RESEARCH



Hardy-type inequalities in fractional *h*-discrete calculus



Open Access

Lars-Erik Persson^{1,2*}, Ryskul Oinarov³ and Serikbol Shaimardan^{1,3}

*Correspondence: larserik@ltu.se ¹Luleå University of Technology, Luleå Sweden ²UiT The Artic University of Norway, Narvik, Norway Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The first power weighted version of Hardy's inequality can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^\infty \left(x^{\alpha-1}\int_0^x \frac{1}{t^\alpha} f(t)\,dt\right)^p dx \le \left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha-1}\right]^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x)\,dx, \quad f\ge 0, p\ge 1, \alpha < p-1,$$

where the constant $C = \left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha-1}\right]^p$ is sharp. This inequality holds in the reversed direction when $0 \le p < 1$. In this paper we prove and discuss some discrete analogues of Hardy-type inequalities in fractional h-discrete calculus. Moreover, we prove that the corresponding constants are sharp.

MSC: Primary 39A12; secondary 49J05; 49K05

Keywords: Inequality; Integral operator; h-calculus; h-integral; Discrete Fractional Calculus

1 Introduction

The theory of fractional *h*-discrete calculus is a rapidly developing area of great interest both from a theoretical and applied point of view. Especially we refer to [1-8] and the references therein. Concerning applications in various fields of mathematics we refer to [9-16] and the references therein. Finally, we mention that *h*-discrete fractional calculus is also important in applied fields such as economics, engineering and physics (see, e.g. [17-22]).

Integral inequalities have always been of great importance for the development of many branches of mathematics and its applications. One typical such example is Hardy-type inequalities, which from the first discoveries of Hardy in the twentieth century now have been developed and applied in an almost unbelievable way, see, e.g., monographs [23] and [24] and the references therein. Let us just mention that in 1928 Hardy [25] proved the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \left(x^{\alpha-1} \int_0^x \frac{1}{t^\alpha} f(t) dt\right)^p dx \le \left(\frac{p}{p-\alpha-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx, \quad f \ge 0, \tag{1.1}$$

for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\alpha and where the constant <math>\left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha-1}\right]^p$ is best possible. Inequality (1.1) is just a reformulation of the first power weighted generalization of Hardy's original inequality, which is just (1.1) with $\alpha = 0$ (so that p > 1) (see [26] and [27]). Up to now there is



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

no sharp discrete analogue of inequality (1.1). For example, the following two inequalities were claimed to hold by Bennett([28, p. 40–41]; see also [29, p. 407]):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[k^{\alpha-1} - (k-1)^{\alpha-1} \right] a_k \right]^p \le \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{p-\alpha p-1} \right]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad a_n \ge 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{-\alpha}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} a_k \right]^p \leq \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{p-\alpha p-1} \right]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad a_n \geq 0,$$

whenever $\alpha > 0$, p > 1, $\alpha p > 1$. Both inequalities were proved independently by Gao [30, Corollary 3.1–3.2] (see also [31, Theorem 1.1] and [32, Theorem 6.1]) for $p \ge 1$ and some special cases of α (this means that there are still some regions of parameters with no proof of (1.1)). Moreover, in [33, Theorems 2.1 and 2.3] proved another sharp discrete analogue of inequality (1.1) in the following form:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{q^{n\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k\lambda} a_k \right]^p \leq \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad a_n \geq 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{q^{n\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k\lambda} a_k \right]^p \leq \frac{1}{(1-q^{\lambda})^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad a_n \geq 0,$$

for 0 < q < 1, $p \ge 1$ and $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$, where $\lambda := 1 - 1/p - \alpha$.

The main aim of this paper is to establish the h-analogue of the classical Hardy-type inequality (1.1) in fractional h-discrete calculus with sharp constants which is another discrete analogue of inequality (1.1).

The paper is organized as follows: In order not to disturb our discussions later on some preliminaries are presented in Sect. 2. The main results (see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) with the detailed proofs can be found in Sect. 3.

2 Preliminaries

We state the some preliminary results of the *h*-discrete fractional calculus which will be used throughout this paper.

Let h > 0 and $\mathbb{T}_a := \{a, a + h, a + 2h, \ldots\}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1 (see [34]) Let $f : \mathbb{T}_a \to \mathbb{R}$. Then the *h*-derivative of the function f = f(t) is defined by

$$D_h f(t) := \frac{f(\delta_h(t)) - f(t)}{h}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_a,$$

$$(2.1)$$

where $\delta_h(t) := t + h$.

Let $fg : \mathbb{T}_a \to \mathbb{R}$. Then the product rule for *h*-differentiation reads (see [34])

$$D_h(f(x)g(x)) := f(x)D_hg(x) + g(x+h)D_hf(x).$$
(2.2)

The chain rule formula that we will use in this paper is

$$D_h[x^{\gamma}(t)] \coloneqq \gamma \int_0^1 \left[zx(\delta_h(t)) + (1-z)x(t) \right]^{\gamma-1} dz D_h x(t), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.3)$$

which is a simple consequence of Keller's chain rule [35, Theorem 1.90]. The integration by parts formula is given by (see [34]) the following.

Definition 2.2 Let $f : \mathbb{T}_a \to \mathbb{R}$. Then the *h*-integral (*h*-difference sum) is given by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d_{h}x := \sum_{k=a/h}^{b/h-1} f(kh)h = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{b-a}{h}-1} f(a+kh)h,$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_a, b > a$.

Definition 2.3 We say that a function $g : \mathbb{T}_a \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is nonincreasing (respectively, nondecreasing) on \mathbb{T}_a if and only if $D_h g(t) \le 0$ (respectively, $D_h g(t) \ge 0$) whenever $x \in \mathbb{T}_a$.

Let $D_h F(x) = f(x)$. Then F(x) is called a *h*-antiderivative of f(x) and is denoted by $\int f(x) d_h x$. If F(x) is a *h*-antiderivative of f(x), for $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_a, b > a$ we have (see [36])

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d_{h} x := F(b) - F(a).$$
(2.4)

Definition 2.4 (see [34]) Let $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the *h*-fractional function $t_h^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by

$$t_h^{(\alpha)} := h^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)},$$

where Γ is Euler gamma function, $\frac{t}{h} \notin \{-1, -2, -3, ...\}$ and we use the convention that division at a pole yields zero. Note that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} t_h^{(\alpha)} = t^{\alpha}$$

Hence, by (2.1) we find that

$$t_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} D_{h} [t_{h}^{(\alpha)}].$$
(2.5)

Definition 2.5 The function $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be log-convex if $f(ux + (1 - u)y) \le f^u(x)f^{1-u}(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in (0, \infty)$ and 0 < u < 1.

Next, we will derive some properties of the *h*-fractional function, which we need for the proofs of the main results, but which are also of independent interest.

Proposition 2.6 *Let* $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ *. Then, for* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ *,*

$$t_h^{(\alpha+\beta)} = t_h^{(\alpha)} (t - \alpha h)_h^{(\beta)}, \qquad (2.6)$$

$$t_h^{(p\alpha)} \le \left[t_h^{(\alpha)}\right]^p \le \left(t + \alpha(p-1)h\right)_h^{(p\alpha)},\tag{2.7}$$

for $1 \le p < \infty$ *, and*

$$\left[t_{h}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{p} \le t_{h}^{(p\alpha)},\tag{2.8}$$

for 0 < *p* < 1.

Proof By using Definition 2.4 we get

$$\begin{split} t_h^{(\alpha+\beta)} &= h^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha-\beta)} \\ &= h^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)} h^{\beta} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha-\beta)} = t_h^{(\alpha)} (t-\alpha h)_h^{(\beta)}, \end{split}$$

i.e. (2.6) holds for α , $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is well known that the gamma function is log-convex (see, e.g., [37], p. 21). Hence,

$$\begin{split} \left[t_{h}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{p} &= h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}\right]^{p} \\ &= h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p}(\frac{t}{h}+1+\alpha(p-1))+(1-\frac{1}{p})(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha))}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}\right]^{p} \\ &\leq h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{1}{h}+1+\alpha(p-1))\Gamma^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}\right]^{p} \\ &= h^{p\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1+\alpha(p-1))}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)} = (t+\alpha(p-1)h)_{h}^{(p\alpha)} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left[t_{h}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{p} &= h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}\right]^{p} \\ &= h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma((1-\frac{1}{p})(\frac{t}{h}+1)+\frac{1}{p}(\frac{t}{h}+1-p\alpha))}\right]^{p} \\ &\geq h^{p\alpha} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{t}{h}+1)\Gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{t}{h}+1-p\alpha)}\right]^{p} \\ &= h^{p\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-p\alpha)} = t_{h}^{(p\alpha)}, \end{split}$$

so we have proved that (2.7) holds wherever $1 \le p < \infty$. Moreover, for 0 ,

$$\begin{split} t_h^{(p\alpha)} &= h^{p\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-p\alpha)} \\ &= h^{p\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma((1-p)(\frac{t}{h}+1)+p(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha))} \end{split}$$

$$\geq h^{p\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma^{(1-p)}(\frac{t}{h}+1)\Gamma^{p}(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)} \\ = \left[h^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{t}{h}+1-\alpha)}\right]^{p} = \left[t_{h}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{p},$$

so we conclude that (2.8) holds for 0 . The proof is complete.

3 Main results

Our h-integral analogue of inequality (1.1) reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. Then the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \frac{f(t) \, d_{h}t}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} \right)^{p} d_{h}x \le \left(\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1} \right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) \, d_{h}x, \quad f \ge 0,$$
(3.1)

holds. Moreover, the constant $\left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right]^p$ is the best possible in (3.1).

Our second main result is the following *h*-integral analogue of the reversed form of (1.1) for 0 .

Theorem 3.2 Let $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$ and 0 . Then the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) d_{h}x \leq \left(\frac{p - p\alpha - 1}{p}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(x_{h}^{(\alpha - 1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \frac{f(t) d_{h}t}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}}\right)^{p} d_{h}x, \quad f \geq 0,$$
(3.2)

holds. Moreover, the constant $\left[\frac{p-p\alpha-1}{p}\right]^p$ is the best possible in (3.2).

To prove Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma, which is of independent interest.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$, p > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then the function

$$\phi(x) := \left[\left(x - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_h^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \right]_h^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_h^{\left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \right]_h^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}_0,$$

is nonincreasing on \mathbb{T}_0 *.*

Proof Let $\alpha < \frac{p-1}{p}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. Since $\Gamma(x) > 0$ for x > 0, and using Definition 2.4, we have

$$\left(x - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)} = h^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{x}{h} + \frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{x}{h} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} > 0$$

and

$$\left(x-\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)}=h^{\frac{1}{p'}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{h}+1+\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{h}+1-\alpha\right)}>0.$$

Denote $\xi(x) := (x - (\alpha + \frac{1}{p})h)_h^{(-\frac{1}{p})}$ and $\eta(x) := (x - (\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})h)_h^{(\frac{1}{p'})}$. Then by using (2.5) we find that

$$D_h \eta(x) = \frac{(x - (\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})h)_h^{(-\frac{1}{p})}}{p'} \ge 0$$
(3.3)

and

$$D_h\xi(x) = -\frac{(x - (\alpha + \frac{1}{p})h)_h^{(-\frac{1}{p} - 1)}}{p} \le 0,$$
(3.4)

From (2.3), (2.6), (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that

$$D_{h}[\xi(x)]^{\frac{1}{p'}} = \frac{1}{p'} \int_{0}^{1} \left[z\xi(x+h) + (1-z)\xi(x) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} dz D_{h}\xi(x)$$

$$\leq - \left[\xi(x) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{(x-(\alpha+\frac{1}{p})h)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p}-1)}}{pp'}$$

$$\leq - \left[\xi(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{(x-\alpha h)_{h}^{(-1)}}{pp'}$$
(3.5)

and

$$D_{h}[\eta(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} \left[z\eta(x+h) + z\eta(x) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p'}} dz D_{h}\eta(x)$$

$$\leq \left[\eta(x) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{(x - (\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})h)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p})}}{pp'}.$$
(3.6)

By using the fact that $(x + h - \alpha h)_h^{(1)}(x - \alpha h)_h^{(-1)} = 1$, $\eta(x + h) \ge \eta(x)$,

$$\eta(x)\left[\left(x-\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right]^{-1}=(x+h-\alpha h)_{h}^{(1)},$$

for $x \in \mathbb{T}_0$ and (2.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} D_h(\phi(x)) &= \left[\xi(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} D_h[\eta(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\eta(x+h)\right]^{1-\frac{1}{p'}} D_h[\xi(x)]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \frac{\left[\xi(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}}[\eta(x)]^{-\frac{1}{p'}}}{pp'} \left[\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_h^{(-\frac{1}{p})} - \eta(x)(x-\alpha h)_h^{(-1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\left[\xi(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}}[\eta(x)]^{-\frac{1}{p'}}}{pp'} \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_h^{(-\frac{1}{p})} \left[1 - (x+h-\alpha h)_h^{(1)}(x-\alpha h)_h^{(-1)}\right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have proved that the function $\phi(x)$ is nonincreasing on \mathbb{T}_0 (see Definition 2.4) so the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let p > 1. By using Lemma 3.3 and (2.6) in Proposition 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})} \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left[\left(x + h - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\left(x + h - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \phi(x+h) \\ &\le \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \phi(t), \end{aligned}$$
(3.7)

for $t, x \in \mathbb{T}_0 : t \leq x$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\phi(t)}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} = \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \phi(t) \\
= \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} \left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} \left(t - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
= \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(3.8)

According to (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{split} L(f) &:= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} f(t) \, d_{h}t \right)^{p} d_{h}x \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\times \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} f(t) \, d_{h}t \right)^{p} d_{h}x \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h^{1+p} \left(\left[(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{i} \left[\left(kh - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\left(kh - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} f(kh) \right)^{p} \\ &= I^{p}(f). \end{split}$$

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $g = \{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_{p'}(\mathbb{N}_0)$, $g \ge 0$, and $||g||_{l_{p'}} = 1$. Moreover, let $\theta(z)$ be Heaviside's unit step function ($\theta(z) = 1$ for $z \ge 0$ and $\theta(z) = 0$ for z < 0). Then, based on the duality principle in $l_p(\mathbb{N}_0)$ and the Hölder inequality, we find that

$$I(f) = \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}}=1} \sum_{i,k} h^{1+\frac{1}{p}} g_i \theta(i-k) \left[(ih)_h^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[(ih)_h^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left[\left(kh - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\left(kh - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} f(kh)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1} \left(\sum_{i,k} hg_{i}^{p'} \theta(i-k)(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \left(kh - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{i,k} h^{2} \theta(i-k)(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1)} \left(kh - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} f^{p}(kh) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \sup_{\|g\|_{l_{p'}} = 1} I_{1}^{p'}(g)I_{2}^{q}(f).$$

$$(3.9)$$

By using Definition 2.3 and combining (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we can conclude that

$$\begin{split} I_{1}(g) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i}^{p'}(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \sum_{k=0}^{i} h \left(kh - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i}^{p'}(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(ih)} \left(x - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p} - \alpha)} d_{h} x \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i}^{p'}(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(ih)} D_{h} \left[\left(x - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \right] d_{h} x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i}^{p'}(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} \left(ih - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \| g \|_{l_{p'}}^{p'} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha}. \end{split}$$
(3.10)

Furthermore,

$$I_{2}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i} hf^{p}(kh) \left(kh - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} hf^{p}(kh) \left(kh - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} h(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1)} \\ = \frac{1}{\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} \int_{x}^{\infty} D_{h}[t_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})}] d_{h}t d_{h}x \\ = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha)} x_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} d_{h}x \\ = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(x) d_{h}x.$$
(3.11)

By combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we obtain

$$L(f) \le \left(\frac{p}{p - p\alpha - 1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x) \, d_h x,\tag{3.12}$$

i.e. (3.1) holds.

Finally, we will prove that the constant $\left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right]^p$ is the best possible in inequality (3.1). Let $x, a \in \mathbb{T}_0$ be such that a < x, and consider the test function $f_\beta(t) = t_h^{(\beta)} \chi_{[a,\infty)}(t), t > 0$, for $\beta = -\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon$.

Then from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\beta}^{p}(t) \, d_{h}t &= \int_{a}^{\infty} \left[t_{h}^{(\beta)} \right]^{p} d_{h}t \leq \int_{a}^{\infty} \left(t + \beta(p-1)h \right)_{h}^{(\beta p)} d_{h}t \\ &= \frac{1}{p\beta + 1} \int_{a}^{\infty} D_{h} \left[\left(t + \beta(p-1)h \right)_{h}^{(\beta p+1)} \right] d_{h}t \\ &= \frac{(a + \beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(p\beta+1)}}{|p\beta + 1|} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)}(t-h\alpha)_{h}^{(-\alpha)}f_{\beta}(t)\,d_{h}t\right)^{p} &= \left(\int_{a}^{\delta_{h}(x)}(t-h\alpha)_{h}^{(-\alpha+\beta)}\,d_{h}t\right)^{p} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\beta}\int_{a}^{\delta_{h}(x)}D_{h}\left[(t-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}\right]d_{h}t\right)^{p} \\ &= \left(\frac{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}{1-\alpha+\beta}\left[1-\frac{(a-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}\right]\right)^{p} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}{1-\alpha+\beta}\right)^{p}\left[1-p\frac{(a-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}\right], \end{split}$$

we have

$$L(f_{\beta}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\beta}\right)^{p} \left[\int_{a}^{\infty} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}\right]^{p} d_{h}x -p(a-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)} \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{\left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}\right]^{p}}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}} d_{h}x\right] = \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\beta}\right)^{p} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\beta}^{p}(x) d_{h}x - p \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{(a-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}[x_{h}^{(\beta)}]^{p}}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}} d_{h}x\right].$$
(3.13)

By using (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{[x_{h}^{(\beta)}]^{p} d_{h}x}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}} \leq \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{(x+\beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(p\beta)} d_{h}x}{(x+h-h\alpha)_{h}^{(1-\alpha+\beta)}}$$
$$= \int_{a}^{\infty} (x+\beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(\beta(p-1)+\alpha-1)} d_{h}x$$
$$= \frac{\int_{a}^{\infty} D_{h}((x+\beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(\beta(p-1)+\alpha)}) d_{h}x}{\beta(p-1)+\alpha}$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\beta(p-1)+\alpha|} (a+\beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(\beta(p-1)+\alpha)}$$
(3.14)

and

$$(a - h\alpha)_{h}^{(1 - \alpha + \beta)} = (a - h\alpha)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} (a - h(p\beta + 1))_{h}^{(\beta(1 - p)} a_{h}^{(p\beta + 1)}$$
$$= (a - h\alpha)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} (a - h(p\beta + 1))_{h}^{(\beta(1 - p))} \int_{a}^{\infty} D_{h} [t_{h}^{(p\beta + 1)}] d_{h}t$$
$$\leq (a - h\alpha)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} (a - h(p\beta + 1))_{h}^{(\beta(1 - p))} |\beta p + 1| \int_{a}^{\infty} [t_{h}^{(\beta)}]^{p} d_{h}t.$$
(3.15)

According to (2.6), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) we can deduce that

$$L(f_{\beta}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\beta}\right)^{p} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\beta}^{p}(x) d_{h}x - \theta_{\beta}(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\beta}^{p}(x) d_{h}x\right],$$

where $\theta_{\beta}(a) := \frac{p|\beta p+1|}{|\beta(p-1)+\alpha|} (a+\beta(p-1)h)_{h}^{(\beta(p-1))} (a-h(p\beta+1))_{h}^{(\beta(1-p))} \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$ Therefore, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{L(f_{\beta})}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\beta}^{p}(x) d_{hx}} \ge \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\beta})^{p} = (\frac{p}{p-p\alpha-1})^{p}$, which implies that the construct $[-p]_{k}^{p} = h[p]_{k}$ in (2, 1) is a hore

stant $\left[\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right]^p$ in (3.1) in sharp.

Let p = 1. By using Definition 2.3 and (2.5) we get

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} f(t) d_{h}t d_{h}x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i} h(kh - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f(kh)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(kh - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f(kh) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} h(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} d_{h}x d_{h}t$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t)(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} D_{h}(x_{h}^{(\alpha)}) d_{h}x d_{h}t$$

which means that (3.1) holds even with equality in this case. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.2 Let 0 < *p* < 1. By using (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) we get

$$\begin{split} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}\right]^{p} &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)}\right]^{p-1} x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \\ &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})} \left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right]^{p-1} x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \left(x + h - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)} \\ &\geq \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{p-1} x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \frac{\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)}}{\left[\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right]^{1-p}} \\ &\geq \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{p-1} x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \frac{\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p'}\right)}}{\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'}\right)h\right)_{h}^{\left(-\frac{1}{p'}\right)}} \\ &= \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'})}\right]^{p-1} x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p'}-1)} \end{split}$$

$$= \left[\left(x - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} \right) h \right)_{h}^{\left(\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha \right)} \right]^{1-p} x_{h}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1 \right)} \\ \ge \left[\frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'} - \alpha} \right]^{p-1} \left[\int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{\left(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p} \right)} d_{h} t \right]^{1-p} x_{h}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'} - 1 \right)}$$
(3.16)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} \end{bmatrix}^{p} = \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}}$$

$$= \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} (t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p})} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})} (t - (\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})h)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p'})}}$$

$$= \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})}} \frac{(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p'})}}{[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(\frac{1}{p})}]^{1-p}}$$

$$\geq \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})}} \frac{(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\frac{1}{p})}}{(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(\frac{1-p}{p})}}$$

$$= \left[\left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \right) h \right)_{h}^{(-\alpha - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha - \frac{1}{p'})}}.$$
(3.17)

Moreover, by using Definition 2.3, (3.16) and (3.17), and applying the Hölder inequality with powers 1/p and 1/(1-p), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{L(f)}{[\frac{1}{\frac{1}{p^{r}}-\alpha}]^{p-1}} &\geq \int_{0}^{\infty} x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}}-1)} \bigg[\int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \left(t - \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\right)h\right)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}})} d_{h}t \bigg]^{1-p} \\ &\times \bigg[\int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha)}} f(t) d_{h}t \bigg]^{p} d_{h}x \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(kh)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}}-1)} \bigg[\sum_{i=0}^{k} h\bigg(ih - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \bigg]^{1-p} \bigg[\sum_{i=0}^{k} h\frac{f(ih)}{(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha)}} \bigg]^{p} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(kh)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{k} h\bigg[\bigg(ih - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \bigg]^{1-p} \bigg[\frac{f(ih)}{(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha)}} \bigg]^{p} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} hf^{p}(ih) \bigg[\bigg(ih - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \bigg]^{1-p} \bigg[\frac{1}{(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha)}} \bigg]^{p} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} h(kh)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}}-1)} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(t) \bigg[\bigg(t - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \bigg]^{1-p} \bigg[\bigg(t - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p})} \bigg]^{p-1} \\ &\times \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p^{r}} - \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{p}(t) \bigg[\bigg(t - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}})} \bigg]^{1-p} \bigg[\bigg(t - \bigg(\alpha + \frac{1}{p}\bigg)h\bigg)_{h}^{(-\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}})} \bigg]^{p-1} \\ &\times \frac{1}{t_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}})}} \int_{t}^{\infty} D_{h} \bigg[x_{h}^{(\alpha-\frac{1}{p^{r}})} \bigg] d_{h}x d_{h}t \bigg]^{p} \bigg\}^{p-1} \bigg\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha} \int_0^\infty f^p(t) \, d_d t,$$

i.e.

$$\left[\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right]^p L(f) \ge \int_0^\infty f^p(t) \, d_d t.$$

Therefore, we deduce that inequality (3.2) holds for all functions $f \ge 0$ and the left hand side of (3.2) is finite.

Finally, we prove that the constant $\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha\right]^p$ in inequality (3.2) is sharp. Let $x, a \in \mathbb{T}_0$, be such that a < x, and $f_\beta(t) = t_h^{(\beta)} \chi_{[a,\infty)}(t)$, where $\alpha - 1 < \beta < -\frac{1}{p}$. By using (2.4), (2.5) and (2.8) we find that

$$\int_0^\infty f_\beta(t) d_h t = \int_a^\infty (t_h^{(\beta)})^p d_h t \le \int_a^\infty t_h^{(\beta p)} d_h t$$
$$= \frac{1}{p\beta + 1} \int_a^\infty D_h [t_h^{(\beta p+1)}] d_h t$$
$$= \frac{a_h^{(p\beta+1)}}{|p\beta + 1|} < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{split} L(f_{\beta}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h \left[(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i} h(kh - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f_{\beta}(kh) \right]^{p} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{\mu}-1} h \left[(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i} h(kh - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f_{\beta}(kh) \right]^{p} \\ &+ \sum_{i=\frac{n}{\mu}}^{\infty} h \left[(ih)_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i} h(kh - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha)} f_{\beta}(kh) \right]^{p} \\ &= \int_{a}^{\infty} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} (t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(-\alpha+\beta)} d_{h} t \right]^{p} d_{h} x \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{1 - \alpha + \beta} \right]^{p} \int_{a}^{\infty} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{h}(x)} D_{h} \left[(t - \alpha h)_{h}^{(1 - \alpha+\beta)} \right] d_{h} t \right]^{p} d_{h} x \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{1 - \alpha + \beta} \right]^{p} \int_{a}^{\infty} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} (x + h - \alpha h)_{h}^{(1 - \alpha+\beta)} \right]^{p} d_{h} x \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{1 - \alpha + \beta} \right]^{p} \int_{a}^{\infty} \left[x_{h}^{(\alpha-1)} (x + h - \alpha h)_{h}^{(1 - \alpha+\beta)} \right]^{p} d_{h} x. \end{split}$$
(3.18)

From (3.18) its follows that

$$\sup_{\alpha-1\geq\beta\geq-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\int_0^\infty f_\beta(t)\,d_ht}{L(f_\beta)}=\sup_{\alpha-1<\beta<-\frac{1}{p}}[1-\alpha+\beta]^p=\left[\frac{1}{p'}-\alpha\right]^p,$$

and this shows that the constant $\left[\frac{p-1}{p} - \alpha\right]^p$ in inequality (3.2) is sharp. The proof is complete.

Now, let us comment which discrete analogue of Hardy inequality we are getting from the Hardy h-inequality. Directly from the proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain the following discrete inequality, which is of independent interest.

Remark 3.4 On the basis of Definitions 2.4-2.5 we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{nh}{h}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{nh}{h}+2-\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{kh}{h}+1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{nh}{h}+1)} a_k \right]^p \le \left(\frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\right)^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_k^p, \quad a_k \ge 0,$$

for $p \ge 1$ and $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Scientific Committee of Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, grant no. AP05130975.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors have on equal level discussed, posed the research questions and proved the results in this paper. Moreover, all authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Author details

¹Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden. ²UiT The Artic University of Norway, Narvik, Norway. ³L. N. Gumilyev Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 13 December 2017 Accepted: 17 March 2018 Published online: 04 April 2018

References

- Abdeljawad, T., Agarwal, R.P., Baleanu, D., Jarad, F.: Fractional sums and differences with binomial coefficients. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2013, Article ID 104173 (2013)
- Almeida, R., Torres, D.F.M.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional calculus of variations with Caputo derivatives. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 16(3), 1490–1500 (2011)
- 3. Atici, F.M., Eloe, P.W.: A transform method in discrete fractional calculus. Int. J. Difference Equ. 2(2), 165–176 (2007)
- 4. Atici, F.M., Eloe, P.W.: Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 137(3), 981–989 (2009)
- Ferreira, R.A.C., Torres, D.F.M.: Fractional *h*-difference equations arising from the calculus of variations. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 5(1), 110–121 (2011)
- Girejko, E., Mozyrska, D.: Overview of fractional *h*-difference operators. In: Advances in Harmonic Analysis and Operator Theory. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 229, pp. 253–268. Springer, Basel AG, Basel (2013)
- 7. Holm, M.T.: The theory of discrete fractional calculus: Development and application. PhD thesis, The University of Nebraska—Lincoln (2011)
- Miller, K.S., Ross, B.: Fractional difference calculus. Univalent functions, fractional calculus. In: And Their Applications, Köriyama, 1988, pp. 139–152. Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl., Horwood, Chichester (1989)
- 9. Agarwal, R.P.: Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods and Applications, 2nd edn. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 288. Dekker, New York (2000)
- 10. Agarwal, R.P., O'Regan, D., Saker, S.: Dynamic Inequalities on Time Scales. Springer, Berlin (2014)
- Chen, F., Luo, X., Zhou, Y.: Existence results for nonlinear fractional difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2011, Article ID ID713201 (2011)
- 12. Lakshmikantham, V., Vatsala, A.S.: Basic theory of fractional differential equations. Nonlinear Anal. **69**(8), 2677–2682 (2008)
- Mozyrska, D., Pawłuszewicz, E.: Controllability of *h*-difference linear control systems with two fractional orders. Int. J. Syst. Sci. 46(4), 662–669 (2015)
- 14. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations, an Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications. Mathematicas in Science and Engineering, vol. 198. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- Ortigueira, M.D.: Comments on "Discretization of fractional order differentiator over Paley–Wiener space". Appl. Math. Comput. 270, 44–46 (2015)
- 16. Salem, H.A.H.: On the fractional order m-point boundary value problem in reflexive Banach spaces and weak topologies. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **224**(2), 565–572 (2009)
- 17. Agrawal, O.P., Sabatier, J., Tenreiro Machado, J.A.: Advances in Fractional Calculus. Therotical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. Springer, Dordrecht (2007)

- Almeida, R., Torres, D.F.M.: Leitmann's direct method for fractional optimization problems. Appl. Math. Comput. 217(3), 956–962 (2010)
- 19. Magin, R.L.: Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering. Begell House, Redding (2006)
- 20. Malinowska, A.B., Torres, D.F.M.: Generalized natural boundary conditions for fractional variational problems in terms of the Caputo derivative. Comput. Math. Appl. **59**(9), 3110–3116 (2010)
- Mozyrska, D., Pawłuszewicz, E., Wyrwas, M.: The *h*-difference approach to controllability and observability of fractional linear systems with Caputo-type operator. Asian J. Control 17(4), 1163–1173 (2015)
- 22. Ortigueira, M.D., Manuel, D.: Fractional Calculus for Scientists and Engineers. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol. 84. Springer, Dordrecht (2011)
- 23. Kufner, A., Persson, L.-E., Samko, N.: Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, 2nd edn. World Scientific, New Jersey (2017)
- 24. Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L-E.: The Hardy Inequality. About Its History and Some Related Results. Vydavatelský Servis, Pilsen (2007)
- 25. Hardy, G.H.: Notes on some points in the integral calculus. Messenger Math. 57, 12–16 (1928)
- Hardy, G.H.: Notes on some points in the integral calculus. LX. An inequality between integrals. Messenger Math. 54, 150–156 (1925)
- 27. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Pólya, G.: Inequalities, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934) 1952
- 28. Bennett, G.: Factorizing the classical inequalities. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 120, 1–130 (1996)
- 29. Bennett, G.: Inequalities complimentary to Hardy. Q. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 49, 395-432 (1998)
- 30. Gao, P.: A note on Hardy-type inequalities. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 133, 1977–1984 (2005)
- 31. Gao, P.: Hardy-type inequalities via auxiliary sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343, 48–57 (2008)
- 32. Gao, P.: On Ip norms of weighted mean matrices. Math. Z. 264, 829-848 (2010)
- 33. Maligranda, L., Oinarov, R., Persson, L-E.: On Hardy q-inequalities. Czechoslov. Math. J. 64, 659–682 (2014)
- Bastos, N.R.O., Ferreira, R.A.C., Torres, D.F.M.: Necessary optimality conditions for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 29(2), 417–437 (2011)
- Bohner, M., Peterson, A.: Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. An Introduction with Applications. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
- 36. Kac, V., Cheung, P.: Quantum Calculus. Springer, New York (2002)
- Niculescu, C., Persson, L.E.: Convex Functions and Their Applcations. A Contemporary Approach, 2nd edn. CMS Books in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2018)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com