ARTICLE

Open Access

DNA methylation and copy number variation profiling of T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma

Zahra Haider¹, Mattias Landfors¹, Irina Golovleva¹, Martin Erlanson², Kjeld Schmiegelow³, Trond Flægstad⁴, Jukka Kanerva⁵, Ulrika Norén-Nyström⁶, Magnus Hultdin¹ and Sofie Degerman^{1,7}

Abstract

Despite having common overlapping immunophenotypic and morphological features, T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) and lymphoma (T-LBL) have distinct clinical manifestations, which may represent separate diseases. We investigated and compared the epigenetic and genetic landscape of adult and pediatric T-ALL (n = 77) and T-LBL (n = 15) patient samples by high-resolution genome-wide DNA methylation and Copy Number Variation (CNV) BeadChip arrays. DNA methylation profiling identified the presence of CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) subgroups within both pediatric and adult T-LBL and T-ALL. An epigenetic signature of 128 differentially methylated CpG sites was identified, that clustered T-LBL and T-ALL separately. The most significant differentially methylated gene loci included the *SGCE/PEG10* shared promoter region, previously implicated in lymphoid malignancies. CNV analysis confirmed overlapping recurrent aberrations between T-ALL and T-LBL, including 9p21.3 (*CDKN2A/CDKN2B*) deletions. A significantly higher frequency of chromosome 13q14.2 deletions was identified in T-LBL samples (36% in T-LBL vs. 0% in T-ALL). This deletion, encompassing the *RB1, MIR15A* and *MIR16-1* gene loci, has been reported as a recurrent deletion in B-cell malignancies. Our study reveals epigenetic and genetic markers that can distinguish between T-LBL and T-ALL, and deepen the understanding of the biology underlying the diverse disease localization.

Introduction

T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) and T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-LBL) are precursor lymphoid neoplasms, characterized by the uncontrolled proliferation of progenitor T-cells. A lymphoid neoplasm with a bone marrow infiltration of malignant blast cells by more than 20–25% is classified as T-ALL¹. However, if the bone marrow infiltration is less than 25% and the primary disease localizes in the mediastinum, lymph nodes or the extramedullary tissues, it is classified as T-LBL¹. Despite differences in clinical manifestation, T-ALL and T-LBL have an overlapping immunophenotype and recurrent

Correspondence: Zahra Haider (zahra.haider@umu.se)

genetic aberrations, such as NOTCH1 activating mutations, CDKN2A/B deletions (cell cycle defects) and lossof-heterozygosity in chromosome 6q^{2,3}. Previous studies^{4,5} have identified gene expression differences between pediatric T-ALL and T-LBL, but no genetic or epigenetic markers has yet been defined to distinguish between the two malignancies, which are currently differentiated solely based on bone marrow infiltration. DNA methylation classification has been implicated as a prognostic and diagnostic marker in various cancers including hematological malignancies⁶⁻⁸. We have identified a CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) panel, consisting of 1293 specific CpG sites, which classified pediatric T-ALL patients into clinically relevant subgroups^{6,7}. The CIMP-(low methylation) T-ALL patient subgroup had a significantly worse prognosis compared to the CIMP+ (high methylation) subgroup^{6,7,9}.

© The Author(s) 2020

¹Department of Medical Biosciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden ²Department of Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden Full list of author information is available at the end of the article These authors contributed equally: Magnus Hultdin, Sofie Degerman

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

The aim of this study was to further analyze DNA methylation-based heterogeneity in T-cell lymphoblastic malignancies, with focus on investigating if the previously reported CIMP subgroups identified in pediatric T-ALL were also present in T-LBL and adult T-ALL patients. Furthermore, the methylomic and genomic landscape of T-ALL and T-LBL were compared using high-resolution genome-wide DNA methylation and copy number variation (CNV) detection arrays to investigate and identify molecular markers that could distinguish between T-ALL and T-LBL. Such epigenetic and genetic markers can give an insight into the biological mechanisms underlying the divergent clinical manifestation of the two neoplasms, as well as reveal novel therapeutic targets and strategies.

Materials and methods

Patient samples

Bone marrow and fresh frozen lymph node tissue samples from diagnostic (n = 76) and late relapsed (n = 1) T-ALL and diagnostic (n = 15) T-LBL patients were retrieved along with complete remission bone marrow samples (n = 4). The adult (age > 18 years, n = 7) and pediatric (age \leq 18 years, n = 8) T-LBL patients, adult T-ALL patients (age > 18 years, n = 12), were diagnosed at University Hospital of Umeå, Sweden, between years 1998 and 2012. The study included all available T-ALL and T-ALL samples diagnosed during the specified time period and no further selection was done. The diagnosis was based on morphologic, cytogenetic and immunophenotypic analysis, according to the WHO classification of lymphoid neoplasms¹⁰. Patients were classified as T-ALL or T-LBL based on blast cell infiltration in the bone marrow, according to previously described guidelines¹. The 65 Nordic pediatric (age < 18 years) T-ALL patient samples have been previously described and analyzed in our lab by HumanMethylation450K arrays (data deposited to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository accession no. GSE69954)⁶.

From the GEO repository, methylation profiles of normal immature (CD34+) and mature hematopoietic (CD3+) cells (GSE49618)¹¹, and normal bone marrow (n = 7) and lymph node (n = 5) tissues (GSE50192)¹², were retrieved. Gene expression data of pediatric T-ALL (n = 10) and T-LBL (n = 20) samples analyzed by Affymetrix HG-U133Plus2.0 GeneChip arrays were also retrieved from GEO (GSE29986)⁵.

The study was approved by the Regional and/or National Ethics Committees and the patients and/or their guardians provided informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

DNA Extraction and bisulfite conversion

DNA extracted from freshly frozen lymph nodes and bone marrow tissue samples were sodium bisulfite converted using Zymo EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

DNA Methylation array analysis, CIMP classification, and epigenetic and mitotic age prediction

Illumina's HumanMethylation450K BeadChip arrays (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) were used for genomewide methylation profiling of bisulfite converted DNA. These arrays interrogate 485,577 CpG sites across the genome and the average beta (avg. β) methylation level of each CpG site (CpGs) ranges from 0 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated).

The raw methylation data was extracted using the Genome Studio software (Illumina), and the data was preprocessed, normalized and filtered, as described previously⁶ (Supplementary Fig. S1). The methylation data were corrected for the bias introduced by different bead types in the methylation array (BMIQ normalization)¹³, and batch-effect corrected using ChAMP Bioconductor package version $2.8.9^{14}$ with default parameters.

CIMP classification by the 1293 CpGs CIMP panel was carried out as previously described⁶. Briefly, patient samples with >40% methylated CpGs (avg. β > 0.4) in the CIMP panel were classified as CIMP high (CIMP+) whereas patients with ≤40% methylated CpGs were classified as CIMP low (CIMP–).

DNA methylation-based models were used to predict epigenetic and mitotic $age^{15,16}$.

Differential methylation analysis

Differential methylation analysis of promoter-associated CpGs i.e., CpG sites located up to 1500 base pair upstream of genes, (n = 146035 CpGs) was performed between T-ALL and T-LBL using ChAMP¹⁴ (Supplementary Fig. S1). Batch effect corrected methylation data were analyzed using default parameters and significant differentially methylated CpGs (DM-CpGs) with adjusted p-value < 0.05 and absolute delta beta ≥ 0.3 were retained (Supplementary Fig. S1). Functional analysis was performed using the functional annotation clustering tool in Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.7¹⁷. Clusters including more than 10 genes and with an enrichment score >1.0 were selected as significant (Supplementary Table S1).

CNV analysis by DNA methylation arrays

The raw signal intensity data from the HumanMethylation450K arrays was imported to R by the minfi package¹⁸ and CNV analysis was performed by the conumee package¹⁹, where two T-ALL complete remission bone marrow samples were used as reference. Parameters and limits for deletion and gains were set for each individual array through manual inspection. The CNV analysis included four complete remission samples as negative controls in order to identify possible false positives due to technical biases or germline variation. CNVs identified by the segmentation with fewer than 10 observations, as defined by the conumee package, were excluded from the analysis. CNV segments overlapping in three or more samples in either T-ALL or T-LBL and in fewer than three of the four negative controls were summarized with the minimal common region. One of the samples was excluded as the limits could not be set with any certainty due to high variation. Group comparisons of CNVs were performed using Fisher's exact test on individual CNVs. Human genome GRCh37 (NCBI)/ hg19 (UCSC) was used for assigning all chromosome positions.

CNV analysis by genome-wide SNP array

Genome-wide copy number variation (CNV) analysis was performed using whole-genome single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) Infinium CytoSNP-850K v1.1 BeadChip microarrays (Illumina), covering approximately 850 000 SNPs. In accordance with the manufacturers' protocol, 200 ng DNA was hybridized on a beadchip after whole-genome amplification, after which the arrays were scanned using the HiScan instrument (Illumina). Genotyping results were visualized, normalized and clustered using Genotyping module of the GenomeStudio software (Illumina). The cnvPartition 3.2.0 (Illumina) was applied for CNV detection by retrieving Log R Ratio (LRR, the ratio between the observed and the expected probe intensity) and the B Allele Frequency (BAF). Deviations from the expected values indicate copy number alterations. Human genome GRCh37 (NCBI)/hg19 (UCSC) was used for assigning all chromosome positions.

Bioinformatic and statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) of centrally scaled DNA methylation (avg. β values) and log2 scaled gene expression (average signal values) data was carried out using SIMCA version 14 (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). For statistical analysis, the Statistical Package for the Social

Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) software version 24 was used along with R.

Statistical tests included Fisher's exact test for comparing categorical variables and independent samples *T*test for comparing continuous variables. Welch's two sample *T*-test was used to compare log2 transformed gene expression data. Cluster analyses were performed using Wards method with Euclidean distance metric.

Results

Demographic data

A total of 15 T-LBL (7 adult and 8 pediatric), 77 T-ALL (12 adult and 65 pediatric) patient samples, and four complete remission samples were analyzed using DNA methylation arrays. Publicly available DNA methylation array data of normal bone marrow and lymph node samples¹², as well as sorted CD3+ and CD34+ cells¹¹ were further included as reference samples. There were no significant differences in age and gender distribution between T-ALL and T-LBL patients, and both malignancies showed higher prevalence in males (Table 1).

The T-ALL and T-LBL patient samples were CIMP classified according to the previously defined CIMP panel, consisting of 1293 $CpGs^6$. Irrespective of disease (T-ALL vs. T-LBL) and age group, the frequency of CIMP+ was similar (61.5–71.4%) (Table 1).

Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling

Genome-wide DNA methylation patterns across adult and pediatric T-ALL and T-LBL patient samples along with reference samples were explored by principal component analysis (PCA) analysis on batch-effect corrected DNA methylation array data (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S2). The PCA plots were generated using center scaled beta (avg. β) values of the genome-wide CpGs retained after filtering (n = 397316), comprising of 76% generelated and 24% intergenic CpGs. The PCA did not project T-ALL and T-LBL as separate clusters, nor on patients' age group in the first and second principal components (Fig. 1). However, the non-malignant reference samples (bone marrow and lymph node origin)

 Table 1
 Demographic data of T-ALL and T-LBL study cohort.

	T-ALL		T-LBL		T-ALL vs. T-LBL (adult/pediatric) <i>p</i> -values			
	Adult	Pediatric	Adult	Pediatric				
No. of samples	12	65	7	8	-			
Male/female (% male)	8/4 (66.7%)	43/22 (66.2%)	5/2 (71.4%)	7/1 (87.5%)	1/0.42 ^a			
Age, years (median, range)	35 (21–65)	7 (1–17)	33 (19–77)	10.5 (3–18)	0.91/0.34 ^b			
CIMP status, +/- (% CIMP+)	8/4 (66.7%)	40/25 (61.5%)	5/2 (71.4%)	5/3 (62.5%)	1/1 ^a			

^aFisher's exact test, ^bIndependent samples *T*-test.

clustered separately from the T-ALL and T-LBL samples, reaffirming large methylomic variation between normal and malignant tissues. Notably, CIMP status separated the malignant samples into CIMP+ enriched cluster and CIMP- enriched cluster. This shows the presence of CIMP subgroups in both T-ALL and T-LBL (Fig. 1). Similar clustering was observed upon performing PCA

using only promoter-associated CpG sites (Supplementary Fig. S2).

CIMP subgroups have differential predicted cellular age

Adult T-ALL samples and pediatric/adult T-LBL samples were CIMP classified based on the CIMP panel identified in pediatric T-ALL⁶. This analysis confirmed





Fig. 2 Validation of CIMP subgroups in 1-ALL and 1-LBL. a The heat map shows average B values of 1293 CpGs (as rows) in the CIMP panel of 1-ALL and T-LBL samples along with reference samples including tumor-free bone marrow remission samples (n = 4) and sorted CD3++ (n = 3) and CD3+ (n = 3) cells. The samples (columns) are sorted according to increasing CIMP methylation percentage. **b** Epigenetic and mitotic age, predicted using Horvath and Yang et al. models^{15,16}, compared between CIMP subgroups in T-ALL and T-LBL.

the presence of CIMP subgroups in all age groups of T-LBL and T-ALL (Fig. 2a).

The CIMP subgroups in T-LBL and adult T-ALL had similar characteristics as the CIMP subgroups in pediatric T-ALL⁹ (Fig. 2a). The methylation profile of the CIMP– malignant samples were closer to the CIMP profile of the normal reference samples (complete remission samples and normal sorted CD34+ and CD3+ T-cells), whereas the CIMP+ samples were more hypermethylated compared to the reference samples (Fig. 2a).

The CIMP subgroups in pediatric T-ALL have been previously shown to have differential replicative histories⁹, reflected by significant differences in predicted epigenetic and mitotic age^{9,15,16}. Similar differences in epigenetic age and mitotic age were shown in the CIMP subgroups within T-LBL and adult T-ALL, with the CIMP+ samples having an older predicted epigenetic¹⁵ and mitotic age¹⁶ (Fig. 2b).

Differential DNA methylation analysis between T-ALL and T-LBL

Despite the overlapping global DNA methylation patterns, T-ALL and T-LBL have different patterns of disease investigate methylomic differences between T-ALL and T-LBL, differential methylation analysis was performed using the ChAMP algorithm focusing on promoter-associated CpG sites (n = 146,035). To avoid identifying age-related DNA methylation differences, differential methylation analysis was carried out firstly, between all T-ALL (n = 77) and T-LBL (n = 15) samples, secondly, between adult T-ALL (n = 12) and adult T-LBL (n = 7) and lastly, between pediatric T-ALL (n = 65) and pediatric T-LBL (n = 8) (Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Table S2). A total of 634 differentially methylated CpGs (DM-CpGs), with an adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 and absolute delta β (abs $\Delta\beta$) value \geq 0.3, were common between all three analyses (Fig. 3a–b).

distribution and clinical manifestation. In order to

Hierarchical clustering separated the 634 DM-CpGs and analysis was focused on the two clusters (cluster 2 and 4) that were not associated with CIMP class (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. S3). Cluster 2, consisting of 24 DM-CpGs hypermethylated in T-LBL and cluster 4, with 104 DM-CpGs hypomethylated in T-LBL were identified as DM-CpGs with the most variable methylation profile between T-ALL and T-LBL samples, and not associated



Fig. 3 Differential DNA methylation analysis between T-ALL and T-LBL. a Venn diagram showing the common, overlapping 634 DM-CpGs between T-ALL and T-LBL. **b** Heat map of avg,β values of the 634 DM-CpGs in T-ALL, T-LBL and non-malignant reference samples. The hierarchical cluster analysis of the DM-CpGs generated four clusters of CpG sites which are marked on the right. **c** Heat map showing 128 DM-CpGs from cluster 2 and 4 (from Fig. 3b), that represent methylomic differences between T-ALL and T-LBL, independent of CIMP profiles. **d** PCA of the 128 DM-CpGs showing the separation of T-ALL and T-LBL samples, based on tissue type, age group and CIMP status.

with CIMP profiles (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig. S3). PCA using avg. β values of the 128 DM-CpGs (from clusters 2 and 4) clearly separated T-ALL and T-LBL samples, without discriminating between different patient age groups or the CIMP phenotype (Fig. 3d). Furthermore, the methylation signature of the 128 DM-CpGs did not separate the non-malignant lymph nodes and bone marrow reference samples, showing that the DM-CpGs did not represent tissue-specific methylation differences between T-ALL and T-LBL (Fig. 3d).

Functional relevance of DM-CpGs between T-ALL and T-LBL evaluated by integrated gene expression analysis

The potential functional relevance of the 128 DM-CpGs was evaluated by retrieving publicly available gene expression array data of T-ALL (n = 10) and T-LBL (n =20)⁵. The public gene expression data⁵ was used to evaluate whether the transcriptomic profile of the genes, corresponding to the identified 128 DM-CpGs, also distinguished between T-ALL and T-LBL. The 128 DM-CpGs mapped to a total of 110 unique genes out of which gene expression data were available for 100 genes (219 gene expression probes) in 10 T-ALL and 20 T-LBL pediatric patients⁵. PCA of the log2-transformed average signal values of 219 gene expression probes clustered T-ALL and T-LBL samples separately (Fig. 4a). This showed that the differentially methylated CpG loci identified in our cohort of T-ALL and T-LBL patients, also separated the two diseases based on the corresponding gene expression profile in a separate cohort of T-ALL and T-LBL patients⁵ (Fig. 4a). Gene enrichment analysis of these 110 genes, revealed significant enrichment of transmembrane and membrane-associated proteins (Supplementary Table S1).

An integrated DNA methylation and gene expression analysis using Basso's cohort was performed for the top ten most significant DM-CpGs (Supplementary Table S3). Amongst the top most significant DM-CpGs, two sites hypomethylated in T-LBL compared to T-ALL, mapped to the shared promoter region of *SGCE* and *PEG10* genes (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Table S3). The expression of the *SGCE* and *PEG10* genes was significantly differentially expressed in Basso's cohort (*SGCE* log2FC 3.98, p < 0.001and *PEG10* log2FC 1.87, p < 0.001) with a higher gene expression in T-LBL than in T-ALL (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table S3).

Copy number variation analysis identified a higher frequency of Ch13q14.2 deletions in T-LBL

To explore and compare the genomic landscape of T-ALL and T-LBL, we screened for recurrent deletions or gains in patient samples using the HumanMethylation450K arrays. A total of 17 chromosomal regions were identified with recurrent copy number variations out of which, a majority were common in both T-ALL and T-LBL patients (Fig. 5a, Table 2). Deletion in the CDKN2A/2B locus (9p21.3), a known recurrent deletion in T-ALL and T-LBL, was detected in 10% of T-ALL and 14% of T-LBL in our cohort (Table 2).

However, two CNVs, namely gain of 5p13.1-p15.33 (1 vs. 21%, p = 0.013) and deletion of 13q13.13-q14.3 (0 vs. 36%, p < 0.001), were significantly overrepresented in T-LBL samples as compared with T-ALL samples (Fig. 5a-b, Table 2). Focused analysis of the 13q14.13-q14.3 region identified 13q14.2 as the minor common genomic deletion region in the five T-LBL patients positive for the deletion. This region encompassed the tumor suppressor gene *RB1*, microRNA genes *MIR15A* and *MIR16-1*, as well as the *DLEU1* gene (Fig. 5c).

In order to validate the 13q14.2 deletions and gains in chromosome 5 in T-LBL, all fifteen T-LBL samples were further analyzed by CytoSNP-850K arrays (Table 3, Supplementary Table S4). SNP array analysis verified the gain of all or parts of chromosome 5 in three (21%) of the T-LBL samples (Supplementary Table S4). The recurrent 13q14.2 deletions in five (36%) of the T-LBL samples were also confirmed by SNP-array analysis (Table 3, Fig. 5c).

Discussion

The biology behind the different clinical manifestation in T-ALL and T-LBL is still not well established. Despite overlapping immunophenotypic and morphological features, T-ALL and T-LBL have divergent clinical manifestation, with lymph nodes/extramedullary tissue infiltration associated with T-LBL and a predominant bone marrow infiltration in T-ALL. By high-resolution genome-wide DNA methylation and copy number variation detection arrays, we aimed at exploring the methylomic and genomic landscape in T-ALL and T-LBL to identify molecular markers that could differentiate between the two diseases and could also help evaluate the biology behind the differential pattern of primary disease distribution. Neither global, nor genome-wide promoterfocused DNA methylation analysis by PCA, could separate T-ALL patients from T-LBL patients or distinguish between adult and pediatric patients. It has been shown previously that adult and pediatric T-ALL have significant overlap of leukemia-specific genetic and cytogenetic lesions^{20,21}. It can therefore be speculated that adult and pediatric cases also have similar methylation profiles, as demonstrated by the co-clustering of adult and pediatric patients in our multivariate analysis. The largest variation in global and promoter-associated DNA methylation pattern between the patient samples was based on CIMP status, verifying the presence of epigenetic CIMP subgroups in a broader set of T-cell malignancies including pediatric and adult T-LBL and adult T-ALL patients, which has not been shown before^{6,7,9}. Even though the



(yellow) and non-malignant lymph node (cyan) and bone marrow (blue) reference samples. The significant differentially methylated CpG sites are marked and the 95% confidence interval, based on the T distribution, is represented by dashed lines while the mean avg. β is represented as a solid line. **c** Boxplots comparing the gene expression of neighboring *SGCE* and *PEG10* genes in T-ALL (n = 10) and T-LBL (n = 20) patient cohort (Basso, Mussolin et al. 2011). The *p*-value is determined by Welch's two sample *T*-test.



ALL (n = 77) and T-LBL (n = 15) patients samples analyzed by HumanMethylation450K arrays. Samples are sorted as T-ALL and T-LBL and with increasing CIMP methylation percentage within the diagnoses. **b**-**c** Chromosome 13q14.2 deletions in 5 T-LBL patients analyzed by HumanMethylation450K arrays (**b**) and by Infinium CytoSNP-850K arrays (**c**). Interesting genes mapped within the minimal common region 13q14.2 are labeled.

Chr	Start	Stop	Ideogram CNV region	CNV type	T-ALL (n = 77)	T-LBL (n = 14)	Proportion with CNV T-ALL (%)	Proportion with CNV T-LBL (%)	<i>p</i> -value ^a
1	6,050,001	7,300,000	1p36.23-p36.31	Deletion	3	1	4	7	0.516
4	153,900 001	154,600 000	4q31.3	Deletion	4	0	5	0	1.000
5	10,001	40,650 000	5p13.1-p15.33	Gain	1	3	1	21	0.013*
5	100,250 001	106,300 000	5q21.1-q21.3	Deletion	3	1	4	7	0.516
5	170,750 001	180,905 260	5q35.1-q35.3	Deletion	4	1	5	7	1.000
6	80,250 001	88,400 000	6q14.1-q15	Deletion	11	0	14	0	0.201
7	148,850 001	153,550 000	7q36.1-q36.2	Deletion	3	1	4	7	0.516
8	40,800 001	43,838 887	8p11.1-p11.21	Gain	7	0	9	0	0.594
8	129,550 001	136,800 000	8q24.21-q24.23	Gain	8	1	10	7	1.000
9	21,400 001	23,850 000	9p21.3	Deletion	8	2	10	14	0.664
11	105,850 001	108,450 000	11q22.3	Deletion	4	2	5	14	0.252
12	12,500 001	14,100 000	12p13.1-p13.2	Deletion	6	1	8	7	1.000
13	46,950 001	51,500 000	13q14.13-q14.3	Deletion	0	5	0	36	<0.001*
13	84,450 001	115,109 878	13q31.1-q34	Gain	4	0	5	0	1.000
19	60,001	24,631 782	19p11-p13.3	Gain	3	1	4	7	0.516
19	48,500 001	59,118 983	19q13.33-q13.43	Gain	4	1	5	7	1.000
21	35,400 001	48,119 895	21q22.11-q22.3	Gain	4	0	5	0	1.000

 Table 2
 Copy number variation (CNV) analysis by HumanMeth450K arrays.

^aFisher's exact test, **p*-value < 0.05.

prognostic significance of CIMP profiling in T-LBL and adult T-ALL could not be validated because of limited cohort size, the CIMP subgroups in aforementioned diagnoses showed similar differences in cellular proliferative history, as shown previously by us in pediatric T-ALL CIMP subgroups⁹. The CIMP+ T-LBL and adult T-ALL patients had older predicted epigenetic and mitotic age compared to the CIMP- patients, which is in line with our previously published data⁹.

In order to identify specific genomic loci with differential DNA methylation between T-ALL and T-LBL, we performed differential methylation analysis in the promoter-associated CpG sites, considering the functional relevance of DNA methylation in promoters. A set of 128 DM-CpG sites was identified, that separated T-ALL and T-LBL as distinct clusters, without reflecting methylomic variations based on tissue type, age, or CIMP heterogeneity. Functional analysis of genes corresponding to the 128 DM-CpGs between T-ALL and T-LBL revealed an overrepresentation of membrane and transmembrane associated proteins. Gene expression signatures, discriminating T-ALL and T-LBL identified in previous studies, were also shown enriched in genes encoding cellular adhesion proteins and extracellular matrix proteins^{4,5}. This implies that T-ALL and T-LBL have biological differences that might govern the difference in their different disease manifestation. The most significant differentially methylated sites mapped to the shared CpGisland rich promoter region of Sarcoglycan-epsilon (SGCE) and its neighbor, paternally expressed gene 10 (PEG10), which are both maternally imprinted genes on gene encodes a transmembrane protein that links the actin cytoskeleton to extracellular matrix²³. However, deregulated PEG10 expression is associated with malignant transformation, affecting cell proliferation and apoptosis²⁴. Both PEG10 and SGCE are known to be overexpressed in high-risk B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL), with promoter DNA methylation regulating the gene expression²⁴. Overexpression of *PEG10* has also been implicated in progression of various solid cancers including hepatocellular carcinoma²⁵, pancreatic cancer²⁶, breast cancer²⁷ and prostate cancer²⁴. Overexpression of PEG10 in these solid tumors was shown to correlate with higher TNM stage and lymph node metastasis²⁸. Interestingly, long non-coding RNA PEG10, also encoded from 7q21, was shown upregulated in diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL), which correlated with worse prognosis²⁹. One of the oncogenic effects of *PEG10* in the solid cancers has been shown to promote metastatic migration and promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition of neoplastic cells²⁴. The overlapping epigenetic and transcriptomic disparities identified between T-ALL and T-LBL in our study might contribute to the different manifestations of the malignancies. However the functional relevance has to be further evaluated in order to conclude the epigenetic contribution to disease distribution.

chromosome 7g21²². PEG10 plays a vital role in placental

formation and differentiation of adipocytes while SGCE

Furthermore, we explored possible similarities and differences in genetic alterations between T-LBL and T-ALL. The most frequently commonly observed CNVs in T-ALL

Table 3	Verification	of CNV	analysis by	y C	ytoSNP-850K	v1.1	arrays.
---------	--------------	--------	-------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

CNV Ch 13q14.2 analysis

Sample ID	HumMeth450K array			CytoSNP-850K v1.1 array				
	Start	End	Deletion (yes/no)	Start	End	Deletion (yes/no)	Genotype	
T-LBL 1	38,900,001	54,850,000	Yes	40,021,077	46,802,657	Yes	Heterozygous	
T-LBL 2	40,250,001	56,200,000	Yes	40,363,878	59,634,447	Yes	Heterozygous	
				48,902,121	50,698,153	Yes	Homozygous	
T-LBL 3	46,950,001	88,350,000	Yes	46,893,680	88,924,926	Yes	Heterozygous	
				48,985,639	49,072,565	Yes	Homozygous	
				84,559,815	84,705,359	Yes	Homozygous	
T-LBL 4 ^a	-	-	NA	-	-	No	_	
T-LBL 5	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 6	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 7	30,400,001	51,500,000	Yes	29,499,142	51,21,608	Yes	Heterozygous	
T-LBL 8	31,800,001	60,000,000	Yes	31,926,477	58,586,236	Yes	Heterozygous	
T-LBL 9	-	-	No	-	-	No	_	
T-LBL 10	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 11	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 12	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 13	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 14	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	
T-LBL 15	-	-	No	-	-	No	-	

^aNA-inconclusive data.

and T-LBL was the deletion of 9p21.3 including the CDKN2A/2B loci. Previous studies have also identified 9p21.3 deletions commonly recurrent in both T-ALL and T-LBL^{2,30–32}.

Interestingly, we identified and validated a frequently deleted genomic region on chromosome 13q14.2 present in 36% (5/14) of the T-LBL samples, that was not observed in any T-ALL sample (0/77). Similarly, gain of all or parts of chromosome 5 were observed in T-LBL patients in our study cohort. Trisomy 5, gain of the whole chromosome 5, is recurrent in hematological malignant cases with hyperdiploid karyotype (chromosome number >50). Our findings are in line with a previous study that reported hyperdiploid karyotype significantly more frequent in T-LBL compared to T-ALL patients³³.

The presence of 13q14.2 deletions in T-LBL samples has also been described in a previous study that reported 13q14.2 deletions in 2 out of 12 T-LBL $(17\%)^{31}$. However, that study also observed 13q14.2 deletions in 6 out of 57 (11%) T-ALL patients, which were not seen in our Nordic T-ALL cohort³¹. The 13q14.2 region partially overlaps with the most commonly deleted region in B-cell chronic

lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL)³⁴⁻³⁶ and contains RB1, KCNRG, TRIM13, DLEU1/2, MIR16-1, and MIR15A genes. In addition, 13q14 deletions have been observed in other hematological malignancies including mantle cell leukemia, multiple myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia^{37–40}. One of the downstream inhibitory targets of MIR16-1 and MIR15A include the anti-apoptotic BCL2 gene. In B-CLL, loss of 13q14.2 region has been shown associated with reduced MIR16-1 and MIR15A expression and increased BCL2 mRNA levels⁴¹. Another study showed through Zebrafish modeling, that increased expression of BCL2 favored the development of T-LBL over T-ALL⁴². However, further functional analyses are required to elucidate the link between 13q14.2 deletions, BCL2 overexpression and T-LBL biology. If 13q14.2 deletions and subsequent BCL2 overexpression are validated as potential oncogenic events in T-LBL, the next step would be to evaluate the efficacy of drugs like Venetoclax, for treating 13q14.2 deleted T-LBL patients. Venetoclax, a selective inhibitor of BCL2, is currently used as a targeted therapy for treating CLL⁴³ and acute myeloid leukemia⁴⁴, but its efficacy in treating T-LBL patients is yet to be determined.

In conclusion, we showed that DNA methylation CIMP subgroups, with prognostic significance in pediatric T-ALL, are also present in adult T-ALL, as well as in pediatric and adult T-LBL, suggesting a broader relevance of CIMP classification in T-cell malignancies. Furthermore, epigenetic and genetic profiling revealed molecular differences between T-ALL and T-LBL, which may contribute to the differential biology of the two neoplasms.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Childhood Cancer Foundation, the Medical Faculty of Umeå University, the Kempe Foundation, Lion's Cancer Research Foundation, Umeå University, and Uppsala-Umeå Comprehensive Cancer Consortium. Financial support was provided through a regional agreement between Umeå University and Västerbottens County Council on cooperation in the field of Medicine, Odontology, and Health. Open access funding was provided by Umeå University.

Author details

¹Department of Medical Biosciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. ²Department of Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. ³Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, and Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Tromsø and University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway. ⁵New Children's Hospital, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁶Department of Clinical Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. ⁷Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the study: Z.H., M.H., S.D. Collection of data: M.E., U.N. N., M.H., K.S., T.F., J.K. Performed the experiments: Z.H., I.G. Analyzed the data: Z. H., M.L., I.G., M.H., S.D. Wrote the paper: Z.H. and S.D. wrote the first draft and all authors contributed to the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41408-020-0310-9).

Received: 23 October 2019 Revised: 1 April 2020 Accepted: 9 April 2020 Published online: 28 April 2020

References

- Swerdlow, S. H. et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues Revised 4th edn. (International Agency for Research on Cancer, France, 2017).
- Burkhardt, B. et al. Impact of cranial radiotherapy on central nervous system prophylaxis in children and adolescents with central nervous systemnegative stage III or IV lymphoblastic lymphoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 24, 491–9 (2006).
- Bonn, B. R. et al. Incidence and prognostic relevance of genetic variations in Tcell lymphoblastic lymphoma in childhood and adolescence. *Blood.* 121, 3153–60 (2013).
- Raetz, E. A. et al. Gene expression profiling reveals intrinsic differences between T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 47, 130–40 (2006).

- Basso, K. et al. T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma shows differences and similarities with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia by genomic and gene expression analyses. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **50**, 1063–75 (2011).
- Borssen, M. et al. DNA methylation adds prognostic value to minimal residual disease status in pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatric Blood Cancer* 63, 1185–92 (2016).
- Borssen, M. et al. Promoter DNA methylation pattern identifies prognostic subgroups in childhood T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *PLoS ONE*. 8, e65373 (2013).
- Nordlund, J. et al. Genome-wide signatures of differential DNA methylation in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Genome Biol.* 14, r105 (2013).
- Haider, Z. et al. An integrated transcriptome analysis in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia links DNA methylation subgroups to dysregulated TAL1 and ANTP homeobox gene expression. *Cancer Med.* 8, 311–24 (2019).
- Arber, D. A. et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood.* **127**, 2391–405 (2016).
- 11. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N et al. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. **368**, 2059–74 (2013).
- 12. Lokk, K et al. DNA methylome profiling of human tissues identifies global and tissue-specific methylation patterns. *Genome Biol.* **15**, r54 (2014).
- Teschendorff, A. E. et al. A beta-mixture quantile normalization method for correcting probe design bias in Illumina Infinium 450 k DNA methylation data. *Bioinformatics.* 29, 189–96 (2013).
- 14. Tian, Y. et al. ChAMP: updated methylation analysis pipeline for Illumina BeadChips. *Bioinformatics.* **33**, 3982–4 (2017).
- Horvath, S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. *Genome Biol.* 14, R115 (2013).
- Yang, Z. et al. Correlation of an epigenetic mitotic clock with cancer risk. Genome Biol. 17, 205 (2016).
- Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57 (2009).
- Aryee, M. J. et al. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. *Bioinformatics.* 30, 1363–9 (2014).
- Hovestadt, V. & Zapatka, M. Conumee: enhanced copy-number variation analysis using Illumina DNA methylation arrays. R package version 1.9.0 (2017).
- Okamoto, R. et al. Genomic profiling of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia by single nucleotide polymorphism oligonucleotide microarray and comparison to pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 95, 1481–8 (2010).
- Liu, Y. et al. The genomic landscape of pediatric and young adult T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 1211–1218 (2017).
- Kainz, B. et al. Overexpression of the paternally expressed gene 10 (PEG10) from the imprinted locus on chromosome 7q21 in high-risk B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Int. J. Cancer* **121**, 1984–93 (2007).
- Hishida, T., Naito, K., Osada, S., Nishizuka, M. & Imagawa, M. peg10, an imprinted gene, plays a crucial role in adipocyte differentiation. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 4272–8 (2007).
- 24. Xie, T. et al. PEG10 as an oncogene: expression regulatory mechanisms and role in tumor progression. *Cancer Cell Int.* **18**, 112 (2018).
- Bang, H., Ha, S. Y., Hwang, S. H. & Park, C. K. Expression of PEG10 is associated with poor survival and tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res. Treat.* 47, 844–52 (2015).
- Peng, Y. P. et al. PEG10 overexpression induced by E2F-1 promotes cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in pancreatic cancer. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 36, 30 (2017).
- 27. Li, C. M. et al. PEG10 is a c-MYC target gene in cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 66, 665–72 (2006).
- Ge, H., Yan, Y., Wu, D., Huang, Y. & Tian, F. Prognostic value of PEG10 in Asian solid tumors: a meta-analysis. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 483, 197–203 (2018).
- Peng, W., Fan, H., Wu, G., Wu, J. & Feng, J. Upregulation of long noncoding RNA PEG10 associates with poor prognosis in diffuse large B cell lymphoma with facilitating tumorigenicity. *Clin. Exp. Med.* 16, 177–82 (2016).
- Lones, M. A. et al. Chromosome abnormalities in advanced stage lymphoblastic lymphoma of children and adolescents: a report from CCG-E08. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* 172, 1–11 (2007).
- Schraders, M. et al. High-resolution genomic profiling of pediatric lymphoblastic lymphomas reveals subtle differences with pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemias in the B-lineage. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* **191**, 27–33 (2009).

- Uyttebroeck, A. et al. Treatment of childhood T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma according to the strategy for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, without radiotherapy: long term results of the EORTC CLG 58881 trial. *Eur. J. Cancer* 44, 840–6 (2008).
- 33. Sekimizu, M. et al. Chromosome abnormalities in advanced stage T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma of children and adolescents: a report from Japanese Paediatric Leukaemia/Lymphoma Study Group (JPLSG) and review of the literature. *Br. J. Haematol.* **154**, 612–7 (2011).
- Orlandi, E. M. et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia with del13q14 as the sole abnormality: dynamic prognostic estimate by interphase-FISH. *Hematol. Oncol.* 31, 136–42 (2013).
- Yi, S. et al. The prognostic significance of 13q deletions of different sizes in patients with B-cell chronic lymphoproliferative disorders: a retrospective study. Int. J. Hematol. 106, 418–25 (2017).
- Palamarchuk, A. et al. 13q14 deletions in CLL involve cooperating tumor suppressors. *Blood.* 115, 3916–22 (2010).
- Heerema, N. A. et al. Abnormalities of chromosome bands 13q12 to 13q14 in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 18, 3837–44 (2000).
- 38. Kovacs, B. Z., Niggli, F. K. & Betts, D. R. Aberrations involving 13q12 approximately q14 are frequent secondary events in childhood

acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* **151**, 157–61 (2004).

- Moorman, A. V. et al. Prognostic effect of chromosomal abnormalities in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: results from the UK Medical Research Council ALL97/99 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **11**, 429–38 (2010).
- Harewood, L. et al. Amplification of AML1 on a duplicated chromosome 21 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a study of 20 cases. *Leukemia*. 17, 547–53 (2003).
- Lin, K. et al. Loss of MIR15A and MIR16-1 at 13q14 is associated with increased TP53 mRNA, de-repression of BCL2 and adverse outcome in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br. J. Haematol.* 167, 346–55 (2014).
- Feng, H. et al. T-lymphoblastic lymphoma cells express high levels of BCL2, S1P1, and ICAM1, leading to a blockade of tumor cell intravasation. *Cancer Cell.* 18, 353–66 (2010).
- Roberts, A. W. et al. Targeting BCL2 with venetoclax in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 374, 311–22 (2016).
- Konopleva, M. et al. Efficacy and biological correlates of response in a phase II study of venetoclax monotherapy in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. *Cancer Discov.* 6, 1106–17 (2016).