



Review Article

Cellular and Molecular Mediators of Intestinal Fibrosis

Ian C. Lawrance,^{a,b,*} Gerhard Rogler,^c Giorgos Bamias,^d Christine Breynaert,^{e,f}
Jon Florholmen,^g Gianluca Pellino,^h Shimon Reif,ⁱ Silvia Specca,^j Giovanni Latella,^k

^aCentre for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, Fremantle Hospital, Fremantle, WA, Australia ^bUniversity Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Fremantle Hospital, Fremantle, WA, Australia ^cDivision of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ^dAcademic Department of Gastroenterology, Ethnikon and Kapodistriakon University of Athens, Laikon Hospital, Athens, Greece ^eDepartment of Immunology and Microbiology, Laboratory of Clinical Immunology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium ^fDepartment of General Internal Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium ^gResearch Group of Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Arctic University of Norway and University Hospital of Northern Norway, Tromsø, Norway ^hGeneral Surgery Unit, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy ⁱDepartment of Pediatrics, Tel-Aviv Souraski Medical Center, Tel-Aviv, Israel ^jNational Institute of Health and Medical Research-INSERM, Unit U995, Lille, France ^kDepartment of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, Gastroenterology Unit, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy

* Corresponding author at: Ian C. Lawrance, School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Level 5, T block, Fremantle Hospital, Alma Street, Fremantle, 6159, WA, Australia. Tel.: +61 6194313333; fax: +61 6194313160; email: ian.lawrance@uwa.edu.au

Abstract

Intestinal fibrosis is a major complication of the inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) and although inflammation is necessary for its development, it would appear that it plays a minor role in its progression as anti-inflammatory treatments in IBD do not prevent fibrosis once it has started. The processes that regulate fibrosis would thus appear to be distinct from those regulating inflammation and, therefore, a detailed understanding of these pathways is vital to the development of anti-fibrogenic strategies. There have been several recent reviews exploring what is known, and what remains unknown, about the development of intestinal fibrosis. This review is designed to add to this literature but with a focus on the cellular components that are involved in the development of fibrogenesis and the major molecular mediators that impact on these cells. The aim is to heighten the understanding of the factors involved in intestinal fibrogenesis so that detailed research can be encouraged in order to advance the processes that could lead to effective treatments.

Key Words: inflammatory bowel disease; intestinal fibrosis; myofibroblast; fibroblast; extracellular matrix; growth factor; interleukin; chemokine

1. Introduction

Intestinal fibrosis is a common and serious complication of the inflammatory bowel disease (IBDs). It is a consequence of the chronic, recurrent, or unresolved, intestinal inflammation that leads to ongoing tissue damage without reconstitution of the tissue structure resulting in excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition

and loss of normal function.¹ In ulcerative colitis (UC) the inflammation is localized to the submucosa and thus ongoing inflammation can result in colonic shortening and a loss of normal colonic structure leading to the 'lead pipe' appearance of the colon.

In Crohn's disease (CD), however, the inflammation is frequently transmural and while most patients initially present with purely

inflammatory disease, within 10 years of diagnosis more than 70% of patients will develop a stricturing or perforating complication.² As a consequence, over a third of CD patients will have intestinal narrowing and obstruction necessitating surgery.^{3,4} Surgery, however, does not prevent disease recurrence with up to 70% of patients suffering recurrent endoscopic disease at 1 year, nor does it prevent fibrotic changes and need for recurrent surgery. CD is a life-long, incurable, disabling inflammatory disorder frequently diagnosed between 15 and 35 years that is continuing to increase in frequency worldwide. In developed countries, the prevalence of IBD is 100–220/100,000 population,^{5,6} it is associated with high morbidity, reduced employment opportunities and earning capacity as well as school and work absenteeism and increased use of disability services. In Canada, the direct cost for patient medical management was \$1.2 billion in 2012 while the indirect societal and patient cost, such as long-term work loss, was an additional \$1.6 billion.⁷

Despite great progress in the understanding and management of IBD inflammation, almost no progress has been made in the development of anti-fibrotic therapies in IBD. This lack is due in part to a lack of understanding of the principle, and more specific cellular and molecular, pathways that can lead to fibrosis. It is also notable that despite the advances in inflammation control in IBD, the development of intestinal fibrosis has not significantly altered and can still present many years after control of the inflammation.^{8,9} Although inflammation is needed for the initiation of the events that may result in fibrosis, observations suggest that the mechanisms promoting fibrosis are different to those that impact inflammation.^{10,11} The need for investigation into the mechanisms that underlie the development of intestinal fibrosis is thus vital to prevent long-term sequelae of disease and the resultant social and financial burdens.

There have been several recent reviews focusing on what is known, and highlighting what still needs to be investigated, into the mechanisms impacting intestinal fibrosis formation. This review aims to add to this literature with a more detailed discussion of the cellular and molecular mediations of fibrogenesis that have not been covered in the recent papers.

2. Cellular mediators of fibrosis

Recurrent, or persistent, epithelial injury is crucial for initiating and sustaining intestinal inflammation and fibrogenesis. Epithelial and endothelial damage release chemotactic factors promoting recruitment and activation of innate and adaptive inflammatory cells, as well as mesenchymal cell precursors of the activated myofibroblasts (Fig. 1).^{11,12} Myofibroblasts secrete ECM proteins and promote an altered cytokine milieu that supports the fibrotic process. Under normal conditions the fibrotic matrix is degraded by matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), myofibroblasts apoptosis, or reverts to a non-activated state, while the epithelium undergoes repair. Thus intestinal fibrosis is characterized by abnormal ECM deposition by activated myofibroblasts^{10,13–17} and constitutive activation of collagen secreting myofibroblasts is ultimately responsible for increased tissue stiffness and progressive organ dysfunction.¹⁸ This then is enhanced by the innate and adaptive immune systems, which promote fibrogenesis through the differentiation, recruitment, proliferation and activation of ECM-producing myofibroblast progenitors.^{11,12}

2.1. Innate and adaptive immune cells

The innate immune cells, monocytes, neutrophils, mast cells, eosinophils and basophils, produce pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic molecules like the interleukins (ILs), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF α), transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1 and platelet-derived growth

factor (PDGF). Innate immune signaling pathways, by provoking cellular activation and fibrosis, are also important drivers of myofibroblast transdifferentiation.

Monocyte-derived cells, including macrophages and dendritic cells, also impact both inflammation and fibrosis. These cells regulate the activated myofibroblast and their progenitors by direct effects on the matrix.^{12,19,20} The recruitment of distinct functional subsets of macrophages, and their relative concentrations during injury, can also determine whether the inflammatory response leads to tissue repair or fibrosis. Classically activated M1 pro-inflammatory macrophages are induced by interferon (IFN)- γ , TNF α or bacterial products, which activate MyD88 and NF- κ B. M1 macrophages activate myofibroblasts and fibrosis by both cytokine-dependent and independent mechanisms, and reactive oxygen species (ROS), by cause additional tissue injury and promoting myofibroblast resistance to apoptosis.

M2a macrophages are produced following exposure to IL-4 or -13, which signal through the common IL-4 receptor α , and through STAT6 activation. M2a macrophages produce crucial pro-fibrotic factors including TGF- β 1, connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), PDGF, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and insulin-like growth factor (IGF). M2c/reg (regulatory) phenotype macrophages occur following IL-10 exposure, are anti-fibrotic and act, in part, via STAT1 and NF- κ B inhibition. Regulatory macrophages (M2c/reg) inactivate myofibroblasts and inhibit M1- and M2a-type macrophages through the local production of IL-10 and/or Arginase-1 (Fig. 2). Macrophages can also change phenotype and function as tissue repair, or fibrosis, progresses, although the precise factors regulating these transitions *in vivo* remain poorly defined.

Neutrophils are quickly recruited after epithelial injury and remove tissue debris and kill invading microbes. If inflammatory neutrophils are not eliminated, they can exacerbate tissue-damage and promote ECM-myofibroblast activation through the release of pro-fibrotic cytokines, chemokines and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Mast cells and eosinophils promote fibrosis by recruiting inflammatory leukocytes and by producing pro-fibrotic mediators like TGF- β 1 and IL-13. Basophils have a less clear role in the development of fibrosis, although may be a source of type 2 cytokines.

Adaptive cells include T helper cell subsets (Th1, Th2, Th17), regulatory T cells (Tregs) and B cells. The Th17-type immune response is pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic. Th2-type immunity, defined by the production of IL-4, -5 and -13, is also potentially fibrogenic with IL-13 considered the dominant pro-fibrogenic mediator. By contrast, Th1-type immunity expressing IFN- γ may have anti-fibrotic activity (Fig. 2). The role of Tregs in fibrogenesis is less clear, although could suppress Th17- and Th2-driven fibrosis.¹¹

2.2. Myofibroblasts and their mesenchymal cell precursors

Myofibroblasts are derived from a variety of sources, not only resident mesenchymal cells, like fibroblasts (F α s), sub-epithelial myofibroblasts and smooth muscle cells (SMCs), but also from dedifferentiation of epithelial cells by epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT),²¹ and endothelial cells via epithelial/endothelial–mesenchymal transition, stellate cells, pericytes, and bone marrow stem cells.^{10,14–17} Bone marrow-derived circulating fibrocytes in particular, enter tissues following injury and contribute to healing and scarring.^{22,23} (Fig. 3)

The relative contribution that each cellular source has on the myofibroblast population in inflamed and fibrotic tissue, however,




Figure 1. Process of tissue repair and fibrosis. Epithelial and endothelial damage promotes the release of chemotactic factors that promote the recruitment and activation of innate and adaptive inflammatory cells, as well as mesenchymal cell precursors. The activated myofibroblasts then secrete ECM proteins and promote an altered cytokine milieu that further supports the fibrotic process instead of normal healing.




Figure 2. Intersection of immune response and fibrosis. Activated M1 pro-inflammatory macrophages activate myofibroblasts and fibrosis by both cytokine-dependent and independent mechanisms. M2a macrophages signal through STAT6 and produce crucial pro-fibrotic factors. M2c/reg (regulatory) phenotype macrophages are anti-fibrotic and inactivate myofibroblasts as well as inhibiting M1- and M2a-type macrophages. Th2-type T cells are potentially fibrogenic as is the Th17-type immune response. By contrast, Th1-type immunity may have anti-fibrotic activity. Treg’s role in fibrogenesis is less clear, but may suppress Th17- and Th2-driven fibrosis.

is not known. Also unknown are the major triggers that promote myofibroblast activation, the markers that identify the activated myofibroblast and if once activated the myofibroblast can be ‘deactivated,’ or if once activated this a ‘point of no return’ in intestinal fibrosis development.

2.2.1. Fibroblasts (Fø)

Fø (vimentin⁺, α-smooth muscle actin [α-SMA]⁻, desmin⁻),²¹ located in the interstitium of normal tissue, are central in maintaining structural integrity, healing and regeneration, while an increase in resident Fø populations is pivotal to fibrosis development.^{10,14–17} Fø isolated from IBD mucosa proliferate faster than normal and this increase also occurs after exposure to growth factors, pro-inflammatory

cytokines and after direct cell-to-cell contact with inflammatory cells.^{13,24,25} Fø migration patterns through the ECM also represent another aspect that contributes to the development of intestinal fibrosis. Fibronectin, which is synthesized in large quantities by Fø, is one of the most potent inducers of autocrine migration. Paracrine processes, driven by PDGF-A and B, IGF-I, epidermal-like growth factor (EGF), can also stimulate Fø migration in a fibronectin-dependent way²⁶ and it is noted that different fibronectin isoforms are able to impact on the rate of Fø migration.²⁷

Fø produce cytokines and chemokines that modify the quality, quantity and duration of inflammation.²⁸ Fø, however, also contribute to inflammation resolution by withdrawing several survival signals and normalizing chemokine gradients, allowing for the




Figure 3. Progenitors of intestinal activated myofibroblasts. The activated mesenchymal cells are central to fibrogenesis. These cells are derived from resident mesenchymal fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells as well as from dedifferentiation of epithelial cells by epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), and endothelial cells via epithelial/endothelial–mesenchymal transition, stellate cells, pericytes, and bone marrow stem cells.

infiltrating leukocytes to apoptose (programmed cell death) or leave the mucosa.²⁹ Their ability to produce, and respond to growth factors, also allows for paracrine interactions to occur and these maintain the homeostasis of adjacent cell types such as epithelial and endothelial cells. Cytoskeletal proteins, in association with cell surface integrins and the ECM, also facilitate cell motility and the generation of contractile forces is important in tissue homeostasis and wound healing²¹

The differentiation of F α s into ECM-producing myofibroblasts is controlled by the combined actions of IL-1 β , TGF- β 1 and mechanical tension,^{30–33} and this is associated with a distinct change in mRNA expression profiles.³¹ Increased tissue stiffness and decreased elasticity, result in mechanical stress that exacerbates tissue injury and promotes local α -SMA expression by F α s. Mechanical stress also induces EMT via TGF- β 1 driven mechanisms, Wnt- β -catenin and hyaluronan.^{32,33} Intestinal fibroblasts are thus main effector cells of gastrointestinal fibrosis and tissue repair.^{34,35}

2.2.2. Myofibroblasts

Myofibroblasts (vimentin⁺, α -SMA⁺, desmin⁻) are highly contractile cells that exhibit a “hybrid” phenotype between F α s and SMCs and, when activated, synthesize high levels of ECM.^{10,11,14,16,17,34} Besides roles in tissue growth and differentiation, myofibroblasts are central to wound healing and fibrosis. Two types of myofibroblasts occur within the intestine, the intestinal sub-epithelial myofibroblasts (SEMFs) and the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC).^{10,14,17,36,37} SEMFs are located primarily at the intestinal crypt base in the lamina propria, while the ICC are located in the submucosa and muscularis propria in association with the smooth-muscle layer.^{36,37} ICC are pacemaker cells, which regulate smooth muscle motility. Mediators which promote myofibroblast proliferation and ECM production are numerous including PDGF, EGF, IGF-1&2, CTGF, IL-1, IL-13, stem cell factor (SCF), endothelins (ET-1, -2, -3), angiotensin II (ANG II), TGF- α , TGF- β , bFGF and peroxisome proliferator activator receptor- γ (PPAR- γ).^{10,11,15,16,34} It is these activated myofibroblasts that are central to fibrosis.

While in other organs the source of ECM-producing myofibroblasts is restricted to a few cell types, in the intestine multiple cell

types may become activated ECM-producing myofibroblasts.^{10,15,16} These ECM-producing cells are activated by paracrine signals derived from immune and non-immune cells, autocrine factors, and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) derived from microorganisms that interact with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as toll-like receptors (TLRs).^{10,15,16} Myofibroblasts are also activated by products derived from injured cells, the ‘so-called’ damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) including DNA, RNA, ATP, HMGB, microvesicles, and fragments of ECM molecules.¹⁶

2.2.3. Smooth muscle cells (SMCs)

SMCs (vimentin⁻, α -SMA⁺, desmin⁺) are one of the three cell phenotypes into which intestinal mesenchymal cells can differentiate, and in chronic inflammation can trans-differentiate into myofibroblasts.^{10,15} A dynamic equilibrium thus exists between the myofibroblast and SMC phenotype and in pathological conditions the myofibroblast can express desmin and α -SMA indicating a myofibroblast phenotype more closely resembling the SMC. The SMC can also acquire the ultrastructural characteristics of the myofibroblast,³⁸ supporting the concept that SMCs are progenitors of the myofibroblast. It is suggested that the myofibroblast and SMC are cellular isoforms with a common ancestor cell and that the F α , together with the SMC, are embryologically derived from the same primitive mesenchymal cell. Within the intestine, the SMC is confined primarily to the muscularis mucosa and secretes collagen types I, III and V with a greater proportion of type III being secreted compared to the F α .³⁹

In UC, SMCs lead to considerable thickening of the muscularis mucosae and in CD to bowel wall thickening with potential stricture formation. These cells contribute to fibrogenesis in IBD by inducing collagen and MMP production in response to mediators, like TGF- β and IL-1 β . Activated SMCs also release significant amounts of IL-6.⁴⁰

2.2.4. Stellate cells

Stellate cells are mesenchymal cell precursors that contribute to retinoic acid metabolism, which impacts fibrosis and, when activated, may differentiate into myofibroblasts.^{10,41,42} Stellate cells are major contributors to fibrosis in the liver and pancreas.^{42,43} Only limited information, however, is available on intestinal stellate cells, but in IBD mucosa they differentiate into F α s faster than those from normal mucosa and proliferate faster, and produce collagen earlier and at higher levels.⁴³

2.2.5. Pericytes

Pericytes are derived from undifferentiated mesenchymal cells and they surround capillary and small blood vessel endothelial cells.⁴⁴ They reside at the interface between the endothelium and interstitium. Pericytes display an intermediate phenotype between vascular SMCs and F α s, and are defined as α -SMA⁺ and desmin⁻ vascular SMCs. Pericytes control endothelial cell differentiation, endothelial signalling, angiogenesis and ECM deposition.^{10,15,45} They represent a useful reserve of F α s during tissue repair and inflammation-associated fibrosis. Pericytes increase ECM deposition near blood vessels during the initial phase of fibrosis.⁴⁶ In a transplant model of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis, vascular SMCs and pericytes are recruited from the bone marrow,⁴⁷ however, due to a lack of good *in vitro* culture systems, only limited information about the role of pericytes in intestinal fibrosis is available.^{10,15}

2.2.6. Epithelial and endothelial cell transformation

The main intestinal fibrogenic cells may also be derived from non-mesenchymal cells, including epithelial and endothelial cells via transformation. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT) are characterized by dramatic changes in cell phenotype and function.^{48,49} Epithelial/endothelial cells assume a spindle-shaped morphology, lose classical cell markers and gain $\text{F}\alpha$, or myofibroblast, markers. In animal models, and human primary cells cultures, EMT and EndoMT contribute to intestinal fibrogenesis, and TGF- β 1 is clearly involved in EMT and EndoMT.^{48,49} Various other cytokines and growth factors may foster, or accelerate, this transition, including IGF-1 and 2, EGF, FGF-2, IL-1 β and TNF α . ECM molecules like fibronectin and fibrin may promote cellular transition as can disruption of the basement membrane.⁵⁰ Interestingly, ROS also induces EMT, while hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) antagonize the transformation *in vitro* and *in vivo*, while bone-morphogenetic protein-7 (BMP-7) not only prevents, but also therapeutically can reversing EMT, an HGF overexpression prevents fibrosis.^{51–53}

2.2.7. Bone marrow stem cells

The bone marrow contains hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells. Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) give rise to three classes of blood cells, whereas mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can differentiate into other cell types, including myofibroblasts.^{54,55} The peripheral blood fibrocyte is a bone marrow-derived progenitor for mesenchymal cells.^{10,15,56} Fibrocytes co-express hematopoietic and mesenchymal markers and produce typical $\text{F}\alpha$ proteins like CD34, CD45, CD14, collagens and α -SMA. In normal conditions, they mature before entering the tissue, where they differentiate into tissue-resident macrophages and dendritic cells.⁵⁷ In inflammation, fibrocytes migrate into the inflamed tissue in a CCR2-mediated way where they then differentiate into epithelial, endothelial, neuronal and mesenchymal cells.⁵⁸

Fibrocytes are distinguished from MSCs, as they are CD90 positive and fail to express CD34, CD45, and monocyte markers. In animal models, a causal link between the accumulation of fibrocytes and fibrosis has been demonstrated and this appears to be involved in intestinal repair and fibrosis in IBD.^{10,17,56} IL-1, TGF- β and Serum Amyloid P (SAP) modulate fibrocyte function leading to fibrosis. Fibrocytes themselves also produce growth factors, inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that in turn promote resident $\text{F}\alpha$ proliferation and their differentiation into myofibroblasts.^{17,56}

3. Cellular proliferation, apoptosis and autophagy

An exquisite equilibrium exists between cell proliferation and apoptosis in order to maintain physiological homeostasis within the intestine. In fibrosis, however, there are greater numbers of ECM-producing cells secondary to an increase in proliferation and a decrease in apoptosis.^{10,15,16} As apoptosis mediates the reduction in myofibroblast numbers during fibrosis resolution, the induction of myofibroblasts apoptosis could be profoundly anti-fibrotic.³⁵

The main regulators of apoptosis include the caspases, Bcl-2, Bax, p53 and focal adhesion kinase (FAK). Caspases are a family of cysteine-dependent aspartate-directed proteases integral to apoptosis. Caspases are either initiators, or effectors, of apoptosis, depending on where they enter the cell death process. Bcl-2 is the prototype anti-apoptotic protein as it blocks the recruitment, and activation, of pro-apoptotic proteins in the mitochondria, such as Bax. FAK inhibits the activity of p53 with the transcriptional targets

p21, while Bax and Mdm-2 work through protein–protein interactions. NOD2 and ATG16L1 (an autophagy gene) are also expressed by myofibroblasts and enhance apoptosis through the induction of caspase activation.³⁵ In CD, variants of these genes increase the risk of small bowel fibrostenosis.⁵⁹ Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) are also important in fibrosis as they inhibit matrix degradation. Individual TIMPs, however, may regulate cell division and apoptosis independent of this activity.³⁵ TIMP-1 is also overexpressed in CD fibrostenosis where it reduces matrix degradation and suppresses myofibroblast apoptosis.

HGF reduces fibrosis by increasing apoptosis. HGF is a potent inducer of ECM-degrading enzymes, which are overexpressed during myofibroblast apoptosis.^{35,35,35} MMPs induce apoptosis in myofibroblasts through the degradation of fibronectin and the anti-fibrotic effect of HGF is due to up-regulation of MMPs and MMP-dependent myofibroblast apoptosis. Proliferation and apoptosis of ECM-producing cells are important steps in intestinal fibrogenesis and possible new targets for therapeutic intervention³⁵ with some therapies demonstrating potential anti-fibrogenic efficacy through the regulation of mesenchymal cell proliferation and apoptosis.

In addition to myofibroblast proliferation and apoptosis, understanding of the physiological function of autophagy suggests that defective autophagy of the $\text{F}\alpha$ and myofibroblast may also be important in IBD fibrosis⁶⁰ as autophagy is important both in ECM secretion and degradation. TGF- β impairs autophagic processes. CD patients with ATG16L1 mutations also have increased risk of small bowel fibrostenosis,⁶¹ while rapamycin, a powerful autophagy inducer, improves patient outcomes with severe fibrotic disease.⁶² Learning to manipulate autophagy could thus provide new therapies in the management of IBD fibrosis.

3.1. Summary

- Injury and inflammation lead to changes within critical intestinal cells that can trigger, maintain and perpetuate fibrogenesis by regulating ECM-producing myofibroblast activation.
- ECM-producing myofibroblasts derive from resident mesenchymal, epithelial, endothelial, and stellate cells, pericytes, and bone marrow stem cells.

3.2. Questions that need to be addressed

- What are the cellular triggers leading to intestinal fibrosis?
- What is the main source of ECM-producing myofibroblasts in intestinal fibrosis?
- What are the main mediators of myofibroblast activation?
- What are the specific molecular markers of activated myofibroblasts?
- Is there a ‘point of no return’ in intestinal fibrosis and stricture formation?

4. Major molecular mediator of fibrosis

A concert of paracrine signals derived from immune and non-immune cells, autocrine factors secreted by myofibroblasts, pro- and anti-fibrotic mediators can modulate myofibroblasts and enhance intestinal wall ECM production and degradation (Fig. 3). The most important of these include TGF- β , activins, CTGF, PDGF, IGF-1&2, EGF, ET-1, -2, -3, and various cytokines and products of oxidative stress (Fig. 4 and Table 1). These, factors, however, are not alone as there are other more novel factors like the renin–angiotensin system, integrins, TLRs and others that can impact fibrogenesis. These more novel factors, however, have been discussed in detail in a recent review and will not be covered in this section.⁶³

4.1. Growth factors

4.1.1. TGF- β

TGF- β is the prototypical fibrogenic molecule and in mammals there are three isoforms. TGF- β 1 is primarily produced by macrophages and F σ s and it up-regulates collagen, fibronectin, tenascin, laminin and entactin production. TGF- β regulates tissue TIMP expression and is the most potent inducer of α -SMA (Fig. 5). It induces the myofibroblast phenotype promoting both EMT and endo-MT. TGF- β 1 is increased in IBD mucosa⁶⁴ and its overexpression in experimental chronic colitis leads to fibrosis.^{65,66}

TGF- β is stored as a disulphide-bonded homodimer, non-covalently bound to a latency-associated protein (LAP), which keeps it inactive. TGF- β binding to its receptors requires dissociation of LAP, a process catalyzed by plasmin, urokinase-type and plasmin activators, tissue-type plasminogen activators, MMPs, cathepsins and integrins.^{11,34} TGF- β plays a critical role in intestinal mesenchymal cell activation and ECM production.^{10,14–17,65,66} The canonical TGF- β intracellular signal transduction pathway is mediated by Smad proteins as TGF- β receptor activation phosphorylates Smad2 and Smad3 and induces binding with Smad4.⁶⁷ The Smad2/3–Smad4 complex translocates into the nucleus where it regulates TGF- β target genes. Smad7 inhibits TGF- β signaling. TGF- β also modulates, in a Smad-independent manner, other signal transduction pathways including ERK/cJUN/p38 MAP kinases. Importantly, the Smad-dependent pro-fibrotic effects of TGF- β include myofibroblast activation, collagen, CTGF and TIMP stimulation, and MMP inhibition.

The TGF- β /Smad pathway is crucial in intestinal fibrosis as in other organs.^{10,15,16,65,66} Both TGF- β , and its receptors, are over-expressed particularly in fibrostenotic CD and in animal models of intestinal fibrosis.^{64–66,68} Adenovirus-mediated overexpression of TGF- β in the murine colon leads to colonic fibrosis,⁶⁹ conversely, the loss of Smad3 confers resistance to TNBS-induced colorectal fibrosis.^{70,71} Disruption of the TGF- β /Smad signaling pathway, either by the loss of Smad3, or increase of Smad7, expression, can reduce fibrosis in several organs including the intestine.^{71–80} Decreased Smad7, and increased pSmad2/3, expression in intestinal CD strictures also supports the pro-fibrogenic role of the TGF- β /Smad pathway.⁸¹

TGF- β induces intestinal myofibroblast/F σ secretion of the major parenchymal collagens, COLI and COLIII. The amount and relative proportions of COLI and COLIII secreted, however, varies greatly on the tissues' physiological and pathological state. During the early

regeneration phase following tissue injury, the COLI/III ratio is low and as healing progresses towards maturation the COLI/III ratio becomes high and then progresses to equilibrium upon reconstitution of the tissue.^{82,83} A persistent shift towards a high COLI/III ratio is usually associated with dysregulation of the regenerative phase that frequently results in fibrosis.¹

The MMPs are central to ECM as well as collagen digestion. MMP activity is tightly controlled by the TIMPs,⁸⁴ thus the balance between MMPs and TIMPs is crucial for normal tissue reconstitution, or progression to fibrosis. TGF- β 1 and 2 stimulate collagen synthesis and up-regulate the TIMPs thus reducing collagen digestion.⁸⁵ By contrast, exogenous TGF- β 3 reduces collagen deposition.⁸⁶ Higher TGF- β 1 levels occur in inflamed/fibrosed IBD tissue and in combination with enhanced TGF- β 2, and reduced TGF- β 3 expression by intestinal myofibroblasts, fibrosis may occur.^{1,86,87} Control of the delicate balance between deposition and degradation is thus central for tissue reconstitution, and TGF- β 1: β 3 ratio regulation could potentially impact on intestinal scarring.

Blockade of TGF- β signaling is also a potential strategy for the prevention of fibrosis^{10,88} as the TGF- β /Smad pathway drives fibrosis. TGF- β , however, is also involved in several vital cellular functions so fully blocking its function, and that of Smad2 and Smad4, is lethal in animal models.^{89–91} Selective blockage of individual intracellular mediators of the TGF- β /Smad pathway such as disrupting Smad3, however, could be of benefit as this results in mice that survive to adulthood^{92–94} and are resistant to fibrogenesis.^{70,71,77,78,80} In addition, the natural inhibitors of the TGF- β /Smad pathway HGF, BMP-7 and decorin are anti-fibrogenic supporting a therapeutic role in disease.^{95–99}

4.1.2. Activins

Activins are members of the TGF- β superfamily; they activate Smad transcription factors and the MAP kinase signalling pathways. Important functions for the activins, particularly activin A, occur in tissue inflammation, repair and fibrosis.^{100,101} Activin levels are increased in IBD, and many other inflammatory diseases, suggesting that they play a significant role in inflammation/fibrosis.¹⁰⁰

4.1.3. CTGF

CTGF is a downstream mediator of TGF- β where it stimulates cell proliferation and ECM synthesis. CTGF is co-expressed with TGF- β




Figure 4. Promoting effects of multiple growth factors and cytokines on myofibroblasts. Numerous factors impact on fibrosis through their effect on the activated myofibroblast. The effect of numerous of these are demonstrated here with either their pro or anti-fibrogenic effect.

in every fibrotic disorder and is considered a key determinant of fibrosis (Fig. 5).¹⁰² CTGF expression is controlled by TGF- β in a Smad-dependent manner. In addition to TGF- β , other regulators of CTGF expression include VEGF, TNF α and ROS.¹⁰³ CTGF is, therefore, an interesting molecule for future anti-fibrotic therapies as its inhibition might block the pro-fibrotic TGF- β effects, without affecting TGF- β 's immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory roles.¹⁰² CTGF is also more specific than the growth factors for fibrosis inhibition and various proposed treatments targeting CTGF have demonstrated favorable anti-fibrotic effects.^{104,105}

4.1.4. PDGF

PDGF is increased in inflamed IBD mucosa, especially CD and collagenous colitis.^{106,107} Intestinal F ϕ s, SEMFs and ICC are activated, and proliferate, in response to PDGF. PDGF also enhances fibronectin-dependent F ϕ and myofibroblast migration.¹⁰⁸ PDGF induces α -SMA expression

Table 1. Summary of the pro and anti-fibrogenic effects of the major molecular mediators of fibrosis.

	Pro or anti-fibrogenic	Actions
IL-1	Pro	Induces myofibroblast activation Induces chemokine secretion Induces MMP secretion Enhances EMT
IL-4	Pro	Myofibroblast differentiation Induces collagen production
IL-5	Pro	Induces IL-13 production Induces TGF- β production
IL-6	Pro	Induces myofibroblast activation Induces fibroblast proliferation Induces TGF- β expression With IL-21 promotes TH17 cell development
IL-7	Anti	Increases Smas7 Inhibits TGF- β production
IL-10	Anti	Inhibits collagen deposition
IL-12	Anti	Stimulates IFN- γ production
IL-13	Pro	Myofibroblast differentiation Induces collagen production Induces TGF- β expression
IL-17	Pro	Pro inflammatory Involved in intestinal homeostasis Indices chemokine production Induces collagen production Induces EMT
IL-21	Pro	Promotes macrophage migration Promotes macrophage survival Simulates IL-4 and IL-13 receptor expression With IL-6 promotes TH17 cell development
IL-22	Anti	Blocks collagen deposition
IL-23	Undetermined	Pro inflammatory Involved in intestinal homeostasis Induces TNF α
IL-33	Pro	A member of the IL-1 family Induces angiogenesis and fibrosis
TNF α	Pro	Induces myofibroblast proliferation Induces collagen production Inhibits MMP activity
IFN- α	Anti	Inhibits TGF- β activity Inhibits fibroblast proliferation Inhibits collagen production

and increased PDGF activity promotes ECM deposition. Recent pre-clinical studies suggest that selective tyrosine kinase inhibitors that target c-Abl, PDGF receptor or Src kinases are anti-fibrotic¹⁰⁹ as dual inhibition of c-Abl and the PDGF receptor by imatinib and nilotinib, and inhibition of Src kinases, either selectively by SU6656 or in combination with c-Abl and PDGF by dasatinib, demonstrate potent anti-fibrotic effects.

4.1.5. IGF

IGF-I and II and their respective receptors are expressed in the intestine and interact principally with F ϕ s, epithelial and endothelial cells and regulate collagen deposition.¹¹⁰ IGF-1 enhances myofibroblast migration and increases intestinal SMC and myofibroblasts.^{108,111,112} It is up-regulated in CD and animal models of intestinal fibrosis.^{1,111,112}

4.1.6. FGF

The FGFs are heparin-binding proteins and interactions with cell-surface-associated heparan sulfate proteoglycans are essential for FGF signal transduction.¹¹³ In vertebrates, FGFs have intracrine (FGF11/12/13/14 subfamily), paracrine (FGF1/2/5, FGF3/4/6, FGF7/10/22, FGF8/17/18 and FGF9/16/20 subfamilies) and endocrine (FGF15/19/21/23 subfamily) functions.¹¹³ Paracrine and endocrine FGFs act via cell-surface FGF receptors (FGFR1-4), while intracrine FGFs act independent of FGFRs. FGF1 and FGF2, also named acidic FGF (aFGF) and basic FGF (bFGF) are released from damaged cells or by an exocytotic mechanism.

bFGF is a potent mitogen for SMCs, myofibroblasts and F ϕ s (Fig. 5).¹⁰ It stimulates collagen production and CTGF cooperates with bFGF.¹¹³ Serum bFGF levels are raised in CD intestinal strictures while serum bFGF levels correlate with bowel wall thickness suggesting a role for bFGF in transmural CD fibrogenesis.¹¹⁴ Conversely, bFGF accelerates acute and chronic wound healing, suggesting a potential anti-fibrogenic role.¹¹⁵ Different FGF subtypes also have differing functions as FGF2 and FGF23 promote cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis, while FGF16 and 21 prevent these by competing with FGF2 for the binding site of FGFR1c.¹¹⁶ FGF23, however, is involved in the development of renal fibrosis.¹¹⁷

4.1.7. EGF

EGF is the prototype member of a family comprising different peptides with a similar primary structure that bind to a family of EGF receptors.¹¹⁸ EGF induces intracellular protein phosphorylation regulating transcription, translation, cell architecture, cell proliferation, and production of inflammatory mediators. EGF can be isolated from the intestine and its receptors are located on monocytes and myofibroblasts.




Figure 5. Effects of the key pro-fibrogenic growth factors. The pro-fibrogenic effects that several key growth factors have on collagen, TIMP levels, cell proliferation, EMT, etc., are demonstrated.

EGF is involved in lung fibrosis as it stimulates F α proliferation and ECM production in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.¹¹⁹ The role of EGF in IBD, however, is unclear, since its receptor (EGFR) is up-regulated in TNBS-induced colitis, while EGF administration reduces TNBS-induced colitis severity.¹²⁰ EGF also regulates human colonic F α and myofibroblast migration.^{121,122} Further studies, however, are needed to fully elucidate the role of EGF and EGFR in IBD fibrosis.

4.1.8 Cytokines

4.1.8.1. Interleukins (IL)

IL-1 contributes to fibrosis during chronic intestinal inflammation through myofibroblast activation, and chemokine and MMP secretion.¹²³ IL-1, in combination with TNF and IFN- γ also increases TGF- β -induced EMT.¹²⁴ IL-5, however, acts as an amplifier rather than direct mediator of fibrosis and thus it may facilitate pro-fibrotic cytokine IL-13 and/or TGF- β production.^{11,34}

IL-6 is increased in CD where it stimulates fibrogenic mesenchymal cells, TGF- β and TGF- β R2 expression and F α proliferation,^{125–127} and IL-6 neutralization decreases fibrosis. By contrast, IL-7 inhibits fibrosis by modulating the Smad and EMT pathway and inhibiting both TGF- β production and signaling by F α s.^{128–130} This, however, requires an intact JAK1/STAT1 signal transduction pathway. IL-7 increases Smad7, which suppresses TGF- β signalling. In the presence of IL-7, Smad7 dominant negative F α s restore TGF- β -induced collagen synthesis showing that reduced TGF- β signaling occurs with increased Smad7.¹²⁹

IL-4 and IL-13 are immunoregulatory cytokines secreted by activated Th2 cells. IL-13 signals through IL-4R α /IL-13R α 1 and IL-13R α 2 and is the dominant pro-fibrotic mediator in numerous models of fibrosis.^{65,66,131–133} IL-13 acts on F α s, but also indirectly induces TGF- β 1 release from monocytes and macrophages.¹³⁴ Furthermore, IL-13 induces several CC-chemokines.¹³⁵ IL-4 and IL-13 are overexpressed in fibrosis and induce differentiation of F α s to myofibroblasts and stimulate collagen production.^{11,34} IL-13 signaling through IL-13R α induces TGF- β production, while IL-13 inhibition reduces TGF- β and fibrosis.^{65,66} Soluble IL-13R α 2-Fc is a highly effective decoy receptor of IL-13 that can reduce the progression of established fibrosis.

IL-10 inhibits fibrosis in numerous experimental models.^{11,34} The IL-13 decoy receptor and IL-10 suppress collagen deposition and act as endogenous factors that slow fibrosis progression.

IL-21 and IL-22 are related to IBD-associated intestinal fibrosis.¹⁰ IL-21 promotes fibrosis by enhancing the development, survival and migration of Th2 cells. Moreover, it stimulates IL-4 and IL-13 receptor expression in macrophages, induces their activation, stimulates F α s ECM-degrading enzyme secretion and the secretion of T-cell chemoattractants by epithelial cells.^{136,137} IL-21 is produced in excess in CD. IL-21, together with IL-6, is critical for the development of Th17 cells. By contrast, blockade of IL-22 can enhance collagen deposition in the lung suggesting a protective role for IL-22 in lung fibrosis.¹³⁸

The IL-23/IL-17 axis plays an important role in intestinal homeostasis, nevertheless the role of these cytokines in fibrogenesis remains to be elucidated.¹⁰ In normal intestine, constitutive production of IL-23 and IL-17 protect the epithelial layer, fortify epithelial cell tight junctions and inhibit bacterial colonization. In inflammation, activated dendritic cells produce large amounts of IL-23, which activate innate immune cells to produce pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic cytokines. IL-23 also induces the production of IL-17 and TNF α . Targeting IL-23 by employing a p40 peptide-based vaccine improves TNBS-induced acute, and chronic, murine colitis with a significant decrease in collagen deposition.¹³⁹

The main action of IL-17 is to promote chemokine production that recruits and activates granulocytes.¹⁴⁰ IL-17 is also a potent activator of mesenchymal cells.¹⁴¹ IL-17A increases the synthesis, and secretion, of collagen and induces EMT in a TGF- β 1-dependent manner.¹⁴² IL-1 β -mediated pulmonary fibrosis is IL-17A-dependent and blocking IL-17A attenuates myocarditis-induced cardiac fibrosis confirming a role of IL-17A in fibrogenesis.^{143,144}

IL-33, a novel member of the IL-1 family, induces mucosal pathology *in vivo* and may lead to the development of fibrosis and angiogenesis.¹⁴⁵ TLR-3 is one of the strongest promoters inducing IL-33, which activates myofibroblasts and pericytes.

4.1.8.2. TNF α

TNF α is abundantly expressed in IBD and is central to fibrosis by inducing myofibroblast proliferation and collagen accumulation.¹⁴⁶ TNFR2 is essential for these processes.¹⁴⁷ Furthermore, TNF α induces TIMP-1 expression, reduces MMP-2 activity and collagen degradation while, in combination with IGF-1, synergistically stimulates intestinal myofibroblast proliferation and collagen production.¹¹²

TNF-like cytokine 1A (TL1A)/TNF superfamily member 15 (TNFSF15) is pro-inflammatory and the TNF α superfamily member that is linked to IBD pathogenesis. TL1A is induced in innate immune cells and signals via death domain receptor 3 (DR3) that modulates the adaptive immune response. Constitutive TL1A expression in mice leads to spontaneous ileitis with increased collagen deposition, elevated levels of small intestinal IL-13 and goblet cell hyperplasia while its neutralization ameliorates inflammation.^{148–150} Constitutive TL1A expression in T-cells and myeloid cells also leads to severe fibrostenotic intestinal disease with more F α s and collagen deposition.¹⁵⁰ TL1A is elevated in IBD mucosa and *TNFSF15* gene variants are associated with IBD.¹⁵¹

4.1.8.3. IFN- γ

IFN- γ and IL-12 suppress fibrosis.^{10,11,34} IFN- γ antagonizes TGF- β -induced phosphorylation of Smad3.¹⁵² IFN- γ acts through a Janus kinase (Jak1)-dependent pathway, the transcription factor STAT1 and by the induction Smad7 expression. IFN- γ also directly inhibits F α proliferation, TGF- β 1-induced expression of genes encoding procollagens I and III, and collagen synthesis in activated myofibroblasts.¹⁵³

IFN- γ affects the cellular distribution of fibronectin and the cytoskeleton that interferes with F α migration.¹⁵⁴ By virtue of its ability to stimulate IFN- γ production in Th1 and natural killer cells, IL-12 also has anti-fibrotic activity.^{11,34,155} Despite evidence for an anti-fibrotic role for IFN- γ , however, clinical studies investigating its therapeutic potential have been disappointing.¹⁵⁶

4.1.8.4. Chemokines

Chemokines are leukocyte chemoattractants that cooperate with profibrotic cytokines in fibrogenesis by recruiting myofibroblasts, macrophages and other key effector cells to sites of tissue injury.^{10,11,34} Although a large number of chemokine signaling pathways are involved in fibrogenesis, the CC- and CXC-chemokine receptor families have important regulatory roles. Specifically, CCL2 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 [MCP1]), CCL3 (macrophage inflammatory protein-1 [MIP1]), CCL4 (MIP-1 β), and CCL20 (MIP-3 α) are pro-fibrotic mediators and are elevated in IBD.^{10,11,34} Interrupting specific chemokine signalling pathways could thus impact on fibrosis. Blockade of CC- and CXC chemokine receptors decreases fibrosis in association with decreased IL-4 and IL-13. A direct link between CC-chemokine activity and IL-13 has also been suggested.³⁴

4.1.8.5. ROS

ROS are involved in acute and chronic inflammatory processes, and are key mediators in collagen gene regulation.¹⁵⁷ Antioxidants can protect against experimental pulmonary and hepatic fibrosis^{158,159} and ROS is involved in intestinal fibrosis with their inhibition improving murine colitis.¹⁶⁰

4.1.8.6 Microvascular changes

Microvascular changes are common in fibrosis.^{11,34} Control of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis, might represent an alternative approach to fibrosis treatment, particularly due to the connection between vascular remodeling and fibrogenesis in chronic intestinal inflammation.^{161–164} Evidence suggests that the microvasculature plays an integral role in IBD pathophysiology and it contributes to chronic inflammation through altered leukocyte recruitment, impaired perfusion, and angiogenesis leading to tissue remodeling.^{162–164} VEGF expression is increased in IBD and its blockage can reduce fibrosis in animal models.¹⁶⁵ Because various members of the CXC-chemokine family exhibit potent angiogenic, or angiostatic activity, targeting the CXC-chemokines might control angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis and act as a novel therapy in IBD to prevent, or reverse, fibrosis.^{11,34}

4.2. Key points

- ECM-producing cells act synergistically and are under the control of numerous biological mediators.
- Blockage of selective signalling pathways can prevent, or reverse, intestinal fibrosis.

4.3. Questions to be addressed in the future

- Which factors determine the switch from inflammatory to fibrosing disease?
- What molecular mechanisms lead to the auto-propagation of intestinal fibrosis?
- What are the main mediators of myofibroblast activation?
- Can timing, concentration and the source of the main profibrotic mediators affect their contribution to tissue remodelling and fibrosis?
- Is the simultaneous action of pro-fibrotic mediators relevant to fibrogenesis?
- Which factors represent the driving force (“core pathway”) of intestinal fibrosis?
- Which factors with anti-fibrotic properties play a critical role in intestinal fibrosis?
- What is the role of the “new generation” of fibrogenic molecules in intestinal fibrosis?

5. Conclusion

Intestinal fibrosis is common and results in high morbidity and surgical rates in IBD patients. It occurs as a consequence of chronic intestinal inflammation, but this is not the whole story as better control of the inflammation has not reduced the rate of fibrotic obstructions, and fibrosis can present many years after control of the inflammation. As multiple intestinal cell types may become activated ECM-producing myofibroblasts, and all contribute to ECM production, it is vital to understand the interactions and ways that these cells can be modified. Understanding the impact that specific growth factors, cytokines and chemokines have on the ECM-producing cells is also fundamental in unraveling the fibrotic processes with the aim of developing effective therapeutic strategies.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest for any author.

Acknowledgments

This review was created as part of the 4th scientific workshop of the ECCO focusing on intestinal fibrosis in IBD.

References

1. Lawrance IC, Maxwell L, Doe W. Inflammation location, but not type, determines the increase in TGF-beta1 and IGF-1 expression and collagen deposition in IBD intestine. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2001;7:16–26.
2. Cosnes J, Cattan S, Blain A, Beaugerie L, Carbonnel F, Parc R, et al. Long-term evolution of disease behavior of Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2002;8:244–50.
3. Longo WE, Virgo KS, Bahadursingh AN, Johnson FE. Patterns of disease and surgical treatment among United States veterans more than 50 years of age with ulcerative colitis. *Am J Surg* 2003;186:514–18.
4. Van Assche G, Geboes K, Rutgeerts P. Medical therapy for Crohn's disease strictures. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2004;10:55–60.
5. Geary RB, Richardson A, Frampton CMA, Collett JA, Burt MJ, Chapman BA, et al. High incidence of Crohn's disease in Canterbury, New Zealand: Results of an epidemiologic study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2006;12(10):936–943.
6. Podolsky DK. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(6):417–29.
7. Rocchi A, Benchimol EI, Bernstein CN, Bitton A, Feagan B, Panaccione R, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease: a Canadian burden of illness review. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2012;26:811–17.
8. Latella G, Papi C. Crucial steps in the natural history of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:3790–9.
9. Cosnes J, Nion-Larmurier I, Beaugerie L, Afchain P, Tiret E, Gendre JP. Impact of the increasing use of immunosuppressants in Crohn's disease on the need for intestinal surgery. *Gut* 2005;54:237–41.
10. Specia S, Giusti I, Rieder F, Latella G. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of intestinal fibrosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:3635–61.
11. Wynn TA, Ramalingam TR. Mechanisms of fibrosis: therapeutic translation for fibrotic disease. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1028–40.
12. Friedman SL, Sheppard D, Duffield JS, Violette S. Therapy for fibrotic diseases: nearing the starting line. *Sci Transl Med* 2013;5:167sr1.
13. Lawrance IC, Maxwell L, Doe W. Altered response of intestinal mucosal fibroblasts to profibrogenic cytokines in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2001;7:226–36.
14. Pucilowska JB, Williams KL, Lund PK. Fibrogenesis. IV. Fibrosis and inflammatory bowel disease: cellular mediators and animal models. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2000;279:G653–G659.
15. Rieder F, Fiocchi C. Intestinal fibrosis in IBD—a dynamic, multifactorial process. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;6:228–35.
16. Fiocchi C, Lund PK. Themes in fibrosis and gastrointestinal inflammation. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2011;300:G677–G683.
17. Mifflin RC, Pinchuk IV, Saada JI, Powell DW. Intestinal myofibroblasts: targets for stem cell therapy. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2011;300:G684–G696.
18. Cox SC, Walker DM. Epithelial growth fraction and expression of p53 tumour suppressor gene in oral submucous fibrosis. *Aust Dent J* 1996;41:91–6.
19. Novak ML, Koh TJ. Phenotypic transitions of macrophages orchestrate tissue repair. *Am J Pathol* 2013;183:1352–63.
20. Wynn TA, Chawla A, Pollard JW. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature* 2013;496:445–55.
21. McAnulty RJ. Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts: their source, function and role in disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2007;39:666–71.
22. Abe R, Donnelly SC, Peng T, Bucala R, Metz CN. Peripheral blood fibrocytes: differentiation pathway and migration to wound sites. *J Immunol* 2001;166:7556–62.
23. Quan TE, Cowper S, Wu SP, Bockenstedt LK, Bucala R. Circulating fibrocytes: collagen-secreting cells of the peripheral blood. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2004;36:598–606.

24. McKaig BC, Hughes K, Tighe PJ, Mahida YR. Differential expression of TGF-beta isoforms by normal and inflammatory bowel disease intestinal myofibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2002;282:C172-C182.
25. Simmons JG, Pucilowska JB, Keku TO, Lund PK. IGF-I and TGF-beta1 have distinct effects on phenotype and proliferation of intestinal fibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;283:G809-G818.
26. Leeb SN, Vogl D, Grossmann J, Falk W, Scholmerich J, Rogler G, et al. Autocrine fibronectin-induced migration of human colonic fibroblasts. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:335-40.
27. Brenmoehl J, Lang M, Hausmann M, Leeb SN, Falk W, Scholmerich J, et al. Evidence for a differential expression of fibronectin splice forms ED-A and ED-B in Crohn's disease (CD) mucosa. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007;22:611-23.
28. Parsonage G, Filer AD, Haworth O, Nash GB, Rainger GE, Salmon M, et al. A stromal address code defined by fibroblasts. *Trends Immunol* 2005;26:150-6.
29. Buckley AR, Buckley DJ. Prolactin regulation of apoptosis-associated gene expression in T cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000;917:522-33.
30. Hinz B. Tissue stiffness, latent TGF-beta1 activation, and mechanical signal transduction: implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of fibrosis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2009;11:120-6.
31. Lang M, Schlechtweg M, Kellermeier S, Brenmoehl J, Falk W, Scholmerich J, et al. Gene expression profiles of mucosal fibroblasts from strictured and nonstrictured areas of patients with Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:212-23.
32. Chen JH, Chen WL, Sider KL, Yip CY, Simmons CA. beta-catenin mediates mechanically regulated, transforming growth factor-beta1-induced myofibroblast differentiation of aortic valve interstitial cells. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2011;31:590-7.
33. Heise RL, Stober V, Cheluvharaju C, Hollingsworth JW, Garantziotis S. Mechanical stretch induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in alveolar epithelia via hyaluronan activation of innate immunity. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:17435-44.
34. Wynn TA. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J Pathol* 2008;214:199-210.
35. Luna J, Masamunt MC, Lawrance IC, Sans M. Mesenchymal cell proliferation and programmed cell death: key players in fibrogenesis and new targets for therapeutic intervention. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2011;300:G703-G708.
36. Sanders KM, Ordog T, Ward SM. Physiology and pathophysiology of the interstitial cells of Cajal: from bench to bedside. IV. Genetic and animal models of GI motility disorders caused by loss of interstitial cells of Cajal. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;282:G747-G756.
37. Vetusch A, Sferra R, Latella G, D'Angelo A, Catitti V, Zanninelli G, et al. Smad3-null mice lack interstitial cells of Cajal in the colonic wall. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2006;36:41-8.
38. Kocher O, Skalli O, Cerutti D, Gabbiani F, Gabbiani G. Cytoskeletal features of rat aortic cells during development. An electron microscopic, immunohistochemical, and biochemical study. *Circ Res* 1985;56:829-38.
39. Graham MF, Drucker DE, Diegelmann RF, Elson CO. Collagen synthesis by human intestinal smooth muscle cells in culture. *Gastroenterology* 1987;92:400-5.
40. Ng EK, Panesar N, Longo WE, Shapiro MJ, Kaminski DL, Tolman KC, et al. Human intestinal epithelial and smooth muscle cells are potent producers of IL-6. *Mediators Inflamm* 2003;12:3-8.
41. Knittel T, Kobold D, Saile B, Grundmann A, Neubauer K, Piscaglia F, et al. Rat liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells: different cell populations of the fibroblast lineage with fibrogenic potential. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117:1205-21.
42. Apte MV, Haber PS, Darby SJ, Rodgers SC, McCaughan GW, Korsten MA, et al. Pancreatic stellate cells are activated by proinflammatory cytokines: implications for pancreatic fibrogenesis. *Gut* 1999;44:534-41.
43. Nagy NE, Holven KB, Roos N, Senoo H, Kojima N, Norum KR, et al. Storage of vitamin A in extrahepatic stellate cells in normal rats. *J Lipid Res* 1997;38:645-58.
44. Gerhardt H, Betsholtz C. Endothelial-pericyte interactions in angiogenesis. *Cell Tissue Res* 2003;314:15-23.
45. Allt G, Lawrenson JG. Pericytes: cell biology and pathology. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2001;169:1-11.
46. Sundberg C, Ivarsson M, Gerdin B, Rubin K. Pericytes as collagen-producing cells in excessive dermal scarring. *Lab Invest* 1996;74:452-66.
47. Brittan M, Chance V, Elia G, Poulosom R, Alison MR, MacDonald TT, et al. A regenerative role for bone marrow following experimental colitis: contribution to neovasculogenesis and myofibroblasts. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:1984-95.
48. Flier SN, Tanjore H, Kokkotou EG, Sugimoto H, Zeisberg M, Kalluri R. Identification of epithelial to mesenchymal transition as a novel source of fibroblasts in intestinal fibrosis. *J Biol Chem* 2010;285:20202-12.
49. Rieder F, Kessler SP, West GA, Bhilocha S, de la Motte C, Sadler TM, et al. Inflammation-induced endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition: a novel mechanism of intestinal fibrosis. *Am J Pathol* 2011;179:2660-73.
50. Lee JM, Dedhar S, Kalluri R, Thompson EW. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition: new insights in signaling, development, and disease. *J Cell Biol* 2006;172:973-81.
51. Zeisberg EM, Tarnavski O, Zeisberg M, Dorfman AL, McMullen JR, Gustafsson E, et al. Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition contributes to cardiac fibrosis. *Nat Med* 2007;13:952-61.
52. Zeisberg M, Hanai J, Sugimoto H, Mammoto T, Charytan D, Strutz F, et al. BMP-7 counteracts TGF-beta1-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and reverses chronic renal injury. *Nat Med* 2003;9:964-8.
53. Zeisberg M, Yang C, Martino M, Duncan MB, Rieder F, Tanjore H, et al. Fibroblasts derive from hepatocytes in liver fibrosis via epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:23337-47.
54. Ishii G, Sangai T, Sugiyama K, Ito T, Hasebe T, Endoh Y, et al. In vivo characterization of bone marrow-derived fibroblasts recruited into fibrotic lesions. *Stem Cells* 2005;23:699-706.
55. Umar S. Intestinal stem cells. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2010;12:340-8.
56. Quan TE, Cowper SE, Bucala R. The role of circulating fibrocytes in fibrosis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2006;8:145-50.
57. Bellini A, Mattoli S. The role of the fibrocyte, a bone marrow-derived mesenchymal progenitor, in reactive and reparative fibroses. *Lab Invest* 2007;87:858-70.
58. Kuwana M, Okazaki Y, Kodama H, Izumi K, Yasuoka H, Ogawa Y, et al. Human circulating CD14 + monocytes as a source of progenitors that exhibit mesenchymal cell differentiation. *J Leukoc Biol* 2003;74:833-45.
59. Rieder F, Lawrance IC, Leite A, Sans M. Predictors of fibrostenotic Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;17:2000-7.
60. Del Principe D, Lista P, Malorni W, Giammaroli AM. Fibroblast autophagy in fibrotic disorders. *J Pathol* 2013;229:208-20.
61. Gazouli M, Pachoula I, Panayotou I, Mantzaris G, Chrousos G, Anagnou NP, et al. NOD2/CARD15, ATG16L1 and IL23R gene polymorphisms and childhood-onset of Crohn's disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010;16:1753-8.
62. Tsang CK, Qi H, Liu LF, Zheng XF. Targeting mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) for health and diseases. *Drug Discov Today* 2007;12:112-24.
63. Latella G, Rogler G, Bamias G, Breynaert C, Florholmen J, Pellino G, et al. Results of the 4th scientific workshop of the ECCO (I): Pathophysiology of intestinal fibrosis in IBD. *J Crohns Colitis* 2014.
64. Babyatsky MW, Rossiter G, Podolsky DK. Expression of transforming growth factors alpha and beta in colonic mucosa in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 1996;110:975-84.
65. Fichtner-Feigl S, Fuss IJ, Young CA, Watanabe T, Geissler EK, Schlitt HJ, et al. Induction of IL-13 triggers TGF-beta1-dependent tissue fibrosis in chronic 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid colitis. *J Immunol* 2007;178:5859-70.
66. Fichtner-Feigl S, Young CA, Kitani A, Geissler EK, Schlitt HJ, Strober W. IL-13 signaling via IL-13R alpha2 induces major downstream fibrogenic factors mediating fibrosis in chronic TNBS colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2008;135:2003-2013 [2013 e1-7].
67. Derynck R, Zhang YE. Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways in TGF-beta family signalling. *Nature* 2003;425:577-84.

68. Rieder F, Kessler S, Sans M, Fiocchi C. Animal models of intestinal fibrosis: new tools for the understanding of pathogenesis and therapy of human disease. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2012;303:G786–G801.
69. Vallance BA, Gunawan MI, Hewlett B, Bercik P, Van Kampen C, Galeazzi F, et al. TGF-beta1 gene transfer to the mouse colon leads to intestinal fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2005;289:G116–G128.
70. Zanninelli G, Vetusch A, Sferra R, D'Angelo A, Fratticci A, Continenza MA, et al. Smad3 knock-out mice as a useful model to study intestinal fibrogenesis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006;12:1211–8.
71. Latella G, Vetusch A, Sferra R, Zanninelli G, D'Angelo A, Catitti V, et al. Smad3 loss confers resistance to the development of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colorectal fibrosis. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2009;39:145–56.
72. Dooley S, Hamzavi J, Breikopf K, Wiercinska E, Said HM, Lorenzen J, et al. Smad7 prevents activation of hepatic stellate cells and liver fibrosis in rats. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:178–91.
73. Dong C, Zhu S, Wang T, Yoon W, Li Z, Alvarez RJ, et al. Deficient Smad7 expression: a putative molecular defect in scleroderma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:3908–13.
74. Flanders KC. Smad3 as a mediator of the fibrotic response. *Int J Exp Pathol* 2004;85:47–64.
75. Fukasawa H, Yamamoto T, Togawa A, Ohashi N, Fujigaki Y, Oda T, et al. Down-regulation of Smad7 expression by ubiquitin-dependent degradation contributes to renal fibrosis in obstructive nephropathy in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:8687–92.
76. Inazaki K, Kanamaru Y, Kojima Y, Sueyoshi N, Okumura K, Kaneko K, et al. Smad3 deficiency attenuates renal fibrosis, inflammation, and apoptosis after unilateral ureteral obstruction. *Kidney Int* 2004;66:597–604.
77. Lakos G, Takagawa S, Chen SJ, Ferreira AM, Han G, Masuda K, et al. Targeted disruption of TGF-beta/Smad3 signaling modulates skin fibrosis in a mouse model of scleroderma. *Am J Pathol* 2004;165:203–17.
78. Latella G, Sferra R, Vetusch A, Zanninelli G, D'Angelo A, Catitti V, et al. Prevention of colonic fibrosis by Boswellia and Scutellaria extracts in rats with colitis induced by 2,4,5-trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2008;38:410–20.
79. Nakao A, Fujii M, Matsumura R, Kumano K, Saito Y, Miyazono K, et al. Transient gene transfer and expression of Smad7 prevents bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis in mice. *J Clin Invest* 1999;104:5–11.
80. Zhao J, Shi W, Wang YL, Chen H, Bringas P, Jr., Datto MB, et al. Smad3 deficiency attenuates bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2002;282:L585–L593.
81. Di Sabatino A, Jackson CL, Pickard KM, Buckley M, Rovedatti L, Leakey NA, et al. Transforming growth factor beta signalling and matrix metalloproteinases in the mucosa overlying Crohn's disease strictures. *Gut* 2009;58:777–89.
82. Campos AC, Groth AK, Branco AB. Assessment and nutritional aspects of wound healing. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2008;11:281–8.
83. Ruszczak Z. Effect of collagen matrices on dermal wound healing. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2003;55:1595–611.
84. Medina C, Radomski MW. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in intestinal inflammation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2006;318:933–8.
85. Shah M, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW. Neutralisation of TGF-beta 1 and TGF-beta 2 or exogenous addition of TGF-beta 3 to cutaneous rat wounds reduces scarring. *J Cell Sci* 1995;108:985–1002.
86. Campbell BH, Agarwal C, Wang JH. TGF-beta1, TGF-beta3, and PGE(2) regulate contraction of human patellar tendon fibroblasts. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol* 2004;2:239–45.
87. Shah M, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW. Neutralisation of TGF-beta 1 and TGF-beta 2 or exogenous addition of TGF-beta 3 to cutaneous rat wounds reduces scarring. *J Cell Sci* 1995;108(Pt 3):985–1002.
88. Latella G, Sferra R, Specia S, Vetusch A, Gaudio E. Can we prevent, reduce or reverse intestinal fibrosis in IBD?. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2013;17:1283–304.
89. Kulkarni AB, Huh CG, Becker D, Geiser A, Lyght M, Flanders KC, et al. Transforming growth factor beta 1 null mutation in mice causes excessive inflammatory response and early death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993;90:770–4.
90. Nomura M, Li E. Smad2 role in mesoderm formation, left-right patterning and craniofacial development. *Nature* 1998;393:786–90.
91. Yang X, Li C, Xu X, Deng C. The tumor suppressor SMAD4/DPC4 is essential for epiblast proliferation and mesoderm induction in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:3667–72.
92. Datto MB, Frederick JP, Pan L, Borton AJ, Zhuang Y, Wang XF. Targeted disruption of Smad3 reveals an essential role in transforming growth factor beta-mediated signal transduction. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999;19:2495–504.
93. Yang X, Letterio JJ, Lechleider RJ, Chen L, Hayman R, Gu H, et al. Targeted disruption of SMAD3 results in impaired mucosal immunity and diminished T cell responsiveness to TGF-beta. *EMBO J* 1999;18:1280–91.
94. Zhu Y, Richardson JA, Parada LF, Graff JM. Smad3 mutant mice develop metastatic colorectal cancer. *Cell* 1998;94:703–14.
95. Baghy K, Iozzo RV, Kovalszky I. Decorin-TGFbeta axis in hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis. *J Histochem Cytochem* 2012;60:262–8.
96. Liu Y, Yang J. Hepatocyte growth factor: new arsenal in the fights against renal fibrosis?. *Kidney Int* 2006;70:238–40.
97. Panganiban RA, Day RM. Hepatocyte growth factor in lung repair and pulmonary fibrosis. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 2011;32:12–20.
98. Weiskirchen R, Meurer SK. BMP-7 counteracting TGF-beta1 activities in organ fibrosis. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 2013;18:1407–34.
99. Weiskirchen R, Meurer SK, Gressner OA, Herrmann J, Borkham-Kamphorst E, Gressner AM. BMP-7 as antagonist of organ fibrosis. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 2009;14:4992–5012.
100. Werner S, Alzheimer C. Roles of activin in tissue repair, fibrosis, and inflammatory disease. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2006;17:157–71.
101. Munz B, Hubner G, Tretter Y, Alzheimer C, Werner S. A novel role of activin in inflammation and repair. *J Endocrinol* 1999;161:187–93.
102. Grotendorst GR. Connective tissue growth factor: a mediator of TGF-beta action on fibroblasts. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 1997;8:171–9.
103. Blom IE, Goldschmeding R, Leask A. Gene regulation of connective tissue growth factor: new targets for antifibrotic therapy?. *Matrix Biol* 2002;21:473–82.
104. Huang G, Brigstock DR. Regulation of hepatic stellate cells by connective tissue growth factor. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 2012;17:2495–507.
105. Phanish MK, Winn SK, Dockrell ME. Connective tissue growth factor-(CTGF, CCN2)—a marker, mediator and therapeutic target for renal fibrosis. *Nephron Exp Nephrol* 2010;114:e83–e92.
106. Kumagai S, Ohtani H, Nagai T, Funa K, Hiwatashi NO, Shimosegawa, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor and its receptors are expressed in areas of both active inflammation and active fibrosis in inflammatory bowel disease. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2001;195:21–33.
107. Bonner JC. Regulation of PDGF and its receptors in fibrotic diseases. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2004;15:255–73.
108. Leeb SN, Vogl D, Falk W, Scholmerich J, Rogler G, Gelbmann CM. Regulation of migration of human colonic myofibroblasts. *Growth Factors* 2002;20:81–91.
109. Distler JH, Distler O. Intracellular tyrosine kinases as novel targets for anti-fibrotic therapy in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2008;47(Suppl. 5):v10–v11.
110. Simmons J, Puclowski J, Keku T, Lund P. IGF-I and TGF-beta1 have distinct effects on phenotype and proliferation of intestinal fibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;283:G809–G818.
111. Simmons JG, Ling Y, Wilkins H, Fuller CR, D'Ercole AJ, Fagin J, et al. Cell-specific effects of insulin receptor substrate-1 deficiency on normal and IGF-I-mediated colon growth. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2007;293:G995–G1003.
112. Zimmermann EM, Sartor RB, McCall RD, Pardo M, Bender D, Lund PK. Insulin-like growth factor 1 and interleukin 1 beta messenger RNA in a rat model of granulomatous enterocolitis and hepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:399–409.
113. Itoh N, Ornitz DM. Fibroblast growth factors: from molecular evolution to roles in development, metabolism and disease. *J Biochem* 2011;149:121–30.
114. Di Sabatino A, Ciccocioppo R, Armellini E, Morera R, Ricevuti L, Cazzola P, et al. Serum bFGF and VEGF correlate respectively with bowel wall thickness and intramural blood flow in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2004;10:573–7.

115. Xie J, Qi S, Xu Y, Tang J, Li T, Liu X, et al. Effects of basic fibroblast growth factors on hypertrophic scarring in a rabbit ear model. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2008;12:155–62.
116. Itoh N, Ohta H. Pathophysiological roles of FGF signaling in the heart. *Front Physiol* 2013;4:247.
117. Olauson H, Larsson TE. FGF23 and Klotho in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2013;22:397–404.
118. Earp HS III, Calvo BF, Sartor CI. The EGF receptor family—multiple roles in proliferation, differentiation, and neoplasia with an emphasis on HER4. *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc* 2003;114:315–33 [discussion 333–4].
119. Hetzel M, Bachem M, Anders D, Trischler G, Faehling M. Different effects of growth factors on proliferation and matrix production of normal and fibrotic human lung fibroblasts. *Lung* 2005;183:225–37.
120. Hoffmann P, Reinshagen M, Zeeh JM, Lakshmanan J, Wu VS, Goebell H, et al. Increased expression of epidermal growth factor-receptor in an experimental model of colitis in rats. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2000;35:1174–80.
121. Brenmoehl J, Miller SN, Hofmann C, Vogl D, Falk W, Scholmerich J, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 induces intestinal myofibroblast differentiation and modulates their migration. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:1431–42.
122. Kong Q, Majeska RJ, Vazquez M. Migration of connective tissue-derived cells is mediated by ultra-low concentration gradient fields of EGF. *Exp Cell Res* 2011;317:1491–502.
123. Gieling RG, Wallace K, Han YP. Interleukin-1 participates in the progression from liver injury to fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2009;296:G1324–G1331.
124. Liu X. Inflammatory cytokines augments TGF-beta1-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in A549 cells by up-regulating TbetR-I. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 2008;65:935–44.
125. Ito H. IL-6 and Crohn's disease. *Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy* 2003;2:125–30.
126. Diaz JA, Booth AJ, Lu G, Wood SC, Pinsky DJ, Bishop DK. Critical role for IL-6 in hypertrophy and fibrosis in chronic cardiac allograft rejection. *Am J Transplant* 2009;9:1773–83.
127. Luckett-Chastain LR, Gallucci RM. Interleukin (IL)-6 modulates transforming growth factor-beta expression in skin and dermal fibroblasts from IL-6-deficient mice. *Br J Dermatol* 2009;161:237–48.
128. Hsieh PF, Liu SF, Lee TC, Huang JS, Yin LT, Chang WT, et al. The role of IL-7 in renal proximal tubule epithelial cells fibrosis. *Mol Immunol* 2012;50:74–82.
129. Huang M, Sharma S, Zhu LX, Keane MP, Luo J, Zhang L, et al. IL-7 inhibits fibroblast TGF-beta production and signaling in pulmonary fibrosis. *J Clin Invest* 2002;109:931–7.
130. Zhang L, Keane MP, Zhu LX, Sharma S, Rozengurt E, Strieter RM, et al. Interleukin-7 and transforming growth factor-beta play counter-regulatory roles in protein kinase C-delta-dependent control of fibroblast collagen synthesis in pulmonary fibrosis. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:28315–9.
131. Aliprantis AO, Wang J, Fathman JW, Lemaire R, Dorfman DM, Lafyatis R, et al. Transcription factor T-bet regulates skin sclerosis through its function in innate immunity and via IL-13. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:2827–30.
132. Chiamonte MG, Cheever AW, Malley JD, Donaldson DD, Wynn TA. Studies of murine schistosomiasis reveal interleukin-13 blockade as a treatment for established and progressive liver fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2001;34:273–82.
133. Kumar RK, Herbert C, Yang M, Koskinen AM, McKenzie AN, Foster PS. Role of interleukin-13 in eosinophil accumulation and airway remodelling in a mouse model of chronic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2002;32:1104–11.
134. Barron L, Wynn TA. Fibrosis is regulated by Th2 and Th17 responses and by dynamic interactions between fibroblasts and macrophages. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2011;300:G723–G728.
135. Ma B, Zhu Z, Homer RJ, Gerard C, Strieter R, Elias JA. The C10/CCL6 chemokine and CCR1 play critical roles in the pathogenesis of IL-13-induced inflammation and remodeling. *J Immunol* 2004;172:1872–81.
136. Pesce J, Kaviratne M, Ramalingam TR, Thompson RW, Urban JF Jr, Cheever AW, et al. The IL-21 receptor augments Th2 effector function and alternative macrophage activation. *J Clin Invest* 2006;116:2044–55.
137. Fina D, Caruso R, Pallone F, Monteleone G. Interleukin-21 (IL-21) controls inflammatory pathways in the gut. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* 2007;7:288–91.
138. Simonian PL, Wehrmann F, Roark CL, Born WK, O'Brien RL, Fontenot AP. gamma delta T cells protect against lung fibrosis via IL-22. *J Exp Med* 2010;207:2239–53.
139. Guan Q, Ma Y, Hillman CL, Qing G, Ma AG, Weiss CR, et al. Targeting IL-12/IL-23 by employing a p40 peptide-based vaccine ameliorates TNBS-induced acute and chronic murine colitis. *Mol Med* 2011;17:646–56.
140. Maloy KJ. The Interleukin-23/Interleukin-17 axis in intestinal inflammation. *J Intern Med* 2008;263:584–90.
141. Hata K, Andoh A, Shimada M, Fujino S, Bamba S, Araki Y, et al. IL-17 stimulates inflammatory responses via NF-kappaB and MAP kinase pathways in human colonic myofibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;282:G1035–G1044.
142. Mi S, Li Z, Yang HZ, Liu H, Wang JP, Ma YG, et al. Blocking IL-17A promotes the resolution of pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis via TGF-beta1-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *J Immunol* 2011;187:3003–14.
143. Wilson MS, Madala SK, Ramalingam TR, Gochuico BR, Rosas IO, Cheever AW, et al. Bleomycin and IL-1beta-mediated pulmonary fibrosis is IL-17A dependent. *J Exp Med* 2010;207:535–52.
144. Baldeviano GC, Barin JG, Talor MV, Srinivasan S, Bedja D, Zheng D, et al. Interleukin-17A is dispensable for myocarditis but essential for the progression to dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ Res* 2010;106:1646–55.
145. Sponheim J, Pollheimer J, Olsen T, Balogh J, Hammarstrom C, Loos T, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease-associated interleukin-33 is preferentially expressed in ulceration-associated myofibroblasts. *Am J Pathol* 2010;177:2804–15.
146. Sanchez-Munoz F, Dominguez-Lopez A, Yamamoto-Furusho JK. Role of cytokines in inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:4280–88.
147. Theiss AL, Simmons JG, Jobin C, Lund PK. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha increases collagen accumulation and proliferation in intestinal myofibroblasts via TNF receptor 2. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:36099–109.
148. Meylan F, Song YJ, Fuss I, Villarreal S, Kahle E, Malm IJ, et al. The TNF-family cytokine TL1A drives IL-13-dependent small intestinal inflammation. *Mucosal Immunol* 2011;4:172–85.
149. Shih DQ, Barrett R, Zhang X, Yeager N, Koon HW, Phaowasdi P, et al. Constitutive TL1A (TNFSF15) expression on lymphoid or myeloid cells leads to mild intestinal inflammation and fibrosis. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e16090.
150. Barrett R, Zhang X, Koon HW, Vu M, Chang JY, Yeager N, et al. Constitutive TL1A expression under colitogenic conditions modulates the severity and location of gut mucosal inflammation and induces fibrostenosis. *Am J Pathol* 2012;180:636–49.
151. Michelsen KS, Thomas LS, Taylor KD, Yu QT, Mei L, Landers CJ, et al. IBD-associated TL1A gene (TNFSF15) haplotypes determine increased expression of TL1A protein. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e4719.
152. Ulloa L, Doody J, Massague J. Inhibition of transforming growth factor-beta/SMAD signalling by the interferon-gamma/STAT pathway. *Nature* 1999;397:710–13.
153. Gurujeyalakshmi G, Giri SN. Molecular mechanisms of antifibrotic effect of interferon gamma in bleomycin-mouse model of lung fibrosis: downregulation of TGF-beta and procollagen I and III gene expression. *Exp Lung Res* 1995;21:791–808.
154. Leeb SN, Vogl D, Gunckel M, Kiessling S, Falk W, Goke M, et al. Reduced migration of fibroblasts in inflammatory bowel disease: role of inflammatory mediators and focal adhesion kinase. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:1341–54.
155. Keane MP, Belperio JA, Burdick MD, Strieter RM. IL-12 attenuates bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2001;281:L92–L97.

156. King TE Jr, Albera C, Bradford WZ, Costabel U, Hormel P, Lancaster L, *et al.* Effect of interferon gamma-1b on survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (INSPIRE): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;**374**:222–8.
157. Urtasun R, Conde de la Rosa L, Nieto N. Oxidative and nitrosative stress and fibrogenic response. *Clin Liver Dis* 2008;**12**:769–90 [viii].
158. Serrano-Mollar A, Closa D, Prats N, Blesa S, Martinez-Losa M, Cortijo J, *et al.* In vivo antioxidant treatment protects against bleomycin-induced lung damage in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 2003;**138**:1037–48.
159. Svegliati-Baroni G, Saccomanno S, van Goor H, Jansen P, Benedetti A, Moshage H. Involvement of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide radicals in activation and proliferation of rat hepatic stellate cells. *Liver* 2001;**21**:1–12.
160. Rachmilewitz D, Karmeli F, Okon E, Bursztyn M. Experimental colitis is ameliorated by inhibition of nitric oxide synthase activity. *Gut* 1995;**37**:247–55.
161. Rieder F, Fiocchi C. Intestinal fibrosis in inflammatory bowel disease: progress in basic and clinical science. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2008;**24**:462–8.
162. Danese S. Inflammation and the mucosal microcirculation in inflammatory bowel disease: the ebb and flow. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2007;**23**:384–9.
163. Danese S. Role of the vascular and lymphatic endothelium in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease: ‘brothers in arms’. *Gut* 2011;**60**:998–1008.
164. Sakamoto I, Ito Y, Mizuno M, Suzuki Y, Sawai A, Tanaka A, *et al.* Lymphatic vessels develop during tubulointerstitial fibrosis. *Kidney Int* 2009;**75**:828–38.
165. Scaldaferri F, Vetrano S, Sans M, Arena V, Straface G, Stigliano E, *et al.* VEGF-A links angiogenesis and inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 2009;**136**:585–95 [e5].