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{1}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime} \text { and }-1 / p \leq \alpha_{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{2}-1\right) \leq 1 / p^{\prime}, \text { where } 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1
$$

the following differentiation formulas are valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D U_{a}^{\mu} f\right)(x) & =\left(U_{a}^{\mu-1} D f\right)(x)+\left(T^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t-a}\right)\right)(x)+\frac{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{a}}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}}  \tag{12}\\
\left(D U_{b}^{\mu} f\right)(x) & =\left(U_{b}^{\mu-1} D f\right)(x)+\left(T^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{f(t)}{b-t}\right)\right)(x)+\frac{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right) f_{b}}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\ln (t-a) f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}}, \quad f_{b}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\ln (b-t) f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof follows mainly in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1, so we leave out the details.

## APPENDIX

We present some technical details of the proof of the formula (6) for nice functions. By using the substitutions $t-a=s(x-a)$ and $b-t=s(b-x)$, we obtain

$$
\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=I_{1}+I_{2}
$$

where

$$
I_{1}=\frac{(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}}{b-a} \int_{0}^{(b-a) /(x-a)} \frac{[b-a-s(x-a)]^{1-\mu_{2}} f[a+s(x-a)]}{s^{\mu_{1}}(s-1)} d s
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=\frac{(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}}{b-a} \int_{0}^{(b-a) /(b-x)} \frac{[b-a-s(b-x)]^{1-\mu_{1}} f[b-s(b-x)]}{s^{\mu_{2}}(1-s)} d s
$$

Next, differentiation with respect to $x$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(T^{\mu} f\right)(x)=\frac{d}{d x} I_{1}+\frac{d}{d x} I_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Leibniz rule for differentiating under the integral sign, we obtain after some calculations that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d x} I_{1}=\frac{\left(-\mu_{2}\right)}{b-a}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}-1} \int_{0}^{\frac{b-a}{x-a}} \frac{[b-a-s(x-a)]^{1-\mu_{2}} f[a+s(x-a)]}{s^{\mu_{1}}(s-1)} d s+ \\
+\frac{(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}}{b-a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{b-a}{x-a}} \frac{s}{s^{\mu_{1}}(s-1)(b-a-s(x-a))^{\mu_{2}}}\left[\left(\mu_{2}-1\right) f(a+s(x-a))+(b-a-s(x-a)) \cdot f^{\prime}(a+s(x-a))\right] d s\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Following the same steps, we get that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d x} I_{2}=\frac{\mu_{1}}{b-a}(x-a)^{\mu_{1}-1} \int_{0}^{\frac{b-a}{b-x}} \frac{[b-a-s(b-x)]^{1-\mu_{1}} f[b-s(b-x)]}{s^{\mu_{2}}(1-s)} d s+ \\
+\frac{(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}}{b-a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{b-a}{b-x}} \frac{s}{s^{\mu_{2}}(1-s)(b-a-s(b-x))^{\mu_{1}}}\left[\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) f(b-s(b-x))+(b-a-s(b-x)) \cdot f^{\prime}(b-s(b-x))\right] d s .\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We now re-substitute $s \rightarrow t$ in the integrals. By adding the derivatives of $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ and collecting terms containing $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ respectively, we obtain that the part containing $f^{\prime}(t)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}}{(b-a)(x-a)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}}}{t-x} f^{\prime}(t) d t+ \\
& +\frac{(x-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{\mu_{2}}}{(b-a)(b-x)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}}(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}}{t-x} f^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which, after some simplifications, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{1-\mu_{2}}}{t-x} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the part containing $f(t)$ is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{(b-a)(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}(t-x)}\left(\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right)((t-a)(b-x)-(b-t)(x-a))\right) f(t) d t
$$

which, after some simplifications, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{(x-a)^{1-\mu_{1}}(b-x)^{1-\mu_{2}}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t) d t}{(t-a)^{\mu_{1}}(b-t)^{\mu_{2}}} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, according to (15), by adding (16) and (17), we obtain formula (6). The proof is complete.
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