

Characterization of 14 Microsatellite Markers for Silene acaulis (Caryophyllaceae)

Author(s): Eike Müller, Iva Hlaváčková, Mildrid Elvik Svoen, Inger Greve Alsos, and Pernille Bronken

Eidesen

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(9) Published By: Botanical Society of America DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/apps.1500036

URL: http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.3732/apps.1500036

BioOne (<u>www.bioone.org</u>) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

Characterization of 14 microsatellite markers for Silene acaulis (Caryophyllaceae)¹

EIKE MÜLLER², IVA HLAVÁČKOVÁ³, MILDRID ELVIK SVOEN^{2,4}, INGER GREVE ALSOS^{2,5}, AND PERNILLE BRONKEN EIDESEN^{2,6}

²University Centre in Svalbard, Postboks 156, NO-9170 Longyearbyen, Norway; ³Institute of Chemical Technology, University of Chemistry and Technology (UCT) Prague, Technická 5, 16628 Prague, Czech Republic; ⁴University of Oslo, Postboks 1072 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway; and ⁵Tromsø Museum, University of Tromsø, Postboks 6050 Langnes, NO-9037 Tromsø, Norway

- *Premise of the study:* Fifty candidate microsatellite markers, generated using 454 shotgun sequencing, were tested for the widespread arctic/alpine herb *Silene acaulis* (Caryophyllaceae).
- Methods and Results: Fourteen out of 50 markers resulted in polymorphic products with profiles that enabled interpretation.
 The numbers of alleles per locus ranged from two to six, and the expected heterozygosity per locus ranged from 0.06 to 0.68.
 Analysis of F₀ and F₁ samples proved that one allele was always inherited maternally. Four multiplex mixes have been developed.
- Conclusions: Microsatellite markers for this species will be a valuable tool to study detailed small-scale genetic patterns in an arctic/alpine herb and to relate them to demographic parameters.

Key words: Arctic/alpine; Caryophyllaceae; gynodioecious; moss campion; *Silene acaulis*.

Silene L. (Caryophyllaceae) has been recognized as an important model system for plant ecology and evolution, and has been a study system for different sexual and mating systems (Bernasconi et al., 2009). Silene acaulis (L.) Jacq., an arctic/alpine species, exhibits features that make it ideal as a model species (e.g., diploid, two genders, usually single individuals, almost linear size increase with age, widespread distribution). The development of molecular markers for this species is therefore of particular interest.

Silene acaulis is a long-lived perennial cushion plant, widely distributed in arctic/alpine tundra ecosystems in Europe, northern Asia, and North America (Elven et al., 2012). It commonly forms dense circular cushions with a central taproot (Jones and Richards, 1962), a feature that facilitates identification of single individuals in dense populations. Individuals of S. acaulis are sexually polymorphic because the species is gynodioecious; however, flowers are variable and populations are functionally dioecious or trioecious (Shykoff, 1988; Delph and Carroll, 2001). Silene acaulis is diploid (2n = 24) throughout its distribution range (Elven et al., 2012) and reproduces solely through sexual reproduction. Data from crossing experiments indicate that sex is inherited by a nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction (Delph et al., 1999). The gender proportions in natural populations are variable (Klaas and Olson, 2006), and in harsher conditions the sex ratio is typically biased toward females (Hermanutz and Innes, 1994; Alatalo and Molau, 1995). The well-defined, nearly circular growth form has been used for demographic studies

doi:10.3732/apps.1500036

(Jones and Richards, 1962; Benedict, 1989; Morris and Doak, 1998). To combine demographic information with fine-scale genetic investigations, high-resolution microsatellite markers have been developed. We present 40 primer pairs for simple sequence repeat (SSR) regions in *S. acaulis*, 14 of which resulted in polymorphic products and were included in a multiplex PCR system. This setup has been tested on 304 individuals collected in the high arctic archipelago of Svalbard, Norway.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Fresh, frozen, and silica gel–dried leaf material collected in Svalbard, Norway, was used for DNA extraction with the QIAGEN Plant Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany). The only minor deviation to the standard protocol was that elution buffer volume was adjusted to 25 μ L or 100 μ L depending on the amount of leaf material used. The DNA amount was measured with a spectro-photometer (NanoDrop, ND-2000; Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, Delaware, USA) and adjusted to 5 ng/ μ L by adding deionized Milli-Q water (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Hessen, Germany).

The microsatellite regions were identified using next-generation high-throughput genome sequencing (Abdelkrim et al., 2009). All sequences were isolated by ecogenics GmbH (Zürich-Schlieren, Switzerland). Extracted DNA and plant material from one plant collected in Endalen (Svalbard, Norway; 78°11′12″N, 15°45′39″E; voucher from the breeding population deposited at Tromsø Museum [TROM], University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway [voucher no. TROM-V-135413]) were sent to ecogenics GmbH, and 13 μg of genomic DNA was analyzed on a Roche 454 GS-FLX platform (Roche, Basel, Basel-Stadt, Switzerland) using a 1/16th run and the GS-FLX titanium reagents. The total 38,453 reads had an average length of 357 bp, and 106 of these reads contained a suitable microsatellite insert that was a tetra- or trinucleotide of at least five repeat units or a dinucleotide of at least nine repeat units. Primers for 50 microsatellite inserts were designed, and all of them were tested for amplification.

Out of 50 primer pairs, 10 did not result in a satisfactory amplification using another plant from Endalen (F_0) . The remaining 40 primers were tested for

¹Manuscript received 31 March 2015; revision accepted 14 April 2015.

⁶Author for correspondence: Pernille.Bronken.Eidesen@unis.no

Table 1. Characterization of 40 microsatellite loci isolated from Silene acaulis, of which 14 were included in a multiplex PCR approach. a,b

Locus		Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Repeat motif ^c	Allele size range (bp) ^d	Evaluatione	Mix	Fluorescent label	Forward primer (µL) ^f	GenBank accession no
Silaca 1		TCTTATCATTTCCAACCTAGACGG TCGAACAAGGCAACCCAAC	(CAT) ₇	105–190 (202)	NV	_	_	_	KP722109
Silaca 3	F:	GCGGATCTTGCTTGTGACG TTTCTACTAGTGCCCGCAG	$(GTT)_{6,8,5,6}$	236–245 (233)	P	1	6-FAM	0.24	KP722111
Silaca 4	F:	GGTTGAAAAGGAAATCAAGAGCC GTCGCCAGTAAGATCAATCGAC	$(AAT)_9$	203–224 (220)	S, NV	_	_	_	KP722112
Silaca 5	F:	AAAACGCGAACATTCCGCC	$(TTA)_8$	— (207)	PA	_	_	_	KP722113
Silaca 6	F:	ATACGCACCATGGCCACTC CCGACACATCTTGACGCAC AGGTTTGTTCATACGTTAGGGTC	$(AAT)_8$	260 (242)	NV	_	_	_	KP722114
Silaca 7	F:	TGACTGGAAGTTAAGTGTGGTTC AGAGAGTATGGTAGGTGGGG	$(TAA)_8$	205–226 (217)	P	2	6-FAM	0.24	KP722115
Silaca 8	F:	CACTACTCAGAAAAGGTCAATTGTG GGGAATCCAAGAAGGTGGC	$(TTA)_6$	215–239 (234)	P	3	NED	0.16	KP722116
Silaca 9	F:	CGGAGTCGGGATGAGTCG ACTAACCCGAGTAACAATCTCC	$(TAT)_6$	154 (183)	S	_	_	_	KP722117
Silaca 10	F:	GTTGGTTCGTCGATGGGTC ACTCGTCTCACAACACCCC	$(TTA)_7$	256 (240)	S, NV	_	_	_	KP722118
Silaca 11	F:	GGGGAGTATGAGGTTGGAGG GGACCAGTTCTTGTGTTTGATTG	$(ATT)_6$	214–231 (213)	NV	_	_	_	KP722119
Silaca 13	F:	GGGGTCAATGTCATCAACATGAG GAAGTAAGTCCATGTCCGCC	$(ATC)_6$	223 (179)	S, NV	_	_	_	KP722121
Silaca 15	F:	CACATTCAACTCATCCACATTCG AAAACGCCGTCTCCTGTTG	$(TGA)_6$	187–200 (183)	NV	_	_	_	KP722123
Silaca 16	F:	TCATACATTAGCAACATCTGAACG GGGACATTGGTTGAGTCGTG	$(AT)_{11}$	176–180 (173)	S, NV	_	_	_	KP722124
Silaca 17	F:	TCCTCATCTTTTATTCCCATATTACCC AAAGGACGAGAGTAATGGTAGAG	$(CTT)_8$	170–215 (165)	S	_	_	_	KP722125
Silaca 18	F:	ACAAGTCGGATCAAGTGTTGG GCTCAACAGACCGGAATGC	$(AAAT)_6$	165–173 (171)	P	2	PET	0.24	KP722126
Silaca 20	F:	CAGCAACACCAACGCTAC CAACCGAACCACCAACTCC	$(AAT)_{5,6}$	266–269 (247)	NV	_	_	_	KP722128
Silaca 21	F:	GTGTCCAGCTCTTTACTTGGC GATTAAAACTGAATACATCAACCCC	$(TTA)_8$	306–309 (296)	NV	_	_	_	KP722129
Silaca 22	F:	GATTTAGGTGGCGCGTGAG AGCCCGTCTTACTAACATCCC	$(TTA)_{14}$	247 (247)	S, NV	_	_	_	KP722130
Silaca 23	F:	CCAGCAACACCAGCAGAAG CCATGGAACATGTGTATGGAGC	$(ATG)_6$	236–242 (245)	P	3	6-FAM	0.24	KP722131
Silaca 24	F:	CCTCGATCGGAGAGCAGTC GCACCATGCCAAGATTCGG	$(GAA)_6$	216–223 (206)	S	_	_	_	KP722132
Silaca 25	F:	AGCACAACTACACACACACG TGGCGCATACCTTCATTCC	$(ATT)_8$	172–184 (181)	P	1	VIC	0.16	KP722133
Silaca 26	F:	TGGATGATTGTAACACCCGC TGTGACGGTCTTTTACTAGATGG	$(AAT)_7$	253–263 (238)	S	_	_	_	KP722134
Silaca 28	F:	TGACATCCCGGGTTTTGAG CACTTAGCGTCGCATGAAAAG	$(GTT)_6$	256–309 (248)	S	_	_	_	KP722136
Silaca 29	F:	GCCAAAACACGAAAACCCG TGGTGGTTCTGTGGTGGAG	$(ATT)_6$	200–206 (216)	P	2	VIC	0.16	KP722137
Silaca 30	F:	GAGGAGTAAGGCGAGAGGC TGGTTTTGTCATGTTTTGTCGC	(GGA) ₈	198–213 (188)	S	_	_	_	KP722138
Silaca 31	F:	CTAAGGCAACACGCCCTTC CACGCATCATTAGCCGACC	$(ACA)_7$	156–167 (150)	NV	_	_	_	KP722139
Silaca 32	F:	GATTCATGTTAGCCGACCCC TGCTGCAGTATTAGTGTTTGTG	$(TGT)_8$	144–177 (171)	P	2	NED	0.16	KP722140
Silaca 34	F:	TCACCGATGGTCGTCAAGG AGGCTCTCAACTAGGATTCGG	$(CAA)_7$	171–196 (174)	P	3	PET	0.24	KP722142
Silaca 36	F:	ACCCTCCTTACGTTCCTAATTC ATGTAGGCGTGACGAAGGC	$(TTC)_8$	165–180 (166)	P	4	VIC	0.16	KP722144
Silaca 37	F:	GTGGTTGGTCAGTTCGCTG ACTCAAGCGGGTCAATCCC	$(GTT)_{10}$	218–235 (232)	NV	_	_	_	KP722145
Silaca 38	F:	CTTAGGCTTGTAACGCGGAG CCCATGGACGGTTCTAAAGG	$(AAC)_8$	144–147 (150)	P	1	NED	0.16	KP722146
Silaca 39	F:	GGCTGAGGATCCCTTGCTG GTGTTGTCTCGTGTCTAATGTTTG	$(ATT)_5/(ACT)_9$	240–243 (221)	S	_	_	_	KP722147
Silaca 40	F:	ACCAGCATGCAATATGAATGGG AACAACCGCCTTCCTCAAG	$(ATT)_{12}$	156–183 (168)	P	1	PET	0.24	KP722148
Silaca 41	F:	TCAGGTAGTCGGTCACCCC TCTAAAATCGCCCAGAATTCAC	$(ATT)_{10}$	256–274 (248)	P	_	_	_	KP722149

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 2 of 4

Table 1. Continued.

Locus		Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Allele size Repeat motif ^c range (bp) ^d Evaluation ^e			Mix	Fluorescent label	Forward primer $(\mu L)^f$	GenBank accession no.
Silaca 42	F:	AGCAATTGGAACACATAAAATCGAC	(AAC) ₇ /	340-400 (351)	S		_	_	KP722150
	R:	AAGAGGTATCAATCGCTCTCC	$(CAA)_8$						
Silaca 43	F:	GCATTGAAAAGGGGAAATGCG	$(ATC)_6$	227-244 (225)	NV	_	_	_	KP722151
	R:	TCGGATTAGGGTACACGGC							
Silaca 44	F:	AGTAGTTATACAAGTGGTGGTGG	$(AAT)_{10}$	210-216 (225)	P	3	VIC	0.16	KP722152
	R:	TCCTCTATGAACTCGCTGCC							
Silaca 47	F:	CCTCCTCCGTTACTACTACTTG	$(CCT)_7$	249-266 (245)	NV	_	_	_	KP722155
	R:	TGAAGCCGACTCAACAACAAC							
Silaca 49	F:	TCTCCATTTTGTCCAAGAGTCAG	$(ATC)_9$	249-260 (241)	NV	_	_	_	KP722157
	R:	GTTGCTGAAAATGCGTTGGG							
Silaca 50	F:	AAGACTCGGGAGAAACCAC	$(ATC)_6$	233-239 (238)	P	4	6-FAM	0.24	KP722158
	R:	CTCTTGACTCTCTACCTCCCC							

Note: -- = data not available.

polymorphism using two additional individuals, one from Polheim (79°53′33″N, 16°01′24″E) and one from Kvartsittsletta (77°03′33″N, 15°07′38″E). Further tests were made with 27 primer pairs using two additional individuals from Polheim, one individual collected on Edgeøya (78°04′54"N, 20°48′38"E), and three individuals germinated from seeds (F_1) collected from the F_0 individual; the individual from Kvartsittsletta was dropped because of insufficient DNA quantity. For cost-effective testing of the selected primer pairs, the M13 tail approach was chosen with a 6-FAM (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, Iowa, USA) fluorescent color tail to visualize and estimate the length of the amplification product on a capillary sequencer (ABI-PRISM-3100; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) (Schuelke, 2000). All PCR reactions for the amplification of the microsatellite primers had a 10- μ L volume. Single substances in the PCR mix were 1.0 µL PCR buffer (QIAGEN), 1.0 µL dNTPs (2.0 mM each, QIAGEN), 0.1 µL HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN), 0.2 µL 5 µM forward primer with M13 tail (biomers.net, Ulm, Baden-Württemberg, Germany), 0.6 µL 5 µM reverse primer, 0.6 µL 5 µM 6-FAM tail (biomers.net), 4.5 μL H₂O, and 2.0 μL 5 ng/μL template DNA. The PCR conditions were the same as in Vik et al. (2012), except that the annealing temperature was set to 55°C. For fragment length determination of the amplification products, the PCR product was diluted 10× before each was mixed with 0.2 µL GeneScan 500 LIZ and 8.8 µL HiDi (both Applied Biosystems). Fragment length was determined on an ABI PRISM 3100 (Applied Biosystems) at the University of Tromsø. The fragments were visually inspected using PeakScanner 1.0 (Applied Biosystems). Fourteen of the 40 markers (Tables 1 and 2) showed promising profiles and polymorphism within the eight tested plant individuals in the collection region of the Svalbard archipelago (Norway). The three included F₁ generation seedlings (EN S F₁) inherited one allele from their mother (EN M F₀) except for primer pair 3 (no profile for EN M F₀ available). Of 14 amplified regions, seven were polymorphic between siblings and 11 were polymorphic between mother and offspring (Table 3).

The 14 polymorphic markers (Table 1) were selected for further testing using a multiplex PCR set-up with 304 *S. acaulis* individuals collected in Endalen and Hotellneset (Svalbard, Norway). The M13-tailed forward primers were exchanged with primers that were labeled with the four different fluorescent colors 6-FAM, VIC, NED, and PET (Applied Biosystems). This allowed multiplexing of up to four primer pairs with a different color-labeled forward primer (Table 1). For calculating mean error rates per allele (Table 2; Pompanon et al., 2005), 20 to 24 individuals were replicated per multiplex PCR; in addition, negative controls were run through the entire procedure to monitor contamination. The fragments were scored using Geneious 7.1.3 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand).

The multiplexing in PCR mix 1 and mix 4 was satisfactory. However, despite reliable amplifications in nonmultiplexed PCRs, we initially had a high number of allelic drop-outs or samples that did not amplify, especially in PCR mix 2 and mix 3 (see high error rates in Table 2). Nevertheless, reducing the multiplexing to only two or three primers (resulting in the following primer mixes: Silaca 3 and 8; Silaca 7 and 8; Silaca 23, 44, and 34; and Silaca

Table 2. Genetic properties of 40 microsatellite loci isolated from *Silene acaulis*.

Locus	A	N	$H_{\rm o}\pm{ m SD}$	$H_{\rm e}\pm{ m SD}$	Mean error rate per allele
Silaca 1	2	8	_	_	
Silaca 3	4	227	0.07 ± 0.07	0.42 ± 0.22	0.043
Silaca 4	_	8	_	_	_
Silaca 5	_	6	_	_	_
Silaca 6	1	8	_	_	_
Silaca 7	6	227	0.42 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.06	0
Silaca 8	6	227	0.17 ± 0.07	0.42 ± 0.015	0.708
Silaca 9	1	8	_	_	_
Silaca 10	1	8	_	_	_
Silaca 11	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 13	1	3	_	_	_
Silaca 15	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 16	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 17	_	8	_	_	_
Silaca 18	3	227	0.24 ± 0.13	0.31 ± 0.17	0.416
Silaca 20	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 21	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 22	1	8	_	_	_
Silaca 23	4	227	0.19 ± 0.08	0.39 ± 0.14	0.750
Silaca 24	_	8	_	_	_
Silaca 25	3	227	0.04 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.05	0.043
Silaca 26	2	8	_	_	_
Silaca 28	_	8	_	_	_
Silaca 29	2	227	0.16 ± 0.06	0.39 ± 0.09	0.458
Silaca 30	2	8	_	_	_
Silaca 31	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 32	4	227	0.21 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.09	0.125
Silaca 34	6	227	0.58 ± 0.08	0.68 ± 0.04	0.750
Silaca 36	6	227	0.47 ± 0.06	0.57 ± 0.05	0.075
Silaca 37	2	8	_	_	_
Silaca 38	3	227	0.11 ± 0.05	0.15 ± 0.07	0
Silaca 39	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 40	6	227	0.16 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.03	0.217
Silaca 41	3	3	_	_	_
Silaca 42	_	8	_	_	_
Silaca 43	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 44	3	227	0.32 ± 0.08	0.33 ± 0.04	0.750
Silaca 47	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 49	2	3	_	_	_
Silaca 50	3	227	0.24 ± 0.12	0.45 ± 0.06	0.200

Note: — = data not available; A = observed number of alleles; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; N = number of individuals.

^aEvaluation of primer pairs included in the multiplexing are based on two subpopulations (Endalen: 78°11′12″N, 15°45′39″E; Hotellneset: 78°14′57″N, 15°30′18″E). ^bAnnealing temperature was 56°C for all reactions.

^cSubscript numbers are amounts of the repeated motif; multiple numbers separated by commas signify that the motif is interrupted by other base pairs.

^dObserved size range with the size of the sequenced fragment given in parentheses. ^eAbbreviations: NV = not variable on the tested spatial scale; P = polymorphic; PA = poor amplification; S = large amount of stutter bands.

f Amount in a 10-μL mix.

Table 3. Allele distribution of a female *Silene acaulis* individual (EN M F_0) and three of its seedlings (EN S F_1).

Locus	EN M F ₀	EN S 4 F ₁	EN S 5 F ₁	EN S 10 F ₁
Silaca 3	NA	259	259	259
Silaca 7	240	240	240; 243	240; 243
Silaca 8	250	250; 253	250; 253	250; 253
Silaca 18	184; 188	184; 188	184; 188	184
Silaca 23	247; 262	259; 262	259; 262	259; 262
Silaca 25	186; 199	199	199	199
Silaca 29	218; 227	218; 225	218; 225	218
Silaca 32	177; 189	189	189	189
Silaca 34	177; 189	177; 189	189; 200	189; 210
Silaca 36	184; 194	194	194; 196	184
Silaca 38	163; 166	163; 166	163; 166	163; 166
Silaca 40	178; 187	187	178; 187	178; 187
Silaca 44	232; 236	232; 236	NA	232; 236
Silaca 50	257	254; 257	254; 257	257

Note: NA = profiles not available because of poor amplification.

29, 32, and 18) improved the results. Thus, we are confident that the reason for this was not fragmented or low-quality DNA material, but competing primers in the PCR multiplex mix.

CONCLUSIONS

The arctic/alpine species *S. acaulis* has strong potential as a model species for population genetic studies, as genotyping can easily be combined with demographic parameters. To date, the microsatellites have been used for a small-scale genetic study in different size and gender cohorts on the arctic archipelago of Svalbard. The observed heterozygosity was found to be lower (0.04–0.58) than the expected heterozygosity, and no marked differences were found between genders (Svoen et al., in prep.).

LITERATURE CITED

ABDELKRIM, J., B. ROBERTSON, J.-A. STANTON, AND N. GEMMELL. 2009. Fast, cost-effective development of species-specific microsatellite markers by genomic sequencing. *BioTechniques* 46: 185–192.

- ALATALO, J. M., AND U. MOLAU. 1995. Effect of altitude on the sex ratio in populations of *Silene acaulis* (Caryophyllaceae). *Nordic Journal of Botany* 15: 251–256.
- Benedict, J. B. 1989. Use of *Silene acaulis* for dating: The relationship of cushion diameter to age. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 21: 91–96.
- Bernasconi, G., J. Antonovics, A. Biere, D. Charlesworth, L. F. Delph, D. Filatov, T. Giraud, et al. 2009. *Silene* as a model system in ecology and evolution. *Heredity* 103: 5–14.
- Delph, L. F., M. F. Bailey, and D. L. Marr. 1999. Seed provisioning in gynodioecious *Silene acaulis* (Caryophyllaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 86: 140–144.
- Delph, L. F., and S. B. Carroll. 2001. Factors affecting relative seed fitness and female frequency in a gynodioecious species, *Silene acaulis*. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 3: 487–505.
- ELVEN, R., D. F. MURRAY, V. Y. RAZZHIVIN, AND B. A. YURTSEV. 2012. Annotated checklist of the panarctic flora (PAF) vascular plants [online]. Website http://nhm2.uio.no/paf/ [accessed 7 April 2015].
- Hermanutz, L., and D. Innes. 1994. Gender variation in *Silene* acaulis (Caryophyllaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 191: 69–81
- JONES, V., AND P. W. RICHARDS. 1962. Silene acaulis (L.) Jacq. Journal of Ecology 50: 475–487.
- KLAAS, A. L., AND M. S. OLSON. 2006. Spatial distributions of cytoplasmic types and sex expression in Alaskan populations of Silene acaulis. International Journal of Plant Sciences 167: 179–189.
- MORRIS, W. F., AND D. F. DOAK. 1998. Life history of the long-lived gynodioecious cushion plant *Silene acaulis* (Caryophyllaceae), inferred from size-based population projection matrices. *American Journal of Botany* 85: 784–793.
- Pompanon, F., A. Bonin, E. Bellemain, and P. Taberlet. 2005. Genotyping errors: Causes, consequences and solutions. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 6: 847-846.
- Schuelke, M. 2000. An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 233–234.
- SHYKOFF, J. A. 1988. Maintenance of gynodioecy in *Silene acaulis* (Caryophyllaceae): Stage-specific fecundity and viability selection. *American Journal of Botany* 75: 844–850.
- VIK, U., T. CARLSEN, P. B. EIDESEN, A. K. BRYSTING, AND H. KAUSERUD. 2012. Microsatellite markers for *Bistorta vivipara* (Polygonaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 99: e226–e229.