Smoking increases rectal cancer risk to the same extent in women

as in men: Results from a Norwegian cohort study

Ranjan Parajuli<sup>1</sup>, Eivind Bjerkaas<sup>1</sup>, Aage Tverdal<sup>2</sup>, Loïc Le Marchand<sup>3</sup>, Elisabete

Weiderpass<sup>1,4,5,6</sup>, Inger T. Gram\*<sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT, The Arctic University

of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Division of Epidemiology, Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Norwegian Institute of

Public Health, P.O. Box 4404, Nydalen, 0403 Oslo, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Epidemiology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,

Sweden

<sup>5</sup>Department of Genetic Epidemiology, Samfundet Folkhälsan, Helsinki, Finland;

<sup>6</sup>Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway;

<sup>7</sup>Norwegian Centre for Integrated Care and Telemedicine, University Hospital of North

Norway, Tromsø, Norway

\*These authors contributed equally to this work\*

**Short Title:** Cigarette smoking and rectal cancer incidence

Corresponding author: Inger Torhild Gram, Department of Community Medicine, UiT, The

Arctic University of Tromsø, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway;

Tel.: +47 77 64 48 16; Tel. (direct): +47 77 64 53 60; Cell: +47 92 40 11 77;

Fax: +47 77 64 48 31;

E-mail: inger.gram@uit.no

Word count: 2,768 texts, 247 abstract, Number of tables: 3

1

**Abstract** 

**Background:** Smoking is a recently established risk factor for rectal cancer. We examined if

the smoking related increase in rectal cancer differed by gender

**Methods:** We followed 602,242 participants (49% men), aged 19 to 67 years at enrollment to

four Norwegian health surveys between 1972-2003, by linkage to Norwegian national

registries through December 2007. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs)

were estimated by fitting Cox proportional hazard models, while adjusting for relevant

confounders. Heterogeneity by gender in the effect of smoking and risk of rectal cancer were

tested with Wald  $\chi$ 2.

**Results:** During a mean follow-up of 14 years, 1,336 men and 840 women developed invasive

rectal cancer. Ever smokers had a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer of more than

25% for both men (HR=1.27, 95% CI=1.11-1.45) and women (HR=1.28, 95% CI=1.11-1.48)

compared with gender specific never smokers. Those smoking ≥20 pack-years had a

significantly increased risk of rectal cancer of 35% (HR=1.35, 95% CI=1.14-1.58) for men

and 47% (HR=1.47, 95% CI=1.13-1.91) for women compared with gender specific never

smokers. For both men and women, we observed significant dose-response associations with

rectal cancer risk for the 4 variables [smoking initiation (both  $p_{trend}$  <0.05), number of

cigarettes smoked daily (both  $p_{trend}$  <0.0001), smoking duration ( $p_{trend}$  <0.05, <0.0001) and

number of pack-years (both  $p_{trend}$  <0.0001)]. The test for heterogeneity by gender was not

significant between smoking status and the risk of rectal cancer (Wald χ2, p value; current

smokers=0.85; former smokers= 0.87; ever smokers= 1.00).

**Conclusions:** We find that smoking increases rectal cancer risk to the same extent in women

as in men.

**Keywords:** CONOR, cigarette smoking, rectal cancer, cohort, Norway

2

# **Background**

An expert group at the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has recently reevaluated the carcinogenetic effects of smoking in humans, and concluded that smoking is a risk factor for both colon and rectal cancer [1]. In a study from the present cohort, recently published, we found that the increased risk of colon cancer due to cigarette smoking may be greater in women than men [2].

The variation of the cigarette epidemic by country and gender was first described in a model focusing on the four tobacco epidemic stages in the Western countries [3] and later in a more gender specific model [4]. In Norway, the prevalence of daily smoking was around 25% for women and 65% for men in the 1950s. During the early 1970s, it increased to 32% for women and decreased to 52% for men. Since then, the prevalence of daily smoking has decreased steadily for men, while a decrease among women started at the turn of the millennium. In 2007, about 24% of Norwegian men and women, aged 16-74, were daily smokers [5,6].

During the last 50 years, the incidence rate of rectal cancer has increased dramatically in Norway. It was about 5 per 100,000 for women and 6 per 100,000 for men in the late 1950s. In 2007, which was the end of the follow up period in our study, the risk had more than doubled and was 12 per 100,000 for women and 17 per 100,000 for men [7].

The main purpose of our study was to examine if the smoking related increase in rectal cancer differed by gender in a large Norwegian cohort.

## Methods

## **Study population**

The cohort included 652,792 Norwegians (49% men), born between 1897 and 1975, who participated in four different Norwegian health screening surveys initiated by the National Health Screening Service (now included in the Norwegian Institute of Public Health). These surveys were conducted between 1972 and 2003: the Oslo study I (1972-1973), the Norwegian counties study (1974-1988), the 40 years cohort (1985-1999) and the Cohort of Norway (CONOR, 1994-2003). The design and protocol of these surveys were very similar, but there were some modifications made during different time periods, mainly to the questionnaires, regarding smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and other lifestyle factors [8-13].

Information was gathered through a baseline questionnaire and a short health examination. In most surveys, the attendees were given another supplementary questionnaire, which they completed at home and mailed back in a pre-stamped envelope. The participation rates for the different surveys varied from 56% to 88% [13]. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics South-East, Norway. More details about the study population may be found elsewhere [2,14].

## **Exposure information**

The smoking questions were similar, but not identical. All surveys had a baseline questionnaire, which included a detailed assessment of smoking habits, physical activity, and other lifestyle factors. The questionnaires included questions on current and former smoking habits, smoking duration, and average number of cigarettes smoked per day; some also asked about age at smoking initiation such as CONOR study. In the other surveys, we calculated age at smoking initiation both for current (age at enrollment minus duration of smoking in years) and former (age at enrollment minus years since quitting and duration of smoking in years)

smokers. Current smokers were defined as those who were daily smokers, and former smokers were classified according to years since quitting smoking, or if they answered that they had smoked previously but were not smokers at the time of enrollment. We combined current and former smokers to ever smokers. Ever smokers were further categorized according to the following factors at enrollment: age at smoking initiation( $\leq$ 19, 20-24, $\geq$ 25), average number of cigarettes smoked per day (1-9, 10-19,  $\geq$ 20), smoking duration in years (1-19, 20-29,  $\geq$ 30), and number of pack-years (i.e., number of cigarettes smoked per day, divided by 20, multiplied by the duration of smoking in years; 0-9, 10-19,  $\geq$ 20). Participants who were neither current nor former smokers were classified as never smokers. They constitute the reference group throughout the paper.

BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. The participants were categorized into three different groups based on level of physical activity reported in the baseline questionnaires: sedentary (reading, watching television, and sedentary activity); moderate (walking, bicycling, or similar activities  $\geq 4$  hours a week) and heavy (heavy exercise and daily competitive sports and light sports or heavy gardening  $\geq 4$  hours). Latest information regarding duration of education was obtained from Statistics Norway and participants were assigned to three categories by years of education:  $< 10, 10-12, and \geq 13$ .

## Follow-up and endpoints

We followed the participants who had filled in the baseline questionnaire in one of the 4 health surveys from 1972 until 2003 through linkage to the Cancer Registry of Norway and the Central Population Register, utilizing the unique 11-digit personal identification number to identify all cancer cases, emigrations and deaths, respectively. The national registries are both accurate and virtually complete [15,16]. The start of follow-up was set as 1 January, the year after completing the baseline questionnaire. Person-years were calculated from the start of

follow-up to the date of rectal cancer diagnosis, the date of any incident cancer diagnosis (except skin basal cell carcinoma), emigration, death, or the end of follow-up, i.e., 31 December 2007, whichever occurred first. Rectal cancer was classified according to the Seventh Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (i.e. ICD 154).

We excluded 11,476 participants who were diagnosed with any invasive cancer prior to the start of the study, and 1,009 participants who had emigrated or died before the start of follow-up. We further excluded 6,299 participants with insufficient information on smoking history. Finally, we excluded participants with missing information on BMI (n=5,107), physical activity (n=8,210) and education (n=18,449), leaving 602,242 (49% men) in the analytical cohort.

#### **Statistical analysis**

We used t-test and  $\chi^2$  test for investigating differences in the distribution of selected characteristics between cases, non-cases and between ever and never smokers. The Cox proportional hazards model was used with age as the underlying time scale to estimate multivariate-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between different measures of smoking exposure [age at smoking initiation ( $\leq$ 19, 20-24,  $\geq$ 25 years), numbers of cigarettes smoked per day (1-9, 10-19,  $\geq$ 20), smoking duration in years (1-19, 20-29,  $\geq$ 30) and number of pack-years (0-9, 10-19,  $\geq$ 20)] and rectal cancer with never smokers as the reference group. All analyses were done by gender. Entry time was defined as age at enrollment and exit time was age at diagnosis of rectal cancer, the date of any incident cancer diagnosis (except basal cell carcinoma), emigration, death, or the end of follow-up (31 December, 2007), whichever occurred first. The possible confounders included in the final models, selected *a priori*, were age at enrollment (continuous), level of physical activity (sedentary, moderate and heavy) and BMI (continuous) all at enrollment, and

duration of education(<10 years, 10-12 years,  $\ge13$  years). Tests for linear trends were obtained by creating an ordinal exposure (including never smokers) variable with equally spaced scores and including it in the models.

We excluded 8,151 (99% men) participants who reported smoking only cigar or pipe and did a sensitivity analyses. We had information on alcohol consumption for 37% (n=221,748) of the total analytical cohort and we did sensitivity analyses for rectal cancer risk by gender for this sub cohort (49% men) with and without alcohol adjustment. Heterogeneity by gender in the effect of smoking and risk of rectal cancer were tested with Wald  $\chi$ 2. Two-sided p-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

## **Results**

During a mean follow up period of 14 years and 8.6 million person-years of observation, 2,176 (61% among men) histologically confirmed invasive rectal cancer cases were ascertained. Mean age at rectal cancer diagnosis varied for men from 57 years in the 40 years cohort to 66 years in the CONOR and the Oslo health study I and for women, from 55 years in the 40 years cohort to 66 years in the CONOR study. At enrollment, 67% of men and 59% of women were ever smokers (Table 1). Compared with never smokers, ever smokers had a shorter education, were less physically active and were leaner for men (all p-values <0.0001) and women (all p-values <0.0001) (Data not shown).

Table 2 shows that the multivariate adjusted HR estimate for rectal cancer was similar for current and former smokers for both genders. Ever smokers had a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer of more than 25% for both men (HR=1.27, 95% CI=1.11-1.45) and women (HR=1.28, 95% CI=1.11-1.48) compared with gender specific never smokers. Those smoking  $\geq$ 20 pack-years had a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer of 35% (HR=1.35, 95% CI=1.14-1.58) for men and 47% (HR=1.47, 95% CI=1.13-1.91) for women compared with gender specific never smokers. For both men and women, we observed significant doseresponse associations (including the reference category) for the 4 variables [smoking initiation (both p<sub>trend</sub> <0.05), number of cigarettes smoked daily (both p<sub>trend</sub> <0.0001), smoking duration (p<sub>trend</sub> <0.05, <0.0001) and number of pack-years (both p<sub>trend</sub> <0.0001)] examined and rectal cancer. The test for heterogeneity by gender was not significant between smoking status and the risk of rectal cancer (Wald  $\chi$ 2, p value; current smokers=0.85; former smokers=0.87; ever smokers=1.00).

These estimates did not differ materially when we excluded the only cigar and pipe smokers (data not shown).

In the sensitivity analyses for participants, mainly enrolled after 1995, with information on alcohol intake, the risk estimate of rectal cancer incidence was 13% (HR=1.13, 95% CI=0.83-1.55) with alcohol adjustment and 12% (HR=1.12, 95% CI=0.82-1.54) without alcohol adjustment among men ever compared with men never smokers. The risk estimate was 37% (HR=1.37, 95% CI=0.99-1.92) with alcohol adjustment and 39% (HR=1.39, 95% CI=1.00-1.94) without alcohol adjustment among women ever compared with women never smokers.

Table 3 shows that for men, ever smokers had a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer compared with gender-specific never smokers for all three levels of BMI (<25, 25-29,  $\geq 30$ ) years of education (<10 years, 10-12 years,  $\geq 13$  years) and level of physical activity (sedentary, moderate and heavy). For women, the corresponding figure was significantly increased for eight of the nine displayed categories (Table 3).

## **Discussion**

We found that ever smokers had a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer that was similar for men and women. A possible causal interpretation of our results is supported by the presence of a consistent dose-response association between the various measures of smoking exposure (i.e., age at smoking initiation, number of cigarettes smoked per day, smoking duration in years and number of pack-years) and the risk of rectal cancer for both genders. Also, ever smokers had an increased risk of rectal cancer within the different categories of possible confounding variables as BMI, duration of education and level of physical activity. To our knowledge, this prospective analysis of smoking and rectal cancer risk includes the largest number of rectal cancer cases yet investigated. It is also the first to compare this

association in detail by gender. In the present report, the association between cigarette smoking and rectal cancer was similar for men and women. Previously, we reported from the same cohort that smoking increased the risk of colon cancer to a greater extent for women than men [2]. Our findings of no difference between the genders in the smoking related increased risk of rectal cancer is in accordance with three [17-19] smaller Japanese cohort studies including 200 cases of rectal cancer [19] or less [17,18]. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort with 950 incident rectal cases among almost half a million men and women from ten European countries [20] together with the Singapore Chinese Health Study with 329 rectal cancer cases are the largest cohort studies including both genders before ours [21]. The former study found a non-significant increase in rectal cancer among ever smokers [20] whereas this risk was significantly increased in the latter [21]. Neither of these two studies reported the smoking-related risk of rectal cancer by gender. Four other cohort studies included only women [22-24] or only men [25]. The studies, from Canada [24] and the US [23] had slightly higher, whereas the studies from Norway [22], and Korea [25], had lower risk estimates for smokers than did we. The association between smoking and rectal cancer achieved statistical significance only among current smokers in the US [23] and among former smokers in the Canadian [24] study. In our study, for both genders, former, current and ever smokers had all a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer.

Two meta-analysis, one including 36 prospective cohort studies reported a non-significant almost 20% increased risk of rectal cancer for both former and current smokers [26] while the other comprising one hundred and six independent observational studies reported a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer of 25% among ever smokers [27]. Neither of these meta-analyses reported gender specific analyses.

In the studies which reported risk estimates by cancer sites, a stronger association between smoking and rectal compared with colon cancer has generally been observed both among men and women. Similarly, stronger relative risk among ever smokers for proximal compared to distal colon cancer has been documented [1]. In our previous study [2] we found that the smoking related risk of colon cancer was more pronounced in the proximal part of colon for women, but not for men. For the distal part of colon we could not demonstrate a difference by gender. These results as well as those reported in the IARC monograph are in accordance with the findings of the present study.

CRC is considered a complex collection of diseases with different etiologies [28]. Cigarette smoking causes the irreversible genetic damage in the colorectal mucosa due to its carcinogenic effects which will lead to cancerous changes in colorectal mucosa. In 1996 Giovannucci et al [29] hypothesized that smoking is an initiator of colorectal carcinogenesis, but that the increased risk only emerges 30–40 years after the smoking initiation. In an updated review study from 2001, Giovannucci [30] reiterated his stand on the issue that the induction period could be 35-40 years. The notion that cigarette smoking is considered an initiator rather than a promoter for rectal cancer was also supported in the study by Terry et al. [24]. Our results show a significantly increased risk of rectal cancer for smokers with < 20 years of smoking at enrollment for men and < 30 years for women. When we add the median follow-up time of 13 for men and 12 for women, our results show an induction period that is in accordance with the above suggestions.

Our study has several major strengths. The study is based on a large prospective cohort population from Norway comprising both men and women, who have been followed for many years, with virtually complete follow-up. The long follow-up period resulting in a large

number of cases gives us more stable risk estimates and results that are less prone to chance. We were able to stratify all the analyses according to different measures of smoking exposure and we were able to conduct all analyses separately by gender. Also, the smoking histories were obtained at enrolment and, hence, are not subject to recall bias. We have a high proportion of male and female ever-smokers. Another strength is that we focused our analyses on the comparison between ever versus never smokers. Thus, it is only never smokers that could possibly change smoking status during follow-up. As very few Norwegians start to smoke after the age of 30 and the mean age at enrollment for our study is more than 40 years, we are confident that the possible changes in smoking status among the never smokers during follow-up did not influence our risk estimates. We had information on, and were able to control for, established risk factors for rectal cancer, many of which varied according to smoking status. Rectal cancer screening was not in place in Norway during our study period, thus reducing detection bias. Also, two previous reports confirmed the internal validity of the association between smoking exposure and risk of breast [14] and colon cancer [2].

Our study has also several limitations. We lack information on the family history of rectal cancer and on dietary factors, such as alcohol and red meat consumption which are established risk factors for rectal cancer. Increased consumption of alcohol and red meat are factors that partly may explain the steep increase in rectal cancer incidence for both genders. The alcohol consumption is higher among men than women in Norway [31]. Thus, the lack of adjustments for alcohol consumption in our main analyses is likely to have inflated the estimates among men more than women and, thereby, biasing a potential gender difference. However, in the sub cohort analyses, the risk estimates were similar for men ever smokers with and without alcohol adjustment. This was also the case for women. This indicates that our results may be noteworthy in spite of the lack of data on alcohol intake for the majority of the subjects in the

main analyses. Rectal cancer has a long induction period [30] and the interpretation of our sensitivity analyses should be done with caution as they included fewer cases, younger participants with less follow-up years than in the main cohort. If Norwegian men consumed more red meat than women, this would bias a potential gender difference in the same direction as alcohol consumption. However, we cannot rule out that alcohol and red meat consumption may have stronger effects in females than males.

Similarly, information on the use of COX inhibitors, such as aspirin, which has preventive effects on rectal cancer development [32] was not available. The lack of molecular data is another limitation. We also lack detailed information on occasional and passive smoking exposure. Around 10% of the Norwegian population reported to be occasional smokers from 1976 to 2006 which is during our follow-up period [33]. We believe that some occasional smokers may have been excluded due to insufficient smoking information, whereas others may have been included in the reference group, together with women exposed to passive smoking, which would have attenuated the associations between smoking and rectal cancer. As current smokers have an increased risk of dying from any major cause during follow-up and rectal cancer is assumed to take many years, competing causes of death may decrease the impact of smoking more among current than former smokers and make the association with rectal cancer more similar for current and former smokers. There may be some residual confounding due to these and other unknown risk factors. Nevertheless, the dose response observed is suggestive of a causal association between smoking and rectal cancer for both men and women.

## **Conclusions**

We find that smoking increases rectal cancer risk to the same extent in women as in men.

**List of Abbreviations** 

BMI: body mass index

CI: confidence interval

**CONOR:** Cohort of Norway

EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

ICD: International Classification of Diseases

HR: hazard ratio

SD: standard deviation

**Competing interests** 

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS** 

RP carried out the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. ITG, EW and EB

contributed to the planning of the manuscript, statistical analysis, interpretation of the data

and critical revision of the manuscript. AT contributed with statistical analysis interpretation

of data and critical revision of the manuscript. LM contributed with interpretation of the data

and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the

manuscript.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 

The research project was supported by the Norwegian Cancer Society as a PhD project for the

main author Dr. Ranjan Parajuli (grant numbers: PK 2009-0430 and PK01-2009-0341). This

work was mainly carried out at UiT, the Artic University of Norway and while Professor

14

Inger T. Gram was a Visiting Scholar at the Cancer Research Centre of Hawaii, University of Hawaii. The authors wish to acknowledge the services of CONOR, the contributing research centers delivering data to CONOR and all the study participants. This paper was approved by the CONOR steering committee. The authors also thank Professor Anders Engeland, Senior researcher Randi Selmer, Data manager Knut Hansen and Data analyst Ilene Brill for helping us to merge the different surveys and to prepare the master data file and associate Prof. Tonje Braaten for her assistance in statistical analysis.

#### Reference List

- 1. IARC: Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Personal habits and indoor combustions. A review of human carcinogens. IARC Press, Lyon, France: 2012: vol 100E.
- 2. Parajuli R, Bjerkaas E, Tverdal A, Selmer R, Le Marchand L, Weiderpass E, Gram IT: The increased risk of colon cancer due to cigarette smoking may be greater in women than men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013, 22:862-871.
- 3. Lopez AD, Collishaw NE, Piha T: A descriptive model of the cigarette epidemic in developed countries. *Tobacco Control* 1994, 3:242-247.
- 4. Thun M, Peto R, Boreham J, Lopez AD: Stages of the cigarette epidemic on entering its second century. *Tob Control* 2012, 21:96-101.
- 5. Helleve A, Weisæth A, Lindbak R: **Tall om tabakk 1973-2009**(**Figures about tobacco 1973-2009**). Oslo, Norway: Norwegian directorate of Health; 2010.
- 6. Norges offentlige utredninger.: **Tobakksindustriens erstatningsansvar [Tobacco industryliability].Norway's public reports.** Oslo, Norway: Statens forvaltningstjeneste,Informasjonsforvaltning.NOU; 2000:1-661., vol 16.
- 7. Cancer Registry of Norway: Cancer in Norway 2011 Cancer incidence, mortality, survival and prevalence in Norway. Oslo: Cancer Registry of Norway; 2013.
- 8. Bjartveit K, Foss OP, Gjervig T, Lund-Larsen PG: **The cardiovascular disease study in Norwegian counties. Background and organization.** *Acta Med Scand Suppl* 1979, **634:**1-70.
- 9. Bjartveit K, Stensvold I, Lund-Larsen PG, Gjervig T, Kruger O, Urdal P: [Cardiovascular screenings in Norwegian counties. Background and implementation. Status of risk pattern during the period 1986-90 among persons aged 40-42 years in 14 counties]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 1991, 111:2063-2072.
- 10. Leren P, Askevold EM, Foss OP, Froili A, Grymyr D, Helgeland A, Hjermann I, Holme I, Lund-Larsen PG, Norum KR: **The Oslo study. Cardiovascular disease in middle-aged and young Oslo men.** *Acta Med Scand Suppl* 1975, **588:**1-38.
- 11. Naess O, Sogaard AJ, Arnesen E, Beckstrom AC, Bjertness E, Engeland A, Hjort PF, Holmen J, Magnus P, Njolstad I et al.: Cohort profile: cohort of Norway (CONOR). *Int J Epidemiol* 2008, **37:**481-485.
- 12. Solberg LA, Strong JP, Holme I, Helgeland A, Hjermann I, Leren P, Mogensen SB: Stenoses in the coronary arteries. Relation to atherosclerotic lesions, coronary heart disease, and risk factors. The Oslo Study. *Lab Invest* 1985, **53**:648-655.
- 13. Stocks T, Borena W, Strohmaier S, Bjorge T, Manjer J, Engeland A, Johansen D, Selmer R, Hallmans G, Rapp K et al.: **Cohort Profile: The Metabolic syndrome and Cancer project (Me-Can).** *Int J Epidemiol* 2010, **39:**660-667.

- 14. Bjerkaas E, Parajuli R, Weiderpass E, Engeland A, Maskarinec G, Selmer R, Gram IT: Smoking duration before first childbirth: an emerging risk factor for breast cancer? Results from 302,865 Norwegian women. Cancer Causes Control 2013, 24:1347-1356.
- 15. Larsen IK, Smastuen M, Johannesen TB, Langmark F, Parkin DM, Bray F, Moller B: Data quality at the Cancer Registry of Norway: an overview of comparability, completeness, validity and timeliness. *Eur J Cancer* 2009, **45**:1218-1231.
- 16. Svensson E, Grotmol T, Hoff G, Langmark F, Norstein J, Tretli S: **Trends in colorectal cancer incidence in Norway by gender and anatomic site: an age-period-cohort analysis.** *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2002, **11:**489-495.
- 17. Otani T, Iwasaki M, Yamamoto S, Sobue T, Hanaoka T, Inoue M, Tsugane S: Alcohol consumption, smoking, and subsequent risk of colorectal cancer in middle-aged and elderly Japanese men and women: Japan Public Health Center-based prospective study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003, 12:1492-1500.
- 18. Shimizu N, Nagata C, Shimizu H, Kametani M, Takeyama N, Ohnuma T, Matsushita S: Height, weight, and alcohol consumption in relation to the risk of colorectal cancer in Japan: a prospective study. *Br J Cancer* 2003, **88:**1038-1043.
- 19. Wakai K, Hayakawa N, Kojima M, Tamakoshi K, Watanabe Y, Suzuki K, Hashimoto S, Tokudome S, Toyoshima H, Ito Y et al.: Smoking and colorectal cancer in a non-Western population: a prospective cohort study in Japan. *J Epidemiol* 2003, 13:323-332.
- 20. Leufkens AM, van Duijnhoven FJ, Siersema PD, Boshuizen HC, Vrieling A, Agudo A, Gram IT, Weiderpass E, Dahm C, Overvad K et al.: Cigarette smoking and colorectal cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011, 9:137-144.
- 21. Tsong WH, Koh WP, Yuan JM, Wang R, Sun CL, Yu MC: Cigarettes and alcohol in relation to colorectal cancer: the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Br J Cancer* 2007, **96**:821-827.
- 22. Gram IT, Braaten T, Lund E, Le Marchand L, Weiderpass E: Cigarette smoking and risk of colorectal cancer among Norwegian women. Cancer Causes Control 2009, 20:895-903.
- 23. Paskett ED, Reeves KW, Rohan TE, Allison MA, Williams CD, Messina CR, Whitlock E, Sato A, Hunt JR: **Association between cigarette smoking and colorectal cancer in the Women's Health Initiative.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007, **99:**1729-1735.
- 24. Terry PD, Miller AB, Rohan TE: **Prospective cohort study of cigarette smoking and colorectal cancer risk in women.** *Int J Cancer* 2002, **99:**480-483.
- 25. Yun YH, Jung KW, Bae JM, Lee JS, Shin SA, Min PS, Yoo T, Yul HB: Cigarette smoking and cancer incidence risk in adult men: National Health Insurance Corporation Study. Cancer Detect Prev 2005, 29:15-24.

- 26. Liang PS, Chen TY, Giovannucci E: Cigarette smoking and colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2009, 124:2406-2415.
- 27. Botteri E, Iodice S, Bagnardi V, Raimondi S, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P: **Smoking** and colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2008, **300**:2765-2778.
- 28. Boland CR, Goel A: Clearing the air on smoking and colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010, **102:**996-997.
- 29. Giovannucci E, Martinez ME: **Tobacco, colorectal cancer, and adenomas: a review of the evidence.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1996, **88:**1717-1730.
- 30. Giovannucci E: An updated review of the epidemiological evidence that cigarette smoking increases risk of colorectal cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001, 10:725-731.
- 31. Strand BH, Steiro A: [Alcohol consumption, income and education in Norway, 1993-2000]. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2003, 123:2849-2853.
- 32. Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Elwin CE, Norrving B, Algra A, Warlow CP, Meade TW: Long-term effect of aspirin on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: 20-year follow-up of five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2010, 376:1741-1750.
- 33. Lund M, Lindback R: Norwegian Tobacco Statistics 1973-2006. SIRUS- Writings 3/2007. 2007.

# **TABLES**

Table 1. Selected characteristics of the study population at enrollment, stratified by cohort, among 602,242 Norwegian men and women (1972-2003)

Characteristics	Oslo study I <sup>a</sup>	Norwegian counties study		40 years cohort		CONOR (Cohort of Norway)		All	
	1972-1973	1974-1987		1985-1999		1994-2003		1974-2003	
	Men	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Subjects	16,946	41,913	41,573	185,037	199,730	55,480	61,563	299,376	302,866
Person- years of follow-up	476,518	1, 058, 699	1,079, 213	2,424 ,435	2,595, 800	462,398	516,186	4,422, 049	4,191,200
Age at enrollment, mean, SD	45±6	40±7	40±7	43±5	43±5	48±14	48±15	44 ±8	44±8
Age at rectal cancer diagnosis, mean, SD	66±8	62±8	63±8	57±10	55±9	66±11	66±14	62±10	59±11
Year of birth, median, (Range)	1929(1925-1931)	1938(1932- 1944)	1939(1932- 1944)	1951(1948- 1954)	1951(1948- 1954)	1954(1940- 1960)	1955(1941- 1960)	1950(1944- 1954)	1951(1946- 1955)
Number of cases	286	366	281	504	426	180	133	1,336	840
Follow-up years, median,(Range)	32(24-33)	28(20-30)	30(20-31)	13(10-16)	13(10-16)	9(6-10)	9(6-10)	13(10-18)	12(9-17)
≥13 years of education <sup>b</sup> , (%)	24	14	12	26	22	21	21	23	20
Body Mass Index , mean, (kg/m²)	25	25	24	26	24	26	25	26	25
Level of physical activity, heavy <sup>c</sup> (%)	20	31	11	35	21	38	28	34	21
Ever smokers (%)	79	74	54	66	61	62	56	67	59
Current smokers(%)	55	51	40	40	40	31	32	41	38
Former smokers(%)	24	23	14	26	21	31	24	26	21

SD standard deviation, Range interquartile range. Included only men. Not at enrollment. Heavy physical activity: Light sports or heavy gardening≥ 4 hours per week, heavy exercise or daily competitive sports

Table 2. Multivariate<sup>a</sup> adjusted hazard ratio (HR) estimates for rectal cancer with 95% confidence intervals (CI) among women (n=302,866) and men (n=299,376) according to various measures of smoking exposure at enrollment, compared with never smokers

	Men				Women			
	Cases n=1,336	Person-years	HR	95% CI	Cases n= 840	Person-years	HR	95% CI
Smoking status								
Never	298/98,388	1,369,691	1.00	Ref.	350/123,503	1,744,944	1.00	Ref.
Former	433/78,662	1,138,881	1.28	1.11-1.50	169/64,021	824,913	1.26	1.05-1.52
Current	605/122,326	1,913,477	1.26	1.09-1.45	321/115,342	1,621,343	1.29	1.10-1.51
Ptrend <sup>b</sup>				< 0.05				< 0.05
Ever	1,038/200,988	3,052,358	1.27	1.11-1.45	490/179,363	2,446,256	1.28	1.11-1.48
Ever smokers <sup>c</sup>								
Age at smoking initiation (years)								
≥25	116/16,415	268,600	1.23	0.99-1.52	99/23,150	357,101	1.19	0.95-1.49
20-24	211/38,540	592,480	1.35	1.13-1.61	36/40,824	588,736	1.□5	1.18-1.78
≤19	362/96,856	1,294,339	1.28	1.0□-1.50	142/80,620	928,955	1.35	1.10-1.6□
Ptrend <sup>b</sup>				< 0.05				< 0.05
Number □ □ f cigarettes per day								
1-9	207/39,218	604,421	1.07	0.90-1.29	169/59,570	824,198	1.15	0.96-1.39
10-19	524/99,761	1,526,804	1.□5	1.17-1.56	255/93,002	1,268,980	1.37	1.16-1.62
≥20	259/56,319	832,845	1.31	1.11-1.5□	64/25,270	337,874	1.38	1.05-1.81
Ptrend <sup>b</sup>				< 0.0001				< 0.0001
Numbers of years smoked								
1-19	326/80,190	1,250,222	1.21	1.03-1.42	220/87,999	1,263,528	1.17	0.9□-1.40
20-29	457/97,685	1,471,526	1.29	1.11-1.50	222/81,713	1,089,772	1.37	1.15-1.64
≥30	232/21,144	299,518	1.31	1.09-1.5□	48/7,918	76,398	1.54	1.11-2.12
Ptrend <sup>b</sup>				< 0.05				< 0.0001
Number of pack-years smoked <sup>d</sup>								
0-9	298/68,003	943,796	1.17	0.99□1.37	241/88,884	1,270,193	1.21	1.02-1.42

10-19	□85/74,235	1,014,305	1.33	1.14-1.54	178/64,544	862,029	1.38	1.14-1.66
≥20	302/52,392	647,100	1.35	1.14-1.58	69/23,263	288,147	1.47	1.13-1.91
Ptrend <sup>b</sup>				< 0.0001				< 0.0001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age, body mass index, level of physical activity all at enrollment and duration of education. <sup>b</sup>Never smokers included in the model. <sup>c</sup>Total numbers of ever-smokers do not equal the total in different smoking exposures due to missing values in different smoking exposures groups. <sup>d</sup>Pack-years were calculated as numbers of cigarettes smoked per day, divided by 20 and multiplied by the number of years smoked

Table 3. Age and multivariate<sup>a</sup> adjusted HR estimates for rectal cancer with 95% CI among 602,242 Norwegian men and women ever smokers according to selected covariates and never smokers as reference group

	Men		Women		
Ever smokers	Cases n=1038	Multivariate adjusted <sup>a</sup> HR(95% CI)	Cases n=490	Multivariate adjusted <sup>a</sup> HR(95% CI)	
Body mass index(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
<25	484	1.17(1.01-1.36)	296	1.18(1.01-1.39)	
25-29	466	1.33(1.15-1.54)	145	1.39(1.15-1.70)	
≥30	88	1.53(1.20-1.95)	49	1.39(1-15-1.70)	
Duration of education(years) <sup>b</sup>					
<10	356	1.20(1.02-1.40)	185	1.22(1.02-1.47)	
10-12	497	1.26(1.09-1.45)	248	1.31(1.11-1.55)	
≥13	185	1.41(1.17-1.70)	57	1.28(0.96-1.70)	
Level of physical activity <sup>c</sup>					
Sedentary	241	1.36(1.15-1.62)	125	1.30(1.06-1.60)	
Moderate	550	1.27(1.10-1.46)	292	1.24(1.05-1.46)	
Heavy	247	1.22(1.03-1.45)	73	1.39(1.07-1.79)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age, body mass index, physical activity all at enrollment and duration of education.<sup>b</sup> Not at enrollment <sup>c</sup>Level of physical activity; sedentary (reading, watching television, and sedentary activity), moderate (walking, bicycling, or similar activities ≥4 hours per week), and heavy (light sports or heavy gardening ≥4 hours per week, heavy exercise or daily competitive sports).

#### LEGENDS TO TABLES

Table 1. Selected characteristics of the study population at enrollment, stratified by cohort, among 602,242 Norwegian men and women (1972-2003)

SD standard deviation, Range interquartile range. Included only men. Not at enrollment. Heavy physical activity: Light sports or heavy gardening≥ 4 hours per week, heavy exercise or daily competitive sports

Table 2. Multivariate<sup>a</sup> adjusted hazard ratio (HR) estimates for rectal cancer with 95% confidence intervals (CI) among women (n=302,866) and men (n=299,376) according to various measures of smoking exposure at enrollment, compared with never smokers

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age, body mass index, level of physical activity all at enrollment and duration of education. <sup>b</sup>Never smokers included in the model. <sup>c</sup>Total numbers of ever-smokers do not equal the total in different smoking exposures due to missing values in different smoking exposures groups. <sup>d</sup>Pack-years were calculated as numbers of cigarettes smoked per day, divided by 20 and multiplied by the number of years smoked

# Table 3. Age and multivariate<sup>a</sup> adjusted HR estimates for rectal cancer with 95% CI among 602,242 Norwegian men and women ever smokers according to selected covariates and never smokers as reference group

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age, body mass index, physical activity all at enrollment and duration of education. Not at enrollment <sup>c</sup>Level of physical activity; sedentary (reading, watching television, and sedentary activity), moderate (walking, bicycling, or similar activities ≥4 hours per week), and heavy (light sports or heavy gardening ≥4 hours per week, heavy exercise or daily competitive sports).