



Review article

Probiotics in shellfish aquaculture

Einar Ringø

Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, UiT, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø 9037, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Probiotics
Shellfish
Aquaculture

ABSTRACT

The shellfish aquaculture industry has dramatically developed during the last two decades. However, this development has, in some cases, resulted in environmental degradation, emergence of diseases and low productivity. The need for improving disease resistance, growth performance, feed efficiency, and safe aquatic production for human consumption has stimulated development and applications of probiotics in aquaculture. Probiotics used in shellfish aquaculture include genera of *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Aeromonas*, *Alteromonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Clostridium*, *Paenibacillus*, *Phaeobacter*, *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodospirillum*, *Roseobacter*, *Streptomyces* and *Vibrio*. The beneficial effects of these probiotics include improved growth performance, enzymatic contribution to nutrition, inhibition of adherence and colonization of pathogenic bacteria in the digestive tract, modulation of the gut microbiota, and increase haematological parameters and immune response.

The present review addressed insight into the application of probiotics in shellfish aquaculture, methods of administration, mode of actions, and their enhancing effects, and discuss research gaps and issues that merit further investigations.

1. Introduction

In the 1970s and 1980s antibiotics were commonly used in disease control. However, the indiscriminate use of antibiotics used to treat infectious diseases led to selective pressure of antibiotic resistance, a property that may be transferred to other bacteria (Cabello, 2006; Romero, Feijóo, & Navarrete, 2012). Moreover, it is generally accepted that antibiotics administration in finfish and shellfish modulate the gut microbiota (Ringø et al., 2016), which in turn exerts negative effects on humans (Greenless, 2013; Salyers, Gupta, & Wang, 2014). Based on this fact, the European Union in 2003 banned the use of antibiotics in production. The use of probiotics is one of the alternative approaches to immunoprophylactic control in aquaculture, and is considered as a supplementary strategy or alternative to vaccines and chemicals.

There is a long history of health claiming microorganisms. According to Bottazzi (1983), the Roman historian Plinius in 76 B.C. recommended administration of fermented milk products for treating gastroenteritis. The word *probiotic* stems from the Greek roots *pro* and *bios*, or “profile” (Schrezenmeir & de Vrese, 2001), and several definitions of probiotics have been put forward since the first definition was given by Lilly and Stillwell (1965), but the most widely used is the definition by World Health Organisation's (WHO); “*live microorganisms that when administrated in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to the host*”. During the last decades, several reviews have addressed on

probiotics and their impacts in shellfish aquaculture as growth promoters, nutritional, environmental capacity, as immunostimulants and advantage as prophylactic against infectious diseases (Ayisi, Apraku, & Afriyie, 2017; Cordero, Esteban, & Cuesta, 2014; Farzanfar, 2006; Hoseinifar, Dadar, van Doan, & Harikrishnan, 2019; Hoseinifar, Sun, Wang, & Zhou, 2018; Kuebutornye, Abarike, & Lu, 2019; Kumar, Roy, Meena, & Sarkar, 2016; Li et al., 2018; Ninawe & Selvin, 2009; Shefat, 2018; Soltani et al., 2019; van Hai et al., 2009a; van Hai and Fotedar, 2010).

Even though information was presented in the above mention reviews, the present review address to present an update on probiotics in shellfish aquaculture, and on probiotics data not mention in the aforementioned reviews. In order to avoid overlaps, studies discussed in the aforementioned reviews are only briefly presented in the text and Tables.

In shellfish aquaculture, several probiotics species are used; *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Aeromonas*, *Alteromonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Clostridium*, *Microbacterium*, *Paenibacillus*, *Phaeobacter*, *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodospirillum*, *Roseobacter*, *Streptomyces* and *Vibrio*. To my knowledge, the first studies on the use of probiotics in shellfish aquaculture was carried out by Maeda and Liao (1992, pp. 25–29) and Nogami and Maeda (1992), using bacterial strain PM-4 originally isolated from a crustacean culture pond, but since then numerous studies have been carried out (Table 1 –

E-mail address: Einar.Ringo@uit.no.

Table 1
Effect of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) on growth performance, immune response and disease resistance in shrimp culture.

| Species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| LAB | Shrimp gut | 1.5 × 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /60 days | Pacific white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) <i>Penaeus indicus</i> | ↑ Survival, resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Vieira et al. (2007) ^a Ajitha et al. (2004) ^b |
| LAB strains | National Collection, Pune, India Giant lion's paw scallop | 5 × 10 ⁶ cells g ⁻¹ / 10 ⁴ CFU mL ⁻¹ / 11 days | Cortex oyster (<i>Crassostrea corteziana</i>) larvae | ↑ Survival → Growth | Camps-Cordova et al. (2011) |
| <i>Enterococcus</i> | | | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Ajitha et al. (2004) ^b |
| <i>E. faecium</i> | National Collection, Pune, India N/A | 5 × 10 ⁶ cells g ⁻¹ / 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ / N/A about duration | Giant tiger prawn (<i>P. monodon</i>) | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> and <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Swain et al. (2009) ^b |
| <i>E. faecium</i> | N/A | 10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ / 4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival, adhesive activity, expression of immune and digestion related genes in the mid gut | Sha et al. (2016a, 2016b) |
| <i>E. faecium</i> | Shrimp intestine | N/A | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Growth and resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> | Shefat (2018) |
| <i>Lactococcus</i> | <i>Lac. garvieae</i> | 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ / N/A about duration | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Swain et al. (2009) ^b |
| <i>Lac. lactis</i> | Shrimp intestine | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /7 days | <i>M. japonicus</i> | ↑ Up regulation of lysozyme gene expression in intestine and hepatopancreas, and resistance against <i>V. penaeicida</i> → anti-lipopolysaccharide factor, superoxide dismutase, prophenoloxidase and toll-like receptor | Maeda et al. (2014) |
| <i>Lac. lactis</i> | Pacific white shrimp intestine Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 2–4 × 10 ⁸ cells g ⁻¹ / 16 days 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁷ , and 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ / 8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Haemolymph PO activity, relative mRNA expression of LyproPO1, LyproPO2 and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Chomwong et al. (2018) |
| <i>Lac. lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> | Pacific white shrimp | | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, digestive enzymes activities, <i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> counts, <i>Vibrio</i> counts and resistance against <i>V. anguillarum</i> | Adel et al. (2017a) ^d |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> | <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. | ~10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ / | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Growth, survival and resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Phianphak et al. (1999) ^c |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. | Digestive tract of chicken | 100 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Karthik et al. (2014) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. AMET1506 | Curd | 10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Total heterotrophic bacterial load and LAB counts in intestine | Karthik et al. (2015) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. AMET1506 | Curd | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | | Reduced the bacterial load of <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Salmonella</i> spp. and <i>Shigella</i> spp. in intestine | |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> | | | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Body weight, digestive enzyme activities and resistance against WSSV | Zuo et al. (2019) ^d |
| <i>Lb. acidophilus</i> | Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ /27 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> | Sivakumar et al. (2012) ^b |
| <i>Lb. acidophilus</i> | Homemade curd | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | <i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i> | ↑ Growth and resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. | Khan and Mahmud (2015) |
| <i>Lb. acidophilus</i> | Homemade curd | 10 ⁶ cells g ⁻¹ / one month | <i>M. rosenbergii</i> | ↑ Growth performance, digestive enzymes and body composition | Karthik and Bhavan (2018) |
| <i>Lb. brevis</i> MTCC 4463 | Culture collection | Dose N/A/90 days | <i>Penaeus indicus</i> | Probiotic administration modulate the gut microbiota ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Ajitha et al. (2004) ^b |
| <i>Lb. bulgaricus</i> | National Collection, Pune, India Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 5 × 10 ⁶ cells g ⁻¹ / 4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Immune response and disease resistance | Roomani et al. (2018) ^d |
| <i>Lb. bulgaricus</i> | N/A | 10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ / 4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival, adhesive activity, expression of PEN-3α, propo, trypsin and α-amylase in the mid gut, and proPO, LGBP, IvelLee, trypsin and α-amylase in the hepatopancreas | Sha et al. (2016a) ^b |

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

| Species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| <i>Ib. pentosus</i> | Intestine of healthy <i>Acanthogobius hastatus</i> | 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ /4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Abundance of intestinal Actinobacteria → improvements of intestinal histology | Sha et al. (2016c) ^b |
| <i>Ib. pentosus</i> | Intestinal tract of abalone | 10 ³ , 10 ⁵ , and 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ /8 weeks | Halitotis discus hawaii | ↑ SR, Food intake, shell length, specific growth rate, FCR, antioxidant capacity and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Gao et al. (2018) ^d |
| <i>Ib. pentosus</i> | Gut of Branded goby (<i>Chaeturichthys signatus</i>) | 5 × 10 ⁸ CFU g feed ⁻¹ /4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Digestion related enzymes, resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> and induced stress response genes expression | Du et al. (2019) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Shrimp isolate | 10 ⁷ and 10 ¹⁰ CFU kg ⁻¹ /168 h | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ immune response, gut microbiota, resistance against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> | Chiu, Guo, Liu, Pan, and Cheng (2007) ^a |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Pacific white shrimp | 2 × 10 ¹⁰ CFU kg ⁻¹ /8 days | Pacific white shrimp | → Total bacterial and LAB counts in the digestive tract Reduced Vibrio counts in the digestive tract | Vieira et al. (2008) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Broadstock shrimp | 1.5 × 10 ³ CFU g ⁻¹ /60 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Total LAB counts and resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Vieira et al. (2010) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | N/A | 3.16 × 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /60 days | Giant freshwater prawn (<i>M. rosenbergii</i>) | Decreased total bacterial counts and total <i>Vibrio</i> counts ↑ Specific growth rate, weight gain and phenoloxidase | Rahiman et al. (2010) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Commercial | 3.3 × 10 ¹¹ CFU g ⁻¹ feed; 0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1 and 1 g/30 days | Pacific white shrimp | → Survival, total haemocyte counts and respiratory burst ↑ Growth performance and stress resistance at 1 g inclusion → protease activity | Tung et al. (2010) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Pacific white shrimp | 2–4 × 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ feed/42 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Gut of female blue swimming crab | 10 ⁶ , 5 × 10 ⁶ , and 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹ /14 days | <i>Portunus pelagicus</i> | ↑ Survival, protease – and amylase activities, total bacterial and <i>Vibrio</i> counts in tank water | Talpur et al. (2013) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Culture collection | 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , and 10 ⁹ CFU mL ⁻¹ /90 days | Macrobrachium rosenbergii | → Nitrogen content and pH of culture water ↑ WG, SGR, FCE, PER, FCR, carcass protein content, LAB counts in intestine, total haemocyte count, phenol oxidase- and respiratory burst activities and resistance against <i>A. hydrophila</i> | Dash et al. (2014) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Culture collection | 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , and 10 ⁹ CFU L ⁻¹ diet/90 days | M. rosenbergii | ↓ LAB counts after switching back to basal diet ↑ WG, SGR, FCE, PER, FCR, carcass protein content | Dash et al. (2016) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Pacific white shrimp intestine | 10 ⁷ mL ⁻¹ /4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | → Water quality ↑ Phenoloxidase activity, intestinal LAB and resistance against <i>V. alginolyticus</i> | Ramirez et al. (2017) |
| | | | | → Growth performance, total heterotrophic bacteria in intestine and intestinal Vibrio counts | |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Culture collection | 10 ⁷ , 10 ⁸ , and 10 ⁹ CFU mL ⁻¹ /35 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Final weight, WG, SGR, FCR and improved the resistance against the stress of acute low salinity | Zheng et al. (2017) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Pacific white shrimp intestine | 2.4 × 10 ⁸ cells g ⁻¹ /16 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Haemolymph PO activity, relative mRNA expression of <i>LiproPO1</i> , <i>LiproPO2</i> and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Chomwong et al. (2018) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Shrimp intestine | 1.0 × 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹ /35 days | Pacific white shrimp | → Growth performance and water quality | Correa et al. (2018) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> T8 | Commercial probiotic white shrimp | 10 ⁹ CFU mL ⁻¹ /45 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Nguyen et al. (2018) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> T13 | Hepatopancreas from Pacific white shrimp | 2.4 × 10 ⁸ cells g ⁻¹ /16 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Nguyen et al. (2018) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Commercial probiotic | N/A/10 weeks + 2 days challenge | Pacific white shrimp | → Growth | Pacheoco-Vega et al. (2018) ^d |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Wild shrimp intestine | 20 × 10 ³ cells mL ⁻¹ and 1 × 10 ⁸ (CFU mL ⁻¹)/45 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Improve water quality in biofloc system Reduce shrimp diseases and environmental impact | Shefat (2018) |
| <i>Ib. plantarum</i> | Shrimp intestine | N/A | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Shefat (2018) |
| | | N/A | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> | |

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

| Species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| <i>Lb. plantarum</i> | Commercial probiotic | 10 ⁹ CFU mL ⁻¹ /15 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Final weight, WG, SGR, FCR, digestive enzyme activities and enterocytes height | Zheng et al. (2018) ^d |
| <i>Lb. plantarum</i> | Intestine of rainbow trout | 0, 10 ⁷ (LB7), 10 ⁸ (LB8), and 10 ⁹ (LB9) CFU g ⁻¹ diet/97 days | Crayfish (<i>Astacus leptodactylus</i>) | ↑ Total haemocyte count, semi granular cells, hyaline cells count, total plasma protein and phenoloxidase by LB7 and LB8 | Valipour et al. (2019) |
| <i>Lb. sporogenes</i> | Commercial probiotic | 0%, 1%, 2%, 3% and 4%/90 days | <i>M. rosenbergii</i> | ↑ Superoxide dismutase and catalase by LB7 administration → Autochthonous intestinal bacteria counts ↑ Protease-, amylase- and alkaline phosphatase by LB8 and LB9 administration → Autochthonous intestinal bacteria counts ↑ SR, WG, SGR, FCE and PFR, FCR, total protein, total free amino acid, total carbohydrate, and total lipid content, feeding rate, absorption rate, conversion rate and excretory rate | Seenivasan et al. (2014) ^d |
| <i>Pediococcus p. acidilactici</i> | Commercial probiotic | 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ feed/ 1 month | <i>Litopenaeus styloirostris</i> | ↑ Antioxidant status, resistance against <i>V. nigrifluviroto</i> | Castex et al. (2010) ^b |
| <i>P. pentosaceus</i> | Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 0, 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁷ , and 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ diet/ 8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Final weight, final length, WG, SR, WG, FCR, protease and amylase activities, <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. and <i>Bacillus</i> sp. intestinal counts | Adel, Yeganeh, Dawood, Safari, and Radhakrishnan (2017b) ^d |
| <i>Streptococcus S. cremoris</i> | National Collection, Pune, India | 5 × 10 ⁶ cells g ⁻¹ / 4 weeks | <i>Penaeus indicus</i> | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Ajitha et al. (2004) ^b |
| <i>S. phocae</i> | Intestine of <i>P. indicus</i> | Dose N/A/15 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Kannan et al. (2010) |
| <i>S. phocae</i> | N/A | 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ / | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Specific growth rate and resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Swain et al. (2009) |
| <i>S. phocae</i> | Commercial | N/A about duration | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Total hemocyte counts, phenol oxidase, phagocyte activities, respiratory burst and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Pattulkumar et al. (2013) |
| 4 | <i>S. phocae</i> | 10 ⁸ CFU mL ⁻¹ /30 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> | Shefat (2018) |
| Mixture of LAB | Fish intestine | N/A | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Growth and resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Phianphak et al. (1999) |
| <i>Lb. acidophilus</i> , <i>Lb. bulgaricus</i> , <i>Lb. casei</i> , <i>Lb. casei</i> subsp. <i>tolerans</i> and <i>Lb. jensenii</i> | GI tract of chicken | 10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /100 days | <i>P. pelagicus</i> | ↑ Growth and digestive enzyme (protease and amylase) activities by multiple probiotics | Talpur et al. (2012) |
| <i>Lb. plantarum</i> , <i>Lb. salivarius</i> and <i>Lb. rhamnosus</i> | Gut of female <i>P. pelagicus</i> | 10 ⁶ , 5 × 10 ⁶ and 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹ /14 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Weight gain, specific growth rate, body, body crude protein, FCR, protease, amylase, lipase and penaeidin gene expression | Miadandari et al. (2016) |
| Primalac® (<i>Lb. acidophilus</i> , <i>Lb. casei</i> , <i>E. faecium</i> and <i>B. bifidum</i>) | N/A | 0.25, 0.5 and 10 g kg ⁻¹ /60 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↓ body crude lipid and body moisture | Sha et al. (2016b) ^d |
| <i>E. faecium</i> and <i>Lb. pentosus</i> | Gut of <i>Fenneropenaeus chinensis</i> and <i>Chaetirachthys stigmaelas</i> | 1 × 10 ⁷ CFU ⁻¹ g feed/4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | |
| <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i> | Intestine of prawn and mullet | Dose N/A/ Duration N/A | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Resistance against <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>V. vulnificus</i> | Cui et al. (2017) |
| <i>S. faecium</i> , <i>S. thermophilus</i> , <i>Lb. acidophilus</i> , <i>Lb. bulgaricus</i> , <i>Lb. johnsonii</i> , <i>Lb. plantarum</i> , <i>B. bifidum</i> , <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> and <i>Candida penotensis</i> | Commercial | 2 × 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance → Counts of coliforms and <i>S. aureus</i> ↓ Total bacterial counts and <i>C. perfringens</i> | Javadi and Khatibi (2017) |
| Combination of LaB and <i>Bacillus</i> | | | | | (continued on next page) |

Table 1 (continued)

| Species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| Enterococcus sp., Lactobacillus sp. and Bacillus sp. | N/A | 6 × 10 ³ CFU mL ⁻¹ /30 days | Pink shrimp (<i>Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis</i>) | ↑ Final weight, specific growth rate Higher levels of total protein and granular haemocyte | de Souza et al. (2012) |
| Molasses and Lactobacillus and <i>Bacillus</i> | N/A | 253.5 kg pond ⁻¹ /2.2 × 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /16 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | Lower <i>Vibrio</i> counts in water → Dissolved O ₂ , temperature, salinity, total heterotrophic bacteria, FCR, final weight and survival | Maia, Modesto, Brito, Galvez, and Gesteira (2016) De Paiva-Maia et al. (2013) |
| Lactobacillus and <i>Bacillus</i> | N/A | 2.2 × 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /16 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Total heterotrophic bacteria count in the sediment, concentration of Pyrophyta algae, and in water and sediment quality | Xie et al. (2019) |
| Lactobacillus, <i>B. subtilis</i> and <i>B. licheniformis</i> | N/A | 3 × 10 ⁷ CFU kg ⁻¹ , 1.8 × 10 ⁸ CFU kg ⁻¹ and 2 × 10 ⁷ CFU kg ⁻¹ /8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, superoxide dismutase, catalase, alkaline phosphatase, lysozyme, lipase and amylase activities, intestinal villi heights and microbial gut diversity | |

N/A: no information available.

General abbreviations: *E.* – *Enterococcus*; *Lac.* – *Lactococcus*; *Lb.* – *Lactobacillus*; *P.* – *Pediococcus*; *Str.* – *Streptococcus*; *W.* – *Weissella*; *V.* – *Vibrio*.

↑ - positive effect; ↓ - negative effect; → - no effect.

^a Studies discussed in the review of Niinawa and Selvin (2009).^b Studies discussed in the review of Hoseinifar et al. (2018).^c Studies discussed in the review of Kumar et al. (2016).^d Studies discussed in the review of Ringø, van Doan, Lee, & Song (2019).

3).

Shellfish aquaculture plays an important role in the world economy, and according to FAO (2016) brackish and marine shrimp production have increased from less than 10.000 metric tonnes in 1970 to more than 4.000.000 metric tonnes in 2014, and most of the aquaculture shrimp production come from Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) which accounts for 80% of the production. However, as pathogenic bacteria cause enormous economic loss, an alternative to chemotherapies and antibiotics is probiotics. The present review highlight probiotics as a key factor in sustainable shellfish aquaculture, and present information on the use of probiotics by their stimulating effect on growth performance, innate immune response and improved resistance towards pathogenic microbial infection.

2. Methods of probiotic administration

To my knowledge, the first application of probiotics in aquaculture was carried out by Kozasa (1986), but since then the environment-friendly treatment has increased rapidly, and several comprehensive aquaculture reviews have been published (e.g. Gatesoupe, 1999; Hoseinifar et al., 2018; Ringø et al., 2014; Ringø et al., 2018; van Hai, Buller, & Fotedar, 2010; Verschueren, Rombaut, Sorgeloos, & Verstraete, 2000). With regard to the use of probiotics, it is essential to investigate the best way of administration, optimal dose, and the technical solutions required; especially to keep the probiotics alive in dry pellets (Gatesoupe, 1999).

Probiotic administrations mainly depends on several factors i.e. the probionts, supplementation form, vector of administration, dosage level and duration of application, and several different administration modes have been used:

- Oral administration via diet or water/bath. Supplementation to the diet is the most widely used administration method. Generally, probiotics and cell wall components (parabiotics) are applied in the feed, added to the entire tank or pond water to confer protection against infection (Verschueren et al., 2000). In fish- and shellfish larvae, live food (e.g. *Artemia*) has proved to be an efficient carrier of probiotics (e.g. Giarma, Amanetidou, Toufexi, & Touraki, 2017; van Hai et al., 2010).
- Administration of several probiotics in combination. In his pioneer review devoted to "Probiotics in man and animals," Fuller (1989) wrote, "Probiotic preparations may consist of single strains or may contain any number up to eight strains." However, since the early 1990s most probiotic studies in aquaculture used single administration, but during the last decade, supplementation of multiple probiotics in the diets to aquatic animals has gained interest (e.g. Mohapatra et al., 2014; Allameh et al., 2016; Zorriehzahra et al., 2016; Kesselring, Gruber, Standen, & Wein, 2019; Mukherjee, Chandra & Ghosh, 2019). The advantage of multiple-strain preparations is; they are active against wider range of conditions and species.
- Inactivated bacteria. For example, oral administration of heat-inactivated *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *lactis* and *Bacillus subtilis*, individually or combined (Salinas et al., 2008).
- Spores, a structure produced by few bacteria genera is resistant to many environmental or induced factors that the bacteria may be subjected to. The spores help the bacteria to survive by being resistant to extreme changes in the bacteria's habitat including extreme temperatures, lack of moisture/drought, or being exposed to chemicals and radiation. Bacterial spores can also survive at low nutrient levels, and according to Eliashvili, Kachlishvili, and Chikindas (2019) spore-forming probiotic bacteria have received increased scientific and commercial interest. Several studies listed in Table 2, have used bacilli spores.
- Culturing, storing and administration. Probiotics are usually added to feed as freeze-dried cultures, which are sometimes mixed with

Table 2
Effect of *Bacillus* on growth performance, immune response and disease resistance in shrimp culture.

| <i>Bacillus</i> species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S11 | Intestine of giant tiger shrimp | ~10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ feed/100 days | Giant tiger shrimp (<i>P. monodon</i>) | ↑ MSW, disease resistance against <i>Vibrio harveyi</i> | Rengpipat et al. (1998a, b) ^a |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S11 | Intestine of giant tiger shrimp | ~10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ feed/90 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ MSW, phagocytosis, phenoloxidase, disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Rengpipat et al. (2000) ^a |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S11 | Intestine of giant tiger shrimp | ~10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /100 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ ARG, disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Rengpipat et al. (2003) ^a |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S11 | Intestine of giant tiger shrimp | ~10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /90 days | V. harveyi | Survival, total haemocyte, granular haemocyte counts, phenoloxidase and disease resistance against <i>Vibrio harveyi</i> | Sapcharoen and Rengpipat (2013) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. | Sediment | 10 ¹¹ /0.8 ha pond | Pacific white shrimp (<i>L. vannamei</i>) | ↑ ARG, disease resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> sp. | Dalmi et al. (2001) ^a |
| <i>Bacillus</i> OJ | Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁸ and 10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ Phenoloxidase, phagocytosis, respiratory burst, acid phosphatase, resistance against white spot disease | Li et al. (2009) ^a |
| <i>Bacillus</i> NL110 | N/A | 4.73 ± 2.87 × 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /twice a day for 60 days | Giant freshwater prawn (<i>M. rosenbergii</i>) | ↑ SGR, WG, survival, ARG, total hemocyte count, phenoloxidase activity, respiratory burst | Rahiman et al. (2010) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> PC465 | Intestine of Chinese white shrimp (<i>F. chinensis</i>) | 10 ⁸ and 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth rate, amylase, protease, histology, transcription of penaeidin 3a, peroxinectin, C-type lectin 3, and thioredoxin in hemocytes, and disease resistance against white spot syndrome virus | Chai et al. (2016) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> MA1.1 | Mucus of tilapia (<i>Oreochromis</i> sp.) | Dose N/A/30 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ Survival and survival and resistance of shrimp exposed to ammonia stress | Doroteo, Pedroso, Lopez, and Apines-Amar (2018) |
| <i>B. aquimaris</i> | Intestine of shrimp* | > 3 × 10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ /4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | → Growth | Ngo et al. (2016) |
| <i>B. aryabhattai</i> | Sediment from shrimp farm | 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /6 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth and phenoloxidase activity | Tepaamorndech et al. (2019) ^a |
| | | | | Upregulation of antioxidant enzymes (C-type lec, pen3a, hsp60, trx and fet) | |
| | | | | ↑ Phenoloxidase, total antioxidant activity and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | |
| | | | | → Total hemocyte count and superoxide dismutase in plasma and hepatopancreas | |
| <i>B. cereus</i> biovar <i>toyoii</i> | N/A | 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A | Giant tiger shrimp | ↓ Survival | Guo et al. (2009) |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | Giant tiger shrimp | 0.1–0.4% per 100 feed/90 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ SGR, FCE, AGR, FCR, phenoloxidase, lysozyme, respiratory burst, bactericidal activity and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Chandran et al. (2014) ^a |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | Intestine of mud crab | Not tested in an <i>in vivo</i> experiment | Mud crab (<i>Scylla paramamosain</i>) | As the strain showed less probiotic characteristics compared to <i>B. pumilus</i> and <i>B. subtilis</i> it was not tested <i>in vivo</i> | Wu et al. (2014) |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /24 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Weight gain → Disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Vidal et al. (2018) |
| <i>B. cereus</i> | Intestine of adult freshwater prawn (<i>M. rosenbergii</i>) | 10 ⁴ CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Giant freshwater prawn | ↑ Growth, propionic acid level, superoxide dismutase → Acetic and butyric acid level, and disease resistance against <i>A. hydriphila</i> | Wee, Mok, Romano, Ebrahimi, and Natrahan (2018) |
| <i>B. coagulans</i> | Intestine of common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) | Three contein-tractions of lyophilized <i>Rhodobacter sphaeroides</i> and <i>B. coagulans</i> /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival and digestive enzyme activities | Wang (2007) |
| <i>B. coagulans</i> | Pond sediment of shrimp Culture collection | 10 ⁵ , 5 × 10 ⁵ and 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A 10 ⁷ CFU g ⁻¹ /50 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Final weight, daily weight gain, survival rate, and protease-, amylase- and lipase activities | Zhou et al. (2009) Wang et al. (2012) |
| <i>B. coagulans</i> ATCC 7050 | Culture collection | 10 ⁶ –10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /56 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, immune- and antioxidant response, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal morphology | Amoah et al. (2019) |
| <i>B. flexus</i> | Pond water/sediment | 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /21 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, innate immune enzyme- and digestive enzyme activities, stress tolerance and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Cai et al. (2019) |
| | | | | → Total bacterial counts in water | |
| | | | | ↓ <i>Vibrio</i> counts in water | |

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

| Bacillus species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| <i>B. fusiformis</i> | N/A | 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival | Guo et al. (2009) |
| <i>B. licheniformis</i> | Surface sediment of shrimp pond | 10 ³ , 10 ⁴ and 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /40 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Haemocyte counts, phenoloxidase and superoxide dismutase activities | Li et al. (2007) |
| <i>B. licheniformis</i> | Pond water/sediment | 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /21 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↓ Total bacterial counts in the intestinal tract | Cai et al. (2019) |
| <i>B. megaterium</i> | N/A | 1.2 × 10 ⁴ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↓ Vibrio numbers in the intestinal tract | Olmos, Ochoa, Paniagua-Michel, and Rosalia (2011) |
| <i>B. pumilus</i> | Marine environment | 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /18 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, innate immune enzyme- and digestive enzyme activities, stress tolerance and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Banerjee et al. (2010) |
| <i>B. pumilus</i> | Intestine of mud crab | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | Mud crab (<i>Scylla paramosain</i>) | → Total bacterial counts in water | Wu et al. (2014) |
| <i>B. pumilus</i> | Commercial | 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁷ and 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ feed/60 days | Giant freshwater prawn | ↓ Vibrio counts in water | Zhao et al. (2019) |
| <i>B. stratosphericus</i> | N/A | 4–5 × 10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /180 days | Abalone (<i>Haliotis diversicolor</i>) | ↓ Stress tolerance | Zhao et al. (2018) |
| | | | | → Growth performance and haemolymph metabolites | |
| | | | | ↑ total ammonia and nitrite | |
| | | | | → pH, salinity, dissolved oxygen and temperature | |
| | | | | ↑ Expression of immune related genes (catalase, propolonoxydase and superoxide dismutase), respiratory burst activity and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | |
| | | | | ↑ Weight gain rate, specific growth rate, phagocytic, respiratory burst, and catalase activities, nitric oxide synthase and acid phosphatase, phenoloxidase-, amylase- and protease activities | |
| | | | | ↑ Shell length, wet weight, glutathione peroxidase-, catalase-, alkaline phosphatase-, acid phosphatase- and superoxide dismutase activities | |
| | | | | → Disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | |
| | | | | Modulate gut microbiota | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> BT23 | Shrimp culture pond | 10 ⁶ –10 ⁸ CFU mL ⁻¹ /6 days | Giant tiger shrimp | ↓ Disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Vaseeharan and Ramasamy (2003) ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival, final weight, feed conversion ratio and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Balcázar et al. (2007) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> E20 | Fermented soybeans | 10 ⁶ –10 ⁸ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/7 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival | Liu, Chiu, Ho, and Wang (2009) ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> E20 | Fermented soybeans | 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁷ and 10 ⁸ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/108 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Larval survival (10 ⁹ CFU L ⁻¹), postlarvae survival (10 ⁸ and 10 ⁹ CFU L ⁻¹) and in immune-related gene expression | Tseng et al. (2009) ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> E20 | Fermented soybeans | 10 ⁸ and 10 ⁹ CFU L ⁻¹ /14 days | Pacific white shrimp | → Total bacterial count and presumptive <i>Vibrio</i> count | Liu et al. (2010) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | N/A | 1.2 × 10 ⁴ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/4 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, stress tolerance and haemolymph metabolites | Olmos et al. (2011) ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Fermented pickles | 10 ⁵ and 10 ⁸ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ SGR, survival, digestive enzyme activities, up-regulated immune-related genes and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Zokaeifar et al. (2012) ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Juvenile freshwater prawn | 10 ⁸ CFU kg ⁻¹ feed/60 days | Freshwater prawn (<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>) | → FCR | Keysamini and Mohammadpour (2013) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Pacific white shrimp | 5 × 10 ⁹ , 5 × 10 ¹⁰ and 5 × 10 ¹¹ CFU kg ⁻¹ /8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Phagocytic rate, lysozyme, superoxide dismutase phenoloxidase and antibacterial activity and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Liu et al. (2014) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | | | | ↓ Body crude lipid | |

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

| Bacillus species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Intestine of mud crab | 10 ⁵ CFU g ⁻¹ /30 days | Mud crab | ↑ Expression of immune related genes (catalase, prophenoloxidase and superoxide dismutase), respiratory burst activity and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Wu et al. (2014) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Fermented pickles | 10 ⁵ and 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /8 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth (final weight and weight gain, activity of protease, amylase and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i>) | Zokaeifar et al. (2014). ^a |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> IPA-S-51 | Hepatopancreas/stomach of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁶ CFU g ⁻¹ /45 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ FW Vibrio counts in intestine and faeces increased during probiotic administration. | Interaminense et al. (2018) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | N/A | 10 ⁹ CFU kg ⁻¹ /56 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) of dry matter, crude protein and amino acids → ADC of crude lipid | Tsai, Chi, and Liu (2019) |
| <i>B. thuringiensis</i> | Pacific white shrimp | Dose N/A/ Duration N/A | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. angillarum</i> | Dou et al. (2016) |
| <i>B. vietrei</i> | Intestine of freshwater prawn | 10 ⁸ CFU mL ⁻¹ /2 weeks | Freshwater prawn | ↑ Antioxidant enzyme activities in muscle, hepatopancreas and gills, and disease resistance against <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | Hindu et al. (2018) |
| Mixture of <i>Bacillus</i> <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> and <i>B. thuringiensis</i> | | Intestine of Giant tiger prawn and shrimp pond | Live sprayed (LS), log 9.98 CFU mL ⁻¹ , freeze-dried (FD), 9.29 CFU g ⁻¹ /120 days | Giant tiger prawn ↑ <i>Bacillus</i> counts in hepatopancreas and intestine by LS and FD → Culturable bacteria counts in hepatopancreas, intestine and cultured water ↓ Vibrio counts in hepatopancreas, intestine and cultured water by probiotic feeding | Boonthai et al. (2011) |
| 8 | Probiotic mixture A <i>B. thuringiensis</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , <i>B. polymyxa</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>Debaronyces hansenii</i> and <i>Rhodotorula</i> Probiotic mixture B | | Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁹ CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A | Modulate the bacterial community in hepatopancreas and intestine by LS and FD feeding ↑ Growth performance, survival rate; number of beneficial microbial probiotics in shrimp larvae and postlarvae, and bacterial community in culture water |
| | | | Nimrat et al. (2011) | | |
| | | | Modulate the bacterial community in hepatopancreas and intestine by LS and FD feeding ↑ Growth performance, survival rate; number of beneficial microbial probiotics in shrimp larvae and postlarvae, and bacterial community in culture water | | |
| | | | Nimrat et al. (2011) | | |
| | | | Modulate the bacterial community in hepatopancreas and intestine by LS and FD feeding ↑ Growth performance, survival rate; number of beneficial microbial probiotics in shrimp larvae and postlarvae, and bacterial community in culture water | | |
| | | | Nimrat et al. (2011) | | |
| | | | Modulate the bacterial community in hepatopancreas and intestine by LS and FD feeding ↑ Growth performance, survival rate; number of beneficial microbial probiotics in shrimp larvae and postlarvae, and bacterial community in culture water | | |
| | | | Nimrat et al. (2011) | | |
| <i>Bacillus</i> and PHB <i>Bacillus</i> sp. JL47 | | Commercial | 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 g m ⁻³ /9 weeks | Pacific white shrimp | → Growth performance, water quality, total bacterial load and Vibrio counts in water and phytoplankton |
| Three <i>Bacillus</i> strains | | Tunisian hypersaline | 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A | Pacific oyster (<i>C. gigas</i>) | Fdhila et al. (2017) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. JL47 | | Shrimp pond | 4 g wet bacterial weight kg ⁻¹ /30 days | Giant tiger shrimp postlarvae | ↑ Resistance toward <i>V. harveyi</i> ↓ hemocytes mortality |
| Artemia larvae enriched with <i>Bacillus</i> sp. JL47 | | Shrimp pond | Enriched <i>Artemia</i> /15 days | Giant tiger shrimp postlarvae | Laranja et al. (2014) |
| Commercial <i>Bacillus</i> | | Commercial | 5 × 10 ¹⁰ g ⁻¹ /45 days | Pacific white shrimp | Laranja et al. (2017) |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> and <i>B. licheniformis</i> | | Commercial | 10 ¹² CFU mL ⁻¹ /2 months | Pacific white shrimp | Hostins et al. (2017) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> and Gamma-proteobacteria | | Commercial | | | Vargas-Albores et al. (2017) |

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

| Bacillus species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| <i>B. subtilis</i> and <i>B. licheniformis</i> | Commercial | 10 ⁴ and 10 ⁸ g ⁻¹ /60 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, body composition (dry matter, crude protein and ash), total protein, lysozyme and hemocyte counts ↓ serum glucose and cortisol levels | Madani, Adorian, Farsani, and Hoseini far (2018) |

N/A – no information available.

↑ - positive effect; ↓ - negative effect; → - no effect.

^a – studies discussed in the review of Soltani et al. (2019).

- lipids to be added as top.
- vi) Lyophilization or freeze drying, is a low temperature dehydration process, involving freezing of the product at low pressure, and removing the ice by sublimation. This method is from time to time used in probiotic studies of finfish and shellfish (e.g. Adel, El-Sayed, Yeganeh, Dadar, & Giri, 2017a; Boonthai, Vuthiphandchai, & Nimrat, 2011; De la Banda et al., 2012; Nimrat, Boonthai, & Vuthiphandchai, 2011; Rengpipat, Phianphak, Piyatiratitivorakul, & Menasveta, 1998a).
 - vii) Administration – continuously or regular intervals? Most studies carried out have continuously fed the host animal for a wide range of time, varying from 15 to 94 days (Hai, 2015), but information is available on continuous or pulse-feeding of probiotic bacteria in Pacific white shrimp (Kesselring et al., 2019). The continuously application of probiotic cells (LAB, *Bacillus* spp. and certain Gram-negative spp.) may lead to high levels of colonization of the supplemented bacteria, and modulation of the gut microbiota. However, an important question arises; are the probiotics permanently colonisers in the GI tract?
 - viii) Co-administration of probiotics with prebiotics or plant products.

Important questions when discussing probiotics are; species isolated from the host, host specificity, strains from other species or commercial probiotics?

3. Mods of actions

Selection of potential probiotic strains is based on many different criteria, such as growth in mucus, acid and bile tolerance, survival in gastric juice, produce extracellular enzymes, produce antimicrobial substances which inhibit *in vitro* growth of pathogens, and bio-safety (hemolytic activity and antibiotic susceptibility).

- i) Adhesion to the intestinal mucosa is considered an important selection criterion for persistent beneficial effects of probiotics, and is a prerequisite (Ouwehand et al., 1991; Rinkinen, Mättö, Salminen, Westermarck, & Ouwehand, 2000).
- ii) Competitive exclusion, probiotic organism colonizes the gut thereby inhibiting adherence and colonization of pathogenic bacteria (Ringø et al., 2010), by producing inhibitory substances which hinder pathogenic organism to adhere and colonize the GI tract (Ringø et al., 2018; Soltani et al., 2019).
- iii) Substances produced by probiotics act as antagonist for quorum sensing mechanism.
- iv) Enzymatic contribution to digestion, as shown in several studies in Tables 1–3.
- v) Competition for iron. For pathogenic bacteria, the ability to acquire iron is vital to survival in the host. In consequence, many genes involved in iron acquisition are associated with bacterial virulence. Siderophores, low molecular weight substances, produced by probiotic candidates or beneficial gut endosymbionts reduce the availability of iron for pathogenic bacteria, as siderophores has high affinity for ferric ion.
- vi) Improved immunity, increase macrophage activity and antibody level. Probiotics which can enhance host immunity and disease resistance of finfish (Merrifield et al., 2010) and shrimps have gathered much interest during the last decade (Kumar et al., 2016; Tseng et al., 2009). Among probiotic bacteria, LAB and *Bacillus* species are most frequently used, and they have shown to promotes the health of the host animal by stimulating the innate immune response and improving resistance towards pathogenic microbial infection (Laranja et al., 2017; Rengpipat, Rukpratanporn, Piyatiratitivorakul, & Menasveta, 2000; Ringø et al., 2018; Soltani et al., 2019).
- vii) Antiviral effect. The first study demonstrating bacteria with anti-viral activity against infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus

Table 3
Effect of *Alteromonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Clostridium*, *Microbacterium*, *Paenibacillus*, *Phaeobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodospirillum*, *Roseobacter*, *Streptomyces*, *Vibrio* and combination of several potential probiotics on growth performance, immune response and disease resistance in shrimp culture.

| Bacterial species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| <i>Alteromonas media</i> | N/A | 10 ⁴ CFU mL ⁻¹ /5 days | Pacific oyster (<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>) | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. tubashii</i> | Gibson et al. (1998) |
| Strain CA2, probably an <i>Alteromonas</i> | N/A | 10 ⁴ , 10 ⁵ , 10 ⁶ and 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹ /20 days | Pacific oyster | ↑ Growth and survival | Deouillet and Langdon (1994) |
| <i>Arthrobacter</i> XE-7 | Culture water of Chinese white shrimp | 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /14 days | <i>P. chinensis</i> | ↑ Total numbers of culturable bacteria in water, NH ₃ -N and NO ₃ -N → Survival | Li et al. (2006) |
| <i>Arthrobacter</i> XE-7 | Culture water of Chinese white shrimp | 10 ⁶ , 10 ⁸ , and 10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /63 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Total hemocyte counts, percentage phagocytosis, respiratory burst activity, serum phenoloxidase activity and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Li et al. (2008) |
| <i>Arthrobacter</i> sp. CW9 | Intestine of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁵ , 10 ⁶ and 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹ /24 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival rates, mean shrimp weights, phenoloxidase activity, phagocytic activity and clearance efficiency | Xue et al. (2016) |
| <i>Arthrobacter</i> endensis | Water column of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /4 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival | Xue et al. (2016) |
| <i>Bifidobacterium</i> (peptidoglycan) | N/A | 0.2 mg kg ⁻¹ shrimp BW day ⁻¹ /95 days | Kuruma shrimp (<i>Penaeus japonicus</i>) | ↑ Disease resistance against white spot syndrome baculovirus and phagocytic activity of granulocytes → Body weight | Itami et al. (1998) |
| <i>Clostridium butyricum</i> | Commercial | 10 ⁹ CFU kg ⁻¹ [0, 0.25, (CB1), 0.5 (CB2) or 1.0% (CB3)]/56 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, intestinal structure, digestive enzyme and SCFA content, intestine immune function of Pacific white shrimp resistance to ammonia stress and body crude protein content | Duan et al. (2017) |
| <i>Clostridium butyricum</i> | Culture collection | 0, 10 ⁷ –10 ¹² CFU kg ⁻¹ /42 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, intestinal villi height and intestinal wall thickness. Activities of alkaline phosphatase, acid phosphatase, lysozyme and total nitric oxide synthase in serum. Disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Li et al. (2019a) |
| <i>C. butyricum</i> | Culture collection | 10 ¹¹ CFU kg ⁻¹ /42 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Superoxide dismutase ↑ Specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, intestinal villi height and intestinal wall thickness in mid intestine and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> . The relative expression levels of superoxide dismutase, lysozyme, prophenoloxidase, Toll, immune deficiency, relish, TOR, 4E-BP, eIF4E1α and eIF4E2 genes | Li et al. (2019b) |
| <i>C. butyricum</i> | Commercial | 10 ⁷ CFU kg ⁻¹ /42 days | Marron (<i>Cherax caninus</i>) | ↑ Growth, total haemocyte counts and lysozyme activity in haemolymph, and a protein content in tail muscle probiotic feeding modulate the hindgut microbiota ↓ Vibrio and Aeromonas counts in the hindgut microbiota ↑ Survival | Foysal et al. (2019) |
| <i>Microbacterium aquimaris</i> | Water column of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁸ CFU g ⁻¹ /4 days | Pacific white shrimp | Xue et al. (2016) | |
| <i>Paenibacillus</i> | Marine sediment | 10 ⁴ and 10 ⁵ CFU mL ⁻¹ /day | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Ravi et al. (2007) |
| <i>Phaeobacter gallaecensis</i> | Water column of Pacific white shrimp | 10 ⁹ CFU g ⁻¹ /4 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival | Xue et al. (2016) |
| <i>Phaeobacter</i> sp. S4 | Marine environment | 10 ⁴ CFU mL ⁻¹ /1 day | Eastern oyster (<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>) | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. tubashii</i> | Karim et al. (2013) |
| <i>Phaeobacter</i> daeponensis | N/A | 3–5 × 10 ¹⁰ CFU g ⁻¹ /180 days | Abalone | ↑ Shell length, wet weight, glutathione peroxidase-, catalase-, alkaline phosphatase- and acid phosphatase- and superoxide dismutase activities, disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | Zhao et al. (2018) |
| <i>Phaeobacter inhibens</i> | N/A | 10 ⁴ and 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹ /2 days | Bay scallop (<i>Argoppecten irradians</i>) | Modulate gut microbiota ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. corallilyticus</i> | Sohn et al. (2016) |

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

| Bacterial species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shelfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|---|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| <i>Pseudomonas</i> PS-102 | Muttukkudu brackish water lagoon | 10^7 CFU mL ⁻¹ /5 days | Giant tiger prawn | Not pathogenic to Giant tiger prawn larvae | Vijayan et al. (2006) |
| <i>Pseudomonas aestuaria</i> | Intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp | 10^5 CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | No challenge test with pathogens was carried out ↑ Survival, final weight, feed conversion ratio and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Balcázar et al. (2007) |
| <i>Pseudomonas synxantha</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> | Commercial | 10^5 CFU mL ⁻¹ /84 days | Western king prawn (<i>Penaeus latirostris</i>) | ↑ FCR → Survival and specific growth rate Administration of probiotics, enhanced the number of probiotics in intestine of prawn and lower the bacteria numbers in haemolymph. | van Hai et al. (2009b) |
| <i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> sp. X153 <i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> sp. NC201 | Marine environment | 10^6 CFU mL ⁻¹ /duration N/A | <i>Pecten maximus</i> | ↑ Disease resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> sp. | Longeon et al. (2004) |
| | Marine environment | 1.39×10^5 CFU mL ⁻¹ /2 days | <i>Litopenaeus stylorstris</i> | ↑ Survival by hypersaline stress and disease resistance against <i>V. nigrifluitudo</i> | Sorieu et al. (2018) |
| <i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> CDM8 and CDA22 | Hindgut of Pacific white shrimp | 10^7 CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Relative gene expression of lysozyme and penaeidin 3 ↑ Disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Wang et al. (2018) |
| <i>Rhodobacter sphaeroides</i> and <i>B. coagulans</i> | Intestine of common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) | ~ 10^8 CFU and 10^9 CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↓ Viable <i>Vibrio</i> counts in hindgut | Wang (2007) |
| <i>Rhodospirillum paludigenum</i> | Costal water | 10^8 CFU g ⁻¹ /42 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth and intestinal protease, amylase, lipase and cellulase activities | Yang et al. (2010) |
| <i>Roseobacter</i> BS107 <i>Roseobacter gallaeciensis</i> | Marine environment | 10^6 CFU mL ⁻¹ /25 days | <i>Pecten maximus</i> | ↑ Growth performance, antioxidant competence, and digestive activities | Ruiz-Ponte et al. (1999) |
| | Intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp | 10^5 CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | Modulate the intestinal microbiota | Balcázar et al. (2007) |
| <i>Shewanella algae</i> IPA-S:252 | Hepatopancreas/stomach of Pacific white shrimp | 10^6 CFU g ⁻¹ /45 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Survival ↑ Survival, final weight, feed conversion ratio and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Interaminense et al. (2018) |
| <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. | Marine sediment from a shrimp farm | 1% cell mass/15 days | Giant tiger prawn | → FW Viable counts in hepatopancreas decreased during probiotic feeding, while <i>Vibrio</i> counts in intestine and faeces increased | Das et al. (2010) |
| <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. N7 and RL8 | Marine sediment | 10^8 bacteria g ⁻¹ /30 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth and disease resistance against <i>V. harveyi</i> | García Bernal et al. (2017) |
| <i>Streptomyces fradiae</i> | Mangrove sediment | 10^6 bacteria mL ⁻¹ rearing water, and 10^9 bacteria g ⁻¹ /Duration N/A | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Higher hemocyte count and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> by RL8 administration → Growth performance by N7 and RL8 administration | Aftabuddin et al. (2013) |
| <i>Streptomyces rubrolavendulae</i> M56 | Sediment of bay of Bengal | Dose N/A/28 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↓ Reduction of <i>Vibrio</i> from hepatopancreas | |
| <i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i> | Intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp | 10^5 CFU g ⁻¹ /28 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Growth performance, best effect by dietary supplementation ↓ CFU and viable <i>Vibrio</i> counts per mL rearing water | Balcázar et al. (2007) |
| <i>Vibrio</i> NE17 | N/A | 4.34×10^9 CFU g ⁻¹ /60 days | Giant freshwater prawn (<i>M. rosenbergii</i>) | ↑ Survival → Total heterotrophic bacterial counts in culture system ↓ Viable <i>Vibrio</i> sp. counts in culture system | Augustine et al. (2016) |
| Combination of bacteria | Commercial | 10^8 CFU of <i>Bacillus</i> and 5.6×10^5 CFU of <i>Saccharomyces</i> mL ⁻¹ /110 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Final weight, feed conversion ratio and disease resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> → Survival during probiotic feeding ↑ Specific growth rate, weight gain, survival, water quality parameters, total haemocyte counts, phenoloxidase and respiratory burst | Rahiman et al. (2010) |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp. and <i>Saccharomyces</i> sp. | | | | Lower concentration in total ammonia N, nitrate, chemical oxygen demand and biological oxygen demand | Matias et al. (2002) |

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

| Bacterial species | Isolated from | Doses/duration | Shellfish species | Parameters investigated | References |
|--|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| <i>Bacillus, Nitromonas, Nitrobacter and Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> | Commercial | 10^9 <i>Bacillus</i> mL $^{-1}$, <i>Nitrobacter</i> 2.8×10^6 CFU mL $^{-1}$, <i>Nitromonas</i> 6.4×10^4 CFU mL $^{-1}$ and <i>S. cerevisiae</i> 4.5×10^5 mL $^{-1}$ /101 days Dose N/A/138 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Yields of shrimp ↓ Concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus | Wang et al. (2005) |
| N/A | Commercial | 4.6×10^8 CFU mL $^{-1}$ /30 days | Giant tiger prawn | ↑ Final body weight, survival and water quality | Hossain, Kamal, Mannan, and Bhuyain (2013) |
| <i>S. phocae</i> and <i>B. subtilis</i> | Commercial | 5 g kg^{-1} /60 days | Freshwater prawn (<i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>) | ↑ Total haemocyte counts, phenol oxidase, phagocyte activities, respiratory burst and resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | Pattukumar et al. (2013) |
| Zymetin (<i>B. mesentericus</i> , <i>C. butyricum</i> and <i>Ent. faecalis</i>) | Commercial probiotic | 10^7 , 10^8 and 10^9 CFU kg $^{-1}$ diet/56 days | Pacific white shrimp | ↑ Total haemocyte count in the haemolymphs, resistance against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. and <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. Modulate the culturable bacteria in water and intestine | Azad et al. (2019) |
| <i>Lb. pentosus</i> , <i>Lac. fermentum</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> | | | | ↑ Growth performance, survival rate, resistance against <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> → Carcass composition | Wang et al. (2019b) |

↑ - positive effect; ↓ - negative effect; → - no effect.

(IHNV) was carried out (Kamei, Yoshimizu, Ezura, & Kimura, 1987). Since then, some studies were carried out, 1988–1995. However, the topic lost interest. However, more recently, it has been revealed that probiotic administration controlling viral diseases (Lakshmi, Viswanath, B., & SaiGopal, 2013).

viii) Improve water quality in ponds through modulation of the water microbiota (Cai et al., 2019), improve water physicochemical parameters (Zokaeifar et al., 2014), and control diseases (Kuebutornye et al., 2019).

4. Lactic acid bacteria

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have gained much attention as probiotics in aquaculture, for review see the comprehensive review of Ringø et al. (2018). They belong to phylum Firmicutes, class Bacilli and order Lactobacillales, and are Gram-positive, non-endosporing with rod- or coccid morphology. LAB are catalase- and oxidase negative, and most of them are non-motile with a growth optimum at pH 5.5–5.8. They are divided into homofermentative, produce lactic acid from sugars, or heterofermentative, produce lactic acid, acetic acid or alcohol and carbon dioxide. Another favourable trait of LAB is that they produce antimicrobial substances, bacteriocins (e.g. Cotter, Hill, & Ross, 2005; Klaenhammer, 1993; Ringø et al., 2018).

When discussing probiotics, host specificity of LAB is a topic to mention. Previously, adhesion of probiotic LAB was reported to be host specific, and Fuller (1989) stated, “*The attachment to epithelial cells is very host specific which means in practical terms that a strain which is suitable as pig probiotic may not be active in chick and other animals*”. However, several later studies have indicated that LAB originated from one host, adhere to mucus of other species (e.g. Nikoskelainen, Ouwehand, Salminen, & Bylund, 2001b; Nikoskelainen, Salminen, Bylund, & Ouwehand, 2001a; Rinkinen et al., 2000; Rinkinen, Westermarck, Salminen, & Ouwehand, 2003; Tuomola, Ouwehand, & Salminen, 1999). In addition, adhesion ability of LAB may be related to; adhesive and non-adhesive ability (Zhou et al., 2012), variation of mucin adhesion and cell surface characteristics depending on their isolation habitats indicated by Buntin, de Vos, and Hongpattarakere (2017), and hydrophobic properties (Grajek, Sip, Foksowicz-Flacyk, Dobrowolska, & Wita, 2016).

There are many reports regarding the advantages of using LAB as probiotics in shellfish aquaculture (Table 1).

4.1. *Enterococcus faecium*

Swain, Singh, and Arul (2009) administrated *E. faecium* at 10^7 CFU g $^{-1}$ to giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*) for unknown numbers of days and exposed the shrimp to *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, and revealed improved resistance against the pathogens. In a later study, Sha et al. (2016a) revealed that *E. faecium* had high adhesive activity, and improved expression of immune and digestion related genes in the mid gut of shrimp.

4.2. *Lactobacillus* sp

A mixture of *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated from chicken GI tracts improved the growth and survival rates of juvenile tiger shrimp when fed with *Lactobacillus* strains for 100 days (Phianphak, Rengipat, Piyantiratitivorakul, & Menasveta, 1999). Karthik, Jaffar, and Muthezhilan (2014) isolated a *Lactobacillus* sp. AMET1506 strain from curd which displayed strong antibacterial activity towards the pathogenic bacteria, *V. harveyi*. The lactobacilli was included in a diet at 10^6 CFU g $^{-1}$ and fed to Pacific white shrimp for 30 days, and thereafter challenged with *V. harveyi* at a dose of 10^5 CFU mL $^{-1}$ for 10 days. The shrimp fed the lactobacilli diet revealed improved weight gain vs. shrimp fed the control diet; not supplemented by *Lactobacillus*. As expected, dietary supplementation of *Lactobacillus* increased the

Lactobacillus count in Pacific white shrimp intestines, but an important finding revealed was a significantly decrease in the bacterial load of *Vibrio*. Based on their results, the authors suggested that *Lactobacillus* AMET1506 could be a potential probiotic for Pacific white shrimp by modulation of the gut microbiota to control vibriosis in Pacific white shrimp farming. This suggestion was later confirmed as total heterotrophic bacterial load and LAB counts in intestine increase, while the bacterial load of *E. coli*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. in intestine declined by feeding Pacific white shrimp 10^5 *Lactobacillus* sp. AMET1506 (Karthik, Pushpam, Chelevan, & Vanitha, 2015). Recently, Zuo, Shang, Shao, Li, and Sun (2019) conducted a 28-day feeding trial of Pacific white shrimp, and a WSSV infection trial to determine the effects of *Lactobacillus* sp. on the growth, health status, and disease resistance. The results showed that during probiotic administration, the body weight of shrimp enhanced, and the activities of immune enzyme and digestive enzyme of shrimp fed with probiotics increased. After probiotic feeding, the cumulative mortality of the probiotics groups were significantly lower than the control group after WSSV infection. Moreover, electron microscopy evaluation of the midgut revealed that the intestinal mucosa was tight and the epithelium cells displayed an active secretory state in probiotics group. Furthermore, evaluation of the intestinal microbial communities revealed that probiotic administration affected the microbial community, and that the ability of intestinal microorganism to utilize carbon source was significantly enhanced, which may indicate that the digestive enzyme secreted by probiotics can improve digestion and absorption rate, thus promoting the rapid growth of shrimp.

4.3. *Lactobacillus acidophilus*

In a study with juvenile tiger shrimp, *Lb. acidophilus* 04 (10^5 CFU g⁻¹) was administered for one month and increased resistance (80% survival) was observed following exposure with *Vibrio alginolyticus*; 10^5 CFU mL⁻¹ after 10 days challenge (Sivakumar, Sundararaman, & Selvakumar, 2012). Later, Khan and Mahmud (2015) addressed the effect of *Lb. acidophilus*, and revealed improved growth and survival of the juvenile giant fresh water river prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) infected with pathogenic *Vibrio* spp.

4.4. *Lactobacillus brevis*

Karthik and Bhavan (2018) conducted a 90-day feeding trial to determine the effects of probiotic effect of *Lb. brevis*, and revealed significant improvement of growth performance, digestive enzymes (protease, amylase and lipase) and body composition. Furthermore, probiotic administration modulated the gut microbiota.

4.5. *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*

In an early study, Ajitha, Sridhar, Sridhar, Singh, and Varghese (2004) supplemented Indian white shrimp (*Penaeus indicus*) diet with a single dose (5×10^6 CFU g⁻¹) of *Lb. bulgaricus*-57 for 4 weeks, and at the end of feeding trial was shrimp intra-muscular injected with 0.1 mL of an inoculum of 3×10^9 *V. alginolyticus* per mL. The results revealed improved mortality rate (40%) compared control group (20%). In a recent study, Roomiani, Ahmadi, and Ghaeni (2018) administrated a *Lb. bulgaricus* isolated from Pacific white shrimp intestine to Pacific white shrimp at two inclusion levels; 10^7 and 10^9 CFU g⁻¹, for 30 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*. There was significant enhancement of hemocyte counts, phenoloxidase activity, respiratory burst, and improved disease resistance against the pathogen, but it's worth noticing that the best results were revealed at inclusion level of 10^9 CFU g⁻¹.

4.6. *Lactobacillus pentosus*

The potential of *Lb. pentosus* as probiotics in shellfish aquaculture has been investigated in three studies (Gao et al., 2018; Sha et al., 2016c, 2016a). Sha et al. (2016a) reported that administration of 10^7 *Lb. pentosus* g⁻¹, survival, adhesive activity, expression of PEN-3α, proPO, trypsin and α-amylase in the midgut, and proPO, LGPP, IveLee, trypsin and α-amylase in the hepatopancreas in Pacific white shrimp. Sha et al. (2016c) used a *Lb. pentosus* strain isolated from intestine of healthy *Acanthogobius hasta* to evaluate the probiotic administration on bacterial community in intestines of Pacific white shrimp and intestinal histology. The abundance of intestinal Actinobacteria increased, while no improvements of intestinal histology were revealed. In a recent study, Gao et al. (2018) revealed that inclusion of *Lb. pentosus* at 10^3 CFU g⁻¹ and 10^5 CFU g⁻¹, significantly improved survival rate, shell length-specific growth rate and feed conversion rate of abalone (*Haliotis discus hannai* Ino) compared to control. In groups fed *Lb. pentosus*, total number of blood lymphocytes, lysozyme activity, acid phosphatase, superoxide dismutase, and expression levels of Mn-superoxide dismutase and thioredoxin peroxidase increased vs. the control, in contrast malondialdehyde content. The probiotic effect on disease resistance was tested by infection of *V. parahaemolyticus*. At day seven all abalones in the control group were dead, while survival was significantly improved in the *Lb. pentosus* added groups. Based on their results, the authors concluded that *Lb. pentosus* originally isolated from the intestinal tract of abalone is a promising probiotic strain in abalone culture, but its potential in other shellfish species merits investigations.

4.7. *Lactobacillus plantarum*

Lb. plantarum has gained popularity in fish and shellfish farming, and in an early study by Chiu, Sundararaman, and Selvakumar (2007) *Lb. plantarum* were reported to enhance the immune responses and gene expression in Pacific white shrimp. The bacteria influenced both the cellular and humoral immune defences in the shrimp. *Lb. plantarum* enhanced the phenoloxidase - and prophenoloxidase activities, respiratory bursts, superoxide dismutase activity and clearance efficiency of *V. alginolyticus*, peroxinectin mRNA transcription, and survival rate of Pacific white shrimp after challenged with *V. alginolyticus* when the diet containing 10^{10} *Lb. plantarum* kg⁻¹ diet for 168 h. Similarly, Vieira et al. (2007) used *Lb. plantarum* as probiotic in Pacific white shrimp diet, and revealed that the dietary supplementation improved larval survival and enhanced resistance to *V. harveyi*. In a later study, Vieira et al. (2010) administrated a *Lb. plantarum* to Pacific white shrimp for 60 days, and examined the following intestinal bacteria; total bacterial counts, total *Vibrio* counts and total LAB counts by cultivation. After 60 days, the probiotic supplemented diet significantly decreased total bacterial counts and total *Vibrio* counts, and enhanced total LAB counts. The latter finding is not surprisingly as feeding was not reverted back to a control diet without LAB supplementation. Therefore, in future studies the intestinal microbiota should be investigated post probiotic administration, for example 4 weeks. Moreover, probiotic administration significantly increased the resistance against *V. harveyi* infection in Pacific white shrimp. After challenge, the bacterial counts in haemolymph and hepatopancreas was significantly lower by probiotic administration, while total hemocyte counts and serum agglutination activity were significantly higher. Subsequently, the effect of *Lb. plantarum* administration fed to fresh water river prawn was investigated by Rahiman, Jesmi, Thomas, and Hatha (2010), and revealed significant enhancement of specific growth rate, weight gain and phenoloxidase. However, probiotic feeding did not significantly improved survival, total haemocyte counts or respiratory burst.

A commercial product containing *Lb. plantarum*, at 3.3×10^{11} CFU g⁻¹ feed, at inclusion of 0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1 and 1 g⁻¹ was fed to post larval Kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*) for 30 days (Tung, Koshio, & Traifalgar, 2010). Growth performance and stress

resistance were improved at 1 g inclusion, while 0.1 g⁻¹ showed highest relative survival. Moreover, no difference was noticed in protease activity between the treatments.

Kongnum and Hongpattarakere (2012) revealed that *Lb. plantarum* isolated from the shrimp intestines, no specification was given, significantly improved relative growth rate, feed conversion ratio, survival rate, and haemocytes count as well as disease resistance to *V. harveyi* in Pacific white shrimp. **Talpur, Ikhwanuddin, Daniel Abdullah, and Ambok Bolong (2013)** investigated the effect of *Lb. plantarum* administration at three inclusion levels, 10^6 , 5×10^6 and 10^7 CFU mL⁻¹, on blue swimming crab (*Portunus pelagicus*), and revealed best effect by 5×10^6 administration; improved survival, protease – and amylase activities. Not surprisingly, the total bacterial- and *Vibrio* counts in tank water was significantly lower in treated tanks vs. control, while probiotic administration revealed no clear effect on nitrogen content and pH of culture water. In three later studies using tiger shrimp, **Dash et al. (2014, 2015, 2016)** revealed that dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* significant increased weight gain, specific growth rate, feed conversion efficiency, protein efficiency ratio, and carcass protein content, whereas feed conversion ratio significant decreased. During probiotic feeding, LAB counts significantly increased in the intestine with a concurrent decrease in Gram-negative bacteria counts. However, as the LAB counts decreased after switching back to basal diet, this clearly indicated that a *Lb. plantarum* from a culture collection was not able to permanently colonize the intestine of Pacific white shrimp. On the other hand, immune parameters analysis revealed significantly improvement in total hemocyte count, phenol oxidase- and respiratory burst activities and resistance against *Aeromonas hydrophila*.

A dietary supplementation of a *Lb. plantarum* isolated from Pacific white shrimp (**Vieira et al., 2007**) was administrated to Pacific white shrimp for 4 weeks days and challenged with *V. alginolyticus* (**Ramirez et al., 2017**). There was significant enhancement of phenoloxidase activity, intestinal LAB and resistance against *V. alginolyticus*. However, growth performance, total heterotrophic bacteria in intestine and intestinal *Vibrio* counts increased, which implicate that *Lb. plantarum*, even though the bacterium was isolated from the host, was not able to outcompete *Vibrio* already present in the intestine, or that the administration period was too short. In later study using host-derived *Lb. plantarum*, **Chomwong, Charoensapsi, Amparyup, and Tassanakajon (2018)**, revealed significant enhancement in haemolymph PO activity, relative mRNA expression of *LvproPO1*, *LvproPO2*, and resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*. Even though information was presented by cultivation - and scanning electron microscopy analysis after 16 days of probiotic administration and 5 days post probiotic feeding, revealed presence of LAB in the intestine- These findings do not confirm permanent colonization, only indicating temporary colonization. However, no information was presented whether post probiotic administration affected the gut microbiota. Recently, **Zheng, Duan, Dong, and Zhang (2017)** administrated four treatments of a commercial *Lb. plantarum*, fermentation supernatant (FS), live bacteria (LB), dead bacteria (DB) and cell-free extract (CE) of *Lb. plantarum* for 45 days to Pacific white shrimp. The results revealed that probiotic administration significant enhanced final weight, WG, SGR, FCR, digestive enzyme activities and enterocytes height, improved resistance against stress, acute low salinity, but revealed no significant effect on gene expression of superoxide and lysozyme. Based on their results, the authors suggested that especially the CE diet might be a potential feed additive to overcome environmental stress of shrimp. Similar conclusion was shown in a later study, as **Zheng, Duan, Dong, and Zhang (2018)** administrated the FS, LB, DB and the CE diets for 15 days to Pacific white shrimp, and reported that probiotic administration significant enhanced final weight. Significantly highest weight gain and specific growth rate, and the highest lipase, amylase and pepsin activities in hepatopancreas and intestine were noticed for the CF diet. However, the above mention studies, did not evaluate the effect on gut microbiome and resistance towards pathogens, topics merits investigations.

Two strains of *Lb. plantarum* T8 and T13 was fed to Pacific white shrimp for 3 weeks, and then 7 weeks without probiotic feeding prior to challenge with *V. parahaemolyticus* (**Nguyen et al., 2018**). There was significant enhancement of growth and disease resistance towards the pathogen by feeding T8, while T13 revealed better disease resistance compared to the T8 treatment, but no growth improvement was noticed. The results are of interest, but further studies are needed as only a short period, 3 weeks, of probiotic feeding was used.

Good management practice might masked the possible effect of probiotic supplementation on the water quality, as **Correa et al. (2018)** revealed that dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* had no effects on water quality and pathogens removal in Pacific white shrimp culture under biofloc system. In contrast, dietary inclusion of *Lb. plantarum* significantly improved water quality and reduced shrimp diseases, as well as environmental impact (**Pacheco et al., 2018**).

Dietary *Lb. plantarum* at inclusion levels of 0, 10^7 (LB7), 10^8 (LB8), and 10^9 (LB9) CFU g⁻¹ diet was fed to clawed crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus*) for 97 days (**Valipour, Nedaei, Noori, Khanipour, & Hoseinifar, 2019**). There was significant enhancement of total haemocyte count, semi granular cells, hyaline cells count, total plasma protein and phenoloxidase by LB7 and LB8 administrations, while superoxide dismutase and catalase increased by LB7 administration. The LB8 and LB9 administration significantly elevated protease-, amylase- and alkaline phosphatase activities. Some bacterial assessments were carried out, and the results displayed no effect on autochthonous intestinal bacteria counts. Moreover, as expected the autochthonous LAB levels were significantly elevated in all probiotic treatments, and the highest levels were revealed in treatments LB8 and LB9. However, permanent colonization was not evaluated.

4.8. *Lactobacillus sporogenes*

Seenivasan, Radhakrishnan, Shanthi, Muralisankar, and Saravana Bhavan (2014) conducted a study with fresh water river prawn fed *Lb. sporogenes* for 90 days, and revealed significantly improved growth performance, total protein, total free amino acid, total carbohydrate, and total lipid content; as well as feeding rate, absorption rate, conversion rate, and excretory rate.

4.9. *Mixture of LAB*

Phianphak et al. (1999) revealed that a mixture of *Lactobacillus*, including *Lb. acidophilus*, *Lb. bulgaricus*, *Lb. casei*, *Lb. casei* subsp. *tolerans* and *Lb. jensenii* originally isolated from the GI tract of chicken improved the growth and survival rates of juvenile tiger shrimp exposed to *V. harveyi* when fed the lactobacilli strains for 100 days. A multi-strain probiotic, PrimaLac© (*Lb. acidophilus*, *Lb. casei*, *E. faecium* and *B. bifidum*) was fed at 0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 g kg⁻¹ to Pacific white shrimp for 60 days (**Miadare, Yarahmadi, & Abbasian, 2016**), and generally, there was significant enhancement of weight gain, SGR, body, body crude protein, FCR, protease, amylase, lipase and penaeidin gene expression, in contrast to the decrease of body crude lipid and body moisture. Other studies have also evaluate the combination of several probiotics in Pacific white shrimp diets, such as *E. faecium*, and *Lb. pentosus* (**Sha et al., 2016a; 2016b**), or combination of *Lb. pentosus*, *Lac. fermentum*, *B. subtilis*, and *S. cerevisiae* (**Wang, Hu, Chiu, & Liu, 2019**), and these studies revealed significantly enhanced disease resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*.

4.10. *Lactococcus garvieae*

Lac. garvieae at inclusion level of 10^7 CFU g⁻¹ was fed to tiger shrimp and challenged with *V. harveyi* and *V. parahaemolyticus* (**Swain et al., 2009**). For *V. harveyi*, 40% survival was revealed, while approximately 15% was noticed in the *V. parahaemolyticus* study.

4.11. *Lactococcus lactis*

Maeda et al. (2014) conducted a 7 day feeding trial and a subsequent 24 h challenge trial to determine the effects of *Lac. lactis* on the health status, and disease resistance of kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*). There was significant enhancement of up regulation of lysozyme gene expression in intestine and hepatopancreas, and resistance against *V. penaeicida*. However, anti-lipopolysaccharide factor, superoxide dismutase, prophenoloxidase and toll-like receptor in intestine was not enhanced by probiotic administration. Adel et al. (2017a) revealed that administration of 10^7 and 10^8 CFU g⁻¹ of *Lac. lactis* to Pacific white shrimp for 8 weeks enhanced growth rate, survival, body protein level, and the digestive enzymes (cellulase, lipase, amylase and protease). Furthermore, intestinal bacterial levels of *Lactobacillus* and *Bacillus* were significantly enhanced by probiotic administration, in contrast to *Vibrio* counts which decreased. In addition, probiotic administration improved disease resistance against *V. anguillarum*. Host-derived feeding of *Lac. lactis* supplemented at a final concentration of $2\text{--}4 \times 10^8$ CFU g⁻¹ feed to Pacific white shrimp for 16 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* was investigated by Chomwong et al. (2018). The results revealed significant enhancement of haemolymph PO activity, relative mRNA expression of *LvproPO1*, *LvproPO2*, temporary colonization of the *Lactococcus* in the GI tract, and resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*.

4.12. *Pediococcus acidilactici*

P. acidilactici showed an effect on antioxidant defence and oxidative stress of Pacific white shrimp when challenged with *Vibrio nigripulchritudo*. Probiotic administration was effective on the antioxidant defences, superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, total antioxidant status, glutathione's, and induced tissue damage, and *P. acidilactici* was efficient in maintaining the antioxidant defence levels for a longer period than the control and uninfected groups (Castex, Lemaire, Wabete, & Chim, 2010). This finding suggests that probiotic bacteria besides enhancing the immune defences also maintain the defence levels in the shrimp offering a prolonged protection. When discussing commercial probiotic product, it's worth to mention that *P. acidilactici* MA 18/5M was one of the first officially commercial probiotic product approved for use in fish aquaculture in Europe (Feed Additive Magazine, September 28, 2012). However, since then are 29 commercial products available on the market for shellfish and finfish (Cordero et al., 2014).

4.13. *Streptococcus cremoris*

In the study of Ajitha et al. (2004), the authors also fed the Indian white shrimp with a single dose (5×10^6 CFU g⁻¹) of *S. cremoris* for 4 weeks, and at the end of feeding trial was shrimp intra-muscular injected with 0.1 mL of an inoculum of 3×10^9 *V. alginolyticus* per mL, and a mortality rate of 20% was revealed. This rate was lower than that of shrimp fed *Lb. bulgaricus*-57 (40%) and shrimp fed the control diet (80%).

4.14. *Streptococcus phocae*

In an early study, Swain et al. (2009) revealed that administration of *S. phocae* to tiger shrimp improved specific growth rate and resistance against *V. harveyi*. In addition, it is of interest to notice that *S. phocae* exhibited higher resistance, 94% survival, compared to the other probiotics used, *E. faecium* (84%), *Lac. garvieae* (40%) and a commercial probiotic (68%). Pattukumar et al. (2013) evaluated the effect of commercial *S. phocae* to tiger shrimp for 30 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*, and revealed significant increase in total hemocyte counts, phenol oxidase-, phagocyte activities, respiratory burst and resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*.

4.15. Synbiotic studies

Synbiotic, combination of pro- and prebiotics, studies are well known in finfish aquaculture (Ringø & Song, 2016), but is less investigated in shellfish aquaculture. However, in a recent study, the effect of a synbiotic diet, galactooligosaccharide and *Lb. plantarum* was administered to Pacific white shrimp for 60 days and challenged with *V. alginolyticus* (Huynh, Cheng, Chi, Chiu, & Liu, 2018). There was significant enhancement of immune parameters such as phenoloxidase activity, respiratory burst, phagocytic activity, prophenoloxidase I, serine proteinase and peroxinectin and survival when the shrimp were challenged with *V. alginolyticus*.

5. *Bacillus* sp

Species within genus *Bacillus* are Gram-positive, catalase-positive, endosporing, aerobic or facultative anaerobes, characterized by their rod-shaped morphology (between 2.5 and 10 µm), and is classified in the Phylum Firmicutes, Class Bacilli, and Order Bacillales. The genus comprises of approximately 200 bacterial species, and are almost ubiquitous in nature and they have been isolated from compost, extreme environments such as high pH conditions, at high temperature, high salt concentrations, in aquatic environments as well as in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract of aquatic animals (Soltani et al., 2019). *Bacillus* exhibit quite diverse physiological properties such as the ability to produce cellulase, phytase, tannase, chitinase, xylanase, protease and lipase (Ghosh, Ray, & Ringø, 2019; Ray, Ghosh, & Ringø, 2012), antimicrobial substances (Abriouel, Franz, Ben Omar, & Gálvez, 2011; Stein, 2005) as well as modulation of antioxidant enzymes, stress mitigation and prevent tissue damage (Kuebutornye et al., 2019). In addition, dietary supplementation of bacilli may improve digestive enzyme activities (Soltani et al., 2019). It is widely accepted, that the level of digestive enzyme activity is a useful comparative indicator of the host's food utilization rate, digestive capacity, and growth performance (Ueberschär, 1995; Suzer et al., 2008).

According to Elshaghabee, Rokana, Gulhane, Sharma, and Panwar (2017) there are 17 probiotic supplements containing *Bacillus* available in the global market. Genus *Bacillus* have been widely used as environmental probiotics (Moriarty, 1998) and dietary probiotics (Hong, Duc, & Cutting, 2005) in aquaculture due to their production of antimicrobial substances, enzymes provide and their ability to colonize the digestive tract and to contribute to nutrition of the host (Soltani et al., 2019). In addition, *Bacillus* has simple nutritional requirements, fast metabolic rate, ease to isolate and preserve, and these advantages make them to be one of the most studied probiotics in aquaculture.

In their review devoted to *Bacillus* in fish and shellfish aquaculture, Soltani et al. (2019) presented information on their potential of *Bacillus* as promising probiotics on growth performance, their effect on the immune system and disease resistance against pathogens. In order to avoid duplication, studies reviewed in the aforementioned review are only briefly addressed in the text and Table 2 in the present paper.

5.1. *Bacillus* sp

Giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*). Earlier studies with *Bacillus* suggested that *Bacillus* S11, a saprophytic strain, was able to enhance resistance of giant tiger prawn when challenged with *V. harveyi* (Rengpipat et al., 1998a; Rengpipat, Rukpratanporn, Piyatiratitivorakul, & Menasveta, 1998b), and in a later study, feeding live bacteria seems to be an effective treatment for improving the growth in pond condition of giant tiger prawn as Rengpipat et al. (2000) revealed that *Bacillus* S11 stimulate the immune response by activating phenoloxidase, phagocytosis and antimicrobial activity in the haemolymph of giant fresh water river prawn to improve resistance against *V. harveyi*. When giant tiger shrimp were fed with *Bacillus* S11 for 100 days, improved growth and higher resistance was seen after

challenging with *V. harveyi* (Rengpipat, Tunyanun, Fast, Piyatiratitivorakul, & Menasveta, 2003). In order to monitoring *Bacillus* S11 as probiotics in giant tiger prawn, Rengpipat, Wongtangprasert, and Palaga (2009) used green fluorescent protein, and revealed the bacterium in the intestine after 9 weeks of feeding.

Dalmin, Kathiresan, and Purushothaman (2001) demonstrated that the application of an indigenous *Bacillus* spp. in the rearing water of giant tiger prawn was able to maintain optimum transparency and low organic carbon of the pond, as well as a decrease in *Vibrio* counts in the water column. Matias, Yusoff, Shariff, and Azhari (2002) compared the efficacy of two commercial probiotic products on the water quality of commercial giant tiger prawn grow-out ponds, and relatively a lower concentration in total ammonia N, nitrate, COD and biological oxygen demand was seen in the early culture phase of ponds treated with a mixture of *Bacillus* sp. and *Saccharomyces* sp. than in ponds treated with a mixture of *Bacillus* sp., *Nitrosomonas* sp. and *Nitrobacter* sp. Additionally, no significant difference was recorded in values of salinity, ammonia, hydrogen sulphide or pH, while dissolved oxygen and transparency values were slightly increased.

Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Pacific white shrimp is one of the most important species used in aquaculture, and some studies have addressed the use of probiotic *Bacillus* sp. in Pacific white shrimp aquaculture. Feeding Pacific white shrimp with dietary *Bacillus* decreased the total viable counts of bacteria and the *Vibrio* count in the shrimp intestine (Li, Tan, & Mai, 2009), while *Bacillus* OJ enhances the immune response (phenoloxidase, phagocytosis, etc.) and provides protection against white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in the same species (Li et al., 2009; Tseng et al., 2009).

Chai, Boonthai and Vuthiphandchai (2016) investigated the effects of *Bacillus* bacteria isolated from the intestine of a healthy, wild shrimps on the growth of Pacific white shrimp and showed that probiotics reduced shrimp culture risks from stressful conditions and improved growth total weight, specific growth rate, FCR, digestion and nutrient absorption.

Chai, Song, Chen, Xu, and Huang (2016) carried out a 30-day feeding trial and a subsequent 20-day anti-virus infection trial to evaluate the effects of *Bacillus* PC465, at inclusion level of 10^7 , and 10^9 CFU g⁻¹, on growth, health status, and disease resistance of Pacific white shrimp. Probiotic administration significantly increased the weight gain and survival of the shrimp, but the effect of 10^9 CFU g⁻¹ on the growth rate was higher than that of 10^7 CFU g⁻¹. Compared to the control group, activities of amylase and protease in the shrimp midgut significantly enhanced by probiotic administration. Histological evaluations by scanning electron microscopy revealed improvements by probiotic treatment. The probiotic feeding significantly enhanced the transcription of penaeidin 3a, peroxinectin, C-type lectin 3, and thioredoxin in the shrimp hemocytes. DGGE analysis of the mid-gut microbiota was modulated by probiotic treatment-fed group than in the control group, and not surprisingly the proportion of *Bacillus* in the probiotic treatment increased. Moreover, probiotic administration provided protection against viral infection. Bachruddin, Sholichah, Istiqomah, and Supriyanto (2018) reported that addition of probiotics, including *Bacillus* spp., into the culture water of Pacific white shrimp significantly improved weight gain, total length and feed conversion rate of shrimp species.

Giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*). Growth and survival of giant freshwater prawn was enhanced when prawns were fed *Bacillus* sp., isolated from the same animal species; either orally or as an immersion route (Rahiman et al., 2010). In addition, an improvement in some immune variables; total hemocyte count, phenoloxidase and respiratory burst activities of giant freshwater prawn, suggesting probiotic species-specific effect in this prawn species.

Kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*). Dong et al. (2014) evaluated the role of *Bacillus* as protective agent and immunomodulator in kuruma shrimp juveniles against temperature stress. The study revealed that *Bacillus* improved growth, minimized damage caused by free

radicals generated from insufficient oxygen metabolism and enhanced the immune response of kuruma shrimp during the high temperature farming period.

Chinese white shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*). Chai et al. (2016) showed that a *Bacillus* probiotic strain PC465, isolated from the gut of *Chinese white shrimp*, enhanced immune parameters including ProPO, peroxinectin, penaeidin, thioredoxin, lectins, haemocyanin and crustin, and provided protection against white spot syndrome virus infection in Pacific white shrimp.

Mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*). In mud crab, dietary administration of *Bacillus* spp. isolated from the crab's intestine significantly enhanced survival rate, respiratory burst activity, immune related genes expression, and resistance to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Wu et al., 2014).

Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). A significant decrease in hemocytes mortality and increase resistance toward *V. harveyi* were observed in Pacific oyster fed a mixture of three *Bacillus* sp. isolated from Tunisian hypersaline (Fdhila et al., 2017).

5.2. *Bacillus aquimaris*

Ngo et al. (2016) selected eight pigmented *Bacillus* strains from Pacific white shrimp based on the production of heat-stable spores, carotenoids and their free-radical scavenging activity. One of these strains, *Bacillus aquimaris* SH6 a red-orange pigmented strain displayed the highest abundance in the gut of Pacific white shrimp, and by feeding Pacific white shrimp a diet containing SH6 spores at $> 3 \times 10^6$ CFU g⁻¹ for 4 weeks improved health benefits, coloration, growth and immunity were noticed. Compared with dietary astaxanthin administration, dietary SH6 spores are more beneficial for shrimp weight gain and immunity. Furthermore, as a 300-fold higher concentration of SH6 spores than conventional probiotic concentrations was not toxic to the shrimp, it was concluded that SH6 spores can be a potential supplement as a carotenoid-producing probiotic strain for Pacific white shrimp.

5.3. *Bacillus aryabhatai*

Tepaamorndech et al. (2019) demonstrated that the supplementation of *B. aryabhatai* to Pacific white shrimp reduced the abundance of *Vibrio* populations and modulated the bacterial community in the shrimp GI tract. Further, an enhancement in the innate immunity, antioxidant activity and disease resistance to *V. harveyi* was noticed, suggesting that *B. aryabhatai* isolated from shrimp environment (sediment) as a useful probiotic in shrimp aquaculture.

5.4. *Bacillus cereus*

To my knowledge, few studies have used *B. cereus* as probiotic in shrimp aquaculture. Bath administration of *B. cereus* biovar *toyoii* (10^5 CFU mL⁻¹) added daily, significantly decreased survival of giant tiger prawn in a larval-culture system (Guo et al., 2009). Yang et al. (2015) isolated 27 protease-producing bacterial strains from intestine of marine-growth Pacific white shrimp, among which a high-yield protease-producing strain, *B. cereus* was identified.

A number of studies have shown that species of *Bacillus* isolated from similar environment where they will be applied, can boost the cellular and humoral components of innate immunity in shrimp species. For example, *B. cereus* enhanced various immunological variables including phenoloxidase, lysozyme, respiratory burst, bactericidal activity in giant tiger prawn (Chandran et al., 2014). Furthermore, enhancement of immune status was confirmed by higher survival rates in treated shrimp after being challenged with *V. harveyi*. Later, Dou et al. (2016) isolated a *B. cereus* strain from Pacific white shrimp able to produce extracellular protease, amylase and lipase, and protected Pacific white shrimp against *Vibrio anguillarum* infection. In a recent study, Vidal, da Cruz Pessôa, dos Santos, Mendes, and Mendes (2018) revealed

that a *B. cereus* originally isolated from the intestine of juvenile Pacific white shrimp, and displayed that probiotic feeding significantly improved weight of Pacific white shrimp, but resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus* was not significantly improved. As the shrimp were only fed the probiotic bacteria for 7 days prior to bath challenge of the pathogen, one can speculate that the probiotic feeding time was too short, and this suggestion has to be confirmed in further studies.

5.5. *Bacillus coagulans*

Firstly, *B. coagulans* can promote intestinal digestion, as *B. coagulans* strains produce various enzymes that facilitate excretion and digestion. Secondly, *B. coagulans* can regulate host symbiotic microbiota and inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria. Lastly, due to its ability to normalize both the quantitative parameters of the immune system and immune cells' functional activity, *B. coagulans* can significantly benefit the host immune system. Due to the evidence supporting various probiotic effects of *B. coagulans*, many different strains of *B. coagulans* have been used in the management and alleviation of several human diseases. Therefore, direct supplementation or prebiotic modulation of *B. coagulans* may be an attractive preventive and/or therapeutic avenue for human diseases.

Several beneficial effects of *B. coagulans* have been reported, and due to its excellent stability, *B. coagulans* has been widely used in medicine, food and chemical industry. Recent studies have shown that *B. coagulans* has therapeutic effects on intestinal diseases, such as acute diarrhea, irritable bowel syndrome, antibiotic-related diarrhea, constipation and colitis via modulation of the microbiota composition, host immunity and metabolism (Mu & Cong, 2019). *B. coagulans* are known to improve digestive health by posing antagonistic effects on pathogens (Wang, Fu, & Lin, 2012). Additionally, toxicological experiments and a large number of clinical observations have showed that *B. coagulans* is safe, and has no effect of mutagenicity, teratogenicity or genotoxicity. *B. coagulans* at inclusion level of 10^7 CFU g⁻¹ feed was administrated to Pacific white shrimp for 50 days (Wang et al., 2012). There appeared significant ($P < 0.005$) enhancement of final weight, daily weight gain, survival rate, and protease-, amylase- and lipase activities by probiotic feeding. However, no significant difference was noticed in muscle composition of moisture, crude protein and ash.

In the study of Zhou, Wang, and Li (2009), the effect of *B. coagulans* SC8168, as water additive was evaluated in larval Pacific white shrimp, and the results revealed that supplementation significantly increase survival rate and digestive enzyme activities (protease, amylase and lipase). In a recent study by Amoah et al. (2019), Pacific white shrimp were fed four different inclusion levels, 0, 10^6 , 10^7 and 10^8 CFU g⁻¹ of *B. coagulans* for 56 days. The results revealed that dietary supplementation modulate the gut microbiota as the abundance of opportunistic pathogenic bacteria such as *Vibrio*, *Tenacibaculum* and *Photobacterium* significantly decreased with increasing probiotic inclusion. Furthermore, probiotic supplementation significantly improved growth performance as well as immune- and antioxidant response [triglyceride, lysozyme, acid phosphatase, glutathione peroxidase, and malondialdehyde], digestive enzyme activities (lipase, amylase and trypsin), and intestinal morphology. In addition, a challenge test revealed enhanced resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus* at inclusion level of 10^8 CFU g⁻¹, and based on their results the authors concluded that *B. coagulans* at supplementation level of 10^8 CFU g⁻¹, is a promising probiotic in Pacific white shrimp culture.

5.6. *Bacillus flexus*

Cai et al. (2019) conducted a 30-day feeding trial and a subsequent challenge test using *V. harveyi* to determine the effects of *B. flexus*, isolated from pond water/sediment, and revealed enhanced growth performance, immune related enzymes (alkaline phosphatase, peroxidase and lysozyme), digestive enzymes (protease and lipase), stress

tolerance and disease resistance.

5.7. *Bacillus fusiformis*

Bath administration of *B. fusiformis* (10^5 CFU mL⁻¹) added either daily or every 2nd day, increased survival in the larval-culture system of Pacific white shrimp (Guo et al., 2009).

5.8. *Bacillus licheniformis*

B. licheniformis is another efficient host-associated probiotic in aquaculture. A strain of *B. licheniformis* with the ability to secrete extracellular macromolecule-digesting enzymes, isolated from the surface sediment of shrimp pond was added to shrimp tanks at concentrations of 10^3 , 10^4 and 10^5 CFU mL⁻¹ for 40 days (Li et al., 2007b).

Dietary *B. licheniformis* supplementation has revealed to improve resistance towards *V. harveyi* in Pacific white shrimp by inhibiting the growth of intestinal *Vibrio*, and increasing the expression of genes related to disease resistance (Zhang et al., 2016). Similar results were revealed by Cai et al. (2019) conducted a 30-day feeding trial and a subsequent challenge test using *V. harveyi* to determine the effects of *B. licheniformis flexus*, and revealed enhanced growth performance, immune related enzymes (alkaline phosphatase and lysozyme), digestive enzymes (protease and lipase), stress tolerance and disease resistance towards *V. harveyi*.

5.9. *Bacillus pumilus*

Bacillus pumilus is a host-associated probiotic of recently interest in aquaculture. Use of *B. pumilus* as a known nitrogen removal bacterium together with periphytic algae in a biofloc system; reduced total ammonia and nitrite as demonstrated elsewhere (Banerjee, Khatoon, Shariff, & Yusoff, 2010), where *B. pumilus* was added to the rearing water of giant tiger prawn. Furthermore, no significant change were revealed in pH, salinity, dissolved oxygen and temperature. *In vitro* bioremediation assessment of three indigenous *Bacillus* species; *B. pumilus*, *B. licheniformis* and *B. subtilis*, isolated from marine water and soil samples showed that these bacteria were able to reduce total ammonia nitrogen, in tank water of giant tiger prawn (Devaraja, Banerjee, Sariff, & Khatoon, 2013), and thus recommended *Bacillus* as a bioremediator for giant tiger prawn culture systems. *In situ* bioremediation has also been widely applied in aquaculture through bio-augmentation using indigenous or exogenous probiotics, which ameliorate water quality (Wang, Xu, & Xia, 2005). Based on their results by inclusion of *B. subtilis* at three doses, 10^6 , 10^7 and 10^8 CFU g⁻¹, Zhao et al. (2019) revealed that administration of *B. pumilus* at dose of 10^8 CFU kg⁻¹ improved growth, immunity and digestive enzyme activities of giant freshwater shrimps.

5.10. *Bacillus stratosphericus*

Dietary administration of *B. stratosphericus* to abalone (*Haliotis discors*) for 180 days and challenged with *V. harveyi* (Zhao, Ling, Zhang, Ke, & Hong, 2018), revealed improved nutrient and health status, but no significant disease resistance was noticed towards *V. harveyi*.

5.11. *Bacillus subtilis*

B. subtilis is one of the most studied species within genus *Bacillus*. It has been also shown that *Bacillus* feeding increased the survival of shrimp species against bacterial and viral pathogens through immune modification. For example, bath treatment of giant tiger prawn with cell-free extracts of *B. subtilis* BT23 significantly reduced mortality level after shrimp being challenged with *V. harveyi* (Vaseeharan & Ramasamy, 2003), while Keysami, Saad, Sijam, Daud, and Alimon

(2007) studied by using *B. subtilis*, on larval growth and development rate of fresh water river prawn in Malaysian hatchery. *B. subtilis* E20, isolated from fermented soybeans, has been reported to improve the resistance of Pacific white shrimp exposed to *Vibrio alginolyticus* through stimulation of immune responses variables such as lysozyme and prophenoloxidase I and II, survival and stress tolerance to water temperature, salinity and nitrite-N in Pacific white shrimp (Liu, Banerjee, Sariff, & Khatoon, 2010). Liu, Chiu, Shiu, Cheng, and Liu (2010) supplemented Pacific white shrimp diets with two doses (10^8 CFU and 10^9 CFU L $^{-1}$) of different *B. subtilis* E20 for 14 days, and at the end of feeding trial shrimp were exposed to stress test; suddenly exposed to fresh water and 60% salt water. The results recorded that postlarvae had significantly lower cumulative mortality in the probiotic treatments. Analysis of immune-related gene expressions showed that the expression of prophenoloxidase I, prophenoloxidase II, and lysozyme of larvae significantly increased after being reared in probiotic-containing water. However, no significant difference in serine proteinase or glutathione peroxidase gene expressions was revealed. Based on their results, the authors suggested that 10^9 CFU L $^{-1}$ of *B. subtilis* E20 should be added to the rearing water for shrimp larva breeding.

In a study by Olmos et al. (2011), improved growth performance and better stress tolerance to ammonia oxygen deficiency was revealed in juvenile Pacific white shrimp orally fed with *B. subtilis* compared to both control shrimps and those fed with *Bacillus megaterium*, suggesting that probiotic species selection is an important factor.

Zokaeifar et al. (2012; 2014) demonstrated that administration of *B. subtilis* at two different doses, 10^5 and 10^8 CFU g $^{-1}$ fed to Pacific white shrimp increased the activity of protease and amylase digestive enzyme and subsequently improved the growth (final weight and weight gain) of shrimp juveniles. However, food conversion ratio (FCR) was unaffected by probiotic feeding. Expression of immune-related genes was significantly up-regulated. After eight weeks of probiotic feeding was shrimp challenged with *V. harveyi*, and the results revealed significant protection. Administration of *B. subtilis* fed at 5×10^9 CFU, 5×10^{10} CFU and 5×10^{11} CFU kg $^{-1}$ to Pacific white shrimp for 8 weeks and challenged with *V. harveyi* (Liu et al., 2014). There was significant enhancement of phagocytic rate, lysozyme, superoxide dismutase phenoloxidase and antibacterial activity and disease resistance against *V. harveyi*, while body crude lipid decreased significantly. Application of an indigenous probiotic *B. subtilis* once a week into a Pacific white shrimp culture enhanced water quality by decreasing pH, nitrite, water transparency and soluble reactive phosphorus, and increasing COD and Chlorophyll a (Wu et al., 2016). Probiotic administration also affected the bacterial community of culture water, with greater impact in the early and middle phases, than in the late phase of shrimp culture. The greater impact early in culture can probably be attributed to faster initial colonization and multiplication of the probiotic through peptone addition in the water column. Recently, Interaminense et al. (2018) reported that *B. subtilis* administration enhanced Pacific white shrimp growth and reduced *Vibrio* counts in hepatopancreas. However, *Vibrio* counts in intestine and faeces increased during probiotic administration. This study also investigated the effect of probiotic feeding of *Shewanella algae*, and clearly revealed difference by the probiotic administrations.

5.12. *Bacillus thuringiensis*

Dou et al. (2016) isolated a *B. thuringiensis* strain from Pacific white shrimp able to produce extracellular protease, amylase and lipase, and protected Pacific white shrimp against *Vibrio anguillarum* infection.

5.13. *Bacillus vireti*

Hindu, Chandrasekaran, Mukherjee, and Thomas (2018) conducted a 2 week feeding trial of freshwater prawn and a subsequent 15 day infection trial to determine the effects of *B. vireti* on antioxidant defense

enzymes activities and disease resistance against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The results revealed considerably higher antioxidant defense enzymes activities, superoxide dismutase, catalase and GSH in muscle, hepatopancreas and gills of prawns infected by the pathogen by probiotic administration, leading to improved resistance against *P. aeruginosa*.

5.14. Mixture of *Bacillus*

Use of a commercial probiotic product, Sanolife MIC, mixture of some bacilli strains; *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis*, administrated in hatcheries of giant tiger prawn and Pacific white shrimp, improved water quality and reduced the density of *Vibrio* bacteria in the water column (Decamp, Moriarty, & Lavens, 2008). Other species within genus *Bacillus*; *Bacillus OJ*, *Bacillus amyloliquifaciens*, and *Bacillus aerophilus*, isolated from GI tracts of Pacific white shrimp, yellow fin bream (*Acanthopagrus latus*), and rohu significantly enhanced growth performance, immune response, and disease resistance of Pacific white shrimp (Li et al., 2009).

Rahiman et al. (2010) reported lower values of ammonia, nitrite and pH in giant fresh water prawn culture ponds treated with *Bacillus* sp. during 60 days cultivation, while no change was observed in dissolved oxygen compared to control ponds. Similarly, Lara-Anguiano et al. (2013) demonstrated that the use of molasses as a fertilizer increased *Bacillus* spp. density in the water column of Pacific white shrimp. Nimrat, Suksawat, Boonthai, and Vuthiphandchai (2012) revealed lower levels of pH, ammonia and nitrite in treated Pacific white shrimp ponds vs. controls, when two mixtures of *Bacillus* species (first mixture - *B. thuringiensis*, *B. megaterium*, *B. polymyxa*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. subtilis*, and second mixture - *B. subtilis*, *B. polymyxa*, *B. megaterium*, *B. circulans*, *B. pumilus*) were used in the form of microencapsulated probiotics. Zokaeifar et al. (2014) reported that application of a *Bacillus* spp. mixture into the culture water of Pacific white shrimp revealed significant improvement in water quality parameters (reduction in ammonia, nitrite and nitrate ions) and increased growth performance, digestive enzyme activity, immune response and disease resistance against *V. harveyi*. In other studies, administration of *Bacillus* spp. (e.g. *B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis*) to Pacific white shrimp culture water, enhanced the activity of immune parameters including prophenoloxidase (ProPO), peroxinectin, lipopolysaccharide and β -1,3-glucan-binding protein, lysozyme and serine protein (Madani, Adorian, Ghafari Farsani, & Hoseinifar, 2018; Zokaeifar et al., 2014, 2012). Moreover, the probiotic bacteria also enhanced disease resistance in Pacific white shrimp juveniles against pathogenic *V. harveyi* (Zokaeifar et al., 2012, 2014). Recently, Cai et al. (2019) revealed enhanced weight gain and SGR of Pacific white shrimp and improved water quality, stimulated innate immune enzyme activities, digestive enzyme activities, stress tolerance and disease resistance to *V. harveyi* in shrimp after feeding *B. licheniformis* and *B. flexus* either in single or in a combined form for 21 days at 28 °C.

In most probiotic shellfish studies, evaluations have concentrated on the effect to promote the growth of cultures organisms, enhancement of the immune system and on control and outcompete the pathogens. However, less information is available on the probiotic effect on the gut microbiota. This is of importance to evaluate, as the gut microbiota provide multitude biological functions including growth, metabolisms, development and immunity. Vargas-Albores et al. (2017) evaluated the probiotic administration of *Bacillus* and Gamma-proteobacteria on the gut microbiota of Pacific white shellfish. Alpha diversity values (Shannon-index) were higher, 2.03 for shrimp reared in the probiotic-based system, compared to 1.64 for shrimp held in the traditional system. The taxonomic profile of the shrimp microbiome revealed that some bacteria were common, but probiotic administration had a significant effect on the bacterial profile, as bacteria belonging to Proteobacteria (*Methylomonas methanica*, *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, and *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis*), Firmicutes (*B. subtilis* and *Geobacillus*

thermoleovorans), *Bacteroides (Sphingobacterium ingobacterium)*, *Deinococcus* - *Thermus (Oceanithermus profundus)* and *Tenericutes (Mycoplasma synoviae)* were detected in shrimp cultured with the probiotic mixture.

5.15. *Bacillus* and poly- β -hydroxybutyrate (PHB)

In addition to the beneficial role of probiotics on shrimp growth, there are also reports suggesting that application of poly- β -hydroxybutyrate (PHB), a bacterial storage compound deposited intracellular in cytoplasm a cellular energy and carbon reserve by various bacterial species, have been reported as a biocontrol agent for crustacean culture and revealed promising control of vibriosis (Borah, Thakur, & Nigam, 2002; Defoirdt, Boon, N., Sorgeloos, Verstraete, & Bossier, 2007; Jiang et al., 2008; Sui, Cai, Sun, Wille, & Bossier, 2012). Two previous studies, displayed that different *Bacillus* species from different sources accumulated high amount of under optimized conditions (Kaynar & Beyatli, 2009; Singh, Patel, & Kalia, 2009). Defoirdt, Boon, Sorgeloos, Verstraete, and Bossier (2007) displayed *B. thuringiensis* carry PHB, stimulated both the specific and non-specific immune mechanism in aquatic animals. Laranja et al. (2014) highlighted the beneficial role of PHB-accumulating *Bacillus* spp. on immunity and survival of shrimp species against pathogenic microorganisms. In a later study by the same research group, Laranja et al. (2017) reported that *Artemia* larvae enriched with *Bacillus* sp. JL47 with PHB increased the relative expression of innate immune genes for ProPO, transglutaminase (Tgase) and heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) after challenge with *V. campbellii*.

Information about the use of other probiotics, *Aeromonas*, *Alteromonas*, *Arthrobacter*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Clostridium*, *Paenibacillus*, *Phaeobacter*, *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodosporidium*, *Roseobacter*, *Streptomyces*, *Vibrio* and other potential probiotics used in shellfish aquaculture are presented in Table 3.

6. Aeromonas

Genus *Aeromonas* is Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic, rod-shaped bacteria, and are mainly associated with diseases. In shellfish aquaculture less information is available on *Aeromonas* as probiotics. However, in an early study, Gibson, Woodworth, and George (1998) tested the probiotic ability of *Aeromonas media* strain A199 revealing antagonistic activity against several pathogens at 10^4 CFU mL⁻¹ for 5 days on Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) challenged with *Vibrio tubashii*, and revealed a significant effect on survival after 5 days.

7. Alteromonas

Genus *Alteromonas* belongs to family Alteromonadaceae is commonly isolated from sea water, either in the open ocean or in the coast. They are Gram-negative and are curved rods with a single polar flagellum. Some information is available on *Alteromonas* as probiotics in shellfish aquaculture. Douillet and Langdon (1994) revealed that strain CA2, probably an *Alteromonas*, enhanced survival and growth of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) when administered in water. In two studies testing potential probiotics, Kesarcodi, Miner, Nicolas and Robert (2010) revealed that *Alteromonas macleodii* 0444 controlled of *Vibrio splendidus* infection in Greenshell mussel (*Perna canaliculus*). Later, Kesarcodi, Kaspar, Lategan and Gibson (2012) displayed that strain 0444 also protected scallop (*Pecten maximus*) and flat oyster (*Ostrea edulis*) larvae against *V. coralliilyticus*, *V. splendidus* and *V. pectenicida* infections.

8. Arthrobacter

Arthrobacter, a genus of Gram-positive bacteria, have no spores and capsule, utilize a wide and diverse range of organic substances and displayed ability to produce antimicrobial compounds. Li et al. (2006)

revealed that *Arthrobacter XE-7* isolated from the culture water of Chinese white shrimp (*Penaeus chinensis*) and supplemented at a dose of 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹ to *P. chinensis* in a 14 days study, and reported that the bacterium is non-pathogenic to the shrimp larvae, and increased total numbers of culturable bacteria in water; mostly related to the probiotic supplementation. In addition, NH₃-N and NO₃-N in the culture water was reduced. With regard to survival, administration did not affect survival. A 63-d feeding experiment determined the effects of dietary administration of *Arthrobacter XE-7* on immune responses and resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus* in the Pacific white shrimp (Li et al., 2008). Probiotic supplementation increased total hemocyte counts, percentage phagocytosis, respiratory burst activity, and serum phenoloxidase activity. With increasing dietary supplementation of *Arthrobacter XE-7*, shrimp mortality decreased in the challenge study. *Vibrio* counts in intestine of shrimp fed the probiotic bacterium was generally lower than that in the control shrimp. Xia, Zhu, and Zhang (2014) conducted a 25-day feeding trial using *Arthrobacter* sp. CW9 isolated from guts of Pacific white shrimp, and revealed significantly higher survival rates, mean shrimp weights, phenoloxidase activity, phagocytic activity and clearance efficiency of Pacific white shrimp compared to control shrimp. Xue, Liang, He, and Wen (2016) reported significant improved survival of Pacific white shrimp administrated with *Arthrobacter enciensis* isolated from the water column of Pacific white shrimp at a dose of 10^9 CFU g⁻¹ in a 4 day study. In order to fully to conclude the probiotic effect of *A. enciensis*; the study should lasted more than 4 days, and should evaluated immunostimulatory properties and challenge study.

9. Bifidobacterium

Bifidobacterium, called the “good bacteria”, is the first bacteria to colonize the intestinal tract in infants as they pass through the birth canal. A number of health benefits have been claimed for probiotic bacteria such as *Bifidobacterium* spp. because of the potential health benefits. Bifidobacteria are not as acid tolerant as *Lb. acidophilus*; the growth of the latter organisms ceases below 4.0, while the growth of the *Bifidobacterium* spp. is retarded below pH 5.0 (Shah, 1997). However, these organisms are less incorporated into diets or rearing water in finfish and shellfish aquaculture. In a 95 days study, peptidoglycan (PG) derived from *Bifidobacterium thermophilum* enhanced the phagocytic activity of granulocytes and increased resistance of *P. japonicus* when challenging to *Vibrio penaeicida* (Itami et al., 1998), but PG administration had no effect on body weight.

10. Clostridium butyricum

C. butyricum commonly reported in soil and intestines of healthy animals and humans is a butyric-acid producer and Gram-positive bacterium, and some strains have been used as probiotics to enhance growth and immune response in animals, humans as well as finfish (e.g. Han et al., 1984; Kuroiwa, Kobari, & Iwanaga, 1990; Nayak, 2010; Pan et al., 2008). In shellfish aquaculture some information exists on the use of *C. butyricum* as probiotics. Duan et al. (2017) conducted a 56-day feeding trial to determine the effects of *C. butyricum* on growth performance, health status, and resistance to ammonia stress in Pacific white shrimp, revealed increased growth performance, intestinal amylase and protease activity in the *C. butyricum* groups, while lipase activity was only affected in two groups. Total antioxidant capacity content, lysozyme activity, and the relative expression level of Toll and immune deficiency gene increased in probiotic groups. After exposition of ammonia stress, intestine immune biochemical parameters and genes (HSP70, Toll and Imd) expression level increased by *C. butyricum* feeding. Furthermore, probiotic administration increased the intestine epithelium height. Li, Tian, and Dong (2019a) fed *C. butyricum*, inclusion levels of 10^7 – 10^{12} CFU kg⁻¹, to Pacific white shrimp for 42 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*. There was significant

enhancement of specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, intestinal villi height and intestinal wall thickness. Activities of alkaline phosphatase, acid phosphatase, lysozyme and total nitric oxide synthase in serum. However, probiotic administration did not affect superoxide dismutase activity. Respiratory burst activity of haemolymph was significantly higher at administration of 10^8 – 10^{12} CFU kg⁻¹. Moreover, inclusion of 10^{11} and 10^{12} CFU kg⁻¹ revealed significant higher survival when Pacific white shrimp were challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*. Li, Tian, Zhao, Jiang, and Dong (2019b) revealed that *C. butyricum* increased growth performance, improved intestinal histology in mid intestine, immune gene expression, and disease resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*.

11. Neptunomonas

Genus *Neptunomonas* belong to family Oceanospirillaceae (Garrity, Bell, & Lilburn, 2005) within *Gammaproteobacteria*, and are Gram-negative, facultative aerobic, oxidase and catalase positive, and they can use several carbohydrates, sugar alcohols, organic acids, and some PAH as sole carbon and energy sources. Kesarcodi-Watson, Miner, Nicolas and Robert (2010) demonstrated that *Neptunomonas* 0536 was capable to control infection caused by *V. splendidus* in Greenshell mussel (*P. canaliculus*).

12. Paenibacillus

Previously, *Paenibacillus* species were included in genus *Bacillus* due to their common morphological and physiological characteristics with the type species *B. subtilis*. However, in 1993, *Paenibacillus* was re-assigned as a new genus based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Genus *Paenibacillus* has been isolated from humans, animals, and plants, but their use as probiotics in shellfish aquaculture is less investigated. Ravi, Mustafa, Jegathambal, Kathiresan, and Pandian (2007) isolated *Paenibacillus* from marine sediment and reported antagonistic activities against *Vibrio* strains. Based on their results, the author used the *Paenibacillus* strain in a challenge study, and revealed improved disease resistance of giant tiger prawn against *V. harveyi*. This results was surprising as giant tiger prawn was only treated for probiotic administration for one day prior to challenge.

13. Phaeobacter

Phaeobacter belongs to the *Roseobacter* clade of α -Proteobacteria. *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis* improve the disease resistance of scallop larvae against *V. coralliilyticus* and *V. splendidus*, and also protected flat oyster larvae against *V. coralliilyticus* and *V. pectenicida*, and Pacific oyster larvae against *V. coralliilyticus*, but no protection was noticed against *V. pectenicida* (Kesarcodi-Watson, Kaspar, Lategan, & Gibson, 2012). *P. daeponensis* is seldom used as probiotic in shellfish aquaculture, but recently Zhao et al. (2018) conducted a 180-day feeding trial to determine the effects of *P. daeponensis* on growth and health status of abalone, and disease resistance against *V. harveyi*. The results revealed significant enhancement in shell length, wet weight, glutathione peroxidase-, catalase, alkaline phosphatase-, acid phosphatase- and superoxide dismutase activities, and disease resistance against *V. harveyi* and modulation of the gut microbiota.

14. Pseudoalteromonas

Genus *Pseudoalteromonas*, Gram-negative bacteria, previously members of genus *Alteromonas* is well-known to produce a wide variety of biologically active secondary metabolites (Bowman, 2007). In an early study, Longeon et al. (2004) isolated a *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. X153 with high similarity to *Pseudoalteromonas piscicida* from marine environment and displayed that the crude culture was highly active against human pathogens as well as *Vibrio* strains. The probiotic strain

was further tested in a challenge experiment with scallop (*Pecten maximus*), and the results revealed improved disease resistance against *Vibrio* sp. *Pseudoalteromonas* CDM8 and CDA22 isolated from hindgut of Pacific white shrimp was fed to Pacific white shrimp for 28 days and challenged with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Wang et al., 2018), and significant enhancement of disease resistance was noticed. Moreover, viable *Vibrio* counts in hindgut was revealed. Sorieul et al. (2018) revealed that *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. NC201 isolated from marine environment, enhanced survival by hypersaline stress of Pacific shrimp, and disease resistance against *V. nigripulchritudo*, but the relative gene expression of lysozyme and penaeidin evaluated 24 h post infection was lower in probiotic fed shrimp.

15. Pseudomonas

Genus *Pseudomonas* is Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the family Pseudomonadaceae and containing nearly 200 species. The genus has a great metabolic diversity, inhibiting a wide range of pathogenic bacteria (Ninawe & Selvin, 2009), and are able to colonize a wide range of niches, including the GI tract of finfish and shellfish. Alvandi et al. (2004) isolated some Gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas* sp. PM11 and *Vibrio fluvialis* PM17) from the gut of farm-reared shrimp, giant tiger prawn, and tested for their effect on the immunity indicators of black tiger shrimp. However, the results did not indicate desirable effect of improvement of the immune system in the shrimp. Administration of *Pseudomonas aestuaria* isolated from intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp was fed to Pacific white shrimp for 28 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* (Balcázar, Rojas-Luna, & Cunningham, 2007), and revealed significant enhancement of final weight, FCR and disease resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*. The application of *Pseudomonas* probiotics (*P. synxantha* and *P. aeruginosa*) improved FCR of Western king prawn (*Penaeus latisulcatus*), but no difference was revealed in SGR and survival (van Hai, Buller, & Fotedar, 2009b), but administration of probiotics, enhanced the number of probiotics in intestine of prawn and lower the bacteria numbers in haemolymph.

16. Rhodosporidium

Genus *Rhodosporidium*, yeast cells are globose, ovoid, or elongate and budding is multilateral or polar. The genus form visible carotenoid pigments and the cultures are pink to orange in color. In sexual reproduction it is seen that some species are heterothallic, whereas others are self-fertile (Sampaio, 2011, pp. 1523–1539). According to Scholz et al. (1999), *Rhodosporidium* a carotenoid-rich red yeast, has successfully been used as dietary supplement in aquaculture as it reduces oxidative stress in aquatic animals. A strain of *R. paludigenum* obtained from the coastal water at Zhanjiang, China has been included in the diet of Pacific white shrimp due to its high carotenoid content and because the strain exhibits safe and nontoxic growth in the intestinal mucus (Yang, Wu, Jian, & Zhang, 2010). Dietary administration of either live (10^8 CFU/g diet) or dry *R. paludigenum* (1 g/100 g diet) for 42 days to the shrimp significantly increased growth, serum and total antioxidant competence in muscle, and hepatopancreatic superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase activities and decreased the malondialdehyde content in muscle. Dietary dry *R. paludigenum* significantly increased hepatopancreatic protease and lipase activity in shrimp, in contrast to live *R. paludigenum* which only increased protease activity. Both live and dry *R. paludigenum* administration to the diet of shrimp decreased the total *Vibrio* count in the intestine.

17. Roseobacter

Roseobacters, first described in 1991 with the discovery of *Resobacter litoralis* and *Roseobacter denitrificans*, and both were pink-pigmented bacterial chlorophyll strains isolated from marine algae. *Roseobacter* are thought to promote algal growth by biosynthesizing and

secreting antibiotics and growth stimulants (auxins). Ruiz-Ponte, Samain, Sanchez, and Nicolas (1999) isolated a *Roseobacter* (BS 107) from marine environment and revealed that the bacterium in co-culture with *V. anguillarum*, was inhibitory to *Vibrio*, with cell extracts of BS107 enhancing the survival of larval scallop (*Pecten maximus*). Balcázar et al. (2007) revealed significant improvement of survival, final weight, FCR and disease resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus* in study where *Roseobacter gallaeciensis* isolated from intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp was fed to Pacific white shrimp for 28 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*.

18. Streptomyces

Genus *Streptomyces* (phylum: *Actinobacteria*) are Gram-positive, high G + C (70%) genome content, soil-living bacteria with characterized branching filamentous morphology, and is an excellent antibiotic producer (Tan, Chan, Lee, & Goh, 2016; Tarazona, León, Galindo, Vallejo, & Marguet, 2018), and produce extract inhibits biofilm formation (Balasubramanian et al., 2017). The probiotic effects by *Streptomyces* through different mechanism of actions are; antagonistic compound production, anti-biofilm and anti-quorum sensing activity, anti-virulence activity, anti-viral activity, exoenzymes secretion, low pH tolerance and intestinal enzymes resistance etc. (Tan et al., 2016). The potential of *Streptomyces* as probiotics in aquaculture has recently been reviewed by Tan et al. (2016), but is to a lesser extent used in shellfish aquaculture (Das, Lyla, & Khan, 2006, 2010; Aftabuddin, Kashem, Kader, Sikder, & Hakim, 2013; Augustine, Jacob, & Philip, 2016; García Bernal et al., 2018; García Bernal, Medina Marrero, Campa-Córdova, & Mazón-Suásteegui, 2017; Liu et al., 2014).

In an early study, *Streptomyces* was used as a probiotic in a laboratory culture of giant tiger prawn, and the results revealed better water quality parameters, increased length and weight in terms of growth vs. control fed shrimp (Das, Lyla, & Khan, 2006). Later, the same research group (Das, Ward, & Burke, 2010) displayed that feed supplemented with *Streptomyces* strain CLS-39 increased weight of giant tiger prawn, and the authors suggested that *Streptomyces* secreted hydrolytic exoenzymes which improved the amylolytic and proteolytic activity in the shrimp GI tract for more efficient use of the feed. The study further evaluated the efficacy of *Streptomyces* to protect the shrimp to *V. harveyi* challenge. Feed supplemented with *Streptomyces* sp. for 15 days revealed protection of giant tiger prawn in a 12 h challenge with *V. harveyi* (LD50 at $10^{6.5}$ CFU mL⁻¹).

Aftabuddin et al. (2013) isolated a *Streptomyces fradiae* from mangrove sediment; used the isolate in a study with giant tiger prawn, and revealed that inoculation of *S. fradiae* to the rearing water at a concentration of 10^6 bacteria mL⁻¹ rearing water, and 10^9 bacteria g⁻¹ feed; decreased CFU and viable *Vibrio* counts per mL rearing water. Furthermore, an improved growth performance was noticed, but best effect was revealed by dietary supplementation. It is also worth to mention that similar effects were noticed when *B. megaterium* was used. These results showed the probiotic potential of *Streptomyces*, but further evaluations including challenge - and immune response studies merits investigations.

Augustine et al. (2016) reported that a marine *Streptomyces rubrolavendulae* revealed antagonistic activity towards *V. harveyi*, *V. alginolyticus*, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. fluvialis* in an *in vitro* co-culture experiment. In order to confirm the *in vitro* findings, the authors displayed in a 28 day study that biogranules *S. rubrolavendulae* M56 resulted in improved survival of giant tiger prawn post-larvae with a reduction of viable *Vibrio* sp. in the culture system.

In a study with Pacific white shrimp, García Bernal et al. (2017) studied the effect of two *Streptomyces* strains, N7 and RL8, supplemented either alone or in combination with *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus* for 30 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*. The results revealed no significant effect on growth performance by N7 and RL8 administration. The *Streptomyces* groups, displayed a significant

reduction of *Vibrio* from hepatopancreas, and the probiotic-fed groups had a higher hemocyte count. The challenge test revealed significantly higher survival rate by RL8 administration, but not by N7. Based on their results, the authors suggested that combination of *Streptomyces* and a *Bacillus* mixture, consisting of *B. tequilensis*, *B. endophyticus* C2-2 and *B. endophyticus* YC3-B2 was the most promising group by improving growth performance, immune response, modulating microbiota and enhanced resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*. The same research group, García Bernal et al. (2018) highlighted the potential of *Streptomyces* strain N7 and RL8 by growth- and histological evaluations of hepatopancreas, and protection against *V. parahaemolyticus* of Pacific white shrimp.

Even though Tan et al. (2016) discussed limitations of *Streptomyces* as probiotics in aquaculture due to the two common semivolatile terpenoid compounds, geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol, the genus seems to have a probiotic potential that merits further investigations.

19. Vibrio

Genus *Vibrio* is Gram-negative, with a curved-rod (comma) shape and several species cause fish diseases. They are typically found in salt water, and they are facultative anaerobe and oxidase positive. Vibriosis is among the most common diseases leading to massive mortality of cultured shrimp, fish, and shellfish (Frans et al., 2011; Ina-Salwany et al., 2019). Even through most Vibrios caused diseases, some studies have used Vibrios as probiotic in finfish and shellfish aquaculture. A probiotic administration of *V. alginolyticus* original isolated from intestine of adult Pacific white shrimp was fed to Pacific white shrimp for 28 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* (Balcázar et al., 2007). There was significant ($P < 0.001$) enhancement of final weight, FCR and disease resistance against *V. parahaemolyticus*.

Dietary *Vibrio* NE17 was fed to giant fresh water river prawn for 60 days (Rahiman et al., 2010). There was significant enhancement of SGR, weight gain, survival, water quality parameters, total haemocyte counts, phenoloxidase and respiratory burst.

20. Other potential probiotics

Two studies have revealed enhanced disease resistance against *V. tubiashii* and *V. corallilyticus* when *Phaeobacter* sp. S4 and *Phaeobacter inhibens* was administrated to Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) and bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*) by Karim, Zhao, Rowley, Nelson, and Gomez-Chiarri (2013) and Sohn et al. (2016), respectively. Xue et al. (2016) isolated 27 bacteria species from the water column of Pacific white shrimp and tested them by probiotic administration on survival of Pacific white shrimp. Of the tested bacteria, three strains, *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis*, *Arthrobacter encensis* and *Microbacterium aquimaris* significantly enhanced survival of the shrimp. The reason for this may be due to antagonistic activity, degradation of toxic organic compounds and production of bioactive compounds, but this hypothesis merits further investigations.

21. Combination of several potential probiotics

Information on the use of commercial probiotics in shellfish aquaculture is available (de Paiva Maia, Alves-Modesto, Otavio-Brito, Olivera, & Vasconcelos-Gesteira, 2013; Kesselring et al., 2019; Madani, Adorian, Ghafari Farsani, & Hoseinifar, 2018; Nimrat et al., 2012; Porubcan, 1991; Wang et al., 2005). Some probiotic products like Super-biotic, Super Ps, Zymetin, and Mutagen (Soundarapandian, Ramanan, & Dinakaran, 2010) were reported to play a vital role in giant tiger prawn post-larvae by maintaining good water quality parameters throughout the culture period. Boonthai et al. (2011) fed a mixture consisting of *B. subtilis*, *B. megaterium* and *B. thuringiensis*; live sprayed and freeze-dried to giant tiger prawn for 120 days. The *Bacillus* species were isolated from giant tiger prawn intestine and shrimp pond, and the

results revealed increased *Bacillus* counts in hepatopancreas and intestine by probiotic administration. However, culturable bacteria counts in hepatopancreas, intestine and cultured water were not affected. The decreased counts of *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. alginolyticus*, *V. vulnificus* and *V. cholera*, and *A. hydrophila*, *Shewanella putrefaciens* and *Photobacterium damsela*e observed in hepatopancreas and intestine by probiotic feeding, respectively, and might be due to the antagonistic effects and out competition of the pathogenic bacteria; adherence and colonization.

Nimrat et al. (2011) reported that Pacific white shrimp fed freeze-dried microencapsulated probiotics, five *Bacillus* strains (*B. thuringiensis*, *B. megaterium*, *B. polymyxa*, *B. licheniformis* and *B. subtilis*) and two yeast strains (*Debaryomyces hansenii* and *Rhodotorula*) isolated from a shrimp pond, presented significantly improved growth performance, and survival rate; as well as increasing the number of additional beneficial microbial probiotics in shrimp larvae and postlarvae as well as bacterial community in culture water.

A multiple probiotic administration of *Lb. plantarum*, *Lb. salivarius* and *Lb. rhamnosus* isolated from the gut of female *P. pelagius* was fed to *P. pelagius* for 14 days (Talpur et al., 2012). There was significant enhancement of growth and protease and amylase activities by multiple probiotics administration. Even though beneficial results were revealed, further studies on the effect on immune system and disease challenge should be evaluated.

de Paiva Maia et al. (2013) appraised the efficacy of commercial *Bacillus* spp. probiotics on bacterial population and phytoplankton concentration in intensive Pacific white shrimp culture with a recirculation system. Probiotics bacteria improved total heterotrophic bacteria count in the sediment and caused a marked increase in the concentration of Pyrrhophyta algae, and an improvement in the water and sediment quality. In closed recirculation systems, aeration is important to provide sufficient dissolved oxygen in the production system to maintain probiotic efficiency.

Nimrat et al. (2012) and Madani, Adorian, Ghafari Farsani and Hoseinifar (2018) assessed the effect of commercial *Bacillus* probiotics on growth performance, bacterial number, feed efficiency and body composition during rearing of Pacific white shrimp, and both studies revealed that administration of probiotics significantly improved length and weight gain, SGR and FCR compared to controls.

A microbial agents product MA containing Bacillaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Leuconostocaceae, Enterococaceae and Streptococcaceae and Rhodobacteraceae was fed Pacific white shrimp for 21 days (Liu et al., 2018). The results showed that MA feeding modulated the shrimp intestinal community, the relative abundance of Actinobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria and Planctomycetes. However, probiotic feeding did not improve growth and survival. Azad et al. (2019) investigated the effect of a commercial product containing (*B. mesentericus*, *C. butyricum* and *Ent. faecalis*) at 5 g kg⁻¹ to giant fresh water river prawn for 60 days and challenged with *Vibrio* spp. and *Aeromonas* spp. The results revealed significantly enhancement of total haemocyte count in the haemolymphs, resistance against *Vibrio* spp. and *Aeromonas* spp. Furthermore, probiotic administration modulate the culturable bacteria in water and intestine, by increasing the beneficial bacteria and declining some harmful bacteria.

A multiple-strain probiotics consisting of *Lb. pentosus* BD6, *Lac. fermentum* LW2, *B. subtilis* E20, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* P13 at inclusion level of 10⁷, 10⁸ and 10⁹ CFU kg⁻¹ diet was fed Pacific white shrimp for 56 days and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* (Wang et al., 2019). There was significant enhancement of growth and health status of shrimp fed the diets containing BD6 and E20 at 10⁹ CFU kg⁻¹, in contrast to diets containing P13 and LW2. No significant difference in the carcass composition was revealed among the control and treatments. Moreover, in groups fed the probiotic mixture groups, increased phenoloxidase activity, respiratory bursts, and lysozyme activity of hemocytes were revealed, leading to higher survival after injection with the *V. alginolyticus*. Based on their results, the authors suggested that the

probiotic mixture could adequately provide probiotic efficiency for Pacific white shrimp, and recommended a probiotic mixture diet containing 10⁸ CFU kg⁻¹ diet.

22. Conclusions

The importance of probiotic administration, their beneficial health effects has been discussed in several reviews, for example Falcinelli et al. (2018) discussed the effect of probiotic appetite control, glucose and lipid metabolisms. Even though there is numerous information available on the use of probiotics in aquaculture, there is no concrete evidence to conclude that probiotics are better than immunostimulants or vaccines, the beneficial effects upon the host and their environment ensure that probiotics will remain one of the most promising approaches used to control diseases and the subsequent environmental modifiers (Newaj-Fyzul & Austin, 2015). In shrimp aquaculture, manipulation of microbiota using probiotics have been shown to control or inhibit pathogenic bacteria, improve digestive enzyme activity and growth performance, and enhance immune responses of the host against pathogenic infection or physical stress.

The functionality of gut microbiota, depends on the ability of microorganisms to interact within the GI tract, which benefit the host through influence on inflammation, metabolism, immunity and even behavior (e.g. Neuman, Debelius, Knight, & Koren, 2015; Boulange, Neves, Chiloux, Nicholson, & Dumas, 2016; Ramírez & Romero, 2017). When discussing disease resistance, the importance of a stable microbiota is of importance. In a early study, Collins and Carter (1978) revealed that germfree animals are more susceptible to diseases compared to corresponding conventional animals with a “complete gut microbiota”. Germfree mouse was killed with 10 cells of *Salmonella enteritidis* whereas 10⁶ cells were needed to kill conventional mouse with a conventional gut microbiota. Based in this finding, it is crucial to increase our knowledge on probiotics adhering and colonizing the GI tract of shellfish, in the context of improved growth performance and health.

In the recent review of Van Doan et al. (2019a) devoted to “host-associated probiotics” in aquaculture, the authors revealed benefits of host-associated probiotics to include improved growth performance, feed value, enzymatic contribution to digestion, inhibit adherence, and colonization of pathogenic microorganisms in the GI tract, increase hematological parameters, and immune response. Probiotics should be of animal-species origin. This criterion is based on ecological reasons, and takes into consideration the original habitat of the selected bacteria; part of the indigenous gut microbiota. In an early study, Moriarty (1998) suggested that probiotic cultures could also originate from the general rearing environments; since *Bacillus* spp. generally dwell in the sediment from which shrimps feed. Many studies believe that these bacteria have a better chance of out-competing resident bacteria and establishing themselves at a numerically significant level in their new host (e.g. Rengipat et al., 2003; Alvandi et al., 2004; studies listed in Tables 1–3). In addition, the existence of a dominant bacterial strain in high densities in culture water indicates its ability to grow successfully under the prevailing conditions, and one can expect that this strain will compete efficiently for nutrients with possible harmful strains (Verschueren et al., 2000). The alternative strategy, *host-associated probiotics* within shellfish aquaculture has gained attention as numerous studies showed in Tables 1–3, have used probiotics isolated from the host environment or the host, which fit into the definition given by Van Doan et al. (2019a); “bacteria originally isolated from the rearing water or the GI tract of the host to improve growth and health of the host”. However, in shellfish aquaculture, *per se* it is not clear, whether host-associated probiotics are more effective than probiotics from other origins, and this merits further investigations.

In future studies on probiotics in shellfish aquaculture, bio-floc culture system using probiotics should be investigated on growth performance, immune response, gut microbiome and disease resistance as only some information is available on this topic (Banerjee et al., 2010;

Correa et al., 2018; Dash et al., 2018; Kim, Min, Kim, Koo, & Kang, 2015; van Doan et al., 2019b). In addition to probiotics may also paraprobiotics (cell wall components; Taverniti & Guglielmetti, 2011) serve as an alternative to the use of antibiotics in prevention and treatment of infections caused by pathogens. In this regard it is of interest to notice that both probiotics and paraprobiotics can bind directly pathogenic bacteria, which limits adherence and colonization of the pathogen to gut cells.

References

- Abriouel, H., Franz, C. M. A. P., Ben Omar, N., & Gálvez, A. (2011). Diversity and applications of *Bacillus* bacteriocins. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 5, 201–232.
- Adel, M., El-Sayed, A.-F. M., Yeganeh, S., Dadar, M., & Giri, S. S. (2017a). Effect of probiotic *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* on growth performance, intestinal microbiota, digestive enzyme activities, and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Probiotics & Antimicrobial Proteins*, 9, 150–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-016-9235-9>.
- Adel, M., Yeganeh, S., Dawood, M. A. O., Safari, R., & Radhakrishnan, S. (2017b). Effects of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* supplementation on growth performance, intestinal microflora and disease resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 23, 1401–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12515>.
- Attabuddin, S., Kashem, M. A., Kader, M., Sikder, N. A., & Hakim, M. A. (2013). Use of *Streptomyces fradiae* and *Bacillus megaterium* as probiotics in the experimental culture of tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* (Crustacea, Penaeidae). *AACL Bioflux*, 6(3), 253–267.
- Ajitha, S., Sridhar, M., Sridhar, N., Singh, I. S. B., & Varghese, V. (2004). Probiotic effects of lactic acid bacteria against *Vibrio alginolyticus* in *Penaeus (Fenneropenaeus) indicus* (H. Milne Edwards). *Asian Fisheries Science*, 17, 71–80.
- Allameh, S. K., Yusoff, F. M., Ringø, E., Daud, H. M., Saad, C. R., & Ideris, A. (2016). Effects of dietary mono-, and multiprobiotic strains on growth performance, gut bacteria and body composition of Javanese carp (*Puntius gonionotus*, Bleeker 1850). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 22, 367–373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12265>.
- Alvandi, S. V., Vijayan, K. K., Santiago, T. C., Poornima, M., Jithendran, K. P., Ali, S. A., et al. (2004). Evaluation of *Pseudomonas* sp. PM 11 and *Vibrio fluvialis* PM 17 on immune indices of tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 17, 115–120.
- Amoah, K., Huang, Q.-C., Tan, B.-P., Zhang, S., Chi, S.-Y., Yang, Q.-H., et al. (2019). Dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus coagulans* ATCC 7050, improves the growth performance, intestinal morphology, microflora, immune response, and disease confrontation of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 87, 796–808.
- Augustine, D., Jacob, J. C., & Philip, R. (2016). Exclusion of *Vibrio* spp. by an antagonistic marine actinomycete *Streptomyces rubrolavendulae* M45. *Aquaculture Research*, 47, 2951–2960.
- Ayisi, C. L., Apraku, A., & Afriyie, G. (2017). A review of probiotics, prebiotics, and symbiotics in crab: Present research, problems, and future perspectives. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 36(3), 799–806. <https://doi.org/10.2983/35.036.0329>.
- Azad, M. A. K., Islam, S. S., Sithi, I. N., Ghosh, A. K., Banu, G. R., Bir, J., et al. (2019). Effect of probiotics on immune competence of giant freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture Research*, 50, 644–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13942>.
- Bachruddin, M., Sholichah, M., Istiqomah, S., & Supriyanto, A. (2018). Effect of probiotic culture water on growth, mortality, and feed conversion ratio of Vaname shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone). 7th ASEANFEN international fish symposium IFS 2017: Vol. 137<https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/137/1/012036>.
- Balasubramanian, S., Othman, E. M., Kampik, D., Stopper, H., Hentschel, U., Ziebuhr, W., et al. (2017). Marine sponge-derived *Streptomyces* sp. SBT343 extract inhibits Staphylococcal biofilm formation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 236. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00236>.
- Balcázar, J. L., Rojas-Luna, T., & Cunningham, D. P. (2007). Effect of the addition of four potential probiotic strains on the survival of pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) following immersion challenge with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 96, 147–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2007.04.008>.
- Banerjee, S., Khatoon, H., Shariff, M., & Yusoff, F. M. (2010). Enhancement of *Penaeus monodon* shrimp postlarvae growth and survival without water exchange using marine *Bacillus pumilus* and periphytic microalgae. *Fisheries Science*, 76(3), 481–487.
- Boonthai, T., Vuthiphandchai, V., & Nimrat, S. (2011). Probiotic bacteria effects on growth and bacterial composition of black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17, 634–644.
- Borah, B., Thakur, P. S., & Nigam, J. N. (2002). The influence of nutritional and environmental conditions on the accumulation of poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate in *Bacillus mycoides* RLJ B-017. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 92, 776–783.
- Bottazzi, V. (1983). Food and feed production with microorganisms. *Biotechnology*, 5, 315–363.
- Boulange, C. L., Neves, A. L., Chilloux, J., Nicholson, J. K., & Dumas, M.-E. (2016). Impact of the gut microbiota on inflammation, obesity, and metabolic disease. *Genome Medicine*, 8, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0303-2>.
- Bowman, J. P. (2007). Bioactive compound synthetic capacity and ecological significance of marine bacterial genus *Pseudoalteromonas*. *Marine Drugs*, 5, 220–241.
- Buntin, N., de Vos, W. M., & Hongpattarakere, T. (2017). Variation of mucin adhesion, cell surface characteristics, and molecular mechanisms among *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from different habitats. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 101, 7663–7674.
- Cabello, F. C. (2006). Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: A growing problem for human and animal health and for the environment. *Environmental Microbiology*, 8(7), 1137–1144.
- Cai, Y., Yuan, W., Wang, S., Guo, W., Li, A., Wu, Y., et al. (2019). *In vitro* screening of putative probiotics and their dual beneficial effects: To white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae and to the rearing water. *Aquaculture*, 498, 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.08.024>.
- Campa-Cordova, A. I., Luna-Gonzalez, A., Mazon-Suastegui, J. M., Aguirre-Guzman, G., Ascencio, F., & Gonzalez-Ocampo, H. A. (2011). Effect of probiotic bacteria on survival and growth of Cortez oyster larvae, *Crassostrea corteziana* (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 59(1), 183–191.
- Castex, M., Lemaire, P., Wabete, N., & Chim, L. (2010). Effect of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress of *Litopenaeus styliferus* under *Vibrio nigriflumchitudo* challenge. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 28, 622–631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2009.12.024>.
- Chai, P.-C., Song, X.-L., Chen, G.-F., Xu, H., & Huang, J. (2016). Dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus* PC465 isolated from the gut of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* improves the health status and resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* against white spot syndrome virus. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 54, 602–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.05.011>.
- Chandran, M. N., Iyapparaj, P., Moovendhan Ramasubburay, R., Prakash, S., Immanuel, G., & Palavesam, A. (2014). Influence of probiotic bacterium *Bacillus cereus* isolated from the gut of wild shrimp *Penaeus monodon* in turn as a potent growth promoter and immune enhancer in *P. monodon*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 36, 38–45.
- Chiu, C. H., Guu, Y. K., Liu, C. H., Pan, T. M., & Cheng, W. (2007). Immune responses and gene expression in white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, induced by *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 23(2), 364–377.
- Chomwong, S., Charoensapsi, W., Amparyup, P., & Tassanakajon, A. (2018). Two host gut-derived lactic acid bacteria activate the proPO system and increase resistance to an AHPND-causing strain of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*, 89, 54–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2018.08.002>.
- Collins, F. M., & Carter, P. B. (1978). Growth of *Salmonellae* in orally infected germfree mice. *Infection and Immunity*, 21, 41–47.
- Cordero, H., Esteban, M.Á., & Cuesta, A. (2014). Use of probiotic bacteria against bacterial and viral infections in shellfish and fish aquaculture. *Sustainable aquaculture techniques* (Hernandez-Vergara, M.P., & Carlos ivan Perez-Rostro, C.I., Eds.). (pp. 239–265). INTECH. <https://doi.org/10.5772/57198>.
- Correa, N. M., Bolívar Ramírez, N. C., Legarda, E. C., Rocha, J. S., Seiffert, W. Q., & Vieira, F. D. N. (2018). Dietary supplementation with probiotic and butyrate in the shrimp nursery in Biofloc. *Boletim Do Instituto De Pesca*, 44(3), e348. <https://doi.org/10.20950/1678-2305.2018.348>.
- Cotter, P. D., Hill, C., & Ross, R. P. (2005). Bacteriocins: Developing innate immunity for food. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 3(10), 777–788. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1273>.
- Cui, J., Xiao, M., Liu, M., Wang, Z., Liu, F., Guo, L., et al. (2017). Coupling metagenomics with cultivation to select host-specific probiotic micro-organisms for subtropical aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 123, 1274–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13555>.
- Dalmin, G., Kathiresan, K., & Purushothaman, A. (2001). Effects of probiotics on bacterial population and health status of shrimp in culture pond system. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 39, 939–942.
- Dash, G., Raman, R. P., Prasad, K. P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M. A., & Sen, S. (2014). Evaluation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as feed supplement on host associated microflora, growth, feed efficiency, carcass biochemical composition and immune response of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture*, 432, 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.05.011>.
- Dash, G., Raman, R. P., Prasad, K. P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M. A., & Sen, S. (2015). Evaluation of paraprobiotic applicability of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in improving the immune response and disease protection in giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 43, 167–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.12.007>.
- Dash, G., Raman, R. P., Prasad, K. P., Makesh, M., Pradeep, M. A., & Sen, S. (2016). Evaluation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as a water additive on host associated microflora, growth, feed efficiency and immune response of giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man, 1879). *Aquaculture Research*, 47, 804–818. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12539>.
- Dash, P., Tandel, R. S., Bhat, R. A. H., Mallik, S., Pandey, N. N., Singh, A. K., et al. (2018). The addition of probiotic bacteria to microbial floc: Water quality, growth, non-specific immune response and disease resistance of *Cyprinus carpio* in mid-Himalayan altitude. *Aquaculture*, 495, 961–969.
- Das, S., Lyla, P. S., & Ajmal, K. S. (2006). Application of *Streptomyces* as a probiotic in the laboratory culture of *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius). *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture-Bamid*, 58(3), 198–204.
- Das, S., Ward, L. R., & Burke, C. (2010). Screening of marine *Streptomyces* spp. for potential use as probiotics in aquaculture. *Aquaculture*, 305, 32–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.001>.
- De la Banda, I. G., Lobo, C., Chabrilhon, M., Leon-Rubio, J. M., Arijo, S., Pazos, G., et al. (2012). Influence of dietary administration of a probiotic strain *Shewanella putrefaciens* on Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*, Kaup 1858) growth, body composition and resistance to *Photobacterium damselae* subsp. *piscicida*. *Aquaculture Research*, 43, 662–669. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.02871.x>.
- Decamp, O., Moriarty, D. J. W., & Lavens, P. (2008). Probiotics for shrimp larviculture: Review of field data from Asia and Latin America. *Aquaculture Research*, 39, 334–338.
- Defoirdt, T., Boon, N., SorgeLoos, P., Verstraete, W., & Bossier, P. (2007). Alternatives to antibiotics to control bacterial infections: Luminescent vibrios in aquaculture as an example. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 25, 472–479.
- Devaraja, T., Banerjee, S., Sariff, Y. M., & Khatoon, H. (2013). A holistic approach for selection of *Bacillus* sp. as a bioremediator for shrimp post larvae culture. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 37, 92–100.
- van Doan, H., Kurian, A., Hoseinifar, S. H., Sel-audom, M., Jaturasitha, S., Tong Sirisri, S., et al. (2019b). Dietary inclusion of orange peels derived pectin and *Lactobacillus plantarum* for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cultured under indoor biofloc

- systems. *Aquaculture*, 508, 98–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.03.067>.
- Dong, H. B., Su, Y. G., Mao, Y., You, X. X., Ding, S. X., & Wang, J. (2014). Dietary supplementation with *Bacillus* can improve the growth and survival of the kuruma shrimp *Marsupenaeus japonicus* in high-temperature environments. *Aquaculture International*, 22, 607–617.
- Doroteo, A. M., Pedroso, F. L., Lopez, J. D. M., & Apines-Amar, M. J. S. (2018). Evaluation of potential probiotics isolated from saline tilapia in shrimp aquaculture. *Aquaculture International*, 26(4), 1095–1107.
- Douillet, P. A., & Langdon, C. J. (1994). Use of probiotic for the culture of larvae of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg). *Aquaculture*, 119, 25–40.
- Dou, C., Zuo, Z., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Geng, X., & Sun, J. (2016). Isolation and screening of digestive enzyme producing probiotics from intestine of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Fisheries of China*, 40, 537–546.
- Duan, Y., Zhang, Y., Dong, H., Wang, Y., Zheng, X., & Zhang, J. (2017). Effects of dietary *Clostridium butyricum* on growth, intestine health status and resistance to ammonia stress in Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 65, 25–33.
- Elisashvili, V., Kachlishvili, E., & Chikindas, M. L. (2019). Recent advances in the physiology of spore formation for *Bacillus* probiotic production. *Probiotic and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 11, 731–747. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-018-9492-x>.
- Elshaghabee, F. M. F., Rokana, N., Gulhane, R. D., Sharma, C., & Panwar, H. (2017). *Bacillus* as potential probiotics: Status, concerns, and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1490. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.1490>.
- Falcinelli, S., Rodiles, A., Hatet, A., Picchietti, S., Cossignani, L., Merrifield, D. L., et al. (2018). Influence of probiotic administration on gut microbiota core. A review on the effect on appetite control, glucose and lipid metabolism. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology*, 52, S50–S56. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0000000000001064>.
- FAO. (2016). *FAO statistical yearbook: Fishery and aquaculture Statistics*. The Organisation of Food and Agriculture of the United Nations.
- Farzanfar, A. (2006). The use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, 48, 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2006.00116.x>.
- Fdhila, K., Haddaji, N., Chakroun, I., Dhiaf, A., Macherki, M. E. E., Khouildi, B., et al. (2017). Culture conditions improvement of *Crassostrea gigas* using a potential probiotic *Bacillus* sp. strain. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 110, 654–658.
- Ferreira, M. G. P., Melo, F. P. P., Lima, J. P. V., Andrade, H. A., Severi, W., & Correia, E. (2017). Bioremediation and biocontrol of commercial probiotic in marine shrimp culture with biofloc. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, 45(1), 167–176.
- Foysal, M. J., Nguyen, T. T. T., Chaklader, M. R., Siddik, M. A. B., Tay, C.-Y., Fotedar, R., et al. (2019). Marked variations in gut microbiota and some innate immune responses of fresh water crayfish, marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum*. *PeerJ*, 7, e7553. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7552>.
- Frans, I., Michiels, C. W., Bossier, P., Willems, K. A., Lievens, B., & Rediers, H. (2011). *Vibrio anguillarum* as a fish pathogen: Virulence factors, diagnosis and prevention. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 34, 643–661.
- Fuller, R. (1989). Probiotics in man and animals. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 66, 365–378.
- Gao, X., Zhang, M., Li, X., Han, Y., Wu, F., & Liu, Y. (2018). The effects of feeding *Lactobacillus pentosus* on growth, immunity, and disease resistance in *Halictis discus hannai* Ino. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 78, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.010>.
- García Bernal, M. G., Medina Marrero, R., Campa-Córdova, A. I., & Mazón-Suárez, J. M. (2017). Probiotic effect of *Streptomyces* strains alone or in combination with *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus* in juvenile of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture International*, 25, 927–939. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-016-0085-y>.
- García Bernal, M. G., Medina Marrero, R., Rodríguez-Jaramillo, C., Marrero-Chang, O., Campa-Córdova, A. I., Medina-García, R., et al. (2018). Probiotic effect of *Streptomyces* spp. on shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae challenged with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 24, 865–871. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12622>.
- Garrity, G. M., Bell, J. A., & Lilburn, T. (2005). Family I *Oceanospirillaceae* fam. nov. In (2nd ed.). D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg, J. T. Staley, & G. M. Garrity (Vol. Eds.), *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology: Vol. 2*, (pp. 271–295). New York: Springer The *Proteobacteria*, part B (The *Gammaproteobacteria*).
- Gatesoupe, F. J. (1999). The use of probiotics in aquaculture. *Aquaculture*, 180, 147–165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00187-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00187-8).
- Ghosh, K., Ray, A. K., & Ringø, E. (2019). Applications of plant ingredients for tropical and sub-tropical finfish: Possibilities and challenges. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 11, 793–815. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12258>.
- Giarma, E., Amanetidou, E., Toufexi, A., & Touraki, M. (2017). Defence systems in developing *Artemia franciscana* nauplii and their modulation by probiotic bacteria offer protection against a *Vibrio anguillarum* challenge. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 66, 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.05.008>.
- Gibson, L. F., Woodworth, J., & George, A. M. (1998). Probiotic activity of *Aeromonas media* when challenged with *Vibrio tubiashii*. *Aquaculture*, 169, 111–120.
- Grajek, K., Sip, A., Fokowicz-Flaczky, J., Dobrowska, A., & Wita, A. (2016). Adhesive and hydrophobic properties of the selected LAB isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of farming animals. *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, 63, 311–314.
- Greenless, K. J. (2013). Animal drug human food safety toxicology and antimicrobial resistance-the square peg. *International Journal of Toxicology*, 22, 132–134.
- Guo, J.-J., Liu, K. F., Cheng, S.-H., Chang, C.-I., Lay, J.-J., Hsu, Y.-O., et al. (2009). Selection of probiotic bacteria for use in shrimp larviculture. *Aquaculture Research*, 40(5), 609–619.
- Hai, N. V. (2015). The use of probiotics in aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 119(4), 917–935.
- van Hai, N., Buller, N., & Fotedar, R. (2009a). The use of customised probiotics in the cultivation of western king shrimps (*Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, 1896). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 27, 104–104.
- van Hai, N., Buller, N., & Fotedar, R. (2009b). Effects of probiotics (*Pseudomonas synxantha* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) on the growth, survival and immune parameters of juvenile western king prawns (*Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, 1896). *Aquaculture Research*, 40, 590–602. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2008.02135.x>.
- van Hai, N., Buller, N., & Fotedar, R. (2010). Encapsulation capacity of *Artemia* nauplii with customized probiotics for use in cultivation of western king prawns (*Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, 1896). *Aquaculture Research*, 41, 893–903.
- van Hai, N., & Fotedar, R. (2010). A review of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 22, 251–266. <https://doi.org/10.1980/10454438.2010.500597>.
- Han, I. K., Kim, J. D., Lee, J. H., Lee, S. C., Kim, T. H., & Kwag, J. H. (1984). Studies on the growth promoting effects of probiotics. 3. The effects of *Clostridium butyricum* ID on the performance and the changes in the microflora of the faeces of growing pigs. *Korean Journal of Animal Science*, 26, 166–171.
- Hindu, A. V., Chandrasekaran, N., Mukherjee, A., & Thomas, J. (2018). Effect of dietary supplementation of novel probiotic bacteria *Bacillus vireti* 01 on antioxidant defence system of freshwater prawn challenged with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Probiotics & Antimicrobial Proteins*, 10, 356–366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-017-9317-3>.
- Hong, H. A., Duc, L. H., & Cutting, S. M. (2005). The use of bacterial spore formers as probiotics. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 29, 813–835.
- Hoseinifar, S. H., Dadar, M., van Doan, H., & Harikrishnan, R. (2019). Feed additives impacts on shellfish microbiota, health, and development. In N. Derome (Ed.), *Microbial communities in aquaculture ecosystems* (pp. 143–163). Switzerland: Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16190-3_7.
- Hoseinifar, S. H., Sun, Y.-Z., Wang, A., & Zhou, Z. (2018). Probiotic as means of diseases control in aquaculture, a review of current knowledge and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 2429. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmib.2018.02429>.
- Hossain, M. I., Kamal, M. M., Mannan, M. A., & Bhuyain, M. A. B. (2013). Effects of probiotics on growth and survival of shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) in costal pond at Khulna, Bangladesh. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 5(2), 363–370.
- Hostins, Lara, G., Decamp, O., Cesar, D. E., & Wasielesky, W., Jr. (2017). Efficacy and variations in bacterial density in the gut of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in a BFT system and in clear water supplemented with a commercial probiotic mixture. *Aquaculture*, 480, 58–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.07.036>.
- Huynh, T.-G., Cheng, A.-C., Chi, C.-C., Chiu, K.-H., & Liu, C.-H. (2018). A symbiotic improves the immunity of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*: Metabolic analysis reveal compelling evidence. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 79, 284–293. <https://doi.org/10.1010/fsi.2018.05.031>.
- Ina-Salwany, M. Y., Al-saari, N., Mohamad, A., Mursidi, F.-A., Mohd-Aris, A., Amal, M. N. A., et al. (2019). Vibrios in fish: A review on disease development and prevention. *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health*, 31, 3–22.
- Interaminense, J. A., Vogeley, J. L., Gouveia, C. K., Portela, R. W. S., Oliveira, J. P., Andrade, H. A., et al. (2018). *In vitro* and *in vivo* potential probiotic activity of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Shewanella algae* for use in *Litopenaeus vannamei* rearing. *Aquaculture*, 488, 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.101016/j.aquaculture.2018.01.027>.
- Itami, T., Asano, M., Tokushige, K., Kubono, K., Nakagawa, A., Takeno, N., et al. (1998). Enhancement of disease resistance of kuruma shrimp, *Penaeus japonicus*, after oral administration of peptidoglycan derived from *Bifidobacterium thermophilum*. *Aquaculture*, 164, 277–288.
- Javadi, A., & Khatibi, S. A. (2017). Effect of commercial probiotic (Protexin®) on growth, survival and microbial quality of shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Nutrition & Food Science*, 47(2), 204–216.
- Jiang, Y., Song, X., Gong, L., Li, P., Dai, C., & Shao, W. (2008). High poly (β-hydroxybutyrate) production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* A2a5 from inexpensive substrates. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 42, 167–172.
- Kamei, Y., Yoshimizu, M., Ezura, Y., & Kimura, T. (1987). Screening of bacteria with antiviral activity against infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) from estuarine and marine environments. *Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Flshers*, 53, 2179–2185.
- Kanmani, P., Kumar, R. S., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, K. A., Puttukumar, V., & Arul, V. (2010). First identification of a novel probiotic bacterium *Streptococcus phocae* PI80 and its beneficial role in diseases control. *Journal of International Dental and Medical Research*, 3, 45–51.
- Karim, M., Zhao, W., Rowley, D., Nelson, D., & Gomez-Chiarri, M. (2013). Probiotic strains for shellfish aquaculture: Protection of eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, larvae and juveniles against challenge. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 32(2), 401–408.
- Karthik, M., & Bhavan, P. S. (2018). Supplementation of *Lactobacillus brevis* for growth promotion of the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* post larvae and identification of gut microflora through 16S rDNA. *Research Journal of Biotechnology*, 13(1), 34–50.
- Karthik, R., Jaffar, H. A., & Muthezhilan, R. (2014). Effectiveness of *Lactobacillus* sp. (AMET1506) as probiotics against vibriosis in *Penaeus monodon* and *Litopenaeus vannamei* shrimp aquaculture. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia*, 11, 297–305.
- Karthik, R., Pushpam, A. C., Chelevan, Y., & Vanitha, M. C. (2015). Efficacy of probiotic and nitrifier bacterial consortium for the enhancement of *Litopenaeus vannamei* aquaculture. *International Journal of Veterinary Sciences Research*, 1(1) 029–034.
- Kaynar, P., & Beyatlı, Y. (2009). Determination of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate production by *Bacillus* spp. isolated from the intestines of various fishes. *Fishery Science*, 75, 439–443.
- Kesarcodi-Watson, A., Kaspar, H., Lategan, M. J., & Gibson, L. (2010). *Alteromonas macleodii* 0444 and *Neptunomonas* sp. 0536, two novel probiotics for hatchery-reared Greenshell (TM) mussel larvae, *Perna canaliculus*. *Aquaculture*, 309, 49–55.
- Kesarcodi-Watson, A., Miner, P., Nicolas, J.-L., & Robert, R. (2012). Protective effect of four potential probiotics against pathogen-challenge of the larvae of three bivalves: Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*), flat oyster (*Ostrea edulis*) and scallop (*Pecten maximus*). *Aquaculture*, 344–349, 29–34.
- Kesselring, J. C., Gruber, C., Standen, B., & Wein, S. (2019). *Continuous and pulse-feeding application of multispecies probiotic bacteria in whiteleg shrimp, Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of World Aquaculture Society* <https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12640> [Epub ahead of print].
- Keysami, M. A., & Mohammadpour, M. (2013). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on *Aeromonas*

- hydropila* infection resistance in juvenile freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*). *Aquaculture International*, 21(3), 553–562.
- Keysami, M. A., Saad, C. R., Sijam, K., Daud, H. M., & Alimon, A. R. (2007). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on growth development and survival of postlarvae *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 13, 131–136.
- Khan, S., & Mahmud, S. (2015). The impact of probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in growth and survival of the juvenile fresh water river prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) infected with pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*, 5(3), 225–229.
- Kim, M.-S., Min, E. Y., Kim, J.-H., Koo, J.-K., & Kang, J.-C. (2015). Growth performance and immunological and antioxidant status of Chinese shrimp, *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* reared in bio-floc culture system using probiotics. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 47, 141–146.
- Klaenhammer, T. R. (1993). Genetics of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 12(1–3), 39–86. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-6445\(93\)90057-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-6445(93)90057-G).
- Kongnum, K., & Hongpattarakere, T. (2012). Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from digestive tract of wild shrimp on growth and survival of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) challenged with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 32, 170–177.
- Kozasa, M. (1986). Toyocerin (*Bacillus toyoi*) as growth promotor for animal feeding. *Microbiologie, Aliments, Nutrition*, 4, 121–135.
- Kuebutorne, F. K. A., Abarike, E. D., & Lu, Y. (2019). A review on the application of *Bacillus* in aquaculture. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 87, 820–828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.10>.
- Kumar, V., Roy, S., Meena, D. K., & Sarkar, U. K. (2016). Application of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture: Importance, mechanisms of action, and methods of administration. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, 24, 342–368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2016.1193481>.
- Kuroiwa, T., Kobari, K., & Iwanaga, M. (1990). Inhibition of enteropathogens by *Clostridium butyricum* MIYAIRI 588. *Journal of the Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases*, 64, 257–263.
- Lakshmi, B., Viswanath, B., & SaiGopal, D. V. R. (2013). Probiotics as antiviral agents in shrimp aquaculture. *Journal of Pathogens*, 2013, 424123. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/424123>.
- Lara-Anguiano, G., Esparza-Leal, H. M., Sainz-Hernandez, J. C., Ponce-Palafox, J. T., Valenzuela-Quinonez, W., Apun-Molina, J., et al. (2013). Effects of inorganic and organic fertilization on physicochemical parameters, bacterial concentrations, and shrimp growth in *Litopenaeus vannamei* cultures with zero water exchange. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 44(4), 499–510.
- Laranja, J. L. Q., Amar, E. C., Ludevese-Pascual, G. L., Niu, Y., Geaga, M. J., De Schryver, P., et al. (2017). A probiotic *Bacillus* strain containing amorphous poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) stimulates the innate immune response of *Penaeus monodon* post-larvae. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 68, 202–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.07.023>.
- Laranja, J. L. Q., Ludevese-Pascual, G. L., Amar, E. C., Sorgeloos, P., Bossier, P., & De Schryver, P. (2014). Poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) accumulating *Bacillus* spp. improve the survival, growth and robustness of *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius, 1798) postlarvae. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 173, 310–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.08.011>.
- Lilly, D. M., & Stillwell, R. H. (1965). Probiotics: Growth promoting factors produced by microorganisms. *Science*, 147, 747–748.
- Li, J., Tan, B., & Mai, K. (2009). Dietary probiotic *Bacillus* OJ and iso-maltooligosaccharides influence the intestine microbial populations, immune responses and resistance to white spot syndrome virus in shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture*, 291, 35–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.03.005>.
- Li, J., Tan, B., Mai, K., Ai, Q., Zhang, W., Liufu, Z., et al. (2008). Immune responses and resistance against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* induced by probiotic bacterium *Arthrobacter* XE-7 in Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 39(4), 477–489.
- Li, J., Tan, B., Mai, K., Ai, Q., Zhang, W., Xu, W., et al. (2006). Comparative study between probiotic bacterium *Arthrobacter* XE-7 and chloramphenicol on protection of *Penaeus chinensis* post-larvae from pathogenic vibrios. *Aquaculture*, 253, 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.040>.
- Li, H.-d., Tian, X., & Dong, S.-l. (2019a). Growth performance, non-specific immunity, intestinal histology and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed on a diet supplemented with live cells of *Clostridium butyricum*. *Aquaculture*, 498, 470–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.003>.
- Li, H.-d., Tian, X., Zhao, K., Jiang, W., & Dong, S. (2019b). Effect of *Clostridium butyricum* in different forms on growth performance, disease resistance, expression of genes involved in immune responses and mTOR signaling pathway in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 87, 13–21.
- Liu, C. H., Chiu, C. S., Ho, P. L., & Wang, S. W. (2009). Improvement in the growth performance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by a protease-producing probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20, from natto. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 107, 1031–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04284.x>.
- Liu, K.-F., Chiu, C. H., Shiu, Y.-L., Cheng, W., & Liu, C.-H. (2010). Effects of the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20, on survival, development, stress tolerance, and immune status of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* larvae. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 28, 837–844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2010.01.012>.
- Liu, H., Li, Z., Tan, B., Lao, Y., Duan, Z., Sun, W., et al. (2014). Isolation of a putative strain S12 and its effect on growth performance, non-specific immunity and disease-resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 41, 300–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.08.028>.
- Liu, Z., Qiuqian, L., Yao, Z., Wang, X., Huang, L., Zheng, J., et al. (2018). Effects of a commercial microbial agent on the bacterial communities in shrimp culture system. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 2430. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmib.2018.02430>.
- Li, E., Xu, C., Wang, X., Wang, S., Zhao, Q., Zhang, M., et al. (2018). Gut microbiota and its modulation of healthy farming of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, 26(3), 381–399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/233008249.1440530>.
- Li, K., Zheng, T., Tian, Y., Xi, F., Yuan, J., Zhang, G., et al. (2007). Beneficial effects of *Bacillus licheniformis* on the intestinal microflora and immunity of the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Biotechnology Letters*, 29, 525–530.
- Longeon, A., Peduzzi, J., Barthélémy, M., Corre, S., Nicolas, J.-L., & Guyot, M. (2004). Purification and partial identification of novel antimicrobial protein from marine bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* species strain X153. *Marine Biotechnology*, 6(6), 633–641.
- Madani, N. S. H., Adorian, T. J., Farsani, H. G., & Hoseinifar, S. H. (2018). The effect of dietary Bacilli (*Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis*) on growth performance, feed efficiency, body composition and immune parameters of whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae. *Aquaculture Research*, 49, 1926–1933. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13648>.
- Maeda, M., & Liao, I. C. (1992). *Effect of bacterial population on the growth of a prawn larva, Penaeus monodon*. Vol. 21. Bulletin of National Research Institute of Aquaculture.
- Maeda, M., Shibata, A., Biswas, G., Korenga, H., Kono, T., Itami, T., et al. (2014). Isolation of lactic acid bacteria from kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*) intestine and assessment of immunomodulatory role of a selected strain as probiotic. *Marine Biotechnology*, 16(2), 181–192.
- Maia, E. P., Modesto, G. A., Brito, L. O., Galvez, A. O., & Gesteira, T. C. V. (2016). Intensive culture system of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in commercial ponds with zero water exchange and addition of molasses and probiotics. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía*, 51(1), 61–67.
- Matias, H. B., Yusoff, F. M., Shariff, M., & Azhari, O. (2002). Effects of commercial microbial products on water quality in tropical shrimp culture ponds. *Asian Fishery Science*, 15, 239–248.
- Merrifield, D. L., Dimitroglou, A., Foey, A., Davies, S. J., Baker, R. R., Bøgwald, J., et al. (2010). The current status and future focus of probiotic and prebiotic applications for salmonids. *Aquaculture*, 302, 1–18.
- Miandare, H. K., Yarahmadi, P., & Abbasian, M. (2016). Immune related transcriptional responses and performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* post-larvae fed on dietary probiotic PrimLac®. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 55, 671–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.06.053>.
- Mohapatra, S., Chakraborty, T., Prusty, A. K., PaniPrasad, K., & Mohanta, K. N. (2014). Beneficial effects of dietary probiotics mixture on hemato-immunology and cell apoptosis of Labeo rohita fingerlings reared at higher water temperatures. *PlosOne*, 9(6), <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100929>.
- Moriarty, D. J. W. (1998). Control of luminous *Vibrio* species in penaeid aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture*, 164, 351–358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(98\)00199-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00199-9).
- Mu, Y., & Cong, Y. (2019). *Bacillus coagulans* and its application in medicine. *Beneficial Microbes*, 10, 679–688.
- Mukherjee, A., Chandra, G., & Ghosh, K. (2019). Single or conjoint application of autochthonous *Bacillus* strains as potential probiotics: Effects on growth, feed utilization, immunity and disease resistance in rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton). *Aquaculture*, 512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734302>.
- Nayak, S. K. (2010). Probiotics and immunity: A fish perspective. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 29(4), 2–14.
- Neuman, H., Debelius, J. W., Knight, R., & Koren, O. (2015). Microbial endocrinology: The interplay between the microbiota and the endocrine system. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 39, 509–521.
- Newaj-Fyzul, A., & Austin, B. (2015). Probiotics, immunostimulants, plant products and oral vaccines, and their role as feed supplements in the control of bacterial fish diseases. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 38, 937–955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12313>.
- Ngo, H. T., Nguyen, T. T. N., Nguyen, O. M., Tran, A. V., Do, H. Y. V., Nguyen, A. H., et al. (2016). Screening of pigmented *Bacillus aquimaris* SH6 from the intestinal tracts of shrimp to develop a novel feed supplement for shrimp. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 121, 1357–1372.
- Nguyen, T. T. G., Nguyen, T. C., Leeakriangsak, M., Pham, T. T., Pham, Q. H., Lueangthuwapranit, C., et al. (2018). Promotion of *Lactobacillus plantarum* on growth and resistance against acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease pathogens in white-leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Journal of Veterinary Medicine A*, 48(1), 19–28.
- Nikoskelainen, S., Ouwehand, A. C., Salminen, S., & Bylund, G. (2001b). Protection of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from furunculosis by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. *Aquaculture*, 198, 229–236.
- Nikoskelainen, S., Salminen, S., Bylund, G., & Ouwehand, A. C. (2001a). Characterization and the properties of human- and dairy-derived probiotics for prevention of infectious diseases in fish. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67, 2430–2435.
- Nimrat, S., Boonthai, T., & Vuthiphandchai, V. (2011). Effects of probiotic forms, compositions of and mode of probiotic administration on rearing of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) larvae and postlarvae. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 169(3–4), 244–258.
- Nimrat, S., Suksawat, S., Boonthai, T., & Vuthiphandchai, V. (2012). Potential *Bacillus* probiotics enhance bacterial numbers, water quality and growth during early development of white shrimp. *Litopenaeus vannamei* *Veterinary Microbiology*, 159, 443–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.04.029>.
- Ninawa, A. S., & Selvin, J. (2009). Probiotics in shrimp aquaculture: Avenues and challenges. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 35, 43–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410802667202>.
- Nogami, K., & Maeda, M. (1992). Bacteria as biocontrol agents for rearing larvae of the crab *Portunus trituberculatus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 49(11), 2373–2376.
- Olmos, J., Ochoa, L., Paniagua-Michel, J., & Rosalia, C. (2011). Functional feed assessment on *Litopenaeus vannamei* using 100% fish meal replacement by soybean meal, high levels of complex carbohydrates and *Bacillus* probiotic strains. *Marine Drugs*, 9, 1119–1132.
- Ouwehand, A. C., Kirjavainen, P. V., Gronlund, M. M., Isolauri, E., & Salminen, S. J. (1991). Adhesion of probiotic micro-organisms to intestinal mucus. *International Dairy Journal*, 9(9), 623–630. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\(99\)00132-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(99)00132-6).

- Pacheco-Vega, J. M., Cadena-Roa, M. A., Leyva-Flores, J. A., Zavala-Leal, O. I., Pérez-Bravo, E., & Ruiz-Velazco, J. M. J. (2018). Effect of isolated bacteria and microalgae on the biofloc characteristics in the Pacific white shrimp culture. *Aquaculture Reports*, 11, 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2018.05.003>.
- de Paiva Maia, E., Alves-Modoest, G., Otavio-Brito, L., Olivera, A., & Vasconcelos-Gesteira, T. (2013). Effect of a commercial probiotic on bacterial and phytoplankton concentration in intensive shrimp farming (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) recirculation systems. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, 41(1), 126–137.
- Pan, X., Wu, T., Zhang, L., Song, Z., Tang, H., & Zhao, Z. (2008). In vitro evaluation on adherence and antimicrobial properties of a candidate probiotic *Clostridium butyricum* CB2 for farmed fish. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 105, 1623–1629.
- Pattukumar, V., Kanmani, P., Satish Kumar, R., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, A., & Arul, V. (2013). Improved resistance to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* treated with *Streptococcus phocae* PI80 and *Bacillus subtilis*. *The Israeli journal of Aquaculture Bamidgeh*, 807, 10 IJA_65.2013.
- Phianphak, W., Rengpipat, S., Piyanaratitivorakul, S., & Menasveta, P. (1999). Probiotic use of *Lactobacillus* spp. for black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*. *Journal of Scientific Research*, 24, 41–51.
- Porubcan, R. S. (1991). Reduction in chemical oxygen demand and improvement in *Penaeus monodon* yield in ponds inoculated with aerobic *Bacillus* bacteria. *Program and abstracts of the 22nd annual conference and exposition. 16–20 june 1991, san juan, Puerto Rico. World Aquaculture Society*.
- Rahiman, K. M. M., Jesmi, Y., Thomas, A. P., & Hatha, A. A. M. (2010). Probiotic effect of *Bacillus NL110* and *Vibrio NE17* on the survival, growth performance and immune response of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man). *Aquaculture Research*, 41(9), e120–e134.
- Ramirez, N. C. B., Rodrigues, M. S., Guimarães, A. M., Guertier, C., Rosa, J. R., Seiffert, W. Q., et al. (2017). Effect of dietary supplementation with butyrate and probiotic on the survival of Pacific white shrimp after challenge with *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 46(6), 471–477. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1806-92902017000600001>.
- Ravi, A. V., Musthafa, K. S., Jegathambal, G., Kathiresan, K., & Pandian, S. K. (2007). Screening and evaluation of probiotics as a biocontrol agent against pathogenic Vibrios in marine aquaculture. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 45, 219–223.
- Ray, A. K., Ghosh, K., & Ringø, E. (2012). Enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from fish gut: A review. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 18, 465–492.
- Rengpipat, S., Phianphak, W., Piyanaratitivorakul, S., & Menasveta, P. (1998a). Effects of probiotic bacterium on black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* survival and growth. *Aquaculture*, 167, 301–313.
- Rengpipat, S., Rukpratanporn, S., Piyanaratitivorakul, S., & Menasveta, P. (1998b). Probiotics in aquaculture: A case study of probiotics for larvae of the black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. In T. W. Flegel (Ed.). *Advances in shrimp biotechnology* (pp. 177–181). Bangkok: National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology.
- Rengpipat, S., Rukpratanporn, S., Piyanaratitivorakul, S., & Menasveta, P. (2000). Immunity enhancement in black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) by a probiotics bacterium. *Aquaculture*, 191, 271–288.
- Rengpipat, S., Tunyanun, A., Fast, A. W., Piyanaratitivorakul, S., & Menasveta, P. (2003). Enhanced growth and resistance to *Vibrio* challenge in pond-reared black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* fed a *Bacillus* probiotic. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 55, 169–174.
- Rengpipat, S., Wongtangprasert, N., & Palaga, T. (2009). The use of green fluorescent protein as a marker for monitoring a probiotic *Bacillus* S11 in the black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 15, 297–305. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2008.00594x>.
- Ringø, E., Hoseinifar, S. H., Ghosh, K., Van Doan, H., Beck, B. R., & Song, S. K. (2018). Lactic acid bacteria in finfish – an update. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 1818. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01818>.
- Ringø, E., Lövmo, L., Kristiansen, M., Salinas, I., Myklebust, R., Olsen, R. E., et al. (2010). Lactic acid bacteria vs. pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract of fish: A review. *Aquaculture Research*, 41, 451–467. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02339.x>.
- Ringø, E., Olsen, R. E., Jensen, I., Romero, J., & Lauzon, H. L. (2014). Application of vaccines and dietary supplements in aquaculture: Possibilities and challenges. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 24, 1005–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-014-9361-y>.
- Ringø, E., & Song, S. K. (2016). Application of dietary supplements (synbiotics and probiotics in combination with plant products and β-glucans) in aquaculture. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 22, 4–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu12349>.
- Ringø, E., van Doan, H., Lee, S., & Song, S. K. (2019). Lactic acid bacteria in shellfish: possibilities and challenges. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* doi: 10.1080/23308249.2019.1683151.
- Rinkinen, M., Mättö, J., Salminen, S., Westermark, E., & Ouwehand, A. C. (2000). In vitro adhesion of lactic acid bacteria to canine small intestinal mucus. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 84, 43–47.
- Rinkinen, M., Westermark, E., Salminen, S., & Ouwehand, A. C. (2003). Absence of host specificity for in vitro adhesion of probiotic lactic acid bacteria to intestinal mucus. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 97, 55–61.
- Romero, J., Feijoó, C. G., & Navarrete, P. (2012). Antibiotics in aquaculture – use, abuse and alternatives. In E. Carvalho (Ed.). *Health and environment in aquaculture* (pp. 159–198). Tech ISBN 978-953-51-0497-1.
- Roomiani, L., Ahmadi, S., & Ghaeni, M. (2018). Immune response and disease resistance in the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* induced by potential probiotic *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*. *Ankara Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, 65, 323–329.
- Ruiz-Ponte, C., Samain, J. F., Sanchez, J. L., & Nicolas, J. L. (1999). The benefit of a *Roseobacter* species on the survival of scallop larvae. *Marine Biotechnology*, 1, 52–59.
- Salinas, I., Abelli, L., Bertoni, F., Picchietti, S., Roque, A., Furones, D., et al. (2008). Monospecies and multispecies probiotic formulations produce different systemic and local immunostimulatory effects in the gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 25(1–2), 114–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2008.03.011>.
- Salyers, A. A., Gupta, A., & Wang, Y. (2014). Human intestinal bacteria as reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes. *Trends in Microbiology*, 12, 412–416.
- Sampaio, J. P. (2011). *Rhodosporidium Banno (1967)* (5th ed.). The Yeast.
- Sapcharoen, P., & Rengpipat, S. (2013). Effects of the probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* (BP11 and BS11) on growth and survival of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 19, 946–954. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aua.12040>.
- Scholz, U., Garcia Diaz, G., Ricque, D., Cruz Suarez, L. E., Vargas Albores, F., & Larchford, J. (1999). Enhancement of vibrios resistance in juvenile *Penaeus vannamei* by supplementation of diets with different yeast products. *Aquaculture*, 176, 271–283.
- Schrezenmeir, J., & de Vrese, M. (2001). Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics—approaching a definition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 73, 361S–364S.
- Seenivasan, C., Radhakrishnan, S., Shanthi, R., Muralisankar, T., & Saravana Bhavan, P. (2014). Effect of *Lactobacillus sporogenes* on survival, growth, biochemical constituents and energy utilization of freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* post larvae. *The Journal of Basic & Applied Zoology*, 67, 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjobaz.2013.12.002>.
- Shah, N. P. (1997). Isolation and enumeration of bifidobacteria in fermented milk products: A review. *Milchwissenschaft*, 52, 71–76.
- Sha, Y., Liu, M., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Qi, C., & Wang, L. (2016b). Bacterial population in intestine of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed different probiotics or probiotic supernatant. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26, 1736–1745.
- Sha, Y., Wang, L., Liu, M., Jiang, K., Xin, F., & Wang, B. (2016a). Effects of lactic acid bacteria and the corresponding supernatant on the survival, growth performance, immune response and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*, 452, 28–36.
- Sha, Y., Wang, L., Liu, M., Jiang, K., Xin, F., & Wang, B. (2016c). Interaction between *Lactobacillus pentosus* HC-2 and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* E1 in *Litopenaeus vannamei* in vivo and in vitro. *Aquaculture*, 465, 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.09.007>.
- Shefat, S. H. T. (2018). Use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture in Bangladesh. *Acta Scientific Microbiology*, 1(11), 20–27.
- Singh, M., Patel, S. K., & Kalia, V. C. (2009). *Bacillus subtilis* as potential producer for polyhydroxyalcanoates. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 8, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-38>.
- Sivakumar, N., Sundararaman, M., & Selvakumar, G. (2012). Probiotic effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* against Vibriosis in juvenile shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11, 15811–15818. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.1328>.
- Sohn, S., Lundgren, K. M., Tammi, K., Smolowitz, R., Nelson, D. R., Rowley, D. C., et al. (2016). Efficacy of probiotics in preventing vibrios in the larviculture of different species of bivalve shellfish. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 35(2), 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.035.0206>.
- Soltani, M., Ghosh, K., Hoseinifar, S., Kumar, V., Lymbery, A. J., Roy, S., et al. (2019). Genus *Bacillus*, promising probiotics in aquaculture: Aquatic animal origin, bio-active components, bioremediation and efficacy in fish and shellfish. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, 27(3), 331–379. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2019.1597010>.
- Sorieul, L., Nelly, W., Dominique, A., Jean-Rene, M., Marie, P., Cong, Z., et al. (2018). Survival improvement conferred by the *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. NC201 probiotic in *Litopenaeus stylostris* exposed to *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* infection and salinity stress. *Aquaculture*, 495, 888–898.
- Soundarapandian, P., Ramanan, V., & Dinakaran, G. K. (2010). Effect of probiotics on the growth and survival of *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius). *Current Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 2(2), 51–57.
- de Souza, D. M., Saita, S. M., Leite, F. P. L., Romano, L. A., Wasielesky, W., & Ballester, E. L. C. (2012). The use of probiotics during nursery rearing of the pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis* (Latreille, 1817) in a zero exchange system. *Aquaculture Research*, 43, 1828–1837.
- Stein, T. (2005). *Bacillus subtilis* antibiotics: Structures, syntheses and specific functions. *Molecular Microbiology*, 56, 845–857.
- Sui, L., Cai, J., Sun, H., Wille, M., & Bossier, P. (2012). Effect of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate on Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*, larvae challenged with pathogenic *Vibrio anguillarum*. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 35, 359–364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2012.01351.x>.
- Suzer, C., Coban, D., Kamaci, H. O., Saka, S., Firat, K., Otgucoglu, O., et al. (2008). *Lactobacillus* spp. bacteria as probiotics in gilt-head sea bream (*Sparus aurata*, L.) larvae: Effects on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. *Aquaculture*, 280(1–4), 140–145.
- Swain, S. M., Singh, C., & Arul, V. (2009). Inhibitory activity of probiotics *Streptococcus phocae* PI80 and *Enterococcus faecium* MC13 against vibriosis in shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25, 697–703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-008-9939-4>.
- Talpur, A. D., Ikhwanuddin, M., Daniel Abdullah, M. D., & Ambok Bolong, A.-M. (2013). Indigenous *Lactobacillus plantarum* as probiotic for larviculture of blue swimming crab, *Portunus pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1758): Effects on survival, digestive enzyme activities and water quality. *Aquaculture*, 416–417, 173–178.
- Talpur, A. D., Memon, A. J., Khan, M. I., Ikhwanuddin, M., Danish Daniel, M. M., & Abol-Munafi, A. B. (2012). Inhibition pathogens by lactic acid bacteria and application as water additive multi isolates in early stages larviculture of *P. pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1758). *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*, 22(1), 54–64.
- Tan, L. T.-H., Chan, K.-G., Lee, L.-H., & Goh, B.-H. (2016). *Streptomyces* bacteria as potential probiotics in aquaculture. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 79. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00079>.
- Tarazona, U., León, J., Galindo, N., Vallejo, M., & Marguet, E. (2018). Characterization of Actinomycetes of marine sediment and their antagonistic activity against *Vibrio* sp. isolated from "white leg shrimp" *Litopenaeus vannamei* (BOONE, 1931). *Revista de Investigaciones Veterinarias del Perú*, 29(2), 676–691.
- Taverniti, V., & Guglielmetti, S. (2011). The immunomodulatory properties of probiotic microorganisms beyond their viability (ghost probiotics: Proposal of paraprobiotic concept). *Genes Nutrition*, 6, 261–274.
- Tepaaomrondech, S., Chantararaksa, K., Kingcha, Y., Chaiyapechara, S., Phromson, M., Sriariyanun, M., et al. (2019). Effects of *Bacillus aryabhattai* TBRC8450 on vibriosis

- resistance and immune enhancement in Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 86, 4–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.010>.
- Tsai, C.-Y., Chi, C.-C., & Liu, C.-H. (2019). The growth and apparent digestibility of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, are increased with the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis*. *Aquaculture Research*, 50, 1475–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.14022>.
- Tseng, D. Y., Ho, P. L., Huang, S. Y., Cheng, S. C., Shiu, Y. L., Chiu, C. S., et al. (2009). Enhancement of immunity and disease resistance in the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 26, 339–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2008.12.003>.
- Tung, H. T., Koshio, S., & Traifalgar, R. F. (2010). Effects of dietary heat-killed *Lactobacillus plantarum* on larval and post-larval kuruma shrimp, *Marsupenaeus japonicus* Bate. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 41(S1), 16–27.
- Tuomola, E. M., Ouwehand, A. C., & Salminen, S. J. (1999). Human ileostomy glycoproteins as a model for small intestinal mucus to investigate adhesion of probiotics. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 28, 159–163.
- Ueberschär, B. (1995). The use of tryptic enzyme activity measurement as a nutritional condition index: Laboratory calibration data and field application. *ICES Marine Science Symposia*, 201, 119–129.
- Valipour, A., Nedaei, S., Noori, A., Khanipour, A. A., & Hoseinifar, S. H. (2019). Dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* affected on some immune parameters, air-exposure stress response, intestinal microbiota, digestive enzyme activity and performance of narrow clawed cray fish (*Astacus leptodactylus*, Eschscholtz). *Aquaculture*, 504, 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.01.064>.
- Van Doan, H., Hoseinifar, S. H., Ringo, E., Esteban, M. Á., Dadar, M., Dawood, M. A. O., et al. (2019a). Host-associated probiotics: A key factor in sustainable aquaculture. *Reviews in fisheries science & aquaculture*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2019.1643288> [Epub ahead of print].
- Vargas-Albores, F., Porchas-Cornejo, M. A., Martínez-Porcha, M., Villalpando-Sanchola, E., Gollas-Galván, T., & Martínez-Córdova, L. R. (2017). Bacterial flora of shrimp intestine is significantly modified by the use of probiotic mixture: A high throughput sequencing approach. *Helgoland Marine Research*, 71, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s10152-017-0485-z>.
- Vaseeharan, B., & Ramasamy, P. (2003). Control of pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. by *Bacillus subtilis*, a possible probiotic treatment for black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 36, 83–87.
- Verschueren, L., Rombaut, G., Sorgeloos, P., & Verstraete, W. (2000). Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 64, 655–671.
- Vidal, J. M. A., da Cruz Pessôa, M. N., dos Santos, F. L., Mendes, P. P., & Mendes, E. S. (2018). Probiotic potential of *Bacillus cereus* against *Vibrio* spp. in post-larvae shrimps. *Rev Caatinga Mossotó*, 31(2), 495–503.
- Vieira, F. N., Buglione Neto, C. C., Pedreira Mourão, J. L., Jatobá, A., Martins, M. L., Schleider, D. D., et al. (2010). Effect of probiotic supplemented diet on marine shrimp survival after challenge with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 62(3), 631–638.
- Vieira, F. N., Buglione Neto, C. C., Pedreira Mourão, J. L., Jatobá, A., Ramirez, C., Martins, M. L., et al. (2008). Time-related action of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in the bacterial microbiota of shrimp digestive tract and its action as immunostimulant. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 43(6), 763–769.
- Vieira, F. N., Santiago Pedrotti, F., Buglione Neto, C. C., Pedreira Mourão, J. L., Beltrame, E., Martins, M. L., et al. (2007). Lactic-acid bacteria increase the survival of marine shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, after infection with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography*, 55(4), 251–255.
- Vijayan, K. K., Bright Singh, I. S., Jayaprakash, N. S., Alavandi, S. V., Somnath Pai, S., Preetha, R., et al. (2006). A brackishwater isolate of *Pseudomonas* PS-102, a potential antagonistic bacterium against pathogenic vibrios in penaeid and non-penaeid rearing systems. *Aquaculture*, 251, 192–200.
- Wang, Y. B. (2007). Effect of probiotics on growth performance and digestive enzyme activity of the shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*, 269, 259–264.
- Wang, Y., Fu, L., & Lin, J. (2012). Probiotic (*Bacillus coagulans*) cells in the diet benefit the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 23, 855–860.
- Wang, Y. C., Hu, S. Y., Chiu, C. S., & Liu, C. H. (2019). Multiple-strain probiotics appear to be more effective in improving the growth performance and health status of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, than single probiotic strains. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 84, 1050–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.017>.
- Wang, H., Wang, C., Tang, Y., Sun, B., Huang, J., & Song, X. (2018). *Pseudoalteromonas* probiotics as potential biocontrol agents improve the survival of *Penaeus vannamei* challenged with acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND)-causing *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Aquaculture*, 494, 30–36.
- Wang, Y. B., Xu, Z. R., & Xia, M. S. (2005). The effectiveness of commercial probiotics in northern white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) ponds. *Fishery Science*, 71, 1036–1041.
- Wee, W. C., Mok, C. H., Romano, N., Ebrahimi, M., & Natrah, I. (2018). Dietary supplementation use of *Bacillus cereus* as quorum sensing degrader and their effects on growth performance and response of Malaysian giant river prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* juvenile towards *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 24(6), 1804–1812. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12819>.
- Wu, H.-J., Sun, L.-B., Li, C.-B., Li, Z.-Z., Zhang, Z., Wen, X.-B., et al. (2014). Enhancement of the immune response and protection against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* by indigenous probiotic *Bacillus* strains in mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 41, 156–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.08.027>.
- Wu, D. X., Zhao, S. M., Peng, N., Xu, C. P., Wang, J., & Liang, Y. X. (2016). Effects of a probiotic (*Bacillus subtilis* FY99-01) on the bacterial community structure and composition of shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*, Boone) culture water assessed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and high-throughput sequencing. *Aquaculture Research*, 47, 857–869. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12545>.
- Xia, Z., Zhu, M., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Effects of probiotic *Arthrobacter* sp. CW9 on the survival and immune status of white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 58, 60–64.
- Xie, J., Liu, Q.-q., Liao, S., Fang, H.-H., Yin, P., Xie, S.-W., et al. (2019). Effects of dietary mixed probiotics on growth, non-specific immunity, intestinal morphology and microbiota of juvenile pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 90, 456–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.04.301>.
- Xue, M., Liang, H., He, Y., & Wen, C. (2016). Characterization and *in-vivo* evaluation of potential probiotics of the bacterial flora within the water column of a healthy shrimp larviculture system. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, 34(3), 484–491. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-016-5024-1>.
- Yang, S. P., Wu, Z. H., Jian, J. C., & Zhang, X. Z. (2010). Effect of marine red yeast *Rhodospirillum paludigenum* on growth and antioxidant competence of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*, 309, 62–65.
- Yang, Y. D., Yang, X. H., Xie, C., Wu, S., Ji, H. W., Liu, S. C., et al. (2015). Screening and identification of protease-producing bacteria from the intestines of maricultured *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Modern Food Science and Technology*, 31, 131–136.
- Zhang, S., Zhou, X., Song, X., Wang, C., Liu, W., & Huang, J. (2016). Effects of adding probiotics on intestinal bacteria, Toll receptors, and lysozyme immune gene expression and resistance to *Vibrio harveyi* in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of Fisheries Science China*, 23, 846–854.
- Zhao, J., Ling, Y., Zhang, R., Ke, C., & Hong, G. (2018). Effects of dietary supplementation of probiotics on growth, immune responses, and gut microbiome of the abalone *Haliotis diversicolor*. *Aquaculture*, 493, 289–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaqua.2018.05.011>.
- Zhao, C., Zhu, J., Hu, J., Dong, X., Sun, L., Zhang, X., et al. (2019). Effects of dietary *Bacillus pumilus* on growth performance, innate immunity and digestive enzymes of giant freshwater prawns (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 25, 712–720. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12894>.
- Zheng, X., Duan, Y., Dong, H., & Zhang, J. (2017). Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* in different treatments on growth performance and immune gene expression of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* under normal condition and stress of acute low salinity. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 62, 195–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.01.015>.
- Zheng, X., Duan, Y., Dong, H., & Zhang, J. (2018). Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* on growth performance, digestive enzymes and gut morphology of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Probiotics & Antimicrobial Proteins*, 10, 504–510. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-017-9300-z>.
- Zhou, X.-x., Wang, Y.-b., & Li, W.-f. (2009). Effect of probiotic on larvae shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) based on water quality, survival rate and digestive enzyme activities. *Aquaculture*, 287, 349–353.
- Zhou, Z., Wang, W., Liu, W., Gatlin, D. M., III, Zhang, Y., Yao, B., et al. (2012). Identification of highly-adhesive gut *Lactobacillus* strains in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) by partial *rpoB* gene sequence analysis. *Aquaculture*, 370–371, 150–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaqua.2012.10.018>.
- Zokaeifar, H., Babaei, N., Saad, C. R., Kamarudin, M. S., Sijam, K., & Balcazar, J. L. (2014). Administration of *Bacillus subtilis* strains in the rearing water enhances the water quality, growth performance, immune response, and resistance against *Vibrio harveyi* infection in juvenile white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 36, 68–74.
- Zokaeifar, H., Balcazar, J. L., Saad, C. R., Kamarudin, M. S., Sijam, K., Arshad, A., et al. (2012). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on the growth performance, digestive enzymes, immune expression and disease resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 33, 683–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.05.027>.
- Zorrehzahra, M. J., elshad, D. S. T., Adel, M., Tiwari, R., Karthik, K., Dhama, K., & Lazado, C. (2016). Probiotics as beneficial microbes in aquaculture: An update on their multiple modes of action: a review. *Veterinary Quarterly*, 36(4), <https://doi.org/10.1080/01652176.2016.1172132>.
- Zuo, Z.-h., Shang, B.-J., Shao, Y.-C., Li, W.-y., & Sun, J.-s. (2019). Screening of intestinal probiotics and the effects of feeding probiotics on the growth, immune, digestive enzyme activity and intestinal flora of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 86, 160–168.